## Xishun Zhao \*

## November 23, 2012

## Abstract

Given f, g, and H such that  $f + H \in MU(1), g + H \in MU(1)$ . Then

$$\forall h \in H, (H-h) + \{f, g\}$$
 is satisfiable

Proof. For n = 1, it is clearly true.

Then by induction and the disjoint splitting property of MU(1) formulas

Suppose  $\Phi := Q\varphi$  with  $\varphi = H + \{f, g\}$  with the above property. Then (conjecture)  $\Phi$  is true iff both Q(H + f) and Q(H + g) are ture

Need the following property.

 $F \in MU(1)$ .  $f, g \in F$ , a path from f to g

 $f_1 = f, \dots, f_n = g$  and  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  such that

 $L_1 \in f_1, \neg L_i, L_{i+1} \text{ are in } f_{i+1} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \neg L_n \in f_n.$ 

Claim: Let  $f, g \in F$ ,  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  are two paths from f to say to  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ . Then the two path are compatable, that is, they do not contain complementary literals.

Proof. For n = 1 clearly. For n > 1 by using disjoint splitting.

So, in this case, QMU(2) is solvable in polynomial time.

Suppose F is lean d(F) = 2.

Case 1.  $F - \{f\} \in MU(1)$ , and for all  $h \in F - \{f\}$ ,  $F - \{h\}$  is satisfiable.

Case 2.  $F - \{f\} \in MU(1)$ , and there is  $g \in F - f$ , F - g is in MU(1) (then this case)

Case 3.  $F' \subseteq F \in MU(1)$  such that F - F' has more than one clauses.

Case 4.  $F \in MU(2)$ 

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel: 0086-20-84114036, Fax:0086-20-84110298.

## 1 General Structure of LEAN(2)

Suppose  $F \in MLEAN(2)$  unsatisfiable, but  $F \notin MU(2)$ .

Then F must contain a MU(1) subformula. So, let  $G \subseteq F$  be a subformula in MU(1). Let  $\theta = F - G$ .

Plaese note that F is matching lean, the formula  $\theta'$  obtained from  $\theta$  by omitting variables occurring in G must be mlean and has deficiency 1.

**Case 1.** For any clause  $g \in G$  such that  $(G - \{g\}) + \theta$  is satisfiable. That is, G is the only MU(1) subformula of F.

We suppose it is not case 1.

Case 2. For some  $g \in G$  such that  $(G - \{g\}) + \theta$  is unsatisfiable. That is there is  $G' \subseteq F$  such that  $G' \neq G$  and  $G' \in \mathrm{MU}(1)$ .

Because  $G' = G' \cap G + G' \cap \theta$  and G' has deficiency 1, it must be that  $\theta \subseteq G'$ .

Let  $H = G \cap G'$ ,  $\eta = G' - H$ . That is, F can be written as follows

$$F = \theta + H + \eta$$
 with  $\theta + H \in MU(1)$  and  $\eta + H \in MU(1)$ 

Let  $\theta^-$  (resp.  $\eta^-$ ) be the formula obtained from  $\theta$  (resp.  $\eta$ ) by omitting occurrences of variables of H. Then both  $\theta^-$  and  $\eta^-$  are in MU(1) and have distinct variables.

The following is an example of such formulas

Let  $\theta^+$  is the clause obtained from  $\theta$  by iteratively applying sDP reduction on variables in  $\theta^-$ . Likewise for  $\eta^+$ . Then we have

$$\{\theta^{+}\} + H, \{\eta^{+}\} + H \text{ and } \{\theta^{+} \vee \eta^{+}\} + H \text{ are all in MU}(1)$$