PTC (Fall 2018) – Assignment 3

徐翔哲 161250170

2018年12月20日

Problem 1

Consider the (deterministic) Turing machine M given by

$$M = (\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, B\}, \delta, q_0, B, \{q_2\})$$

which has exactly four transitions defined in it, as described below.

- 1. $\delta(q_0, a) = (q_0, B, R)$
- 2. $\delta(q_0, b) = (q_1, B, R)$
- 3. $\delta(q_1, b) = (q_1, B, R)$
- 4. $\delta(q_1, B) = (q_2, B, R)$

Please answer the following questions:

- a. Specify the execution trace of M on the input string abb.
- b. Provide a regular expression for the language of the Turing machine.
- c. Suppose we added the transition $\delta(q_1, a) = (q_0, B, R)$ to the above machine, provide a regular expression for the language of the resulting Turing machine.

a

$$q_0aab \vdash q_0ab \vdash q_0b \vdash q_1B \vdash q_2B$$

b

 a^*b^+

C

$$a^*b^+(a^+b^+)^*$$

Problem 2

Please design TM's to decide following languages:

a.
$$L_1 = \{1^m \times 1^n = 1^{mn} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$$
 (e.g. $11 \times 111 = 1111111 \in L_1$, but $1 \times 1 = 11 \notin L_1$)

b.
$$L_2 = \{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$$

First, let's define a TM M_1 which will convert $\times 1^n = 1^{n'}$ to $\times 1^n = 1^{n'-n}$ where $n' \ge n > 0$

$$M_1 = (\{p_0, p_R, p_a, p_{a'}, p_d, p_L, p_f, p_e\}, \{1, 0, \times, =\}, \{1, 0, \times, =, B, a\}, \delta, p_0, B, \{p_e\})$$

At the beginning, the head should at \times Then we will enter p_a , which will change the first 1 to a when the head goes right.

$$\delta(p_0, \times) = (p_a, \times, R)$$

$$\delta(p_a, a) = (p_a, a, R)$$

$$\delta(p_a, 1) = (p_R, a, R)$$

The state p_R moves the head to the first blank at the right of the input, and then switches to state p_d , which will delete a 1.

$$\delta(p_R, X) = (p_R, X, R)$$
, where $X = \{=, 1\}$

$$\delta(p_R, B) = (p_d, B, L)$$

$$\delta(p_d, 1) = (p_L, B, L)$$

The state p_L will moves to \times and then enters p_a'

$$\delta(p_L, X) = (p_L, X, L)$$
, where $X = \{1, =, a\}$

$$\delta(p_L, \times) = (p_a, \times, R)$$

Then the state $p_{a'}$ will act as p_a , change a 1 to a, then move to the right and delete a 1 except that $p_{a'}$ will go to the state p_f if it sees no 1 before the =, under which condition p_a would halt without accepting.

$$\delta(p_{a'}, a) = (p_{a'}, a, R)$$

$$\delta(p_{a'}, 1) = (p_R, a, R)$$

$$\delta(p_{a'}, =) = (p_f, =, L)$$

The state p_f will change all the a back to 1.

$$\delta(p_f, a) = (p_f, 1, L)$$

$$\delta(p_f, \times) = (p_e, \times, R)$$

Then we construct the TM M to describe the language L_1

$$M = (M_1.states \cup \{q_0, q_R, q_{call}, q_L, q_1, q_e, q_{check}, q_f\}, \{1, 0, \times, =\}, \{1, 0, \times, =, B, a\}, M_1.\delta \cup \delta, q_0, B, \{q_f\})$$

The state q_0 will remove the first 1, and goes to state q_R

$$\delta(q_0, 1) = (q_R, B, R)$$

The state q_R will move to the \times and then enters q_{call}

$$\delta(q_R, 1) = (q_R, 1, R)$$

$$\delta(q_R, \times) = (q_{call}, \times, L)$$

The state q_{call} will call the M_1

$$\delta(q_c all, 1) = (p_0, 1, R)$$

When the M returns from M_1 , state q_L will move the head to the blank at the left end of the input and then enters q_1

$$\delta(q_L, 1) = (q_L, 1, L)$$

$$\delta(q_L, \times) = (q_L, \times, L)$$

$$\delta(q_L, B) = (q_1, B, R)$$

 q_1 acts just like q_0 except that when it finds no 1 before \times , it will switch to q_e

$$\delta(q_1, 1) = (q_R, B, R)$$

$$\delta(q_1, \times) = (q_e, \times, R)$$

 q_e and q_{check} will check whether the RHS of the = is blank.

$$\delta(q_e, 1) = (q_e, 1, R)$$

$$\delta(q_e, =) = (q_{check}, =, R)$$

$$\delta(q_{check}, B) = (q_f, B, L)$$

b

We will use multi-track TMs in this problem.

First, define a TM M_1 which will compare whether two strings are equal to each other. The beginning of the second string will be marked as $\langle x,c \rangle$, the beginning before the first string is marked as $\langle z,B \rangle$, where $c \in \{a,b\}$. Other related cells on the second track is initialized to *.

$$M_1(\{q_0,q_c,q_a,q_b,q_{da},q_{db},q_L,q_f,q_e\},\{a,b\},\{a,b,x,*,z,B\},\delta,q_0,B,\{q_e\})$$

 q_0 will move the head to the end of the first string. $\delta(q_0, <*, X>) = (q_0, <*, X>, R)$, where $X \in \{a, b\}$

$$\delta(q_0, < x, X >) = (q_c, < x, X >, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

 q_c will remove a character from the tail of the first string and remember this string in its state.

$$\delta(q_c, <*, a>) = (q_a, <*, B>, R)$$

$$\delta(q_c, <*, b>) = (q_b, <*, B>, R)$$

$$\delta(q_a, <*, X>) = (q_a, <*, X>, R)$$

$$\delta(q_b, <*, X>) = (q_b, <*, X>, R)$$

 q_a and q_b will move the head to the end of the second string and remember to delete a or b respectively.

$$\delta(q_a, <*, B>) = (q_{da}, <*, B>, L)$$

$$\delta(q_b, <*, B>) = (q_{db}, <*, B>, B)$$

 q_{da} and q_{db} will delete one a or one b respectively.

$$\delta(q_{da}, < *, a >) = (q_L, < *, B >, L)$$

$$\delta(q_{da}, < x, a >) = (q_L, < x, B >, L)$$

$$\delta(q_{db}, <*, b>) = (q_L, <*, B>, L)$$

$$\delta(q_{db}, \langle x, b \rangle) = (q_L, \langle x, B \rangle, L)$$

 q_L will move the head to the ending blanks of the first string.

$$\delta(q_L, <*, X>) = (q_L, <*, X>, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

$$\delta(q_L, < x, X >) = (q_c, < x, X >, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

 q_c will find the next char to remove, when it meets z on the second track, the TM goes to a new state

 q_f

$$\delta(q_c, <*, B>) = (q_c, <*, B>, L)$$

$$\delta(q_c, < z, B >) = (q_f, < z, B >, R)$$

 q_f will check whether the second string has been reduced to blanks, if so, it will change to the accepting state q_e .

$$\delta(q_f, <*, B>) = (q_f, <*, B>, R)$$

$$\delta(q_f, < x, B >) = (q_e, < x, B >, R)$$

Then we define the TM M for language L_2 .

$$M(M_1.states \cup \{p_0, p_1, p_{odd}, p_{even}, p_{back}, p_{fwd}, p_R, p_{last}, p_{next}, p_{markZ}\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, x, *, t, z, B\}, M_1.\delta \cup \delta, p_0, B, \{q_e\})$$

M will accept the empty strings.

$$\delta(p_0, < B, B >) = (q_e, < B, B >, R)$$

Firstly, marked the first blank at the left of the input as x.

$$\delta(p_0, \langle B, X \rangle) = (p_1, \langle B, X \rangle, L)$$

$$\delta(p_1, < B, B >) = (p_{odd}, < x, B >, R)$$

If this is the odd indexed(start from 1) char from the input, then the state will change to even and vice versa.

$$\delta(p_{odd}, \langle B, X \rangle) = (p_{even}, \langle *, X \rangle, R)$$
, where $X \in \{a, b\}$

$$\delta(p_{even}, \langle B, X \rangle) = (p_{back}, \langle t, X \rangle, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

When M makes every two steps right, it will move x forward for one step. Then when the first blank at right is met, the x will be moved to the end of the first string.

$$\delta(p_{back}, < *, X >) = (p_{back}, < *, X >, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

$$\delta(p_{back}, \langle x, X \rangle) = (p_{fwd}, \langle *, X \rangle, R)$$
, where $X \in \{a, b, B\}$

$$\delta(p_{fwd}, <*, X>) = (p_R, < x, X>, R)$$
, where $X \in \{a, b\}$

$$\delta(p_R, <*, X>) = (p_R, <*, X>, R)$$
, where $X \in \{a, b\}$

$$\delta(p_R, < t, X >) = (p_{odd}, < *, X >, R), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

Then we move x forward for one more step.

$$\delta(p_{odd}, < B, B >) = (p_{last}, < *, B >, R)$$

$$\delta(p_{last}, <*, X>) = (p_{last}, <*, X>, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

$$\delta(p_{last}, < x, X >) = (p_{next}, < *, X >, R), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

$$\delta(p_{next}, <*, X>) = (p_{markZ}, < x, X>, L)$$
, where $X \in \{a, b\}$

$$\delta(p_{markZ}, <*, X>) = (p_{markZ}, <*, X>, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

Finally, we marked the blank at the left of the input as z, and call M_1 .

$$\delta(p_{markZ}, <*, B>) = (q_0, < z, B>, R)$$

Problem 3

A useless state in a Turing machine is one that is never entered on any input string. Consider the problem of determining whether a state in a Turing machine is useless. Formulate this problem as a language and show it is decidable or undecidable. (**Hint**: consider the language $E_{\rm TM}$)

Construct a TM M' as follows. Given any input s, if s can be interpreted as < M, w >, where M is a TM, w is a string, then we input it to the algorithm for ATM. If ATM accepts < M, w >, M' will enter a state QF, where $QF \notin$ the state set of ATM.

It's obvious that the TM M' will enter the state QF if and only if the ATM accepts < M, w >. So if we can decide whether or not QF is a useless state, then we can decide ATM. Since ATM is undecidable, so useless state is undecidable.

Problem 4

Show that the following questions are decidable:

- a. The set L of codes for TM's M such that, when started with the blank tape will eventually write some nonblank symbol on its tape. (**Hint**: If M has m states, consider the first m transitions that it makes)
- b. The set L of codes for TM's that never make a move left on any input.
- c. The set L of pairs (M, w) such that TM M, started with input w, never scans any tape cell more than once.

a

Let's construct a directed graph(N,E,P), where N is the set of all the nodes, E is the set of edges and P is a set for some special nodes. For each transition $\delta(p,X)=(q,Y,D)$, if X is B, we add a node pXq. Also, if Y is not B, then we also add this node to the set P. Specially, we add a node p_0Bp_0 , where p_0 is the start state of M. For each pair of nodes, say, p_1Bq_1 , p_2Bq_2 , if $q_1=p_2$ and p_2 is not a final state, add an edge (q_1, p_2) .

Then we traverse the sub-graph which can be access from p_0Bp_0 . When we meet any node in P, then we accept this M. Otherwise, if we never meet any node in P after visiting all the reachable node, we reject this M.

b

Let's construct a directed graph(N,E,P), where N is the set of all the nodes, E is the set of edges and P is a set for some special nodes. Specially, we add a node p_0Bp_0 , where p_0 is the start state of M. For each transition $\delta(p,X)=(q,Y,D)$, we add a node pXq. Also, if D is L, then we also add this

node to the set P. For each pair of nodes, say, p_1Xq_1 , p_2Yq_2 , if $q_1=p_2$ and p_2 is not a final state, add an edge (q_1, p_2) .

Then we traverse the sub-graph which can be access from p_0Bp_0 . When we meet any node in P, then we reject this M. Otherwise, if we never meet any node in P after visiting all the reachable node, we accept this M.

c

Suppose that the ID of M is q_0w at the beginning.

case 1

If there exists $\delta(q_0,c)=(q',Y,L)$, where c is the first character of w, q' is an arbitrary state and Y is an arbitrary tape character, then we construct a graph(N,E,P) as follows. Forall $\delta(p,B)=(q,Y,D)$, add a node pBq in N. If D is R, also add this node in P. Specially, we add a node q_0Xq' . For each pair of nodes, say, p_1Xq_1 , p_2Yq_2 , if $q_1=p_2$ and p_2 is not a final state, add an edge (q_1,p_2) .

Then we traverse the sub-graph which can be access from q_0Bq' . If we meet any node in P, we reject this (M,w).

case2

If there exists $\delta(q_0,c)=(q',Y,R)$, where c is the first character of w, q' is an arbitrary state and Y is an arbitrary tape character, then M cannot move any step to left. Suppose the length of w is n. Then we simulate M for n steps. If M moves to left in any step, we reject this (M,w). If M halts within n steps not moving to left, then we accept.

case3

If M doesn't halt within n steps, the head must point to the B at the right of w, suppose the state now is q_x .

We construct a graph(N,E,P) as follows. Forall $\delta(p,B)=(q,Y,D)$, add a node pBq in N. If D is L, also add this node in P. Specially, we add a node q_xBq_x . For each pair of nodes, say, p_1Xq_1 , p_2Yq_2 , if $q_1=p_2$ and p_2 is not a final state, add an edge (q_1,p_2) .

Then we traverse the sub-graph which can be access from $q_x B q_x$. If we meet any node in P, we reject this (M,w). Otherwise, we accept this (M,w).

Problem 5

If a pushdown automaton has k stacks, we call it k-PDA. Clearly, 0-PDA is NFA, 1-PDA is PDA, and 1-PDA is more powerful than 0-PDA.

- 1. What is the difference between the express ability of 2-PDA and 1-PDA. Please clarify your argument. Prove the (un)equivalence.
- 2. How about 3-PDA and 2-PDA.

1

It's obvious that 2-PDA and 1-PDA are not equivalence. Since 2-PDA can accept languages like $a^nb^nc^n$ while 1-PDA cannot. Also, it's trivial to show that 2-PDA can express any language 1-PDA can accept. So 2-PDA is more powerful than 1-PDA.

2

3-PDA and 2-PDA are equivalence. I'll show that both 3-PDA and 2-PDA are equivalence to TM. Firstly, I'll prove that every language accepted by 2-PDA can be accepted by TM.

We choose a 2-tape TM. The first tape contains the input, and the second tape is a simulation for the stack. For any transition $\delta'(q, a, X) = (p, Y)$, supposed that the length of Y is k, we will get these transitions for the TM:

If Y= ϵ , for the first tape: $\delta(q, a) = (p, a, R)$; for the second tape: $\delta(q, X) = (p, B, L)$

If $Y \neq \epsilon$, for the first tape:

 $\delta(q, a) = (p, a, R)$ for the second tape: $\delta(q, X) = (q_{k-1}, Y[k-1], R)$

Also, for each integer i from 0 to k-2, exists $\delta(q_{i+1}, B) = (q_i, Y[i], R)$

finally, add $\delta(q_0, B) = (p, B, L)$

Similarly, we can simulate 3 stack using a 3-tape TM.

Now we have shown that any language accepted by 2-PDA and 3-PDA can be accepted by a TM. Then we'll prove that 2-PDA can simulate a TM.

At the beginning, we just push all the input into stack A, and pop the character from stack A and push this character into stack B one by one, until we meet the stack-bottom marker of A. Then the stack B holds characters at the right of the TM head, the stack A holds characters at the left of the TM head.

For each transition in TM noted as $\delta'(p, X) = (q, Y, D)$:

If D is L, add $\delta(p, \epsilon, A, X) = (q, \epsilon, AY)$, where A is any symbol at the top of A except for the stack-bottom marker of A, noted as \bot_A .

When the top of A is \bot_A , add $\delta(p, \epsilon, \bot_A, X) = (q, \bot_A, BY)$

If D is R, add $\delta(p, \epsilon, A, X) = (q, YA, \epsilon)$.

In addition, if X is B(the blank symbol in M), also add $\delta(p, \epsilon, A, \perp_B) = (q, YA, \perp_B)$ (D=R) or $\delta(p, \epsilon, A, \perp_B) = (q, \epsilon, AY \perp_B)$ (D=L & A $\neq \perp_A$) and $\delta(p, \epsilon, \perp_A, \perp_B) = (q, \perp_A, BY \perp_B)$ (D=L & A = \perp_A);

Finally, change all the occurrences like $B \perp_B$, $B \perp_A$ to \perp_B , \perp_A respectively.

Now we have shown that 2-PDA can simulate a TM. Since 3-PDA can simulate 2-PDA by using only 2 stack, 3-PDA can simulate a TM. Then both 2-PDA and 3-PDA are equivalent to TM, which means they are equivalent.

Problem 6

Suppose we have an encoding of context-free grammars using some finite alphabet. Consider the following two languages:

- 1. $L_1 = \{(G, A, B) \mid G \text{ is a (coded) CFG, } A \text{ and } B \text{ are (coded) varibles of } G, \text{ and the sets of terminal strings derived from } A \text{ and } B \text{ are the same} \}.$
- 2. $L_2 = \{(G_1, G_2) \mid G_1 \text{ and } G_2 \text{ are (coded) CFG's, and } L(G_1) = L(G_2) \}.$

Answer the following questions:

- a. Show that L_1 is polynomial-time reducible to L_2 .
- b. Show that L_2 is polynomial-time reducible to L_1 .

a

Just copy the language G twice, but mark A as the start symbol of G_1 , mark B as the start symbol of G_2 . The copy action will consume just O(n) time, and the modification will consume constant time. So the reduction is polynomial-time. Also, we should prove that when L_2 accepts, L_1 accepts and when L_2 rejects, L_1 rejects.

If L_2 accepts, that means the terminal strings derived from the start symbol of G_1 and G_2 are equivalent. Since G_1 and G_2 share the same productions in G, then they will surely derivate the same terminal strings when they're two variables in G.

If L_2 rejects, we can assume that there is a string w, which is in $L(G_1)$ but is not in $L(G_2)$, then variable A in G can derivate the terminal string w but variable B cannot. Then (G,A,B) should be rejected.

b

Suppose that the start symbol of G_1 and G_2 are S_1 , S_2 respectively. Then construct G as $S \to S_1|S_2$. Before we copy productions from G_1 and G_2 , we just rename variables in G_1 such that variables in G_1 are disjoint from variables in G_2 . Then we get an instance of L_1 which will be (G, S_1, S_2) . It's obvious that the reduction takes O(n) time. Now we're going to prove that when L_1 accepts, so does L_2 , and when L_1 rejects, so does L_1 .

If L_1 accepts, that means S_1 and S_2 can derivate the exact same terminal string set. Also, notice that all the production they can use are belongs to G_1 and G_2 respectively since we have guarantee that the variables in G_1 are disjoint with variables in G_2 . So for each terminal string they can derivate in G_1 , they can also derivate it in G_1 or G_2 . So we can conclude that $L(G_1) = L(G_2)$.

If L_1 rejects, we can assume that there is a terminal string w that belongs to the terminal strings of S_1 but not belongs to the terminal strings of S_2 . It's obvious that S_1 can derivate w in G_1 . So $L(G_1) \neq L(G_2)$.

Problem 7

As classes of languages, \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{NP} each have certain closure properties. Prove or disprove that \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{NP} are closed under each of the following operations:

- a. Union.
- b. Concatenation.
- c. Complementation.

a

Both P and NP are closed under union.

P: For any P languages P_1 and P_2 , noted the related TM as M_1 , M_2 . Construct a two-tape TM M' as follows:

Suppose that we have a subroutine S that will copy the input from tape 1 to tape 2 in O(n) time. The accepting states, alphabet, tape symbols of M' are the union of their counterparts in M_1 , M_2 and S. The start state is the start state of S

- 1. For each transition in M_1 , noted as $\delta(p,X)=(q,Y,D)$, add $\delta(p,X,*)=(q,Y,*,D,S)$, where * is any tape symbol on tape 2. Similarly, for each transition in M_2 , noted as $\delta(p,X)=(q,Y,D)$, add $\delta(p,*,X)=(q,*,Y,S,D)$, where * is any tape symbol on tape 1.
- 2. The transitions will also include transitions that will copy the input to tape 2.
- 3. Also, for every non-accepting state p and every tape symbols c, if (p, c) is not defined in the transitions of M_1 , add $\delta(p, c, *) = (q_2, c, *, R, S)$, where q_2 is the start state of M_2 .

Since M_1 and M_2 will halt within polynomial time, the maximum time M' consumes will in polynomial times.

NP: Similarly, if M_1 and M_2 are NTMs halt in polynomial time, then M' will be a NTM. The maximum time M' consumes will still in polynomial times.

b

P and NP are closed under concatenation.

We'll reuse the notations of problem a. P:

The input can be divided as two substrings with length [0,n],[2,n-2].....[n,0]

Construct a TM with 2n tapes. Group the tapes into n group. For each group, there will be a division of the input string with the first part on one tape and the second one for another.

The division will takes $O(n^2)$ time since we will copy the string of length n into n groups.

Then for each group, we use M_1 and M_2 to decide them respectively. If both M_1 and M_2 are accepted, then we can say M_1 and M_2 accepts this group. If any group is accepted, then M' accepts the input, otherwise rejects.

The sum of the decide time for one group should be polynomial. For n groups, it will still be polynomial.

So M' can decide the input in polynomial time.

NP: The NTM M' will choose one division, then we use M_1 and M_2 to decide these two parts respectively. If both M_1 and M_2 are accepted, then NTM accepts this input.

If under no circumstances M' can accept this input, then M' will reject it. The decision will be made in polynomial time.

\mathbf{c}

P and NP are closed under complementation.

P: Construct a TM M' as follows:

Let the original TM M decide the input, if M accepts, M' reject. If M' reject, M accepts.

Since the decide time are polynomial, so the complementation still decides in polynomial time.

NP: The time analysis for NTM is similar to that for TM.