1 Nested Rollout Policy Adaptation

```
Algorithm 1: The NRPA playout algorithm

playout (state, policy) sequence ← []

while true do

if state is terminal then

| return (score (state), sequence)
end

z ← 0.0
for m in possible moves for state do

| z ← z + exp(policy [code(m)])
end

move ← choose a move with probability exp(policy [code(move)])
state ← play(state, move)
sequence ← sequence + move
end
```

```
Algorithm 3: The NRPA algorithm
```

 $policy \leftarrow polp$

```
NRPA(level, policy)

if level == 0 then

| return playout(root, policy)

end

bestScore ← -∞

for N iterations do

| (result, new) ← NRPA(level- 1, policy)

if result ≥ bestScore then

| bestScore ← result

| seq ← new

end

policy ← adapt(policy, seq)

end

return bestScore, seq
```

2 Adapt the NRPA to stochastic problems

2.1 the Nested Rollout Policy Adaptation (NRPA) in a stochastic context

As seen in the section ??, the NRPA learns a policy while finding the best sequence of move possible. Using a policy, it generates a sequence and set its score to equal the score obtained when reaching a final state in the playout. It then stores the sequence with the highest score and adapt its policy so it tends to generate this sequence more often. In a stochastic context, this strategy is problematic on different levels:

fill the reference to make definition section

1. a sequence of moves can obtain a high score by fluke.

- 2. a given move may be illegal in certain state.
- 3. a state may never be encountered again due to its low probability.

The first point may be easy to fix as a given sequence can be used in a new playout. It is then possible to compute several playout for a unique sequence allowing us to compute an average score. A mean score is preferable when dealing with stochastic problem as it tends to capture the best possible outcome. Using average score has already been used with success in algorithm such as Upper Confidence bound applied to Trees (UCT)[1].

The second point is more delicate. Given a sequence $S = \{(s1, a1), (s2, a2), \dots\}$ which starts by using action, or move, a1 on state s1 to obtain state s2. In a stochastic context, the probability to have s2 when applying s1 to s1 may not equal 1; therefore, any sequence of state and actions may not be reproducible. Even if we consider only the actions such as $S = \{a1, a2, a3, \dots\}$ then we may obtain states in which some actions are illegal. If such a case occur then, not only the sequence is not reproducible but it is also not usable. The idea of sequence must be changed entirely if we want to use it in a stochastic context.

The third point concerns the concept of policy. A policy gives a probability distribution over actions for a given state. In a stochastic problem, the number of states can be quite large and a hundred playout might never see the same states. Therefore, learning probabilities over actions for each state may never give any results as each state could be seen one and one time only. This type of policy is not an option as it is unlikely to give any knowledge to the algorithm.

These three points are, for us, the main concerns about NRPA in a stochastic concern. We tried to address them by designing the Stochastic Nested Rollout Policy Adaptation (SNRPA).

2.2 The Stochastic Nested Rollout Policy Adaptation

Algorithm 4: The SNRPA playout algorithm

```
\begin{array}{l} \texttt{playout}(\mathsf{state}, \mathsf{policy}) \\ \texttt{sequence} \leftarrow \texttt{generateSequence}(\mathsf{policy}) \\ \texttt{sumScore} \leftarrow 0 \\ \textbf{for} \ i \leftarrow 0 \ \textbf{to} \ \mathsf{nbPlayout} \ \textbf{do} \\ & | \ \mathsf{copyState} \leftarrow \mathsf{state} \\ & \ \textbf{while} \ \mathsf{copyState} \ is \ not \ terminal \ \textbf{do} \\ & | \ \mathsf{code} \leftarrow \mathsf{choose} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{first} \ \mathsf{legal} \ \mathit{code} \ \mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{sequence} \\ & | \ \mathsf{move} \leftarrow \mathsf{decode}(\mathsf{code}) \\ & | \ \mathsf{copyState} \leftarrow \mathsf{play}(\mathsf{copyState}, \ \mathsf{move}) \\ & | \ \mathsf{end} \\ & | \ \mathsf{sumScore} \leftarrow \ \mathsf{sumScore} + \ \mathsf{score}(\mathsf{copyState}) \\ & \ \mathsf{end} \\ & \ \mathsf{score} \leftarrow \ \mathsf{sumScore} / \ \mathsf{nbPlayout} \\ & \ \mathsf{return} \ (\mathsf{score}, \ \mathsf{sequence}) \end{array}
```

Algorithm 5: The SNRPA generate sequence

```
\begin{array}{l} {\sf generateSequence\,(policy)} \\ {\sf sequence} \leftarrow [] \\ {\sf for} \ i \leftarrow 0 \ {\sf to} \ {\sf numberCode} \ {\sf do} \\ & | \ z \leftarrow 0.0 \\ & | \ {\sf for} \ code \ in \ possible \ codes \ {\sf do} \\ & | \ {\sf if} \ code \ in \ possible \ codes \ {\sf do} \\ & | \ | \ z \leftarrow z + \exp({\sf policy} \ [code]) \\ & | \ | \ {\sf end} \\ & | \ | \ {\sf end} \\ & | \ | \ code \leftarrow {\sf choose} \ a \ code \ {\sf not} \ {\sf in} \ {\sf sequence} \ with \ probability \ \frac{\exp({\sf policy} \ [code])}{z} \\ & | \ | \ sequence \leftarrow {\sf sequence} + {\sf code} \\ & \ {\sf end} \\ & \ | \ return \ sequence \end{array}
```

fioueyhuifehf

Algorithm 6: The SNRPA adapt algorithm

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{adapt}(\operatorname{policy},\operatorname{sequence}) \\ \operatorname{polp} \leftarrow \operatorname{policy} \\ \operatorname{for} \operatorname{codeIndex} \leftarrow 0 \text{ to sequence.size}() - 2 \text{ do} \\ \\ \operatorname{polp} \left[ \operatorname{sequence} \left[ \operatorname{codeIndex} \right] \right] \leftarrow \operatorname{polp} \left[ \operatorname{sequence} \left[ \operatorname{codeIndex} \right] \right] + \alpha \\ \operatorname{z} \leftarrow 0.0 \\ \operatorname{for} \operatorname{codeIndexBis} \leftarrow \operatorname{codeIndex} \text{ to sequence.size}() \text{ do} \\ \\ \operatorname{z} \leftarrow \operatorname{exp}(\operatorname{policy} \left[ \operatorname{sequence} \left[ \operatorname{codeIndexBis} \right] \right]) \\ \operatorname{end} \\ \operatorname{for} \operatorname{codeIndexBis} \leftarrow \operatorname{codeIndex} \text{ to sequence.size}() \text{ do} \\ \\ \operatorname{polp} \left[ \operatorname{sequence} \left[ \operatorname{codeIndexBis} \right] \right] \leftarrow \operatorname{polp} \left[ \operatorname{sequence} \left[ \operatorname{codeIndexBis} \right] \right] - \alpha \right. \\ \\ \operatorname{exp}(\operatorname{policy} \left[ \operatorname{sequence} \left[ \operatorname{codeIndexBis} \right] \right) \\ \operatorname{end} \\ \operatorname{end} \\ \operatorname{policy} \leftarrow \operatorname{polp} \end{array} \right.
```

Algorithm 7: The SNRPA algorithm

```
SNRPA(level, policy)

if level == 0 then

| return playout(root, policy)

end

bestScore ← -∞

for N iterations do

| (result, new) ← SNRPA(level- 1, policy)

if result ≥ bestScore then

| bestScore ← result

| seq ← new

end

policy ← adapt(policy, seq)

end

return bestScore, seq
```

References

[1] Levente Kocsis and Csaba Szepesvári. "Bandit Based Monte-Carlo Planning". In: Proceedings of the 17th European Conference on Machine Learning. ECML'06. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2006, pp. 282–293. ISBN: 354045375X. DOI: 10.1007/11871842_29. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/11871842_29.