PTC (Fall 2018) – Assignment 3

徐翔哲 161250170

2018年12月19日

Consider the (deterministic) Turing machine M given by

$$M = (\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, B\}, \delta, q_0, B, \{q_2\})$$

which has exactly four transitions defined in it, as described below.

- 1. $\delta(q_0, a) = (q_0, B, R)$
- 2. $\delta(q_0, b) = (q_1, B, R)$
- 3. $\delta(q_1, b) = (q_1, B, R)$
- 4. $\delta(q_1, B) = (q_2, B, R)$

Please answer the following questions:

- a. Specify the execution trace of M on the input string abb.
- b. Provide a regular expression for the language of the Turing machine.
- c. Suppose we added the transition $\delta(q_1, a) = (q_0, B, R)$ to the above machine, provide a regular expression for the language of the resulting Turing machine.

a

$$q_0aab \vdash q_0ab \vdash q_0b \vdash q_1B \vdash q_2B$$

b

 a^*b^+

C

$$a^*b^+(a^+b^+)^*$$

Problem 2

Please design TM's to decide following languages:

a.
$$L_1 = \{1^m \times 1^n = 1^{mn} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$$
 (e.g. $11 \times 111 = 1111111 \in L_1$, but $1 \times 1 = 11 \notin L_1$)

b.
$$L_2 = \{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$$

First, let's define a TM M_1 which will convert $\times 1^n = 1^{n'}$ to $\times 1^n = 1^{n'-n}$ where $n' \ge n > 0$

$$M_1 = (\{p_0, p_R, p_a, p_{a'}, p_d, p_L, p_f, p_e\}, \{1, 0, \times, =\}, \{1, 0, \times, =, B, a\}, \delta, p_0, B, \{p_e\})$$

At the beginning, the head should at \times Then we will enter p_a , which will change the first 1 to a when the head goes right.

$$\delta(p_0, \times) = (p_a, \times, R)$$

$$\delta(p_a, a) = (p_a, a, R)$$

$$\delta(p_a, 1) = (p_R, a, R)$$

The state p_R moves the head to the first blank at the right of the input, and then switches to state p_d , which will delete a 1.

$$\delta(p_R, X) = (p_R, X, R)$$
, where $X = \{=, 1\}$

$$\delta(p_R, B) = (p_d, B, L)$$

$$\delta(p_d, 1) = (p_L, B, L)$$

The state p_L will moves to \times and then enters p_a'

$$\delta(p_L, X) = (p_L, X, L)$$
, where $X = \{1, =, a\}$

$$\delta(p_L, \times) = (p_a, \times, R)$$

Then the state $p_{a'}$ will act as p_a , change a 1 to a, then move to the right and delete a 1 except that $p_{a'}$ will go to the state p_f if it sees no 1 before the =, under which condition p_a would halt without accepting.

$$\delta(p_{a'}, a) = (p_{a'}, a, R)$$

$$\delta(p_{a'}, 1) = (p_R, a, R)$$

$$\delta(p_{a'}, =) = (p_f, =, L)$$

The state p_f will change all the a back to 1.

$$\delta(p_f, a) = (p_f, 1, L)$$

$$\delta(p_f, \times) = (p_e, \times, R)$$

Then we construct the TM M to describe the language L_1

$$M = (M_1.states \cup \{q_0, q_R, q_{call}, q_L, q_1, q_e, q_{check}, q_f\}, \{1, 0, \times, =\}, \{1, 0, \times, =, B, a\}, M_1.\delta \cup \delta, q_0, B, \{q_f\})$$

The state q_0 will remove the first 1, and goes to state q_R

$$\delta(q_0, 1) = (q_R, B, R)$$

The state q_R will move to the \times and then enters q_{call}

$$\delta(q_R, 1) = (q_R, 1, R)$$

$$\delta(q_R, \times) = (q_{call}, \times, L)$$

The state q_{call} will call the M_1

$$\delta(q_c all, 1) = (p_0, 1, R)$$

When the M returns from M_1 , state q_L will move the head to the blank at the left end of the input and then enters q_1

$$\delta(q_L, 1) = (q_L, 1, L)$$

$$\delta(q_L, \times) = (q_L, \times, L)$$

$$\delta(q_L, B) = (q_1, B, R)$$

 q_1 acts just like q_0 except that when it finds no 1 before \times , it will switch to q_e

$$\delta(q_1, 1) = (q_R, B, R)$$

$$\delta(q_1, \times) = (q_e, \times, R)$$

 q_e and q_{check} will check whether the RHS of the = is blank.

$$\delta(q_e, 1) = (q_e, 1, R)$$

$$\delta(q_e, =) = (q_{check}, =, R)$$

$$\delta(q_{check}, B) = (q_f, B, L)$$

b

We will use multi-track TMs in this problem.

First, define a TM M_1 which will compare whether two strings are equal to each other. The beginning of the second string will be marked as $\langle x,c \rangle$, the beginning before the first string is marked as $\langle z,B \rangle$, where $c \in \{a,b\}$. Other related cells on the second track is initialized to *.

$$M_1(\{q_0,q_c,q_a,q_b,q_{da},q_{db},q_L,q_f,q_e\},\{a,b\},\{a,b,x,*,z,B\},\delta,q_0,B,\{q_e\})$$

 q_0 will move the head to the end of the first string. $\delta(q_0, <*, X>) = (q_0, <*, X>, R)$, where $X \in \{a, b\}$

$$\delta(q_0, < x, X >) = (q_c, < x, X >, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

 q_c will remove a character from the tail of the first string and remember this string in its state.

$$\delta(q_c, <*, a>) = (q_a, <*, B>, R)$$

$$\delta(q_c, <*, b>) = (q_b, <*, B>, R)$$

$$\delta(q_a, <*, X>) = (q_a, <*, X>, R)$$

$$\delta(q_b, <*, X>) = (q_b, <*, X>, R)$$

 q_a and q_b will move the head to the end of the second string and remember to delete a or b respectively.

$$\delta(q_a, <*, B>) = (q_{da}, <*, B>, L)$$

$$\delta(q_b, <*, B>) = (q_{db}, <*, B>, B)$$

 q_{da} and q_{db} will delete one a or one b respectively.

$$\delta(q_{da}, < *, a >) = (q_L, < *, B >, L)$$

$$\delta(q_{da}, < x, a >) = (q_L, < x, B >, L)$$

$$\delta(q_{db}, <*, b>) = (q_L, <*, B>, L)$$

$$\delta(q_{db}, \langle x, b \rangle) = (q_L, \langle x, B \rangle, L)$$

 q_L will move the head to the ending blanks of the first string.

$$\delta(q_L, <*, X>) = (q_L, <*, X>, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

$$\delta(q_L, < x, X >) = (q_c, < x, X >, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

 q_c will find the next char to remove, when it meets z on the second track, the TM goes to a new state

 q_f

$$\delta(q_c, <*, B>) = (q_c, <*, B>, L)$$

$$\delta(q_c, < z, B >) = (q_f, < z, B >, R)$$

 q_f will check whether the second string has been reduced to blanks, if so, it will change to the accepting state q_e .

$$\delta(q_f, <*, B>) = (q_f, <*, B>, R)$$

$$\delta(q_f, < x, B >) = (q_e, < x, B >, R)$$

Then we define the TM M for language L_2 .

$$M(M_1.states \cup \{p_0, p_1, p_{odd}, p_{even}, p_{back}, p_{fwd}, p_R, p_{last}, p_{next}, p_{markZ}\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, x, *, t, z, B\}, M_1.\delta \cup \delta, p_0, B, \{q_e\})$$

M will accept the empty strings.

$$\delta(p_0, < B, B >) = (q_e, < B, B >, R)$$

Firstly, marked the first blank at the left of the input as x.

$$\delta(p_0, \langle B, X \rangle) = (p_1, \langle B, X \rangle, L)$$

$$\delta(p_1, < B, B >) = (p_{odd}, < x, B >, R)$$

If this is the odd indexed(start from 1) char from the input, then the state will change to even and vice versa.

$$\delta(p_{odd}, \langle B, X \rangle) = (p_{even}, \langle *, X \rangle, R)$$
, where $X \in \{a, b\}$

$$\delta(p_{even}, \langle B, X \rangle) = (p_{back}, \langle t, X \rangle, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

When M makes every two steps right, it will move x forward for one step. Then when the first blank at right is met, the x will be moved to the end of the first string.

$$\delta(p_{back}, < *, X >) = (p_{back}, < *, X >, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

$$\delta(p_{back}, \langle x, X \rangle) = (p_{fwd}, \langle *, X \rangle, R)$$
, where $X \in \{a, b, B\}$

$$\delta(p_{fwd}, <*, X>) = (p_R, < x, X>, R)$$
, where $X \in \{a, b\}$

$$\delta(p_R, <*, X>) = (p_R, <*, X>, R)$$
, where $X \in \{a, b\}$

$$\delta(p_R, < t, X >) = (p_{odd}, < *, X >, R), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

Then we move x forward for one more step.

$$\delta(p_{odd}, < B, B >) = (p_{last}, < *, B >, R)$$

$$\delta(p_{last}, <*, X>) = (p_{last}, <*, X>, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

$$\delta(p_{last}, < x, X >) = (p_{next}, < *, X >, R), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

$$\delta(p_{next}, <*, X>) = (p_{markZ}, < x, X>, L)$$
, where $X \in \{a, b\}$

$$\delta(p_{markZ}, <*, X>) = (p_{markZ}, <*, X>, L), \text{ where } X \in \{a, b\}$$

Finally, we marked the blank at the left of the input as z, and call M_1 .

$$\delta(p_{markZ}, <*, B>) = (q_0, < z, B>, R)$$

A useless state in a Turing machine is one that is never entered on any input string. Consider the problem of determining whether a state in a Turing machine is useless. Formulate this problem as a language and show it is decidable or undecidable. (**Hint**: consider the language $E_{\rm TM}$)

Construct a TM M' as follows. Given any input s, if s can be interpreted as < M, w >, where M is a TM, w is a string, then we input it to the algorithm for ATM. If ATM accepts < M, w >, M' will enter a state QF, where $QF \notin$ the state set of ATM.

It's obvious that the TM M' will enter the state QF if and only if the ATM accepts < M, w >. So if we can decide whether or not QF is a useless state, then we can decide ATM. Since ATM is undecidable, so useless state is undecidable.

Problem 4

Show that the following questions are decidable:

- a. The set L of codes for TM's M such that, when started with the blank tape will eventually write some nonblank symbol on its tape. (**Hint**: If M has m states, consider the first m transitions that it makes)
- b. The set L of codes for TM's that never make a move left on any input.
- c. The set L of pairs (M, w) such that TM M, started with input w, never scans any tape cell more than once.

a

Let's construct a directed graph(N,E,P), where N is the set of all the nodes, E is the set of edges and P is a set for some special nodes. For each transition $\delta(p,X)=(q,Y,D)$, if X is B, we add a node pXq. Also, if Y is not B, then we also add this node to the set P. Specially, we add a node p_0Bp_0 , where p_0 is the start state of M. For each pair of nodes, say, p_1Bq_1 , p_2Bq_2 , if $q_1=p_2$ and p_2 is not a final state, add an edge (q_1,p_2) .

Then we traverse the sub-graph which can be access from p_0Bp_0 . When we meet any node in P, then we accept this M. Otherwise, if we never meet any node in P after visiting all the reachable node, we reject this M.

b

Let's construct a directed graph(N,E,P), where N is the set of all the nodes, E is the set of edges and P is a set for some special nodes. Specially, we add a node p_0Bp_0 , where p_0 is the start state of M. For each transition $\delta(p,X)=(q,Y,D)$, we add a node pXq. Also, if D is L, then we also add this

node to the set P. For each pair of nodes, say, p_1Xq_1 , p_2Yq_2 , if $q_1=p_2$ and p_2 is not a final state, add an edge (q_1, p_2) .

Then we traverse the sub-graph which can be access from p_0Bp_0 . When we meet any node in P, then we reject this M. Otherwise, if we never meet any node in P after visiting all the reachable node, we accept this M.

c

Suppose that the ID of M is q_0w at the beginning.

case 1

If there exists $\delta(q_0,c)=(q',Y,L)$, where c is the first character of w, q' is an arbitrary state and Y is an arbitrary type character, then we construct a graph(N,E,P) as follows. Forall $\delta(p,B)=(q,Y,D)$, add a node pBq in N. If D is R, also add this node in P. Specially, we add a node q_0Xq' . For each pair of nodes, say, p_1Xq_1 , p_2Yq_2 , if $q_1=p_2$ and p_2 is not a final state, add an edge (q_1,p_2) .

Then we traverse the sub-graph which can be access from q_0Bq' . If we meet any node in P, we reject this (M,w).

case2

If there exists $\delta(q_0,c)=(q',Y,R)$, where c is the first character of w, q' is an arbitrary state and Y is an arbitrary type character, then M cannot move any step to left. Suppose the length of w is n. Then we simulate M for n steps. If M moves to left in any step, we reject this (M,w). If M halts within n steps not moving to left, then we accept.

case3

If M doesn't halt within n steps, the head must point to the B at the right of w, suppose the state now is q_x .

We construct a graph(N,E,P) as follows. Forall $\delta(p,B)=(q,Y,D)$, add a node pBq in N. If D is L, also add this node in P. Specially, we add a node q_xBq_x . For each pair of nodes, say, p_1Xq_1 , p_2Yq_2 , if q_1 = p_2 and p_2 is not a final state, add an edge (q_1,p_2) .

Then we traverse the sub-graph which can be access from $q_x B q_x$. If we meet any node in P, we reject this (M,w). Otherwise, we accept this (M,w).

If a pushdown automaton has k stacks, we call it k-PDA. Clearly, 0-PDA is NFA, 1-PDA is PDA, and 1-PDA is more powerful than 0-PDA.

- 1. What is the difference between the express ability of 2-PDA and 1-PDA. Please clarify your argument. Prove the (un)equivalence.
- 2. How about 3-PDA and 2-PDA.

Solution.

Suppose we have an encoding of context-free grammars using some finite alphabet. Consider the following two languages:

- 1. $L_1 = \{(G, A, B) \mid G \text{ is a (coded) CFG, } A \text{ and } B \text{ are (coded) varibles of } G, \text{ and the sets of terminal strings derived from } A \text{ and } B \text{ are the same} \}.$
- $2. \ \ L_2 = \big\{ (G_1,G_2) \mid G_1 \text{ and } G_2 \text{ are (coded) CFG's, and } L(G_1) = L(G_2) \big\}.$

Answer the following questions:

- a. Show that L_1 is polynomial-time reducible to L_2 .
- b. Show that L_2 is polynomial-time reducible to L_1 .

Proof.

As classes of languages, \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{NP} each have certain closure properties. Prove or disprove that \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{NP} are closed under each of the following operations:

- a. Union.
- b. Concatenation.
- c. Complementation.

Proof.