CID: 017528 IS

21.	Number of output neurons		Number of parameters	1
	Convl	145200	₽ 17472	
	MaxPool	34992		
	Conv2	86528	221312	
	MaxPool	18432		
	Con v 3	27 648	614592	
	ConvY	23232	510016	
	Conv5	15488	221312	
	Naxporl	2048		
	FCI	1 (1.11)	3278400	
	FC2	\	2561600	
	Fottmax	1 (24)	16000	

Total Neurons: 357768

Total Parameters: 9105704

Why 224x224 to 227x227?

Answer: To make all the size of output image to be integers

Ja Exhib

(11)

£2:

The first improvement is applying PReLU as activation tuntion.

The PReLU sets the coefficient that controls negative derivatives as Learnable parameter. Meanwhile, it introduced a very small number of extra parameters, which is negligible when considering to tal number of weights. But it can improve the performance of networks

Comparison:

On the one hand, he conducted comparisons on a deep but efficient model with 14 weight layers based on a known paper. By comparing the top-1 and top-5 error rate, it can basically ensure the PReLU is better than ReLU (1.1% gain).

On the other hand, based on love-class ImageNet 20/2 dataset. He set the same total number of epaks, and the barning rates are also switched after running the same number of epochs. After analyze the table of erro rate, it can justify that PRELU improves both small and large models.

(1) ( Ext. 17) (1)

The improvement is setting the initialized weight by zero-mean Gaussian distribution whose standard deviation is the for Rell method. As for PReLU method, the standard deviation is Inclitaz). The improvement is aimed to equip the notworks with a robust initialization method which can remove the obstacle of traing extremely deep rectifier networks.

As for Glorot and Bengio, they applied the uniform distribution with range of [-in, in], which is called "Xavier" method. But its derivation is based on assumption that the activations are linear which is involled for RELU and PRELU.

As for Alex paper, they applied zero-mean Gaussian distribution with standard deviation 0.01 in each layer. But it has difficult to Unverge when neural networks are extremely deep.

## Comparison.

In He et al paper, they & compared the improved initialization method with that of "Xavier" on extremely deep models with 22 and 30 le There is not much difference for 22 layers. But for 30 layers, the: initialization is able to make the model converge. On the contrary, "Xavier" method completely stalls the learning, and the gradients are diminishing as monitored in the experiments. But both method have the similar accuracy.

& Y

As for comparisons between ReLU and PReLU, they compared ReLU and PReLU, they Relu and PRelu on the large model A with same setting.

And then 1 And they found that PRELU improves both small and large models.

As for comparisons of single-model results, they compared their five models (model A with ReLV and eta.) with VGG 76 and GoogleNet. And their baseline model outperforms VGG-19.

As for comparisons of multi-model results, they combined six models and this model shows 1.7% bester than ILSVRC 2014 winner.

As for comparisons with human performance, their result (4.94%) exceeds the reported human-level performance from Russakovsky based on ImageNet.