PTC (Fall 2018) – Assignment 3

徐翔哲 161250170

2018年12月19日

Consider the (deterministic) Turing machine M given by

$$M = (\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, B\}, \delta, q_0, B, \{q_2\})$$

which has exactly four transitions defined in it, as described below.

- 1. $\delta(q_0, a) = (q_0, B, R)$
- 2. $\delta(q_0, b) = (q_1, B, R)$
- 3. $\delta(q_1, b) = (q_1, B, R)$
- 4. $\delta(q_1, B) = (q_2, B, R)$

Please answer the following questions:

- a. Specify the execution trace of M on the input string abb.
- b. Provide a regular expression for the language of the Turing machine.
- c. Suppose we added the transition $\delta(q_1, a) = (q_0, B, R)$ to the above machine, provide a regular expression for the language of the resulting Turing machine.

a

$$q_0aab \vdash q_0ab \vdash q_0b \vdash q_1B \vdash q_2B$$

b

 a^*b^+

C

$$a^*b^+(a^+b^+)^*$$

Problem 2

Please design TM's to decide following languages:

a.
$$L_1 = \{1^m \times 1^n = 1^{mn} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$$
 (e.g. $11 \times 111 = 1111111 \in L_1$, but $1 \times 1 = 11 \notin L_1$)

b.
$$L_2 = \{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$$

First, let's define a TM M_1 which will convert $\times 1^n = 1^{n'}$ to $\times 1^n = 1^{n'-n}$ where $n' \ge n > 0$

$$M_1 = (\{p_0, p_R, p_a, p_{a'}, p_d, p_L, p_f, p_e\}, \{1, 0, \times, =\}, \{1, 0, \times, =, B, a\}, \delta, p_0, B, \{p_e\})$$

At the beginning, the head should at \times Then we will enter p_a , which will change the first 1 to a when the head goes right.

$$\delta(p_0, \times) = (p_a, \times, R)$$

$$\delta(p_a, a) = (p_a, a, R)$$

$$\delta(p_a, 1) = (p_R, a, R)$$

The state p_R moves the head to the first blank at the right of the input, and then switches to state p_d , which will delete a 1.

$$\delta(p_R, X) = (p_R, X, R)$$
, where $X = \{=, 1\}$

$$\delta(p_R, B) = (p_d, B, L)$$

$$\delta(p_d, 1) = (p_L, B, L)$$

The state p_L will moves to \times and then enters p_a'

$$\delta(p_L, X) = (p_L, X, L)$$
, where $X = \{1, =, a\}$

$$\delta(p_L, \times) = (p_a, \times, R)$$

Then the state $p_{a'}$ will act as p_a , change a 1 to a, then move to the right and delete a 1 except that $p_{a'}$ will go to the state p_f if it sees no 1 before the =, under which condition p_a would halt without accepting.

$$\delta(p_{a'}, a) = (p_{a'}, a, R)$$

$$\delta(p_{a'}, 1) = (p_R, a, R)$$

$$\delta(p_{a'}, =) = (p_f, =, L)$$

The state p_f will change all the a back to 1.

$$\delta(p_f, a) = (p_f, 1, L)$$

$$\delta(p_f, \times) = (p_e, \times, R)$$

Then we construct the TM M to describe the language L_1

$$M = (M_1.states \cup \{q_0, q_R, q_{call}, q_L, q_1, q_e, q_{check}, q_f\}, \{1, 0, \times, =\}, \{1, 0, \times, =, B, a\}, M_1.\delta \cup \delta, q_0, B, \{q_f\})$$

The state q_0 will remove the first 1, and goes to state q_R

$$\delta(q_0, 1) = (q_R, B, R)$$

The state q_R will move to the \times and then enters q_{call}

$$\delta(q_R, 1) = (q_R, 1, R)$$

$$\delta(q_R, \times) = (q_{call}, \times, L)$$

The state q_{call} will call the M_1

$$\delta(q_c all, 1) = (p_0, 1, R)$$

When the M returns from M_1 , state q_L will move the head to the blank left to .

Problem 3

A useless state in a Turing machine is one that is never entered on any input string. Consider the problem of determining whether a state in a Turing machine is useless. Formulate this problem as a language and show it is decidable or undecidable. (**Hint**: consider the language $E_{\rm TM}$)

Solution.

Show that the following questions are decidable:

- a. The set L of codes for TM's M such that, when started with the blank tape will eventually write some nonblank symbol on its tape. (**Hint**: If M has m states, consider the first m transitions that it makes)
- b. The set L of codes for TM's that never make a move left on any input.
- c. The set L of pairs (M,w) such that TM M, started with input w, never scans any tape cell more than once.

Proof.

If a pushdown automaton has k stacks, we call it k-PDA. Clearly, 0-PDA is NFA, 1-PDA is PDA, and 1-PDA is more powerful than 0-PDA.

- 1. What is the difference between the express ability of 2-PDA and 1-PDA. Please clarify your argument. Prove the (un)equivalence.
- 2. How about 3-PDA and 2-PDA.

Solution.

Suppose we have an encoding of context-free grammars using some finite alphabet. Consider the following two languages:

- 1. $L_1 = \{(G, A, B) \mid G \text{ is a (coded) CFG, } A \text{ and } B \text{ are (coded) varibles of } G, \text{ and the sets of terminal strings derived from } A \text{ and } B \text{ are the same} \}.$
- $2. \ \ L_2 = \big\{ (G_1,G_2) \mid G_1 \text{ and } G_2 \text{ are (coded) CFG's, and } L(G_1) = L(G_2) \big\}.$

Answer the following questions:

- a. Show that L_1 is polynomial-time reducible to L_2 .
- b. Show that L_2 is polynomial-time reducible to L_1 .

Proof.

As classes of languages, \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{NP} each have certain closure properties. Prove or disprove that \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{NP} are closed under each of the following operations:

- a. Union.
- b. Concatenation.
- c. Complementation.

Proof.