

University Transportation Research Center - Region 2

Final Report



Relating the 2010 Signalized Intersection Methodology to Alternate Approaches in the Context of NYC Conditions



Performing Organization: Polytechnic Institute of NYU



November 2013

University Transportation Research Center - Region 2

The Region 2 University Transportation Research Center (UTRC) is one of ten original University Transportation Centers established in 1987 by the U.S. Congress. These Centers were established with the recognition that transportation plays a key role in the nation's economy and the quality of life of its citizens. University faculty members provide a critical link in resolving our national and regional transportation problems while training the professionals who address our transportation systems and their customers on a daily basis.

The UTRC was established in order to support research, education and the transfer of technology in the field of transportation. The theme of the Center is "Planning and Managing Regional Transportation Systems in a Changing World." Presently, under the direction of Dr. Camille Kamga, the UTRC represents USDOT Region II, including New York, New Jersey, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Functioning as a consortium of twelve major Universities throughout the region, UTRC is located at the CUNY Institute for Transportation Systems at The City College of New York, the lead institution of the consortium. The Center, through its consortium, an Agency-Industry Council and its Director and Staff, supports research, education, and technology transfer under its theme. UTRC's three main goals are:

Research

The research program objectives are (1) to develop a theme based transportation research program that is responsive to the needs of regional transportation organizations and stakeholders, and (2) to conduct that program in cooperation with the partners. The program includes both studies that are identified with research partners of projects targeted to the theme, and targeted, short-term projects. The program develops competitive proposals, which are evaluated to insure the mostresponsive UTRC team conducts the work. The research program is responsive to the UTRC theme: "Planning and Managing Regional Transportation Systems in a Changing World." The complex transportation system of transit and infrastructure, and the rapidly changing environment impacts the nation's largest city and metropolitan area. The New York/New Jersey Metropolitan has over 19 million people, 600,000 businesses and 9 million workers. The Region's intermodal and multimodal systems must serve all customers and stakeholders within the region and globally. Under the current grant, the new research projects and the ongoing research projects concentrate the program efforts on the categories of Transportation Systems Performance and Information Infrastructure to provide needed services to the New Jersey Department of Transportation, New York City Department of Transportation, New York Metropolitan Transportation Council, New York State Department of Transportation, and the New York State Energy and Research Development Authority and others, all while enhancing the center's theme.

Education and Workforce Development

The modern professional must combine the technical skills of engineering and planning with knowledge of economics, environmental science, management, finance, and law as well as negotiation skills, psychology and sociology. And, she/he must be computer literate, wired to the web, and knowledgeable about advances in information technology. UTRC's education and training efforts provide a multidisciplinary program of course work and experiential learning to train students and provide advanced training or retraining of practitioners to plan and manage regional transportation systems. UTRC must meet the need to educate the undergraduate and graduate student with a foundation of transportation fundamentals that allows for solving complex problems in a world much more dynamic than even a decade ago. Simultaneously, the demand for continuing education is growing – either because of professional license requirements or because the workplace demands it – and provides the opportunity to combine State of Practice education with tailored ways of delivering content.

Technology Transfer

UTRC's Technology Transfer Program goes beyond what might be considered "traditional" technology transfer activities. Its main objectives are (1) to increase the awareness and level of information concerning transportation issues facing Region 2; (2) to improve the knowledge base and approach to problem solving of the region's transportation workforce, from those operating the systems to those at the most senior level of managing the system; and by doing so, to improve the overall professional capability of the transportation workforce; (3) to stimulate discussion and debate concerning the integration of new technologies into our culture, our work and our transportation systems; (4) to provide the more traditional but extremely important job of disseminating research and project reports, studies, analysis and use of tools to the education, research and practicing community both nationally and internationally; and (5) to provide unbiased information and testimony to decision-makers concerning regional transportation issues consistent with the UTRC theme.

UTRC-RF Project No: 49111-13-22

Project Date: November 2013

Project Title: Relating the 2010 Signalized Intersection

Methodology to Alternate Approaches in the

Context of NYC Conditions

Project's Website:

http://www.utrc2.org/research/projects/signalized-intersection-methodology

Principal Investigator:

Dr. Elena Prassas Associate Professor Polytechnic Institute of NYU 718-260-3788 eprassas@poly.edu

Performing Organization: Polytechnic Institute of NYU

Sponsor:

University Transportation Research Center - Region 2, A Regional University Transportation Center sponsored by the U.S. Department of Transportation's Research and Innovative Technology Administration

To request a hard copy of our final reports, please send us an email at utrc@utrc2.org

Mailing Address:

University Transportation Reserch Center The City College of New York Marshak Hall, Suite 910 160 Convent Avenue New York, NY 10031 Tel: 212-650-8051

Fax: 212-650-8374 Web: www.utrc2.org

Board of Directors

The UTRC Board of Directors consists of one or two members from each Consortium school (each school receives two votes regardless of the number of representatives on the board). The Center Director is an ex-officio member of the Board and The Center management team serves as staff to the Board.

City University of New York

Dr. Hongmian Gong - Geography Dr. Neville A. Parker - Civil Engineering

Clarkson University

Dr. Kerop D. Janoyan - Civil Engineering

Columbia University

Dr. Raimondo Betti - Civil Engineering Dr. Elliott Sclar - Urban and Regional Planning

Cornell University

Dr. Huaizhu (Oliver) Gao - Civil Engineering Dr. Mark A. Turnquist - Civil Engineering

Hofstra University

Dr. Jean-Paul Rodrigue - Global Studies and Geography

Manhattan College

Dr. Anirban De - Civil & Environmental Engineering Dominic Esposito - Research Administration

New Jersey Institute of Technology

Dr. Steven Chien - Civil Engineering Dr. Joyoung Lee - Civil & Environmental Engineering

New York Institute of Technology

Dr. Nada Marie Anid - Engineering & Computing Sciences Dr. Marta Panero - Engineering & Computing Sciences

New York University

Dr. Mitchell L. Moss - Urban Policy and Planning Dr. Rae Zimmerman - Planning and Public Administration

Polytechnic Institute of NYU

Dr. John C. Falcocchio - Civil Engineering Dr. Kaan Ozbay - Civil Engineering

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

Dr. José Holguín-Veras - Civil Engineering Dr. William "Al" Wallace - Systems Engineering

Rochester Institute of Technology

Dr. J. Scott Hawker - Software Engineering Dr. James Winebrake -Science, Technology, & Society/Public Policy

Rowan University

Dr. Yusuf Mehta - Civil Engineering Dr. Beena Sukumaran - Civil Engineering

Rutgers University

Dr. Robert Noland - Planning and Public Policy

State University of New York

Michael M. Fancher - Nanoscience Dr. Catherine T. Lawson - City & Regional Planning Dr. Adel W. Sadek - Transportation Systems Engineering Dr. Shmuel Yahalom - Economics

Stevens Institute of Technology

Dr. Sophia Hassiotis - Civil Engineering Dr. Thomas H. Wakeman III - Civil Engineering

Syracuse University

Dr. Riyad S. Aboutaha - Civil Engineering Dr. O. Sam Salem - Construction Engineering and Management

The College of New Jersey

Dr. Thomas M. Brennan Jr. - Civil Engineering

University of Puerto Rico - Mayagüez

Dr. Ismael Pagán-Trinidad - Civil Engineering Dr. Didier M. Valdés-Díaz - Civil Engineering

UTRC Consortium Universities

The following universities/colleges are members of the UTRC consortium.

City University of New York (CUNY)
Clarkson University (Clarkson)
Columbia University (Columbia)
Cornell University (Cornell)
Hofstra University (Hofstra)
Manhattan College
New Jersey Institute of Technology (NJIT)

New York Institute of Technology (NYIT) New York University (NYU)

Polytechnic Institute of NYU (Poly) Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (RPI) Rochester Institute of Technology (RIT)

Rowan University (Rowan)
Rutgers University (Rutgers)*
State University of New York (SUNY)
Stevens Institute of Technology (Stevens)

The College of New Jersey (TCNJ)
University of Puerto Rico - Mayagüez (UPRM)

UTRC Key Staff

Syracuse University (SU)

Dr. Camille Kamga: *Director, UTRC*

Assistant Professor of Civil Engineering, CCNY

Dr. Robert E. Paaswell: *Director Emeritus of UTRC and Distin*guished Professor of Civil Engineering, The City College of New York

Herbert Levinson: UTRC Icon Mentor, Transportation Consultant and Professor Emeritus of Transportation

Dr. Ellen Thorson: Senior Research Fellow, University Transportation Research Center

Penny Eickemeyer: Associate Director for Research, UTRC

Dr. Alison Conway: Associate Director for New Initiatives and Assistant Professor of Civil Engineering

Nadia Aslam: Assistant Director for Technology Transfer

Dr. Anil Yazici: Post-doc/ Senior Researcher

Nathalie Martinez: Research Associate/Budget Analyst

^{*} Member under SAFETEA-LU Legislation

Disclaimer

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the information presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the UTRC [, (other project sponsors),] or the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation. This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation, University Transportation Centers Program, in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government and other project sponsors assume no liability for the contents or use thereof.

1. Report No.	2.Government Accession No.	Recipient's Catalog No.
4. The 10 Link		5.00
Title and Subtitle	5. Report Date	
6	Methodology to Alternate Approaches in the	November 2013
Context of NYC Conditions		6. Performing Organization Code
		6. 1 onoming organization oddo
7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organization Report No.
Dr. Elena Prassas and Arth Vin		
Performing Organization Name and Address		10. Work Unit No.
Polytechnic Institute of NYU		
6 MetroTech Center		11. Contract or Grant No.
Brooklyn, New York 11201		
•		49111-13-22
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		13. Type of Report and Period Covered
, ,		
University Transportation Research Center	er	Final Report
City College of New York-Marshak 910		14. Sponsoring Agency Code
160 Convent Avenue		
NewYork, NY 10031		
,		
15. Supplementary Notes		

16. Abstract

The Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) has had a delay-based level of service methodology for signalized intersections since 1985. The 2010 HCM has revised the method for calculating delay. This happened concurrent with such jurisdictions as NYC reviewing the use of the HCM method in their environmental impact regulations (e.g. CEQR process), and concurrent with a dialog in the profession on when it is appropriate to use simulation models in lieu of HCM methods. This effort focused on providing guidance on how to use the 2010 HCM signalized intersection method in the context of the NYC urban environment.

It was deemed important by NYCDOT to verify whether the delay and saturation flow estimates were consistent with what is found in the field in New York City, and specifically Manhattan.

It was decided to measure and do analyses of the following measures of effectiveness:

- a. Saturation flow rate,
- b. Delay, and
- c. Start-up lost time.

We began the process with meetings with NYCDOT staff to determine what data was available and what level of analysis they thought was needed. The HCM signalized intersection methodology is known to not work well in oversaturated conditions unless a multi-period analysis is done. Since the analysis that NYCDOT does with the HCM always uses a 15-minute period analysis and not a multi-period one, it was decided to only look at intersections that were not oversaturated when measuring and comparing delay results.

The HCM 2010 arterial analysis methodology does consider queues and oversaturated conditions that should better reflect conditions in NYC, given that NYC is made up of arterial streets and not individual isolated intersections. However, the standard method used and required by CEQR is the signalized intersection methodology. This then was another factor in deciding not to use oversaturated intersections.

It was found that the field data of saturation flow and delay were well correlated with the values computed using the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual methodologies, but that the start-up lost times that are defaulted and used in an HCM analysis are very different from the values measured in field data.

17. Key Words	18. Distribution Statement			
Highways, Capacity, Environment, Satura				
19. Security Classif (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this pa		age)	21. No of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified Unclassified			144	

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks to the University Transportation Research Center, Region 2, for its financial support; to Dr. Mohammad Talas of the New York City Department of Transportation, who contributed both time and data throughout the project.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Overview	4
2. Literature	5
3. Data Collection and Reduction	5
4. Data Analysis	8
5. Summary of Results	21
6. References	22
7. Appendix A	23
8. Appendix B	40
9. Appendix C	98

1. INTRODUCTION and OVERVIEW

The Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) has had a delay-based level of service methodology for signalized intersections since 1985. The 2010 HCM has revised the method for calculating delay. This happened concurrent with such jurisdictions as NYC reviewing the use of the HCM method in their environmental impact regulations (e.g. CEQR process), and concurrent with a dialog in the profession on when it is appropriate to use simulation models in lieu of HCM methods. This effort focused on providing guidance on how to use the 2010 HCM signalized intersection method in the context of the NYC urban environment.

It was deemed important by NYCDOT to verify whether the delay and saturation flow estimates were consistent with what is found in the field in New York City, and specifically Manhattan.

It was decided to measure and do analyses of the following measures of effectiveness:

- a. Saturation flow rate,
- b. Delay, and
- c. Start-up lost time.

We began the process with meetings with NYCDOT staff to determine what data was available and what level of analysis they thought was needed. The HCM signalized intersection methodology is known to not work well in oversaturated conditions unless a multi-period analysis is done. Since the analysis that NYCDOT does with the HCM always uses a 15-minute period analysis and not a multi-period one, it was decided to only look at intersections that were not oversaturated when measuring and comparing delay results.

The HCM 2010 arterial analysis methodology does consider queues and oversaturated conditions that should better reflect conditions in NYC, given that NYC is made up of arterial streets and not individual isolated intersections. However, the standard method used and required by CEQR is the signalized intersection methodology. This then was another factor in deciding not to use oversaturated intersections.

It was found that the field data of saturation flow and delay were well correlated with the values computed using the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual methodologies, but that the start-up lost times that are defaulted and used in an HCM analysis are very different from the values measured in field data.

2. LITERATURE

The literature study consisted of reviewing the methodology in the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual [1], Chapters 18 and 30 on signalized intersections. The HCM details a methodology to calculate saturation flow rate from data [2], which was used in this project on the video data received from NYCDOT.

Other methods were also reviewed, most being very similar to that documented in the HCM. These included the headway calculation methodology from Refs [3-5].

There is much literature on the estimation methods used and the results of saturation flow rate and lost time studies. A few important studies have concluded that saturation flow rate increases as queues get longer, and that this continues even past the 15th vehicle in queue [6,7].

Field measurements of both saturation flow rate and start-up lost time have been found to vary significantly in much of the literature [8-10]. Reference [9] goes on to state that it is doubtful that base saturation flow rate is even a stable or constant value.

In Ref [5], Rahman et al. came to the conclusion that using the methodology in the HCM would variably underestimate saturation flow at some locations while overestimating it at other locations

3. DATA COLLECTION

The data collection process in this project turned out to be much more difficult and time consuming than anticipated. The source of data committed to by NYCDOT was video tapes that they were collecting from a simultaneous project underway at NYCDOT. Polytechnic was given access to videos of 20 intersections, from which we would reduce saturation flow, start up lost time, and delay data. The NYU-Poly team spent two to three months going through these videos to find locations that we could use. However, after an analysis of each of the intersections we found that we could not reduce the required data from the video tapes. It was determined that the camera angle did not give access to all information that was needed to collect the usable data. Basically, there were three problems with the video recordings:

- 1. The video did not include a view of the signal heads, so that we could not see the beginning of green time. Because of this, start up lost time could not be measured.
- 2. The video did not show the entire link length and thus the back of the queue could not be seen. Without knowing the back of queue, we could not calculate delay.

The team next talked to NYCDOT's Division of Traffic Operations, the Office of Project Analysis/CEQR. They had videos of 5 intersections that they shared with us. Again, after viewing the video recordings, it was determined that neither delay nor headway data could be retrieved.

After discussions with NYCDOT, it was determined that the only way to get the data needed to measure delay, start up lost time, and saturation flow rate was to get new video recordings that would include all the required camera angles. Since the project did not include a budget to go out and collect new data ourselves, we worked with the Traffic Management Center (TMC) of NYCDOT. At the TMC, our team along with TMC employees searched for locations where the camera angle could be adjusted such that the approach could be seen from the front, the stop line was visible, and the signal head was visible. Additionally, we looked for locations where the entire block was visible. A total of nine intersections were selected during the process. Out of these nine, data reduction was done for seven intersections. Not all of the videos were clear enough to get the data we needed, thus those hours of tape were removed.

Additionally, it was discovered that even where the camera could see down the link, it was most often the case, that when the queue was long enough to reach the end of the link, that we could not distinguish the vehicles enough to keep an accurate count of back of queue. Thus for delay studies, we could only use locations that were well undersaturated.

After data reduction, there were 9 hours of of usable data.

Delay Measurement

Three of the videotaped intersections had angles that one could see the entire length of the queue, and thus were able to be reduced to produce delay results. Even at these three intersections, however, there were time periods that were not usable. This was due to queues either backing up from downstream into the study intersection, or queues backing from the study intersection into the upstream intersection. Additionally, there were some time periods that were controlled by a traffic police and thus had to be eliminated, and finally some of the tapes were not clear enough to see the individual vehicles at the upstream end of the link.

Table 1 shows the intersections that produced usable data.

TABLE 1. Intersections Analyzed

Location 1	1 st Avenue and 14 th Street
Location 2	3 rd Avenue and 34 th Street
Location 3	West Street and Canal Street

The characteristics and the approach that was video taped at these intersections are summarized in Table 2. At each location, data was reduced in 15-minute intervals.

TABLE 2. Characteristics at Each Intersection Location

	Direction	Number of	Cycle Length
		Lanes	
Location 1	Northbound	5	90
Location 2	Northbound	5	90
Location 3	Southbound	5	120

Forms were developed to help reduce the data from the video recordings. Table 3 shows the form used to collect delay data, using the method detailed in the Ref [2]. Not all time periods or locations could be used to take delay measurements. Time periods were found that had various levels of delay, but also that were not oversaturated, as this would limit the the accurateness of the calculations. Long queues and oversaturated conditions requires knowing where the end of the queue is in the upstream intersection and continuing the queue counts past the arrival time period. The camera angles could not see past the end of the link, and sometimes could not even see to the end of the link. In either of these cases, such time periods were deleted.

The adjustment factor seen at the end of Table 3 is a factor from the 2010 HCM [1], which accounts for acceleration and deceleration delay. Neither of these are directly measured in the queue-count procedure. The factor depends on the number of vehicles in queue as well as the approach speed of the vehicles [1].

The study methodology recommended in the *Highway Capacity Manual* is based on direct observation of vehicles-in-queue at frequent intervals.

The following should be noted [3]:

- 1. The method is intended for undersaturated flow conditions.
- 2. The method does not directly measure acceleration-deceleration delay but uses an adjustment factor to estimate this component.
- 3. The method also uses an adjustment to correct for errors that are likely to occur in the sampling process (this is the 0.9 factor seen in the form).
- 4. Actual measurements start at the beginning of the red phase of the subject lane.
- 5. There should be no overflow queue from the previous green phase when measurements start.

Table 3. Form Used for Delay Studies

Total Control Delay studies												
	TOTAL COL	T	שנומ	y Sti	uules	>	_					
							D	ate	&			
Inter	section		3 Av	4 & 3	4 St		-	Time	<u>ۇ</u>		5/17/11	; 3:30
			Nun	nber	of V	'ehic	les i	n qu	eue	(Cou	ınt Intei	vals)
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Total												0

Step 1				
Interval				
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue				0
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the	study period			
Emprical adjustment factor				0.9
Average Time in queue				
Step 2				
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle				
Number of cycles included in the survey				
Number of lanes in the survey lane group				
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per c	cycle			
Step 3				
Fraction of Vehicles stopping				
Step 4				
Correction Factor (From table below)				
Total Control delay				0
Adjustment Factor for	Acceleration/Decce	eleration Delay		
Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle				
Free Flow Speed (mi/h) 7 Vehs 8-19 vehs 20-3				
≤37	5	2		-1
>37-45	7	4		2
>45	9	7		5
Heavy Vehicles				

Saturation Flow and Start-up Lost Time Measurement

Saturation flow rate represents the maximum rate of vehicles on average that can pass through the stop line from a standing queue, after the start-up lost time is passed. It is measured lane-by-lane. Headways are measured as each vehicle's front axle crosses the stop line. These data were reduced from the video tapes. Both start-up lost time and saturation flow rate were calculated from this data, assembling the data in 15-minute intervals. Base saturation flow rate and prevailing saturation flow rate were estimated.

Saturation flow as stated in the HCM, is based on the average headway of vehicles after the first ten to fourteen seconds after beginning of green, which is about four to six vehicles in the queue. Those first four-to-six vehicles are affected by start-up lost time and thus are expected to have higher headways. The HCM recommends using the first four vehicles for determining start-up lost time. Thus, in order to measure saturation flow, we had to use only those time periods that had more than five vehicles in queue at the beginning of green.

In order to properly record headways, the video camera has to capture the signal head (in order to see beginning of green) as well as the stop line. Only two intersections for which we had videos were able to meet these requirements. The two locations are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Approaches used for Measuring Headways

Location	Directions
3 rd Avenue at 34 th Street	Northbound
Park Avenue at 57 th Street	Northbound

The base saturation flow rate is that when there are no heavy vehicles, no turning vehicles, no buses, no bicycles, no pedestrians, level terrain, no parking, non-CBD area type, and 12-foot lanes. It is almost impossible to find locations that have all these conditions. Middle through lanes were used to find this value, since this avoids having to consider the effects of turning vehicles, parking, bicycles and pedestrians. The only factors that could not be avoided are heavy vehicles and area type. To limit the effect of heavy vehicles on headway, any headway of a heavy vehicle or vehicle after the first heavy vehicle were discarded. The prevailing saturation flow rate use all the headways.

Start-up lost time is assumed to be a component of the headways of the first four vehicles, and it is measured relative to the base condition. There were 9 hours of data available for measuring headways. It is true that it would have been preferable to have more locations to get a better calibration of start-up lost time. Our results, however, showed some interesting trends.

3. DATA ANALYSIS

After all the data was collected and reduced, summaries were made and comparisons of results between the field data and the HCM method were performed.

Analysis of Start-up Lost Time

Tables 5, 6, and 7 shows the summary of the start-up lost times and prevailing headway values upon which it was based. This summary is based on the actual data sheets shown in Appendices A and B. Table cc shows the value of start-up lost time by 15-minute intervals. Table 7 shows the average values and standard deviation by location and overall.

Table 5. Start-up Lost Time Values by 15 minutes at Third Avenue and 34th Street.

	3RD AVENUE AT 34TH STREET							
			Base	Prevailing	Base Sat	Prevailing Sat		
Date	TIME	LOST TIMES	Headway	Headway	Flow	flow		
28-Sep	8:15	0.98	2.81	4.24	1281	849		
28-Sep	8:30	-0.82	2.91	4.46	1237	807		
28-Sep	9:45	2.46	2.41	3.05	1494	1180		
28-Sep	3:30	4.1	2.02	3.31	1782	1088		
28-Sep	3:45	2.77	2.17	2.7	1659	1333		
28-Sep	4:00	1.19	3.23	3.67	1115	981		
17-May	4:15	2.4	2.1	2.96	1714	1216		
28-Sep	4:30	1.36	2.23	2.54	1614	1417		
18-May	4:30	0.85	2.94	3.8	1224	947		
28-Sep	5:45	0.55	2.78	3.22	1295	1118		
28-Sep	6:00	1.21	2.39	3.16	1506	1139		
28-Sep	6:15	1.08	2.58	2.85	1395	1263		
28-Sep	6:30	2.04	2.96	3.35	1216	1075		
18-May	6:30	0.62	2.94	4.5	1224	800		
28-Sep	6:45	0.55	2.4	3.28	1500	1098		
28-Sep	7:00	-0.34	2.27	2.53	1586	1423		

Table 6. Start-up Lost Time Values by 15 minutes at Park Avenue and 57th Street

	Park Avenu	ıe				
29-Sep	12:00	1.76	2.54	3.29	1417	1094
28-Sep	12:00	0.20	2.95	3.16	1220	1139
29-Sep	12:30	1.87	2.59	2.59	1390	1390
28-Sep	12:30	-1.33	3.18	3.40	1132	1059
29-Sep	12:45	1.34	2.54	2.99	1417	1204
28-Sep	12:45	-0.34	2.96	3.72	1216	968
29-Sep	1:00	2.43	2.45	2.45	1469	1469
28-Sep	1:00	0.23	3.25	3.72	1108	968
29-Sep	1:15	3.06	2.70	2.70	1333	1333
28-Sep	1:15	1.19	2.64	3.07	1364	1173
29-Sep	1:30	1.57	2.78	3.45	1295	1043
28-Sep	1:30	1.00	2.82	2.99	1277	1204
28-Sep	1:45	0.27	2.81	3.30	1281	1091
28-Sep	2:00	1.77	2.35	3.23	1532	1115
28-Sep	2:15	2.13	2.37	3.94	1519	914
28-Sep	2:30	1.21	2.45	3.09	1469	1165
28-Sep	2:45	2.39	2.29	3.19	1572	1129
29-Sep	4:15	2.51	2.51	3.26	1434	1104
29-Sep	4:30	3.05	2.69	3.46	1338	1040
29-Sep	4:45	3.15	2.46	2.56	1463	1406

Table 7. Statistics for the Start-up Lost Time Data

					Lower	Upper
					95%	95%
			Standard	No	conf.	conf.
	Mean	Median	Deviation	Samples	bound	bound
3rd Ave						
at 34th						
St	1.31	1.14	1.22	16	0.72	1.91
3rd Ave						
at 34th						
St	1.47	1.67	1.20	20	0.95	2.00
Both						
Locations	1.40	1.28	1.20	36	1.01	1.79

It can be seen it Table 7 that the means are not very different for the two locations and thus it make sense to consider both locations and all time periods together. The overall mean is 1.4 seconds of start-up lost time. It is interesting that the median is even lower, showing that 50% of the time, the start up lost time is under 1.28 seconds. The 95% confidence bounds on the mean are from 1.01 to 1.79 seconds. The default value that is usually used when running the HCM 2010 procedure is 2.0 seconds of start-up lost time.

The results were not assembled for time periods, that is, AM, PM, and midday values, because there was not enough data in the AM or PM peak to separate it out.

Analysis of Saturation Flow Rates

Using the same headway data found in Appendices B and C, base and prevailing saturation flow rate were calculated. Tables 8 and 9 show summaries of the results.

Table 8. Saturation Flow Rate at Third Avenue and 34th Street.

	3RD AVENUE AT 34TH STREET					
		Base Sat	Prevailing Sat			
Date	TIME	Flow	flow			
28-Sep	8:15	1281	849			
28-Sep	8:30	1237	807			
28-Sep	9:45	1494	1180			
28-Sep	3:30	1782	1088			
28-Sep	3:45	1659	1333			
28-Sep	4:00	1115	981			
17-May	4:15	1714	1216			
28-Sep	4:30	1614	1417			
18-May	4:30	1224	947			
28-Sep	5:45	1295	1118			
28-Sep	6:00	1506	1139			
28-Sep	6:15	1395	1263			
28-Sep	6:30	1216	1075			
18-May	6:30	1224	800			
28-Sep	6:45	1500	1098			
28-Sep	7:00	1586	1423			

Table 9. Saturation Flow Rate at Park Avenue

		Base Sat	Prevailing Sat	
Date	Time	Flow	Flow	
29-Sep	12:00	1417	1094	
28-Sep	12:00	1220	1139	
29-Sep	12:30	1390	1390	
28-Sep	12:30	1132	1059	
29-Sep	12:45	1417	1204	
28-Sep	12:45	1216	968	
29-Sep	1:00	1469	1469	
28-Sep	1:00	1108	968	
29-Sep	1:15	1333	1333	
28-Sep	1:15	1364	1173	
29-Sep	1:30	1295	1043	
28-Sep	1:30	1277	1204	
28-Sep	1:45	1281	1091	
28-Sep	2:00	1532	1115	
28-Sep	2:15	1519	914	
28-Sep	2:30	1469	1165	
28-Sep	2:45	1572	1129	
29-Sep	4:15	1434	1104	
29-Sep	4:30	1338	1040	
29-Sep	4:45	1463	1406	

Table 10 shows statistics on the Base saturation flow rate. In the HCM2010, base saturation flow rate is defined as 1900 pcphgpl. Given that we could not avoid the fact that Manhattan is a CBD, we can adjust the 1900 to reflect the area-type factor in the HCM of 0.9. This would give a base saturation flow rate of 1710 pcphgpl. The values found from the data are considerably lower. The mean value for all locations is approximately1400 pcphpl, with the 95% confidence bounds being from 1336 to 1447. Notice that the mean and median are exactly the same, and the standard deviation is only 169 vehicles.

Table 10. Statistics for Base Saturation Flow Rate

	Mean				Lower	Upper
	Base Sat		Standard	No	95%	95%
	Flow	Median	Deviation	Samples	conf. Bd	conf. Bd
ard •	4.420	4.445	200	4.6	1006.64	4500
3 rd Ave	1428	1445	206	16	1326.64	1529
Park Ave	1362	1377	120	20	1305.39	1419
Park Ave	1302	13//	130	20	1305.39	1419
Both						
Locations	1392	1393	169	36	1336.28	1447

A t-test was done to see if there was a statistical difference in the means of the two locations. The results, as seen in Table 11, show that there is not a statistically significant difference between the two locations. Thus the overall base saturation flow rate should be used.

Table 11. T-test on means of two locations

Comparing Means	[t-test assumi	ng equal variances (homosced	astic)]
Descriptive Statistics			_
	Sample		
<i>VAR</i>	size	Mean	Variance
	16	1,427.75419	42,583.90636
	20	1,362.4148	16,930.53532
Summary			
Degrees Of Freedom	34	Hypothesized Mean Difference	0.E+0
Test Statistics	1.15905	Pooled Variance	28,248.19902
Two-tailed distribution			
p-level	0.25451	t Critical Value (5%)	2.03224
One-tailed distribution			
p-level	0.12726	t Critical Value (5%)	1.69092

Table 12 shows the statistics on prevailing saturation flow rate.

Table 12: Statistics for Prevailing Saturation Flow Rate

					Lower	Upper
	Mean				95%	95%
	Prevailing		Standard	No	conf.	conf.
	Sat Flow	Median	Deviation	Samples	bound	bound
3rd Ave						
at 34th St	1108.43	1107.79	197.27	16	1011.77	1205.09
3rd Ave						
at 34th St	1150.42	1121.54	150.42	20	1084.49	1216.34
Both						
Locations	1131.76	1116.28	171.49	36	1075.74	1187.78

Analysis of Delay

Delay data was measured from the video tapes for the locations shown in Table 1, and delay was calculated using the HCM 2010 methodology. Level of service, as defined in the HCM, is based upon these delay numbers. The breakpoints for level of service at a signalized intersection are given in Table 13.

TABLE 13. LOS Criteria

Control Delay (s/veh)	LOS
≤ 10	A
> 10 – 20	В
> 20 – 35	С
> 35 – 55	D
> 55 - 80	Е
> 80	F

Tables 14 - 16 show a sample of the delay results calculated from both the field data and using the 2010 HCM methodology, for each location. Included in the tables are also comparisons of the level of service produced by each methodology. It can be seen that for under saturated conditions, the results align very well. In many cases, a change in level of service is due to the delay number being very close to the break point between the two levels of service. For instance, on 1st Avenue at 14th street, at 4pm, the data calculated a delay of 29.03 seconds per vehicle, which is level of service C. The HCM methodology predicted a delay of 19.07 seconds per vehicle. There is about a 10-second difference per vehicle in the prediction. However, if the HCM2010 value was just 0.93 seconds higher, it would also be in the LOS C range.

TABLE 14. Sample Delay data for 1st Avenue at 14th Street

		r. Sample	- ··· <i>y</i> ·······							
	1 Ave & 14 Street									
	Time	Data	HCM	Data		HCM				
	Tillie	Delay	Delay		LOS	LOS				
							Sec Diff			
20-Jun	14:00	26.96	23.54		С	С	3.42			
	14:15	27.59	23.00		С	С	4.59			
	14:30	30.11	22.07		С	С	8.04			
	14:45	32.78	23.50		С	С	9.28			
	15:00	30.51	26.10		С	С	4.41			
	15:15	22.30	21.27		С	С	1.03			
	15:30	22.00	21.45		С	С	0.55			
	15:45	15.40	19.19		В	В	3.79			
	16:00	29.03	19.07		C	В	9.96			
	16:15	20.04	18.03		С	В	2.01			
	16:30	13.71	17.51		В	В	3.80			
	16:45	11.90	21.64		В	С	9.74			
	17:00	11.73	19.12		В	В	7.39			
	17:15	22.88	18.43		С	В	4.45			
	17:30	16.85	18.66		В	В	1.81			
	17:45	19.02	20.29		В	С	1.27			
21-Jun	14:00	47.42	18.86		D	В	28.56			
	14:15	43.12	17.84		D	В	25.28			
	14:30	33.18	17.07		С	В	16.11			
	14:45	33.56	17.70		С	В	15.86			
	15:00	38.97	17.87		D	В	21.10			
	15:15	37.21	17.46		D	В	19.75			
	15:30	23.33	17.46		С	В	5.87			
	15:45	23.61	18.02		С	В	5.59			
	16:00	24.27	16.51		С	В	7.76			
	16:15	19.46	16.25		В	В	3.21			
	16:30	14.33	16.13		В	В	1.80			
	18:15	22.61	17.63		С	В	4.98			
	18:30	20.52	17.31		С	В	3.21			
	18:45	29.07	17.54		С	В	11.53			
	19:00	35.44	17.75		D	В	17.69			
	19:15	24.37	17.27		С	В	7.10			
	19:30	27.28	17.81		С	В	9.47			
	19:45	20.44	17.42		С	В	3.02			

TABLE 15. Sample Delay data for 3rd Avenue at 34th Street

	3rd Avenue at 34th Street									
	Data HCM Data HCM									
	Time	Delay	Delay		LOS	LOS	Sec Diff			
5/17/12	7:00	4.5	7.0		Α	Α	2.5			
	7:15	6.0	7.0		Α	Α	1.0			
	7:30	4.8	7.1		Α	Α	2.3			
	7:45	7.3	7.4		Α	Α	0.1			
	8:00	9.8	8.3		Α	Α	1.5			
	8:15	12.1	17.7		В	В	5.6			
	8:30	13.5	12.5		В	В	1.0			
	8:45	23.6	13.7		С	В	9.9			
	9:00	12.1	11.7		В	В	0.4			
	9:15	14.1	9.2		В	Α	4.9			
	10:45	9.3	7.5		Α	Α	1.8			
	11:00	11.9	8.6		В	Α	3.3			
	11:15	18.4	9.1		В	Α	9.3			
	11:45	13.6	13.7		В	В	0.1			
	12:00	9.0	9.4		Α	Α	0.4			
	12:15	16.0	13.3		В	В	2.7			
	12:30	14.3	11.2		В	В	3.1			
	12:45	4.6	12.9		Α	В	8.3			
	13:00	16.9	15.4		В	В	1.5			
	13:15	13.6	17.7		В	В	4.1			
	13:30	15.0	21.9		В	С	6.9			
	13:45	19.0	26.4		В	С	7.4			
	14:00	22.5	15.4		С	В	7.1			
	14:15	16.8	19.7		В	В	2.9			
	14:30	13.3	18.2		В	В	4.9			
	14:45	20.0	30.9		С	С	10.9			
5/18/12	7:00	3.0	7.6		Α	Α	4.6			
	7:15	3.1	7.9		Α	Α	4.7			
	7:30	3.7	7.8		Α	Α	4.1			
	7:45	9.0	20.5		Α	С	11.5			
	9:45	11.3	8.5		В	Α	2.8			
	10:00	11.4	17.4		В	В	6.0			
	10:15	10.2	7.9		В	Α	2.3			
	10:30	14.9	8.4		В	Α	6.5			
	10:45	13.1	8.4		В	Α	4.7			
5/20/12	8:45	14.8	21.3		В	С	6.5			
	9:00	20.8	32.9		С	С	12.1			
	9:15	14.7	33.8		В	С	19.1			

TABLE 16. Sample Delay data for West Street at Canal Street

	West Street at Canal									
		Data	НСМ	Da	ita HCM	Sec				
	Time	Delay	Delay		os Los	Diff				
Sep-11	10:30 AM	8.2	4.3	Α	Α	3.9				
	10:45 AM	8.1	4.0	Α	Α	4.1				
	11:00 AM	7.9	4.3	А	Α	3.6				
	11:15 AM	7.1	3.9	Α	Α	3.1				
	11:30 AM	7.6	3.9	Α	Α	3.8				
	11:45 AM	8.8	4.3	Α	Α	4.5				
	4:00 PM	7.5	4.0	Α	Α	3.5				
	4:15 PM	8.0	3.9	Α	Α	4.1				
	4:30 PM	7.4	3.8	Α	А	3.5				
	4:45 PM	8.6	3.6	Α	Α	5.0				
29-Sep	8:00 AM	9.7	4.3	Α	Α	5.4				
	8:15 AM	8.6	5.2	Α	Α	3.4				
	8:30 AM	8.0	4.6	Α	Α	3.4				
	11:00 AM	9.5	4.0	Α	Α	5.5				
	11:15 AM	7.6	4.5	Α	Α	3.1				
	11:30 AM	9.3	4.3	Α	Α	5.0				
	11:45 AM	8.2	5.0	Α	Α	3.2				
	4:00 PM	8.3	3.8	Α	Α	4.5				
	4:15 PM	10.8	3.4	В	Α	7.4				
	4:30 PM	11.3	3.5	В	Α	7.8				
	4:45 PM	9.1	4.2	Α	Α	4.9				
	5:00 PM	8.4	3.6	Α	Α	4.8				
	5:15 PM	8.7	3.8	Α	Α	4.9				
	5:30 PM	9.6	3.9	Α	Α	5.7				
	5:45 PM	8.6	3.5	Α	Α	5.0				
	6:00 PM	8.2	3.3	Α	Α	4.9				
	6:15 PM	9.0	4.1	Α	Α	4.9				
	6:30 PM	9.3	4.4	Α	Α	5.0				
	6:45 PM	9.8	3.5	А	А	6.3				
	7:00 PM	9.9	4.1	Α	Α	5.8				
	7:15 PM	10.7	3.6	В	А	7.1				
	7:30 PM	8.7	4.1	А	А	4.6				
	7:45 PM	10.7	3.3	В	Α	7.4				

Tables 17 - 19 show the results of doing paired t tests on the mean, hypothesizing that the difference in the means in zero between the field results and HCM results. Only at West and Canal streets can the hypothesis not be rejected. At that location (West and Canal) going Southbound, the through vehicles have an excellent progression and relatively little red time, so the delay numbers are very small in both methodologies.

TABLE 17. Paired t-test for 1st Avenue at 14th Street

Comparing	g Means [Pair	red two-sample t-test]	
Descriptive Statistics			
	Sample		_
VAR	size	Mean	Variance
	48	22.97104	82.98809
	48	18.8055	4.64903
Summary			
Degrees Of Freedom	47	Hypothesized Mean Difference	0.E+0
Test Statistics	3.12213	Pooled Variance	43.81856
Two-tailed distribution			
p-level	0.00307	t Critical Value (5%)	2.01174
One-tailed distribution			
p-level	0.00153	t Critical Value (5%)	1.67793

TABLE 18. Paired t-test for 3rd Avenue at 34th Street

Comparing Means [Paired two-sample t-test]									
Descriptive Statistics									
	Sample								
<i>VAR</i>	size	Mean	Variance						
	53	13.10547	28.2052						
	53	13.21173	44.30646						
Summary									
Degrees Of Freedom	52	Hypothesized Mean Difference	0.E+0						
Test Statistics	0.12225	Pooled Variance	36.25583						
Two-tailed distribution									
p-level	0.90317	t Critical Value (5%)	2.00665						
One-tailed distribution									
p-level	0.45159	t Critical Value (5%)	1.67469						

TABLE 19. Paired t-test for data at West Street and Canal

Comparing Means [Paired two-sample t-test]										
Descriptive Statistics										
VAR	Sample size	Mean	Variance							
	33	8.81515	1.11751							
	33	3.99788	0.1987							
Summary										
Degrees Of Freedom	32	Hypothesized Mean Difference	0.E+0							
Test Statistics	21.62943	Pooled Variance	0.65811							
Two-tailed distribution										
p-level	0.E+0	t Critical Value (5%)	2.03693							
One-tailed distribution										
p-level	0.E+0	t Critical Value (5%)	1.69389							

4. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Field data showed that start-up lost time is regularly less than the 2.0 seconds that is used at a default in the Highway Capacity Manual. It was often the case that the headway of several of the first four vehicles is actually less than the saturation flow rate. Particularly the first vehicle was found to have an extremely low headway, which is probably indicative of the first car often jumping the light.

It has been observed that lanes where there are heavy vehicles, delay values by HCS 2010 are higher than the delay values by data method. While the ones without these components (West St and Canal St) have almost similar delay values by both the methods.

REFERENCES

- 1. *Highway Capacity Manual*, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington DC, 2010.
- 2. 2010 HCM, Transportation Research Board, Chapter 31, pg
- 3. Roess, R., Prassas, E., and McShane, W., *Traffic Engineering*, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall, 2010.
- 4. Li, H, and Prevedouros, P., "Detailed observations of saturation headways and start-up lost times," Transportation Research Record, no. 1802, pp. 44–53, 2002.
- 5. Murthy, N. and Mohle, H., "Transportation Engineering Basics," ASCE Press. 2001.
- 6. Rahman, M., Nur-ud-deen, S., Hassan, T., "Comparison of saturation flow rate at signalized intersections in Yokohama and Dhaka," Proceedings of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies, vol. 5, pp. 959–966, 2005.
- 7. F. B. Lin and D. R. Thomas, "Headway compression during queue discharge at signalized intersections," Transportation Research Record, no. 1920, pp. 81–85, 2005.
- 8. Chaudhry, M. and Ranjitkar, P., "Traffic Signal Design with an Increasing Queue Discharge Rate," Proceedings of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies, Vol. 9, 2013
- 9. Joseph, J. and Chang, G. "Saturation flow rates and maximum critical lane volumes for planning applications in Maryland," Journal of Transportation Engineering, vol. 131, no. 12, pp. 946–952, 2005
- 10. Long, G. "Driver behavior model of saturation flow," Transportation Research Record, no. 2027, pp. 65–72, 2007.

11. APPENDIX A

DELAY DATA FOR 1ST AVENUE AND 14TH STREET

Total Control Delay studies												
			Date &									
Inters	ection		1 Av	& 14	l St			Time			0	6/20/11; 16:00
				Nι	ımbe	r of	Vehi	cles i	n que	eue (Coun	t Intervals)
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
16:00	1	0	16	18	20	0	0	16	18	18		
16:03	2	0	14	16	16	6	12	15	16	16		
16:06	3	0	14	19	19	0	0	13	14	14		
16:09	4	0	5	6	7	2	1	11	11	11		
16:12	5	0	5	6	6	0	0	8	9	9		
Total		0	54	65	68	8	13	63	68	68	0	407
Step 1												
Interval									20			
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue										407		
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period											273	
Emprical adjus	stment factor											0.9
Average Time	in queue											26.83516484
Step 2												
Total Count of	Stopping Vehic	le										120
Number of cyc	cles included in t	the s	urvey	1								10
Number of lan	es in the survey	lane	grou	ıp								5
Number of Ve	hicles stopping _ا	oer la	ane, p	er cy	/cle							2.4
Step 3												
Fraction of Vehicles stopping									0.43956044			
Step 4												
Correction Factor (From table below)									5			
Total Control of	delay											29.03296703

Adjustment Factor for Acceleration/Decceleration Delay								
	Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle							
Free Flow Speed (mi/h)	7 Vehs	8-19 vehs	20-30 vehs					
≤37	5	2	-1					
>37-45	7	4	2					
>45	9	7	5					

Heavy Vehicles	16

Total Control Delay studies												
							Date &					
Inters	ection		1 Av	& 1 4	4 St			Time	!		0	6/20/11; 16:15
				Nι	umbe	r of	Vehi	cles i	in qu	eue (Count Intervals)		
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
16:15	1	4	1	5	6	0	0	11	12	13		
16:18	2	2	3	3	4	1	0	8	8	9		
16:21	3	0	5	6	7	0	0	13	16	16		
16:24	4	8	11	11	11	0	0	5	7	8		
16:27	5	0	5	8	9	3	2	10	11	12		
Total		14	25	33	37	4	2	47	54	58	0	274

Step 1			
Interval	20		
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	274		
Total Number of vehicles arrivi	ng during the study	/ period	269
Emprical adjustment factor			0.9
Average Time in queue	18.33457249		
Step 2			
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle			92
Number of cycles included in th	ie survey		10
Number of lanes in the survey I	ane group		5
Number of Vehicles stopping pe	1.84		
Step 3			
Fraction of Vehicles stopping			0.342007435
Step 4			
Correction Factor (From table b	elow)		5
Total Control delay			20.04460967
Adjustme	nt Factor for Acce	eration/Decceleration D	Delay
	Ve	hicles stopping per lane	e, per cycle
Free Flow Speed (mi/h)	7 Vehs	8-19 vehs	20-30 vehs
≤37	5	2	-1
>37-45	7	4	2
>45	9	7	5
Heavy Vehicles			18

Total Control Delay studies												
							Date &					
Inters	ection		1 A	v & 1	L4 St		Time 06				6/20/11; 16:30	
				١	Numb	er of	Vehi	icles i	in qu	eue (Coun	t Intervals)
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
16:30	1	3	2	3	5	12	17	13	17	17		
16:33	2	4	9	9	10	2	0	1	2	5		
16:36	3	0	2	4	5	0	0	1	1	1		
16:39	4	0	1	1	1	0	1	4	5	5		
16:42	5	1	0	2	3	0	0	1	1	2		
Total		8	14	19	24	14	18	20	26	30	0	173

Step 1	
Interval	20
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	173
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	248
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9
Average Time in queue	12.55645161
Step 2	
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	57
Number of cycles included in the survey	10
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	1.14
Step 3	
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.22983871
Step 4	
Correction Factor (From table below)	5
Total Control delay	13.70564516

Adjustment Factor for Acceleration/Decceleration Delay								
	Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle							
Free Flow Speed (mi/h)	7 Vehs	8-19 vehs		20-30 vehs				
≤37	5	2			-1			
>37-45	7	4			2			
>45	9	7			5			
Heavy Vehicles	Heavy Vehicles							

	Total Control Delay studies											
								Date	&			
Inters	ection		1 A	v & 1	4 St			Time	9		06	5/20/11; 16:45
				Nι	umbe	r of	Veł	nicles	in qu	eue (Coun	t Intervals)
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
16:45	1	0	4	7	8	0	0	1	1	2		
16:48	2	0	2	6	6	0	0	11	11	13		
16:51	3	2	5	6	7	0	0	9	13	13		
16:54	4	2	4	7	11	0	0	6	6	6		
16:57	5	1	2	3	5	2	2	4	4	6		
Total		5	17	29	37	2	2	31	35	40	0	198

Step 1	
Interval	20
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	198
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	333
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9
Average Time in queue	10.7027027
Step 2	
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	80
Number of cycles included in the survey	10
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	1.6

Step 3	
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.24024024

Step 4	
Correction Factor (From table below)	5
Total Control delay	11.9039039

Adjustment Factor for Acceleration/Decceleration Delay							
	Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle						
Free Flow Speed (mi/h)	7 Vehs	20-30 vehs					
≤37	5	2		-1			
>37-45	7	4		2			
>45	9	7		5			
Heavy Vehicles				24			

Total Control Delay studies													
		Г			Date &								
Inters	ection		1 A	v & 1	4 St		Time				06/20/11; 17:00		
				N	lumb	er o	f Ve	f Vehicles in qu			ueue (Count Intervals)		
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
17:00	1	0	3	7	9	3	0	8	8	9			
17:03	2	0	1	4	5	0	0	0	1	2			
17:06	3	0	2	3	4	0	2	4	7	7			
17:09	4	0	6	9	9	2	0	2	4	6			
17:12	5	5	8	9	10	0	0	2	3	4			
Total	·	5	20	32	37	5	2	16	23	28	0	168	

Step 1					
Interval	20				
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	168				
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	288				
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9				
Average Time in queue	10.5				
Step 2					
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	71				
Number of cycles included in the survey	10				
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5				
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	1.42				
Step 3					
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.246527778				
Step 4					
Correction Factor (From table below)	5				
Total Control delay	11.73263889				
	<u></u>				
Heavy Vehicles	17				

Total Control Delay studies													
					Date &								
Inters	ection		1 Av	& 1 4	St		Time				06/20/11; 17:15		
				Νι	ımbe	r of	Vehicles in que			ueue (Count Intervals)			
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
17:15	1	3	0	1	3	0	0	2	4	5			
17:18	2	5	3	8	8	0	0	17	18	19			
17:21	3	10	10	12	13	3	3	5	5	8			
17:24	4	4	12	16	16	0	3	12	12	14			
17:27	5	5	17	17	17	0	0	3	4	4			
Total		27	42	54	57	3	6	39	43	50	0	321	

Step 1	
Interval	20
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	321
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	277
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9
Average Time in queue	20.85920578

Step 2	
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	112
Number of cycles included in the survey	10
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	2.24

Step 3	
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.40433213

Step 4	
Correction Factor (From table below)	5
Total Control delay	22.88086643
Heavy Vehicles	18

Total Control Delay studies												
							Date &					
Inters	ection		1 A	v & 1	4 St		Time				0	6/20/11; 17:30
				Νι	umbe	r of \	/ehi	/ehicles in queue (Cour			Coun	t Intervals)
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
17:30	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	14	14	14		
17:33	2	5	6	7	8	1	0	3	3	4		
17:36	3	3	14	16	17	8	3	3	4	4		
17:39	4	0	1	2	3	1	0	8	9	10		
17:42	5	2	11	11	12	0	0	5	6	6		
Total	·	11	32	37	42	10	3	33	36	38	0	242

Step 1	
Interval	20
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	242
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	282
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9
Average Time in queue	15.44680851

Step 2	
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	79
Number of cycles included in the survey	10
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	1.58

Step 3	
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.280141844

Step 4	
Correction Factor (From table below)	5
Total Control delay	16.84751773
Heavy Vehicles	21

Total Control Delay studies												
							Date &					
Inters	ection	1 Av & 14 St				Time		06/20/11; 17:45				
				Nι	ımbe	r of V	ehic	hicles in queue (Count Intervals)				
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
17:45	1	1	7	10	11	3	3	12	14	16		
17:48	2	1	6	8	9	2	0	0	2	2		
17:51	3	2	2	8	11	1	0	15	15	15		
17:54	4	0	15	18	19	4	0	15	17	4		
17:57	5	0	2	2	4	0	0	8	10	10		
Total	·	4	32	46	54	10	3	50	58	47	0	304

Step 1				
Interval	20			
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	304			
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	320			
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9			
Average Time in queue	17.1			

Step 2	
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	123
Number of cycles included in the survey	10
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	2.46

Step 3	
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.384375

Step 4			
Correction Factor (From table below)	5		
Total Control delay	19.021875		
Heavy Vehicles	16		

Total Control Delay studies												
							Date &					
Intersection		1 Av & 14 St				Time		06/20/11; 18:00				
				N	lumb	er o	f Ve	hicle	es in queue (Count Intervals)			
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
18:00	1	0	4	10	11	1	0	1	1	4		
18:03	2	1	3	3	3	0	0	2	3	3		
18:06	3	0	7	7	8	2	0	1	1	1		
18:09	4	1	0	0	2	0	3	8	9	9		
18:12	5	4	4	5	5	1	0	2	2	3		
Total		6	18	25	29	4	3	14	16	20	0	135

Step 1	
Interval	20
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	135
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	295
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9
Average Time in queue	8.237288136

Step 2	
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	54
Number of cycles included in the survey	10
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	1.08

Step 3	
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.183050847

Step 4	
Correction Factor (From table below)	5
Total Control delay	9.152542373
Heavy Vehicles	14

Total Control Delay studies												
Inters	1 Av & 14 St						te & 1	Гime	06/20/11; 18:15			
		Number of Vehicles in queue (Count Intervals)									ls)	
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
18:15	1	0	1	1	3	0	0	2	3	3		
18:18	2	0	6	10	11	0	0	1	2	3		
18:21	3	3	2	2	1	0	4	10	10	10		
18:24	4	7	6	9	9	5	4	2	2	2		
18:27	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	12	14		
Total		10	15	22	24	5	8	25	29	32	0	170

Step 1						
Interval	20					
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	170					
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	300					
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9					
Average Time in queue	10.2					

Step 2					
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	57				
Number of cycles included in the survey	10				
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5				
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	1.14				

Step 3	
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.19

Step 4						
Correction Factor (From table below)						
Total Control delay	11.15					
Heavy Vehicles	9					

Total Control Delay studies												
							Date &					
Inters	ection		1 A	v & 1	4 St		Time			06/20/11; 18:30		
				N	lumb	er o	f Ve	hicle	s in q	ueue	(Cou	nt Intervals)
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
18:30	1	0	2	2	3	0	0	12	12	14		
18:33	2	5	12	13	14	0	2	5	6	9		
18:36	3	0	6	7	8	0	0	9	10	10		
18:39	4	1	5	5	5	0	0	4	4	4		
18:42	5	0	0	4	4	1	0	3	4	6		
Total		6	25	31	34	1	2	33	36	43	0	211

Step 1	
Interval	20
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	211
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	335
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9
Average Time in queue	11.33731343

Step 2	
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	77
Number of cycles included in the survey	10
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	1.54

Step 3	
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.229850746

Step 4	
Correction Factor (From table below)	5
Total Control delay	12.48656716
Heavy Vehicles	17

Total Control Delay studies													
							Date &						
Inters	ection		1 A	v & 1	4 St			Time			06/20/11; 18:45		
				N	lumb	er o	f Ve	hicle	s in q	ueue	(Cou	nt Intervals)	
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
18:45	1	0	2	6	6	1	0	12	12	12			
18:48	2	2	6	6	8	0	0	3	3	3			
18:51	3	1	3	8	10	1	0	2	3	3			
18:54	4	0	2	2	2	2	0	0	1	2			
18:57	5	1	3	7	9	2	0	2	2	5			
Total		4	16	29	35	6	0	19	21	25	0	155	

Step 1	
Interval	20
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	155
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	311
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9
Average Time in queue	8.971061093

Step 2	
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	69
Number of cycles included in the survey	10
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	1.38

Step 3	
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.221864952

Step 4					
Correction Factor (From table below)	5				
Total Control delay	10.08038585				
Heavy Vehicles	18				

Total Control Delay studies												
								ate 8	<u> </u>			
Inters	ection	1 Av & 14 St					Time	!		0	6/20/11; 19:00	
				١	Numb	er of	Vehi	cles i	in qu	eue (Coun	t Intervals)
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
19:00	1	2	1	2	5	2	0	5	6	7		
19:03	2	0	4	5	6	0	4	11	11	11		
19:06	3	4	5	8	9	2	2	1	2	4		
19:09	4	2	2	6	7	4	2	8	8	1		
19:12	5	1	5	8	8	4	2	10	13	14		
Total		9	17	29	35	12	10	35	40	37	0	224

Step 1					
Interval	20				
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	224				
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	311				
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9				
Average Time in queue	12.96463023				

Step 2	
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	102
Number of cycles included in the survey	10
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	2.04

Step 3	
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.327974277

Step 4				
Correction Factor (From table below)	5			
Total Control delay	14.60450161			
Heavy Vehicles	16			

Total Control Delay studies												
						Date	&					
Inters	Intersection 1 Av & 1			1 Av & 14 St Time 06/20/11; 19:15			6/20/11; 19:15					
				Nι	ımbe	r of	Veł	nicles	in qu	ieue ((Cour	nt Intervals)
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
19:15	1	3	11	13	13	2	0	16	16	16		
19:18	2	4	6	11	11	2	2	8	8	8		
19:21	3	0	2	5	5	1	0	3	3	4		
19:24	4	3	4	8	10	1	0	2	2	2		
19:27	5	0	7	7	9	1	0	2	3	4		
Total		10	30	44	48	7	2	31	32	34	0	238

Step 1	
Interval	20
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	238
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	322
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9
Average Time in queue	13.30434783

Step 2	
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	107
Number of cycles included in the survey	10
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	2.14

Step 3	
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.332298137

Step 4	
Correction Factor (From table below)	5
Total Control delay	14.96583851
Heavy Vehicles	12

Total Control Delay studies													
						Date &							
Inters	ection		1 A	v & 1	4 St			Time			06/20/11; 19:30		
				N	lumb	er o	f Ve	f Vehicles in qu			ueue (Count Intervals)		
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1 2 3 4 5					6	7	8	9	10		
19:15	1	1	3	8	8	3	2	5	5	5			
19:18	2	2	0	4	5	2	0	9	10	10			
19:21	3	0	2	6	6	0	0	9	9	11			
19:24	4	3	2	7	7	2	0	3	4	5			
19:27	5	0	4	4	6	2	2	5	7	7			
Total		6	11	29	32	9	4	31	35	38	0	195	

Step 1	
Interval	20
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	195
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	321
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9
Average Time in queue	10.93457944

Step 2	
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	71
Number of cycles included in the survey	10
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	1.42

Step 3	
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.221183801

Step 4	
Correction Factor (From table below)	5
Total Control delay	12.04049844
Heavy Vehicles	13

Total Control Delay studies													
					Date &								
Intersection			1 A	v & 1	4 St		Time			06/20/11; 19:45			
				N	lumb	er o	f Ve	f Vehicles in qu			ueue (Count Intervals)		
Clock Time	Cycle Num	1 2 3 4 5					6	7	8	9	10		
19:45	1	0	7	12	12	1	0	4	4	6			
19:48	2	2	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	2			
19:51	3	0	6	10	10	3	0	1	1	1			
19:54	4	3	2	3	4	1	0	4	5	7			
19:57	5	1	1	2	4	2	2	6	6	7			
Total		6	18	29	33	8	2	15	16	23	0	150	

Step 1	
Interval	20
Sum of all Vehicles-in-queue	150
Total Number of vehicles arriving during the study period	286
Emprical adjustment factor	0.9
Average Time in queue	9.440559441

Step 2	
Total Count of Stopping Vehicle	60
Number of cycles included in the survey	10
Number of lanes in the survey lane group	5
Number of Vehicles stopping per lane, per cycle	1.2

Step 3	
Fraction of Vehicles stopping	0.20979021

Step 4	
Correction Factor (From table below)	5
Total Control delay	10.48951049
Heavy Vehicles	11

APPENDIX

HEADWAY DATA 3RD AVENUE AND 34TH STREET

Intersect	ion	3	Av & 34	St		Date 8	k Time	15			
	1 1	Red =	Heavy ve	ehicle; Blu	e = Ped;	Green =	interfere	ence			Lane
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1			5.36		5	0	/	٥	9	10	Diabt
8:15:04	3.85 2.9	4.29 2.16	2.4	2.29 1.73							Right
6.15.04	2.74	2.10	2.4	1./3							
	1.68										
2	2.24	2.37	1 70	10.49							Diabt
			4.78	10.48							Right
	2.99	3.71	3.21	11.95							
		2.87	6.13								
	1.88	4.68	4.71								
3	0.75	3.33	4.71	2 22	C 01						Diabt
3	2.19	2.43	4.01	2.32	6.81						Right
	2.82	3.05	2.10								
	2.76	2.91	2.18	2 24							
	0.85	2.27	3.66	2.21							
4	0	0.64	7.04	7.47	F 0F	2.0					Dielet
0.10.24	5.35	8.64	7.94	7.17	5.85	3.9					Right
8:19:34	1.94	2.44	1.04								
	2.76	3.35	1.84								
	1.52	3.19									
	0	2.07	1.64	11.01	2.10						Diaba
5	2.26	3.07	4.64	11.01	2.19						Right
	0										
	0										
	0										
	0	4.00	2.00	2.24	C	2.01	1.04	2 22	2.50	2.4	Diaba
6	2.98	4.86	3.86	3.24	6.55	3.91	1.04	3.32	2.58	3.4	Right
	0										
	0										
	0										
-	0	4.20	2 72	2 44	12.20	2.01					Diala
9:24:04	2.09	4.38	3.72	2.41	12.26	3.01	2.07	1.00	4.02	ר ר	Right
8:24:04		3.08	3.35	3.51	4.68	2.3	2.87	1.99	4.83	2.27	
	0										
	0										
0	0	2.00									Diala
8.25.24	2.02	3.86	4 -	4 24							Right
8:25:34	2.32	2.04	4.5	4.21							
	1.73	3.85	1.66	3.16							
	1.98	3.05	1.76	2.71							

	1.68	2.9	4.05						
9	2.37	3.54							Right
8:27:04									
AM	3.4	2.66	2.21	3.24					
	2.23	1.91	1.76	1.68	2.66				
	1.35	4.24	2.43						
	2.79	2.73							
10	3.08	6.83	7.14	2.74	2.59	4.86			Right
	3.46	3.08							
	0								
	4.57								
	0								
11	2.76								Right
8:30:04	2.01	2.32	4.75						
	2.18	3.49							
	3.33								
	0								

		Start	t up Lost 1			
Ideal	-	Star	t up Lost	iiiic		
Hdwy	Prevailing	1	2	3	4	
•		1.19	1.63	2.7	-0.37	
		0.24	-0.5	-0.26	-0.93	
		0.08				
		-0.98				
		-0.42	-0.29	2.12	7.82	
		0.33	1.05	0.55		
		0.03	0.21	3.47	-2.66	
		-0.78	2.02			
		-1.91	0.67			
	6.81	-0.47	-0.23	1.35	-0.34	
		0.16	0.39			
		0.1	0.25	-0.48		
		-1.81	-0.39	1	-0.45	
	4.88					
		-0.72	-0.22			
		0.1		-0.82		
		-1.14				
	2.19					
	2.05					
	2.85					
	7.04					
2.00	7.64	0.42	0.43			
2.96	3.16	-0.43	0.42			
		-0.34	-0.62			
		-0.34	1.19	-1	0.5	
		-0.68	0.39	-0.9	0.05	

İ	1 1	1	1	1		I
		-0.98	0.24	1.39		
		0.74		-0.45		
2.66	2.66	-0.43	-0.75	-0.9	-0.98	
		-1.31	1.58	-0.23		
		0.13	0.07			
	3.73					
		0.8	0.42			
		-		-		
		1.91				
		-0.65	-0.34	2.09		
		-0.48	0.83			
		0.67				
Base						
Hdwy	Prevailing	-0.27	0.35	0.60	0.29	
						=Start
						up lost
2.81	4.24				0.98	time

Interse	ction	3 A	/ & 34 S	t		Date & Tim	ne	5/	20/11; 8:30		
		Red = He	avy veh	icle; Blu	e = Ped; G	reen = inte	<u>rfere</u> ı	nce			Lane
Vehicle											
No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	0										Right
8:31:34	0										
	0										
	0										
	0										
2	0										Right
8:33:04	0										
	0										
	0										
	0										
3	2.43	20.73	5.03	6.17	9.14	2.85					Right
8:34:34	9.06										
	1.28	3.21	2.01	2.18	2.91						
	0										
	0										
4	0										Right
8:36:04	1.96	1.48	2.8	4.46							
	0										
	2.93	3.01	2.62	2.66	3.41						
	4.32										
5	4.24										Right
8:37:34	0										
	2.94	3.47									
	0.7	5.61									
	2.54										
6	2.29	5.42									Right
8:39:04	0										
	0	4.04									
	3.07	4.04									
-	1.99										Distri
7	3.26	2.02	2.02								Right
8:40:34	6.41	2.93	3.93								
	0										
	0										
	0										المادر
8.42.04	0										Right
8:42:04	0										
	0										
	0										

	0		
9	4.18	5.05	Rig
8:43:34	0		
	0		
	2.94	3.01	
	3.32		
10	1.91		Rig
8:45:04	1.2	5.25	

3

	Start up Lost Time	
Ideal		

Headway Headway 1 2

6 2.91 2.91 -1.63 0.3 -0.73 -0.95 -1.43 -2.91 0.02 0.1 -0.29 -0.25 1.41 0.03 0.56 -2.21 2.7 -0.37 0.16 1.13 -0.92

3.5 0.02

-2.91 -2.91 0.03 0.1 0.41 -1.71 2.34 Base Hdwy Prevailing -0.69 0.65 -0.29 -0.49 -0.82 4.46 2.91

Intersecti					Date &	Гіте	5/17/11;	5/17/11; 9:45		
	R	ed = Hea	avy vehic	le; Blue =	Ped; Gr	een = inte	rference			Lane
Vehicle No/			•							
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 9	9 10	LANE
1	0									Right
9:44:59	0									
	0									
	0									
	0									
2	5.46	5.85	7.11							Right
9:46:29	0									
	8.93	3.32	1.63							
	6.42	5.88	3.9							
	14.69									
3	0									Right
9:47:59	0									
	0									
	0									
	0		2.04	7.00	2.55					D: 1 :
0.40.20	1.48	4.11	3.04	7.89	3.55					Right
9:49:29	2.82 0	4.14	3.01	3.77	2.1					
	1.29	4.35								
	0	4.55								
5	4.1	4.41	14.04	5.39						Right
9:50:59	4.85	2.94	11101	3.33						
	0									
	2.09	3.69								
	0									
6	2.6	5.3	4.38	10.34	5.46	5.83				Right
9:52:29	7.64									
	3.68	6.59								
	5.22	4.18	3.12	1.63						
	1.03	12.29								
7	3.66	5.31	10.95	2.12						Right
9:53:59	1.63	11.29	6.55							
	2.43	3.05								
	1.93	2.91								
0	0									Diah+
9:55:29	2.66 2.41									Right
9.55.29	4.05	12.05								
		12.05								
1	8.03									

	0								
9	5.61	2.91	2.44	7.92	3.1	2.48	2.63	4.36	Right
9:56:59	13.22	2.38	1.93	5.74	3.05				
	6.58	2.77	2.99	2.21	1.84	2.21	2.32	1.63	
	4.22	6.52	4.91	2.37	2.43	2.85	2.9		
	0								
10	1.73	5.33	3.63	5.17	3.58	3.19	1.7	5.85	Right
9:58:29	2.52	5.17	2.35	6.38	5.05				
	1.2	5.8	1.4	2.6	2.59				
	1.65	4.1	2.98	2.09					
	5.41	7.86							

		Start up	Lost Time			
Ideal						
Headway	Headway	1	2	3	4	

0.91 -0.78 3.47 1.49

2.1 0.41
-1.12 1.94
2.44 0.53
-0.32 1.28

1.27

5.65

		2.81 -1.38	1.77	0.71	-0.78	
		-0.78				
		0.02				
		-0.48				
		0.00				
		1.64				
	2.44					
	3.14					
	3.05		-0.03	-0.48	3.33	
2	2		0.36	0.58	-0.20	
2.64	2.3	1.81				
	3.58					
		0.11				
2.59	2.59	-1.21				
		-0.76	1.69	0.57	-0.32	
Base						
Hdwy 2.41	Prevailing 3.05	0.28	1.32	0.35	0.51	2.46

Intersecti	on	3	Av & 34	St		Date &	Time	5	/18/11;	17:45	
		Red = He	eavy vehi	cle; Blue	= Ped; G	reen = in	terferer	nce			Lane
Vehicle No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	LANE
1	5.24	3.77	8.28	3.88	2.96	2.54	2.76	2.9			Right
17:45:04											Thru
	3.51	7.08	3.55	1.99	1.51	2.99	1.82	3.46			Thru
	1.67	6.67	2.27	4.29	1.79	1.4					Thru
	1.46	7.47	3.46	3.83							Thru
2	1.9	2.8	16.14	12.34	4.44	3.55	1.82				Right
17:46:34	5.89	4.05	6.47	2.51	2.12	14.88					
	2.04	4.11	4.94	2.58	3.41						
	1.23	4.8	2.79	2.24	1.95	3.85	1.31	1.63	2.65		
	3.52	2.8	4.08								
3	1.63	3.16	4.05	3.47	2.83	3.65	5.24				Right
17:48:04	2.35	3.1	2.49	2.51	2.77						
	4.46	2.52	2.99	2.3							
	3.8	2.57	5.18								
	0.94	2.44	3.24								
4	8.54	4.18	9.89	4.32	6.02						Right
17:49:34											
	1.77	3.4	2.93								
	0.9	2.79	3.07	2.52	1.95	1.77	2.83				
	1.96	3.04	2.55								
5											Right
17:51:04											
	2.23	2.43	4.19	2.54	2.41						
	0.93	3.22	2.09	1.43	4.19	3.51					
	2.79	2.87	2.49	2.26	2.09	2.93					
6											Right
17:52:34											
7	0.78	11.74	5.55	7.91	4.46	4.13					Right
17:54:04	0.81	20.23	4.83	5.13	1.34	5.13					0 -
	1.29	19.05	3.46	3.05	3.82	4.66					
	1.29	2.21	5.1	13.37	2.55	2.29					
	3.62	3.71	5.02	- 1							
8	1.98	3.08	8.19	15.66	3.51						Right
17:55:34											34

9	3.94	4.38	4	6.52	5.88	2.3	2.01	3.26	2.55	Right
17:57:04										
10	2.96	7.5	16.09	5.61	3.27	2.66				Right
17:58:34	4.18	3.72	2.15	4.58	2.88	2.59	2.58	2.27	2.59	
11										Right

		Star				
Ideal	Prevailing					
Headway	Headway	1	2	3	4	
	2.79					
2.45	2.45	0.73				
1.60	1.60	-1.11		-0.51	1.51	
		-1.32	4.69	0.68	1.05	
	3.27					
	2.12			3.69	-0.27	
3.41	3.41	-0.74	1.33	2.16	-0.20	
2.28	2.28	-1.55	2.02	0.01	-0.54	
		0.74	0.02	1.30		
	3.91					
	2.77	-0.43	0.32	-0.29	-0.27	
		1.68	-0.26			
		1.02	-0.21	2.40		
		-1.84	-0.34	0.46		
	6.02					
		-1.01	0.62	0.15		
1.86	2.18	-1.88	0.01	0.29	-0.26	
		-0.82	0.26	-0.23		
						l

		-0.55	-0.35			
3.85	3.85	-1.85	0.44	-0.69	-1.35	
2.51	2.51	0.01	0.09	-0.29	-0.52	
	4.46					
	5.13	-1.97		2.05		
4.66	4.24	-1.49		0.68	0.27	
2.42	2.42	-1.49	-0.57			
			0.93			
	3.51					
	2.20					
	3.20					
	2.97					
2.74	2.58	1.40		-0.63		
2.74	2.30	1.40		0.03		
						Start up
Base	Prevailing					Lost
Hdwy	Headway					time
2.78	3.22	-0.62	0.57	0.66	-0.06	0.55

Intersection	on	3 <i>P</i>	Nv & 34 S	t		Date 8	Time	5/18/	11; 18:00)
	F	Red = Hea	vy vehicl	e; Blue	= Ped; G	reen = int	terferen	ce		
Vehicle No/			,		,					
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	1.35	3.07	6.66	8.62	3.27	1.91	2.34			
18:00:04	2.87	4.41	4.29	3.94	2.48	2.05	1.65	2.1	2.34	
	0									
	0									
	0									
2	1.95	11.93	7.73	6.95	3.52					
18:01:34	2.73	3.72	2.09	1.71						
	0									
	0									
	0									
3	2.93	8.11	16.55	3.21	3.35	2.69				
18:03:04	1.43	3.86	3.1	2.91	1.84	1.87	2.91	2.43	1.56	
	2.49	4.3	1.88	2.44						
	3.3	3.35	2.02	2.07						
	1.98	3.96	2.53	2.32						
4	5.55	2.16	4.55	4.13	9.42	9.54	4.15	3.32		
18:04:34	1.09	13.33	5.16	3.23	4.88					
	2.54	3.18	3.04	9.31						
	2.8	5.46	2.73	3.55	2.54	4.46				
	0.95	2.48	3.07	4.86						
5	1.98	11.38	8.26	4.18	3.86	3.96	3.08	3.44		
18:06:04	2.4	6.92	13.29	1.63	1.79					
	0									
	0									
6	2.43	3.02	4.08	8.5	7.61	3.13	3.44	4.43		
18:07:34	1.87	3.51	2.79	2.02	2.18	1.82	2.91			
	1.49	2.57	2.84	2.65	2.58					
	1.7	3.47	3.1	1.74	2.04	2.15	1.4			
	4.16									
7	0									
18:09:04	0	2.6	2.2-	4.00	2.50	2.4				
	5	3.6	2.37	1.88	2.59	2.4	4.0=			
	4.91	2.65	2.27	2.23	2.9	2.07	1.95			
	1.73	6.6-	2.00	2.0=	2.65	2.61	6.65	2.61	4.60	
8	1.96	6.25	9.98	2.87	3.83	3.04	6.08	2.91	4.28	
18:10:34	4.94	3.8	2.79	1.65	4.66	2.15	2.77	2.23		
	1.49	3.16	3.88	1.59	2.26					
	1.82	2.48	2.4							

	1.43	2.24	2.99	2.54					
9	5.91	7.36	5.6	4.15	3.01	6.24	4.35	4.21	
18:12:04	1.38	2.76	2.52	4.71	6.45	3.54			
	0.82	2.96	2.12						
	1.79	2.46	2.4						
	2.59								
10	2.57	4.97	18.59	4.35	2.98	4.38			
18:13:34	3.94	2.98	3.99						
	2.15	3.61	2.26	2.04	1.73				
	2.49	2.3	2.4	2.23					
	1.63	3.75	3.96						

		Star	ime			
Ideal						
headway	Headway	1	2	3	4	
	2.51	-1.04				
	2.12	0.48			1.55	
	3.52	-0.44				
		0.34	1.33	-0.30	-0.68	
	3.02	0.54				
	2.12	-0.96		0.71	0.52	
		0.10		-0.51	0.05	
		0.91	0.96	-0.37	-0.32	
		-0.41	1.57	0.14	-0.07	
	6.61	3.16	-0.23			
		-1.30				
		0.15	0.79	0.65		
3.50	3.50	0.41		0.34	1.16	
		-1.44	0.09	0.68	2.47	
	3.59	-0.41				
	1.79	0.01				
	4.65	0.04				
	2.30	-0.52	1.12	0.40	-0.37	
2.58	2.58	-0.90	0.18	0.45	0.26	
1.86	1.86	-0.69	1.08	0.71	-0.65	
		1.77				
2.50	2.50	2.61	1.21	-0.02	-0.51	
2.31	2.31	2.52	0.26	-0.12	-0.16	
		-0.66				
	3.52	-0.43			0.48	
	2.38	2.55	1.41	0.40	-0.74	
2.26	2.26	-0.90	0.77	1.49	-0.80	
		-0.57	0.09	0.01		
		-0.96	-0.15	0.60	0.15	

	4.45					
	6.45	-1.01	0.37	0.13		
		-1.57	0.57	-0.27		
		-0.60	0.07	0.01		
		0.20				
	3.68	0.18				
		1.55	0.59	1.60		
1.73	1.73	-0.24	1.22	-0.13	-0.35	
		0.10				
		-0.76	1.36	1.57		
						Start up
Base	Prevailing					Lost
Hdwy	Headway					time
2.39	3.16	0.05	0.70	0.35	0.11	1.21

Intersection	on	3 /	Av & 34	St		Date	& Time	5/18/	11; 18:15	5	
	F	Red = Hea	vy vehi	cle; Blue :	= Ped; G	reen = iı	nterferenc	e			Lane
Vehicle No/			,								
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	1.12	15.97	3.88	2.71	2.3	1.84					Right
18:15:04	4.93	14.21	2.83	2.45	1.93	2.35	1.49	1.76	2.37		
	1.46	2.74									
	1.02	3.77									
	1.57	3.21									
2	2.4	6.58	2.38	4.46	3.33	2.96	12.27				Right
18:16:34	3.43	2.04	3.57	3.05	3.4	2.68	1.91	3.38			
	1.46	1.87	2.62	4.85							
	1.2	2.77	2.43	2.35	2.02	1.82					
	1.85	3.75									
3	4	3.54	4.22	11.71	3.08	7.23					Right
18:18:04	0										
	2.04	2.94	8.87	1.95	1.68	3.01	1.84				
	1.4	2.27	2.07	2.19	3.6						
	1.37	2.02	2.4	3.46	4.3						
4	2.27	4.52	4.08	5.28	6.31	2.54	2.66	2.68			Right
18:19:34	2.79	6.52	2.1	4.6	3.94	1.79	3.12	2.2			
	1.74	4.55	3.32	2.27	2.21	2.99					
	3.21	3.12									
	1.2										
5	4.1	2.48	3.29	3.44							Right
18:21:04	3.02	3.08	2.37	2.07	1.73	2.87	2.49				
	2.91	2.46	2.06	1.96							
	2.01	3.05	3.22								
	2.4										
6	3.88	5.56									Right
18:22:34	2.02	3.19	2.29	4.36							
	1.87	3.99									
	5.47	2.77									
	0										
7	2.26	6.38	8.79	7.94							Right
18:24:04	3.02										
	1.42	3.27									
	0.95	5.42									
	2.43										
8	2.55	11.23	7.89	2.82	3.68	2.73	4.32				Right
18:25:34	2.88	5.94									
	1.82	2.4	3.27	3.91							
	1.76	2.69	1.52	3.33	3.26					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

	1.25									
9	2.34	4.27	6.44	8.4	4.43	3.96				Right
18:27:04	1.03	8.19	2.74	3.18	3.54	7.39				
	1.06	5.49	3.27	2.18	1.68	1.65	1.74			
	1.26	3.24	1.63	2.34						
	2.01	1.93	2.34							
10	3.08	4.21	4.19	9.48	3.46	3.32	3.79			Right
18:28:34	1.52	2.6	1.88	4.92	4.82	3.55	5.55	2.63	3.77	
	2.19	3.1	2.4	1.99	1.91	1.74				
	1.62	3.1	2.9	2.44	1.73					
	0.84	2.05	3.04							

		Start	t up Lost Ti	me		
Ideal						
headway	Headway	1	2	3	4	
	2.07	-1.46			0.02	
	1.98					
		-1.12	0.16			
		-1.56	1.19			
		-1.01	0.63			
	3.15	-0.18				
	2.84		-0.54	0.99		
		-1.12	-0.71	0.04	2.27	
1.92	1.92	-1.38	0.19	-0.15	-0.23	
		-0.73	1.17			
	3.08					
2.18	2.18	-0.54		6.29	-0.63	
3.60	3.60	-1.18	-0.31	-0.51	-0.39	
4.30	4.30	-1.21	-0.56	-0.18	0.88	
	2.63					
	2.76	0.21		-0.48	2.02	
2.60	2.60	-0.84	1.97	0.74	-0.31	
		0.63	0.54			
		-1.38				
	2.11	0.44	0.50	-0.21	-0.51	
		0.33	-0.12	-0.52	-0.62	
		-0.57	0.47	0.64		
		-0.18				
		-0.56	0.61	-0.29		
		-0.71	1.41			
		2.89	0.19			
			3.80	6.21	5.36	
		0.44				
		-1.16	0.69			
		-1.63	2.84			
		-0.15				
	3.58					
		0.30				
		-0.76	-0.18	0.69		
3.26	3.26	-0.82	0.11	-1.06	0.75	
		-1.33				

	4.20					
	3.54	-1.55	5.61	0.16	0.60	
1.69	1.69	-1.52	2.91	0.69	-0.40	
		-1.32	0.66	-0.95	-0.24	
		-0.57	-0.65	-0.24		
	3.52					
	4.06	-1.06	0.02	-0.70		
1.93	1.83	-0.39	0.52	-0.18	-0.59	
1.73	1.73	-0.96	0.52	0.32	-0.14	
		-1.74	-0.53	0.46		
		-0.67	0.77	0.51	0.46	
						Start up
Base	Prevailing					Lost
Hdwy	Headway					time
2.58	2.85					1.08

Intersection	on	3 <i>A</i>	\v & 34 S	t		Date 8	k Time	5/18/11	; 18:3	80	
	F	Red = Hea	vy vehicl	e; Blue	= Ped; G	reen = in	terferen	ce			Lane
Vehicle No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	5.89	15.97	2.87	3.27	1.81	2.19					Right
18:30:04	6.5	2.8	3.65	2.51	6.92	5.63					
	2.18	2.38	3.13	2.4	2.01	2.07					
	1.66	3.51	2.71	5.27	4.61	4.36					
	2.26	3.74									
2	7.55	2.73	3.99	3.01	3.01	2.62	4.33	2.69			Right
18:31:34	2.52	2.82	5.22	3.54	1.96	2.32	1.73				
	4.43	4.18	3.24								
	3.47	3.46	2.05								
	1.49	3.74	16.92								
3	1.79	13.93	3.19	6.28							Right
18:33:04	1.09	3.04	4.15	2.83	2.27						
	1.27	2.69	2.02	2.24	2.43						
	1.13	3.58	2.74	1.82	3.93	2.24	1.96				
	0										
4	3.15	3.33	8.12	8.89	3.52						Right
18:34:34	0.7	3.01	2.55	3.66							
	1.8	4.74	3.02	7.81							
	2.02	2.71	6.19								
	9.59	2.9									
5	4.8	4.6	4.83	1.77	3.86						Right
18:36:04	3.04	8.42									
	2.12	3.02									
	2.16	2.43									
	2.79	3.27	3.51	2.85							
6	4	19.6	6.19	3.86	4.64						Right
18:37:34	1.21	3.85	2.69	2.49							
	2.94	3.33									
	1.59	4.88	3.21	4.18	7.14						
	5.69										
7	5.49	3.86									Right
18:39:04	3.71	2.04									
	1.96	4.27	2.52	2.68							
	3.04	4.38	2.62								
	1.59										
8											Right
18:40:34											

9								Right
18:42:04								
10	2.13	5.38	2.6	5.78				Right
18:43:34	4.05	3.79	2.66	2.73	2.1			
	1.43	3.33	3.93					
	1.17	2.77	1.71					
	0.93							

	T				
Ideal					
headway	Headway	1	2	3	4
	2.00				
6.28	5.63		0.22	1.07	-0.07
	2.04	-0.40	-0.20	0.55	-0.18
		-0.92	0.93	0.13	2.69
		-0.32	1.16		
	3.16		0.15	1.41	0.43
2.00	2.00	-0.06	0.24		0.96
		1.85	1.60	0.66	
		0.89	0.88	-0.53	
		-1.09	1.16		
2.27	2.27	-1.49	0.46	1.57	0.25
2.43	2.43	-1.31	0.11	-0.56	-0.34
2.71	2.71	-1.45	1.00	0.16	-0.76
	3.52				
		-1.88	0.43	-0.03	1.08
		-0.78	2.16	0.44	5.23
		-0.56	0.13	3.61	
		7.01	0.32		
	3.86			2.25	-0.81
		0.46			
		-0.46	0.44		
		-0.42	-0.15		
		0.21	0.69	0.93	0.27
	4.64	1.42			1.28
		-1.37	1.27	0.11	-0.09
		0.36	0.75		

	7.14	-0.99	2.30	0.63	1.60
		3.11			
		1.13	-0.54		
		-0.62	1.69	-0.06	0.10
		0.46	1.80	0.04	
		-0.99			
2.10	2.10	1.47	1.21	0.08	0.15
		-1.15	0.75	1.35	
		-1.41	0.19	-0.87	
		-1.65			
		-0.03	0.76	0.62	0.69
					Start up
Base	Prevailing				Lost
Hdwy	Headway				Time
2.96	3.35				2.04

Intersection	on	3 <i>A</i>	\v & 34 S	t		Date 8	k Time	5/17	//11; 6:45)	
	R	ed = Heav	vy vehicle	e; Blue =	= Ped; Gr	een = int	terferenc	е		Lane	
Vehicle No/			-								
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	4.36	7.72	6.1								Right
	1.13	2	2.74	1.65							
18:45	3.88	6.11	2.48	2.96	1.66	1.63					
	1.66	8.53	2.41	2.29							
2	4.68	12.49									Right
	2.65	5.44	1.13								
	1.76	3.18	2.46	2.73							
	1.76	2.79	1.81	2.96							
3	2.65	3.07									Right
	3.02	2.15	3.47								
	1.37	2.26	1.6	2.34							
	1.48	3.88									
4	3.15	11.74									Right
	3.86	2.76									
	2.2										
	1.31	2.68	2.02	2.16							
5	1.34	3.43	3.54								Right
	1.74	3.37	2.77	2.18							
	1.88	2.15									
	4.89										
6	4.33	6.16	9.87								Right
	2.93	2.99	3.69	2.12							
	2.54	2.21	2.65								
7	3.38	3.52	17.58	8.42	3.05						Right
	2.62	1.82	2.37	1.62	2.66						
	1.81	3.96	1.52	2.55							
8	3.86	12.46	17.14								Right
	2.4	2.8	2.12	1.31	1.98	1.34	2.09	2.2	1.18		
	1.7	2.83	3.01	2.15	1.56	2.87					

9	2.54	5.03	13.36	6.3	6.52					Right
	1	2.51	3.1	1.95	1.96					
	1.87	2.88	1.8	3.65	3.18					
10	1.31	3.63	4.5	8.42	3.91	2.97	6.58	6.56	2.13	Right
	1.67	2.43	2.27	2.12	3.94					
	1.57	3.54	4.3	2.96						
11	5.44	4.18	4.86	8.14	4.75					Right
	2.46	2.34	7.44							
	1.09	2.58	1.95					-		

		Star	t up Lost Ti	me		
ideal						
Headway	Headway	1	2	3	4	
		-1.24	-0.37	0.37	-0.72	
1.6	1.6	1.51				
		-0.71		0.04	-0.08	
		0.28	3.07	-1.24		
		-0.61	0.81	0.09	0.36	
		-0.61	0.42	-0.56	0.59	
		0.65	-0.22	1.10		
		-1.00	-0.11	-0.77	-0.03	
		-0.89	1.51			
		1.49	0.39			
		-0.17				
		-1.06	0.31	-0.35	-0.21	
		-1.03	1.06	1.17		
		-0.63	1.00	0.40	-0.19	
		-0.49	-0.22			
		2.52				
		0.56	0.62	1.32	-0.25	
		0.17	-0.16	0.28		
	3.05					
	2.66	0.25	-0.55	0.00	-0.75	
		-0.56	1.59	-0.85	0.18	
1.7	1.76	0.03	0.43	-0.25	-1.06	
2.2	2.22	-0.67	0.46	0.64	-0.22	
			-			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ļ		

1	ı	i	1	1	Í	1 1
	6.52					
	1.96	-1.37	0.14	0.73	-0.42	
	3.18	-0.50	0.51	-0.57	1.28	
	4.43					
3.9	3.94	-0.70	0.06	-0.10	-0.25	
		-0.80	1.17	1.93	0.59	
	4.75					
		0.09	-0.03	5.07		
		-1.28	0.21	-0.42		
						Start up
Base	Prevailing					Lost
Hdwy	Headway					time
2.4	3.28	-0.24	0.50	0.36	-0.07	0.55
1518	1097					

Intersection	n	3	Av & 34 S	it		Date 8	k Time	5/17/11; 7:00			
	R	ed = He	avy vehicl	e; Blue =	= Ped; Gr	een = int	erference	e			Lane
Vehicle No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	1.38	4.29	18.92	2.48	2.32	2.34	2.68	2.69			Right
19:00	2.54	3.82	2.37	1.79	2.23	2.02					
	2.27	2.62	2.24	2.49	1.71						
	2.93	2.12									
2	3.61	4.52	20.31	4.14							Right
	2.06	3.54									
	1.52	2.32	2.46	2.46							
	2.91	1.9	2.46	3.21							
3	0										Right
	1.12	7.28	2.8	0.82	1.38	1.96	2.27				
	2.26	3.97	1.66	3.1							
	1.71	3.75									
4	2.21	4.47	3.54	3.21							Right
	1.82	3.83	2.76								
	1.45	2.46									
	1.9	2.69									
5	1.51	5.35	3.18	3.99	4.91						Right
	1.63										
	4.32	2.83	1.43	4.11	4.18	2.62					
	2.49	2.44									
6	2.29	2.87									Right
19:08:40	1.87	3.83	2.62	2.51							
	1.59										
	3.16	1.82									
7	1.46	5.1									Right
	1.99	3.38	2.45								
	1.88	2.73									
	1.34	2.49									
8	4.15	3.58									Right
	4.07	2.99	2.71	2.24							
	0										

	2.23										
9	4.22	5.72	5.3	3.47							Right
	1.87	2.74	3.07	1.76							
	1.9	2.54									
	1.51										
10	4.35	4.47	12.68	4.3	1.59						Right
	3.1	3.24	2.13	2.6	1.81	3.77	1.84	2.48	1.79		
	2.05	1.93	1.95	2.82	1.65	2.99					
	7.06	4.94	·							·	

		Start	up Lost Tim	ne	
Ideal					
Headway	Headway				
	2.51				
	2.13	0.27	1.55	0.10	-0.48
1.71	1.71	0.00	0.35	-0.03	0.22
		0.66	-0.15		
		-0.21	1.27	0.10	0.10
		-0.75	0.05	0.19	0.19
		0.64	-0.37	0.19	0.94
	1.87	-1.15			
		-0.01	1.70	-0.61	0.83
		-0.56	1.48		
		-0.45	1.56	0.49	
		-0.82	0.19	01.10	
		-0.37	0.42		
	4.91				
		-0.64			
3.4	3.4	2.05	0.56	-0.84	1.84
		0.22	0.17		
		-0.40	1.56	0.35	0.24
		-0.68			
		0.89	-0.45		
		-0.81	2.83		
		-0.28	1.11	0.18	
		-0.39	0.46		
		-0.93	0.22		
		1.88	1.31		
		1.80	0.72	0.44	-0.03
		1.00	0.72	0. 17	0.03
		-0.04			

		-0.40	0.47	0.80	-0.51
		-0.37	0.27		
		-0.76			
				-	
1.59	1.59	2.08	2.20		2.03
2.338	2.34	0.83	0.97	-0.14	0.33
2.32	2.32	-0.22	-0.34	-0.32	0.55
					Start up
					Lost
Base	Prevailing				time
Hdwy	Headway				-0.34
2.27	2.53				

Intersection	on	3 A	v & 34 S	t		Date 8	k Time	5/17	/11; 4:30		
	R	Red = Heav	vy vehicle	e; Blue =	= Ped; Gr	een = int	terferenc	ce			Lane
Vehicle No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	1.59	6.58	9.34								Right
16:30	4.27	3.27	1.63								
	1.07	3.43	2.27	4.82	2.87	1.37					
	2.12	3.22	3.19	2.24	3.29	1.96					
	3.35										
2	3.71	4.07	10	4.27	3.18						Right
	1.34	3.08	2.09	4.4	3.99	2.07					
	1.34	4.75	2.02	2.87	1.99	2.26	1.88				
	2.21	2.46	2.37	1.79							
	2.43										
3	2.98	2.97	10.15	4.11	2.46	2.35	3.82	4.54	2.68		Right
	2.4	2.85	2.13	2.82							
	1.74	1.87	1.99	2.09	2.05	4.18	2.59				
	2.1	2.4	2.34	3.99	1.31						
	2.29										
4	1.7	3.86	2.88	3.46	4.91	3.22	2.9	2.07	2.13		Right
	5.72	2.83	2.66								
	2.77	4.43	3.12								
	1.65	3.07	2.96	3.18							
	2.15										
5	0										Right
	1.81	6.39	1.85								
	0										
	0										
	4.68	13.16									
6	2.73	3.1									Right
16:38:29	2.04	3.26	1.48								
	1.68	3.19	1.82								
	2.4	3.58	1.77								
	0										
7	3.04	3.66									Right
	2.15	2.73	1.73	1.73							
	2.77	2.26	1.76	2.58							
	1.31										
	2.35										
8	3.13	4.11	10.82	1.84	2.32	2.88	7.25				Right
	2.4	2.1	1.57	1.91							_
	1.4	2.4									
	2.09										

	0							
9	0							Right
	1.62	6.03	3.05	3.3	1.31			
	1.95	3.68	2.26	1.52	1.52			
	2.59	2.71	2.55					
	1.32	3.96						
10	2.63	4.36						Right
	1.34	2.94	1.93					
	0							
	0							
	0							

		Sta	rt up Lost Ti	me		
Ideal				-		
headway	Headway	1	2	3	4	
		2.04	1.04	-0.60		
2.12	2.12	-1.16	1.20	0.04	2.59	
2.63	2.63	-0.11	0.99	0.96	0.01	
		1.12				
	3.18					
3.03	3.03	-0.89	0.85	-0.14	2.17	
2.04	2.04	-0.89	2.52	-0.21	0.64	
		-0.02	0.23	0.14	-0.44	
		0.20				
	3.17					
		0.17	0.62	-0.10	0.59	
2.94	2.94	-0.49	-0.36	-0.24	-0.14	
1.31	1.31	-0.13	0.17	0.11	1.76	
		0.06				
	3.05					
		3.49	0.60	0.43		
		0.54	2.20	0.89		
		-0.58	0.84	0.73	0.95	
		-0.08				
		-2.23				
		-0.42				
		_				
		2.45				
		-0.19	1.03	-0.75		
		-0.55	0.96	-0.41		
		0.17	1.35	-0.46		
		*		33		
		-0.08	0.50	-0.50	-0.50	
		0.54	0.03	-0.47	0.35	
		-0.92				
		0.12				
	4.15	0.90	1.88		-0.39	
		0.17	-0.13	-0.66	-0.32	
		-0.83	0.17	0.00	0.52	
		-0.14	0.17			
		0.1.				

	1.31	-0.61				
1.52	1.52	-0.28	1.45	0.03	-0.71	
		0.36	0.48	0.32		
		-0.91	1.73			
		0.40	2.13			
		-0.89	0.71	-0.30		
	Average =	0.01	0.93	-0.05	0.47	
						Start up
Base	Prevailing					Lost
Hdwy	Headway					time
2.23	2.54					1.36

Intersection	n	3 A	v & 34 S	t		Date &	Time	5/17	7/11; 3:3	0	
	Re	ed = Heav	vy vehicle	e; Blue =	Ped; Gre	een = inte	erference	9			Lane
Vehicle No/			-								
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	1.1	2.6	6.3	3.5	6.3	4.4	2.3				Right
15:30	2.9	3.5	3.1								
13.30	4.4	4.7	3.1								
		1.,									
2	1.6	3.9	8.9	3	4.7						Right
	0.6	1.7	3.3	2.9							
	3	2.9	2.9								
	1.5	2.4	3.6	2.7							
3	2	3.9	3.4								Right
	3.2	3.2	1.7	2.4							
	1.8										
	4.3	4.8									
4											Right
5	3.4										Right
	4.4	2.8	1.9	3.4	3.8	1.4	2.5	2.4	2.2		
	2	3.5	2.2	2.2	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.4	2.4	2.3	
	2.2	2.5	1.5	4	1.9						
	2.5	2.0	7.6	4.2		2.5	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.5	D'. L.
6	3.5	3.9	7.6	4.2	5.5	2.5	2.9	2.4	3.2	3.5	Right
	2.4	2.7	2.8	1.9	4.1	1.8	3.1				
	3	2.6	3.9	1.9	2.1						
	3.6	3.8	2.8	2.7							
7	4.1	4.8	5.8	6.7	2.7	7					Right
	2.5	3.1	3.7	2.6							
	1.5	5.5	2.6								
	3.3	2.7	7.1								
8	1.8	3.7	2.4								Right
	0.9	2.7	1.9	2.6							33
	1.3	2.9	2.7	-							
	3	3.3	2.4								
9	1.6	4.5	4.3	3	7.8						Right
	3.7	3.6	2.7	1.7	2.2	2.3	2.8	2.6	1.9	2.3	
	3.9	4.7	3	3.2	2.4	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.5	د.2	
I	٥.5	7.7	3	٥.٤	۷.٦						l

	2.4	3.4	4.9	7						
10	4.4	5.8	3.5	2.7						Right
	3.9	2.8	4.3	2.6	2.6	1.9	2.6			
	3.3	4.9								
	4.8	3.8								
	0									
11	3.2	4.1	2.1	2.5	2.9	4.2	5.1	6.1	2	Right
	3.5	2.7	2.4	3.2	2	2.6				
	7.6	5.4	3.6							
	2.9	3.2								
	2.7	5.4								

		_			
L.L I		Sta	rt up Lost Ti	me	
Ideal Headway	Headway	1	2	3	4
ricauway	4.33			<u> </u>	
	4.55				
		0.88	1.48	1.08	
		2.38	2.68		
				•	
	4.70				
		-1.42	-0.32	1.28	0.88
		0.98	0.88	0.88	
		-0.52	0.38	1.58	0.68
		1.18	1.18	-0.32	0.38
		-0.22			
		2.28	2.78		
	2.46	2.20	0.70	0.43	4.20
1 77	2.46	2.38	0.78	-0.12	1.38
1.77 1.90	1.77 1.90	-0.02 0.18	1.48 0.48	0.18 -0.52	0.18
1.90	1.90	0.16	0.46	-0.52	
	3.33				
	3.00	0.38	0.68	0.78	-0.12
2.10	2.10	0.98	0.58	1.88	-0.12
		1.58	1.78	0.78	0.68
	4.85				
		0.48	1.08	1.68	0.58
		-0.52	3.48	0.58	
		1.28	0.68	5.08	
		-1.12	0.68	-0.12	0.58
		-0.72	0.88	0.68	
		0.98	1.28	0.38	
	7.80				
	2.35	1.68	1.58	0.68	-0.32
	2.40	1.88	2.68	0.98	1.18

		0.38	1.38	2.88	4.98
	2.37	1.88	0.78		
		1.28	2.88		
		2.78	1.78		
	4.06				
2.30	2.30	1.48	0.68	0.38	1.18
		0.88	1.18		
		0.68	3.38		
	averages	0.84	1.40	0.99	0.87
					Start up
Base	Prevailing				Lost
Hdwy	Headway				time
2.02	3.31				4.10

Intersection	n	3	Av & 34	St		Date 8	k Time	5/:	17/11; 3:4	.5	
	F	Red = He	avy vehic	le; Blue	= Ped; G	reen = in	terferen	ce			Lane
Vehicle No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	1.8	2.3	4.1	4.7							Right
	2.7	3.3	2.8	4.8							
15:45	2.2	3.4	1.6	2.6	2.1						
	2.8	3.7	2	2.1	2.3						
-											
2	1.1	3.3	5.2	2.8	3.6						Right
	2.5	4.8	2.3	3.1							
	1.9	3.6	3.2	2.5	7.9						
	4.3	2.9	4.5	3	1.7						
3	3	5.5	4.8	3.7	3.7	2.6	2.4	2.8			Right
3	4.5	2.3	3	2.5	2.9	2.5	2.4	2.0			Rigit
	1.6	6.6	6.2	1.9	2.9	2.3					
	2.4	3.5	2.5	2.5							
	2.4	5.5	2.5	2.5							
4	3.8	2.9	5.8	5.6	5						Right
	1.1	3.2	4	5.2							
	0										
	0										
5	2.9	5.5	13.6	5	4.5	2.7	2.3				Right
	4.6	2.9	2.2	1.9	1.8	3.3	2.4	1.3			
	5.8	2.3									
	2.2	4.4	2.7								
6	1.9	2.4	5.8	4.4	2.9	4.6					Right
	4.7	5.7	4	3.2	4.2	2.3	2.1				
	3.3	3.2	2.6								
15:53:53	2.5	1.9									
_											
7	5.2	3.6	5.1	6.9							Right
	3.3	4.8	3.8	2.5	3.5	2.5					
	1.7	5	2.1	2.3	1.1	2.6	1.6	2.4	2.1		
	4.4										
0	າ	го	Λ Λ	4 7							Diabt
8	2.2	5.8 3.1	3.4	4.7 2.6	2.6						Right
					2.6	2 2	2 /	17	2.0		
	2.6	7.2	7.4	4.5	2.3	2.3	3.4	1.7	2.9		

	1.6	4.5	7	4.9							
9	1.8	2.9	2.7	2.1	1.6	2.2	1.4	4.1			Right
	4.3	3.1	3	2.2	2.3	2.2	3	2.4	2.4	2.3	
	1.7	2.4	2.7	4.4	4.4	2.4	1.3	1.5	2.2		
	1.7	4.7	1.9	2							
10	1	4	2.5								Right
	1.7	3									
	1.5	3.1	2.4	2.8							
	1.1	3.9	2.7			·					

	T T				
		Sta	rt up Lost Ti	me	
Ideal					
Headway	Headway	1	2	3	4
		0.53	1.13	0.63	2.63
2.10	2.10	0.03	1.23	-0.57	0.43
2.30	2.30	0.63	1.53	-0.17	-0.07
	3.60				
		0.33	2.63	0.13	0.93
		-0.27	1.43	1.03	0.33
1.70	1.70	2.13	0.73	2.33	0.83
	2.88				
2.70	2.70	2.33	0.13	0.83	0.33
		-0.57			-0.27
		0.23	1.33	0.33	0.33
	5.00				
		-1.07	1.03		
	3.17		_		
2.20	2.20	2.43	0.73	0.03	-0.27
		3.63	0.13	_	
		0.03	2.23	0.53	
	3.75				
	2.20	2.53			

		1.13	1.03	0.43	
		0.33	-0.27		
		1.13	2.63	1.63	0.33
1.96	1.96	-0.47	2.83	-0.07	0.13
		2.23			
	2.60	0.03		1.23	0.43
2.52	2.52	0.43			
		-0.57			
	2.44	-0.37	0.73	0.53	-0.07
	2.43	2.13	0.93	0.83	0.03
1.85	2.36	-0.47	0.23	0.53	2.23
		-0.47	2.53	-0.27	-0.17
		-1.17	1.83	0.33	
		-0.47	0.83		
		-0.67	0.93	0.23	0.63
		-1.07	1.73	0.53	
		0.49	1.26	0.53	0.49
					Start up
Base	Prevailing				Lost
Hdwy	Headway				time
2.17	2.70				2.77

Intersection		3	Av & 34	St		Date &	. Time	05/18/11; 16:00 16:15)-
	Red =	Heavy ve	ehicle; Bl	ue = Ped;	; Green =	interfer	ence		Lane
Vehicle No/ Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 9 1	.0
1	2.87	3.13	3.49	4.91	6.42	3.18	4.69		Right
16:00:43	2.45	5.46	4.41	12.09					
red signal	4.71	3.07	3.72	4.15	4.11				
starts	5.41	3.43	4.74						
	4.9	2.2	6	4.2	5.6	2.2			
2	4.33	5.38	6.7	21.73	3.07				Right
16:02:05	1.84	3.97	6.83						
	1.63	3.85	3.94	3.79					
	0.56	4.08	3.13	3.93	4.38				
	1.1	4.5	4.1	6.7	4.3				
3	9.96								Right
16:03:40	6.36	12.44	17.72						
	2.8	4.3	3.41						
	2.57	4.94							
	1.7	7.3	4.6						
4	1.52	12.21	6.55	5.5					Right
	3.36	14.52	11.52						
	1.03	2.13	20.4	3.66	2.55				
	1.2	2.9	2.35						
16:05:12	2.4	6.6							
5	4.55	13.54	13.43	7.09					Right
16:06:42	2.42	17.33	20.93						
	3.52	5.42	9.87						
	4.11	6.52	7.76						
	3	11.7	14.7						
6	3.61	3.43	2.85	3.21	3.52	2.66	2.27		Right
16:08:13	1.93	4.15	2.27	5.42	2.77	4.47			
	2.35	3.99	3.71	7.17	3.61				
	1.7	3.2	2.8	3.2	2.6	2.4			
	19.7								
7	4.57	8.25	6.86	15.13	4.46	2.73			Right
16:09:42	4.72	7.7	3.58						
	4.75	2.71	3.94	2.69	2.65				
	4.1	3.4	2	2.4	2.4	2.6			
	0								
8	1.6	3.86	3.13	3.15	8.29	5.17	3.76	2.88	Right
16:11:17	3.26	4.13	7.16	3.26	10.1	2.76			
	2.41	4.68	3.22	2.26	4.74				
	1.8	4.9	6	5.7					

	2.5	5					
9	2.43	13.05	21.51				Right
16:12:42	1.68	5.07	5.52	11.59			
	2.07	4.44	2.54	5.17	3.44		
	1.6	2.1	2.4	2.8	3.3	2.6	
	6.9						
10	1.45	5.14	11.48	5.53	13.15	3.44	Right
16:14:10	1.26	11.76	3.6	1.99			
	0.45	5.03	4.44	9.2			
	3.4	3	2.5	6.2	2.4	3.1	
	1.2	3.2	2.9				

		Sta	rt up Lost Ti	me	
Ideal					
Headway	Headway		Ideal Startı	up Lost Time	!
	4.76				
		-0.78	2.23	1.18	
4.11	4.11	1.48	-0.16	0.49	0.92
		2.18	0.20	1.51	
3.9	3.90	1.67	-1.03		0.97
	3.07				
		-1.39	0.74	3.60	
		-1.60	0.62	0.71	0.56
4.38	4.38	-2.67	0.85	-0.10	0.70
4.3	4.30	-2.13	1.27	0.87	3.47
		6.73			
		-0.43	1.07	0.18	
		-0.66	1.71		
		-1.53			
		0.13			
2.55	2.55	-2.20	-1.10		0.43
		-2.03	-0.33	-0.88	
		-0.83	3.37		
		-0.81			
		0.29	2.19		
		0.88			
		-0.23			
	2.90				
	4.47	-1.30	0.92	-0.96	2.19
	3.61	-0.88	0.76	0.48	
2.5	2.50	-1.53	-0.03	-0.43	-0.03
	3.60				
		1.49		0.35	
2.65	2.65	1.52	-0.52	0.71	-0.54
2.5	2.50	0.87	0.17	-1.23	-0.83
	3.94				
2.76	2.76	0.03	0.90		0.03
		-0.82	1.45	-0.01	-0.97
		-1.43	1.67	2.77	
		-0.73	1.77		

		-1.55	1.84	2.29	
3.44	3.44	-1.16	1.21	-0.69	1.94
2.95	2.95	-1.63	-1.13	-0.83	-0.43
	8.30				
		-1.97		0.37	-1.24
		-2.78	1.80	1.21	
2.75	2.75	0.17	-0.23	-0.73	
		-2.03	-0.03	-0.33	
	Averages=	-0.48	0.76	0.44	0.48
					Start up
Base	Prevailing				Lost
Hdwy	Headway				time
3.23	3.67				1.19

Intersection		3 /	Av & 34 S	t		Date &	Time	5/1	.8/11;16 16:30		
	Red = I	Heavy ve	hicle; Blu	e = Ped;	Green =	interfere	ence				Lane
Vehicle No/ Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	1.6	9.9	13.5	5.6							Right
16:15:42	1.2	4.4	2.7	7.1	5.1	2.5	1.3				
red signal	2.7	3.4	2.6	1.9	1.6						
starts	0.5	6	2.5	2.4							
	0.7										
2	11.2	14.8	3.8	2.7	2.2						Right
16:17:15	5.6	2	11.2	14	3	2.1	2.4				
	6.9	6.7	4.1	5.4	4.2						
	3.4	6	3.5	3.1							
	5.9	3.2	5.2	3							
3	9.3	2.7	3.9	4.6	2.3						Right
16:18:36	13.7	4.2	4.9	5.5							
	2.8	13.8	3.6	2.5	2.8						
	4.6										
	2.4	0									
	11.6	4	3.9	6	4.4						Right
16:20:13	1.1	1.6	3.5	5	5.2						
	1.6	4	1.3	2.5	2.1						
	1.6	2.2	1.5	2.4	1.5	4.7					
	5.7										
5	3.4	2.9									Right
16:21:43	1.8	4	17.1								
	2.1	5.5	3.5	4.8	13.7						
	1.9	3.3	3.4	2.8	4.1	2.5					
	12.7	3.5									
6	30	6.2									Right
16:23:18	21.6	2	1.6	3.3							
	3.8	2.7	2.3	2.3	3.4	3.3					
	2.8	6.5	2.4								
	1.9	5.2	6.2	3.5							
7	1.5	2.7	3.8	9.6	2.7	3.3	4.5				Right
16:24:43	3.5	3.2	5.2	3.7	3.4	3.7					
	3.6	2.7	4.6	4.9							
	0.5	5.2	2.4	3.7							
	0.7	6.7	3.9								<u> </u>
8	2.6	8.2	8.6	6.5							Right
16:26:13	2.3	3.9	2.5								
	2.2	5									
	3.6	4.6	3.3								

	15.4	4.8						
9	4.9	9.5	9.2	7.6				Right
16:27:45	2	2.6	2.6	2.9	9.6			
	1.5	2.6	3.4	5.2	4.7	3	2.8	
	1.5	2.1	2.8	4	6.1			
	0.9	2.9	3.6	6	3.8	2.4		
10	0.9	5.6	2.9	12.1	2.4	6.5		Right
16:29:12	1.9	5.2	2.4	6.8	3.4	1.9	2.7	
	2	6.8	4.9	3.4	2.1			
	2.1	3.7	2.5	3.1				
	4.1	3.7						

Ideal Headway	Prevailing Headway		Startup Lost Time		
3.8	3.80	- 1.741666667	1.458333333	0.241666667	4.158333333
1.6	1.60	- 0.241666667	0.458333333	0.341666667	- 1.041666667
		- 2.441666667		0.441666667	0.541666667
		-		0.1.12000007	0.5 1200007
		2.241666667			
	2.20				
	2.50	2.658333333	0.941666667		
4.2	4.20			1.158333333	2.458333333
		0.458333333		0.558333333	0.158333333
		2.958333333	0.258333333	2.258333333	0.058333333
	2.30				
			1.258333333	1.958333333	2.558333333
		-			-
2.8	2.80	0.141666667		0.658333333	0.441666667
		1.658333333			
		- 0.541666667	- 2.941666667		
	4.40	0.5 11000007	2.3 11000007		
		_	_		
	5.20	1.841666667	1.341666667	0.558333333	
		-			-
2.1	2.10	1.341666667	1.058333333	1.641666667	0.441666667
3.1	3.10	- 1.341666667	0.741666667	- 1.441666667	- 0.541666667
		2.758333333			
			L	L	

		_			
		1.141666667			
		-			
	13.70	0.841666667	2.558333333	0.558333333	1.858333333
3.3	3.30	1.041666667	0.358333333	0.458333333	0.141666667
3.3	3.30	1.041000007	0.558333333	0.436555555	0.141000007
			0.558555555		
			_	_	
			0.941666667	1.341666667	0.358333333
			-	-	-
3.4	3.40		0.241666667	0.641666667	0.641666667
		-		-	
		0.141666667		0.541666667	
		1.041666667	2.258333333		0.558333333
	3.50	1.0-100000/	2.23033333		0.55033333
	3.55	0.558333333	0.258333333	2.258333333	0.758333333
			-		
		0.658333333	0.241666667	1.658333333	1.958333333
		-		-	
		2.441666667	2.258333333	0.541666667	0.758333333
		-		0.05000000	
		2.241666667		0.958333333	
		_		_	
		0.641666667	0.958333333	0.441666667	
		-	0.00000000	0111200007	
		0.741666667	2.058333333		
		0.658333333	1.658333333	0.358333333	
			1.858333333		
		-	-	-	-
		0.941666667	0.341666667	0.341666667	0.041666667
3.5	3.50	- 1.441666667	0.341666667	0.458333333	2.258333333
3.3	3.30	-	-	-	2.23033333
	6.10	1.441666667	0.841666667	0.141666667	1.058333333
		-	-		
3.1	3.10	2.041666667	0.041666667	0.658333333	
	4.45				
2.0	2.5-	-		-	
2.3	2.67	1.041666667		0.541666667	
2.1	2.10	- 0.941666667		1.958333333	0.458333333
2.1	2.10	-	0.758333333	-	0.458333333
L			0.750555555	l	3.130333333

		0.841666667		0.441666667	
		1.158333333	0.758333333		
		-			
		0.509313725	0.422619048	0.254885057	0.68442029
Base	Prevailing				Start up Lost
Hdwy	Headway				time
2.94	3.80				0.85

		8/11; 16 16:45	5/1	ime	Date & T		t	v & 34 S	3 A		Intersection
Lane				ce	nterferen	Green = i	e = Ped;	nicle; Blu	leavy veł	Red = H	
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Vehicle No/ Cycle
Right					3.4	3.5	10.1	4.4	5.7	2.5	1
								12	4.8	2.4	16:30:43
						2.8	2.5	2.8	2.5	1.2	red signal
						2.2	1.8	2.3	3.1	3.6	starts
							2.7	2.9	7.3	10.3	
								3.3	3.7	23.2	2
							10.6	4.4	6.9	4.2	16:31:58
						7.9	5	9.3	9.5	2.2	
							2.2	2.6	4.1	0.8	
									4	1.7	
									21.2	2.3	3
										0	16:33:42
					2.4	2.8	2.5	3.2	3.8	10.7	
							8.9	2.4	3.1	7	
								3.7	2.1	4.5	
				6.5	4.7	2.8	2.5	11.6	4	2.2	4
						3.2	4.4	5.4	2	3.4	16:35:12
							3.6	2.5	4.4	2.4	
						2.1	3	1.8	4.6	2.1	
								3.4	16.2	3	
				2.6	13.6	2.9	1.4	4.1	2.5	2.7	5
						14.7	3.4	2	3.5	3.5	16:36:44
							7	2.1	2.2	8.5	
						2	3.1	1.9	9	6	
									4.2	1.3	
						3.1	5.3	4.6	2.3	5.2	6
									15.8	2.3	16:38:13
						4.2	7.1	2.4	6.8	2.1	
								2.8	5.5	1.9	
									4.5	6.2	
											7
						, all stops	olcimen	olled by p	as contro	traffic w	16:39:43
									2.4	13	8
					3.2	5.2	3.3	6.6	5.2	1.9	16:41:18
					9.1	4.9	2.5	2.1	3.6	8	
					3.9	5.2	1.8	2	1.4	7.9	

		6.1	2.1	4 7	2.2			- 1
		0.1	3.1	1.7	3.2	3.7	4.6	
	9	11.7	11.9	3.9	5.5			
1	16:42:45	6.2	2.9	3.8	9			
		7.4	3.3	2.4	3.5	2.2	6.7	
		6.4	4.5	2.7	4	1.5		
		0						

Ideal			Startup Lost		
Headway	Headway		Time		
	3.50				
		-0.54	1.86	9.06	
2.8	2.80	-1.74	-0.44	-0.14	-0.44
2.2	2.20	0.66	0.16	-0.64	-1.14
				-0.04	-0.24
		1.26		1.46	
	7.90	-0.74			2.06
		-2.14	1.16	-0.34	-0.74
		-1.24	1.06		
2.6	2.60		0.86	0.26	-0.44
			0.16	-0.54	
		1.56	-0.84	0.76	
	4.65				
3.2	3.20	0.46	-0.94	2.46	1.46
		-0.54	1.46	-0.44	0.66
		-0.84	1.66	-1.14	0.06
		0.06			
	6.37				
	14.70	0.56	0.56	-0.94	0.46
			-0.74	-0.84	
2	2.00			-1.04	0.16
		-1.64	1.26		
	3.10				
		-0.64			
4.2	4.20	-0.84		-0.54	
		-1.04		-0.14	
			1.56		

	4.20	-1.04	2.26		0.36
	4.90		0.66	-0.84	-0.44
4.55	4.55		-1.54	-0.94	-1.14
4.15	4.15		0.16	-1.24	0.26
			-0.04	0.86	6.06
2.2	4.45		0.36	-0.54	0.56
1.5	1.50		1.56	-0.24	1.06
		-2.94			
		-0.60	0.56	0.19	0.48
					Start up
Base	Prevailing				Lost
Hdwy	Headway				time
2.94	4.50				0.62

Intersecti	on	3	3 Av & 34	St		Date 8	Time	5/1	7/11; 4:	15	
		Red = F	leavy veh	icle; Blue =	Ped; Gree	en = interfe	erence				Lane
Vehicle No/			•								
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	2.41	5.76	3.79	6.44	3.99	2.32	2.39	5.15	2.82	2.92	Right
	1.07	2.51	2.83	1.42	2.26						
	1.31	2.24	3.8	2.48	3.68	2.74					
	1.9	3.3	2.09	3.83	1.65						
	1.76										
2	3.83	8.65	12	5.16	3.25	2.74	4.91				Right
	1.48	4.44	3.96	1.69	1.83						
	2.73	2.99	2.41	1.42							
	2.35	2.91	2.07	2.37	1.7						
	2.69	3.66									
3	10.18	2.49	2.71	5.84	4.03			İ	İ		Right
16:19:00	8.48	2.54	4.86	2.69	2.6	2.53	2.11	1.43			
	5.63	4.19	2.07	1.7	1.46						
	0										
	0										
4	5.8	7.56	2.45	10.69	2.28	2.28	2.5	3.74			Right
	1.53	4.9	2.46	3.37	2.23	2.01	2.94				
	3.51										
	3.83										
	0										
5	1.88	2.32	2.08	2.89							Right
	1.62	2.91									
	0										
	4.16	3.55	3.3	2.3	1.62	2.13	1.56	2.34	1.31		
	8.98	6.03	8.7	2.79		_					
6	1.43	5.84	5.96	5.63	3.09	2.7	4.21	3.49	2.13	2.06	Right
16:23:45	0										3
·	3.52	4.25	4.18	1.51	2.18	1.54	1.52				
	3.83	3.29	3.29	2.18	3.29	1.26					
	4.05	3.79	_			="					
7	0										Right
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1.79	3.05	5.33	7.04							
	3.18	2.4	1.8	3.74	2.6						
	1.45	2.35	2.55	2.69	3.82	2.97	1.91	1.54			
	1.52	2.84			3.02						
8	2.4	2.85	3.33	2.18	12.34	7.75					Right
	3.04	1.92	2.47	2.91	2.07	2.32					8
	2.1	3.38	2.16	2.26	1.88	1.54					
	2.05	3.27	2.63	2.21							

		2.91	3.35	2.48				1			1	
	9	4.85	3.24	2.92	2.53	2.93	9	9.01				
		0										
		1.6	2.58	2.79	1.38							
		1.66	3.08	2.87								
		0										
	10	0										
		1.7	5.5	1.5	2.7	6		3.6	4.2	2.8	9.5	
Base	Pre	vailing										
Headway	Hea	ıdway		1	2		3		4			
2.26		2.26	-1.	03	0.41	(0.73		-0.68			
3.21		3.21	-0.	79	0.14	-	1.70		0.38			
1.65		1.65	-0.	20	1.20	-(0.01		1.73			
			-0.	34								
		3.63	1.	73								
1.83		1.83	-0.	62	2.34	-	1.86		-0.41			
			0.	63	0.89	(0.31		-0.68			
1.70		1.70	0.	25	0.81	-(0.03		0.27			
			0.	59	1.56							
		4.03										
2.17		2.17			0.44		2.76		0.59			
1.46		1.46			2.09	-(0.03		-0.40			
		2.70					0.35					
2.39		2.39	-0.		2.80	(0.36		1.27			
				41								
			1.	73								
			-0.		0.22	-(0.02		0.79			
			-0.	48	0.81							
	ļ											
1.79		1.79	2.	06	1.45		1.20		0.20			
		2.0-		67					0.69			
		2.95	-0.	b/								
4.75		1 75		42	3.45		2.00		0.50			
1.75		1.75		42	2.15		2.08		-0.59			
2.28		2.28		73	1.19	<u>-</u>	1.19		0.08			
			1.	95	1.69							
				21	0.05							
3.00		2.60	-0. 1		0.95		20		1.64			
2.60		2.60		08	0.30		0.30		1.64			
2.56		2.56	-0.	65	0.25	(0.45		0.59			

Right

Right

		-0.58	0.74		
	10.05				
2.20	2.20	0.94	-0.18	0.37	0.81
1.71	1.71	0.00	1.28	0.06	0.16
		-0.05	1.17	0.53	0.11
		0.81	1.25	0.38	
	5.97	2.75	1.14		
		-0.50	0.48	0.69	-0.72
		-0.44	0.98	0.77	
	4.15	-0.40			
		0.358535842	1.053685185	0.696277778	0.287777778
Base	Prevailing				Start up Lost
Hdwy	Headway				time
2.10	2.96				2.40

APPENDIX HEADWAY DATA FOR PARK AVENUE

Intersec	tion	Park	Av & 5	7 St		Date &	Time	09/	29/11;	12:00	
	Re	ed = Hea	ıvy vehic	le; Blue	= Ped; 6	Green = i	nterfere	nce			Lane
Vehicle											
No/			_					_			
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1											Right
	5.44										
	4.36										
	4.50										
2											Right
_	3.27	3.86									
	3.58	2.20	2.97								
	4.99	2.88	2.02								
3											Right
	4.80										
	4.33										
	4.02										
4											Right
4	6.49										Nigiit
	4.10	3.41	3.12	2.77	2.65						
	2.93	3.02	J.12	2.,,	2.03						
		0.02									
5											Right
	2.74	5.28	5.92	9.15	4.83	2.73	2.37				
	1.07	3.51	2.24								
	2.79	2.88	2.27	2.00							
											51.1.
6	2 25	4.20	2.51								Right
	2.35 1.68	4.30	2.51								
	1.00										
7											Right
	4.47	3.46									
	1.59	4.08	3.65								
	2.38	6.95									
											Di. L
8	4.96	4.68	3.71	0 27	2 01	5.67					Right
	4.96	4.08	3./I	8.37	5.31	3.07					
	3.21										
I	J. Z I										l l

9								Right
	2.57	5.69						
	3.97	2.15	2.13	2.24	2.65	2.18		
	3.46	3.33	2.37					
10								Right
	1.66	2.23	2.91	4.02				
	2.16	3.83	1.79					
	2.62	3.69	4.27					

Ideal

Headway Headway

Startup Lost Time

2.91
1.83
1.97

0.74	1.33	
1.05	-0.34	0.44
2.46	0.35	-0.52

2.27
1.80
1.49

2.65 2.65

5	1.57	0.88	0.59	0.24
	0.40	0.49		

3.31	0.21	2.75	3.39	
	-1.47	0.98	-0.30	
	0.26	0.35	-0.27	-0.54
		2 - 4		

-2.54

-0.19	1.77	-0.03
-0.86		

1.94	0.93	
-0.95	1.55	1.12
-0.16		

4.79

1.62 0.68

2.42 2.42

 0.03
 3.16

 1.44
 -0.39
 -0.41
 -0.30

 0.93
 0.80
 -0.17

-0.88	-0.31	0.38
-0.38	1.30	-0.75
0.09	1.16	1.74

averages

0.77 0.79 0.40 -0.20

Base Prevailing Start up Lost Hdwy Headway time

2.54 3.29 1.76

Intersec	tion	Par	k Av & 5	57 St		Date & 1	Гіте	09/2	29/11; 1	12:30	
	R	Red = He	avy vehi	cle; Blue	= Ped; Gr	een = in	terfere	nce			Lane
Vehicle			•								
No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	0.00										Right
	2.85	3.57	3.52	16.64							
	2.15										
	1.85										
2	0.00										Right
	7.41	4.10									
	4.68	3.21	3.88	2.40							
	4.00										
3	0.00										Right
	4.88	4.69									
	2.77	3.35	2.27	3.13							
	4.88	2.26	2.60	2.15							
4	0.00										Right
	3.04	5.22									
	0.00										
	2.62										
5	0.00										Right
	3.41	4.88									
	1.70	3.83	2.30	3.46	2.63						
	3.22	3.13									
6	0.00										Right
38	2.93	5.55									
1	3.91	3.80	2.16	1.98	2.54						
	1.76										
7	0.00										Right
	2.44	3.93	2.18	2.77							
	1.76	2.85									
	2.32										
8	0.00										Right
	2.18										
	2.46	2.46	2.32	3.22							
	2.29	4.19									

9	0.00					Right
	3.02	3.79	15.67	2.91		
	6.27	2.02	2.05	1.81		
	3.04	3.65	2.60	3.90		
10	0.00					Right
	1.88					
	4.69					
	3.43					

Base Headway	Prevailing Headway		Ideal Sta	rtup Lost T	- Time
, ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		10.00.100.		
		0.27			
		-0.44			
		-0.74			
		0.7 1			
		4.83	1.52		
		2.10	0.63	1.30	-0.19
		1.42			
		2.30	2.11		
		0.19	0.77	-0.32	0.55
		2.30	-0.33	0.02	-0.44
		0.46	2.64		
		0.04			
		0.83	2.30		
2.63	2.63	-0.89	1.25	-0.29	0.88
		0.64	0.55		
		0.35	2.97		
2.54	2.54	1.33	1.22	-0.43	-0.61
		-0.83			

		-0.15	1.35	-0.41	0.19
		-0.83	0.27		
		-0.27			
		-0.41			
		-0.13	-0.13	-0.27	0.64
		-0.30	1.61		
		0.44	1.21		0.33
		3.69	-0.57	-0.54	-0.78
		0.46	1.07	0.02	1.32
		-0.71			
		2.11			
		0.85			
	averges =	0.65	1.13	-0.10	0.19
Base	Prevailing				Start up Lost
Hdwy	Headway				time
2.59	2.59				1.87

Intersection Park Av & 57 St			Date & 7	Гіте	09/2	9/1	1; 12	:45				
	Re	ed = Heav	y vehic	le; Blue	= Ped; G	Green = in	terfere	nce				Lane
Vehicle												
No/												
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8	9	10	
1	0.00											Right
	2.66	5.03	2.82									
	1.77	3.05	1.99	1.63								
	2.13											
2	0.00											Right
	3.30	8.43										
	2.85	2.65	2.66	3.16								
	2.88	3.77	2.60									
3	0.00	2.22										Right
	2.09	3.32										
	4.13	1.96	1.91	3.79	2.16							
	3.40	4.66										
4	0.00											Right
	1.71	3.65										
	2.12	2.24	3.10									
	1.74											
5	0.00											Right
3	4.71	3.07										11.8.10
	3.93	2.63	3.08	1.85	2.44							
	2.65	2.37	2.21	1.27	2							
	2.05	2.57		1.2 ,								
6	0.00											Right
53	2.74	4.94	2.91									
İ	2.83	3.41	1.95	2.66	1.54							
	1.52	2.66										
7	0.00											Right
	0.00											
	3.32	4.82										
	0.00											
	0.00											Dich+
8	0.00	2 00	1 07	202	2.00	6 10						Right
	1.87	3.08	1.87	2.82	2.90	6.10						
	3.15	2.52										
	4.79											

9	0.00								Right
	5.17	20.85	2.83	3.02	4.29				
	4.66	2.96	4.68	1.88					
	2.62	2.94	1.66	2.98	1.82				
10	0.00								Right
	4.18	5.10	2.12	2.93					
	2.24	4.14	4.10	2.49	4.16	2.04	2.80	2.20	
	1.63	3.91	3.02	2.07					

Base Headway	Prevailing Headway	Ideal Startup Lost Time						
		0.12	2.49	0.28				
		-0.77	0.51	-0.55	-0.91			
		-0.41						

0.76			
0.31	0.11	0.12	0.62
0.34	1.23	0.06	

2.16 2.16 1.59 -0.58 -0.63 1.25 0.86 2.12

-0.83	1.11		
-0.42	-0.30	0.56	

	İ	0.00	İ		l I
		-0.80			
		2.17	0.53		
2.44	2.22	1.39	0.09	0.54	-0.69
		0.11	-0.17	-0.33	-1.27
		0.20	2.40	0.37	
1.54	1.54	0.29	0.87	-0.59	0.12
		-1.02	0.12		
	ı	0.70	2.20		
		0.78	2.28		
4.50	6.10	-0.67	0.54	-0.67	0.28
	0.20				
		0.61	-0.02		
		2.25			
	'				
	4.29	2.63			
		2.12	0.42	2.14	-0.66
1.82	1.82	0.08	0.40	-0.88	0.44
		1.64	2.56	-0.42	0.39
2.80	2.80	-0.30	1.60	1.56	-0.05
		-0.91	1.37	0.48	-0.47

	averges =	0.41	0.89	0.12	-0.08
Base Hdwy	Prevailing Headway				Start up Lost time
2.54	2.99				1.34

Interse	ction	Par	k Av & 5	7 St		Date &	Time	09/29	/11; 16:	00	
	R	ed = He	avy vehi	cle; Blue	e = Ped; 0	Green = ir	nterfere	nce			Lane
Vehicle											
No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	0.00										Right
	7.67	3.08	2.80								
	9.17	3.04	3.13	1.79	3.10	2.23					
	5.89	1.90	2.41								
2	0.00										Right
_	17.48	11.35	9.07	8.05							g.i.c
	14.16	3.68	5.63	14.52	11.99						
	13.35	4.16	4.86	2.58	2.90	5.77	3.29	4.88			
	_5.55					2.,,	0.20				
3	6.42	3.05	8.75	3.82							Right
	7.22	5.63	2.24	2.37	2.49	2.97					
	4.55	2.60	2.68	2.60	1.74	2.51	2.29	2.79	2.35		
	2.88	4.78	2.01	2.41	3.50	2.30	2.90				
4	2.27	4.49	11.17								Right
-	5.02	1.79	3.21	2.37	2.46	2.20					MgH
	3.97	4.50	3.12	1.66	1.91	3.83	1.68	1.73	2.60		
	4.18	2.69	2.12	2.35	2.29	1.96	2.35	2.46	1.45		
		2.03		2.55	2.23	1.50	2.55	20	2.15		
5	0.00										Right
6	0.00										
	2.09	4.39	2.45	2.83	2.04	1.88	4.80				
	1.93	3.01	2.15	2.34	2.74						
											5
6	0.00										Right
	8.43										
1	0.00	Г 00	2.02	1 (5	2 44						
	6.81	5.89	2.02	1.65	2.44						
7	2.35	6.31									Right
	1.84										
	3.38	4.05	2.35	2.30	2.48	2.27	2.57				
	6.81	5.89	2.02	1.65	2.44						
8	4.91	15.75									Right
	2.13	9.45	6.58	4.89	3.29	10.29	2.73	2.94	2.40		
	2.82	4.33	4.75	4.33	5.50	2.57	2.34	2.80	2.87		
	0.96	2.34	3.29	4.29	2.13	3.35	4.64	3.71	4.38		

9	4.54	2.12	20.92	6.94	3.04	3.29				Right
	1.96	5.49	3.47	10.15	11.12	4.63	1.62			
	0.98	4.29	2.43	2.74	2.68	1.65	1.84	1.85	2.13	
	1.45	3.08	2.91	2.13	2.12	3.69	3.90	3.44		
10	3.21	5.02	11.27	4.82	4.15	2.66	4.46			Right
	2.94	3.62	2.55	2.07						
	2.59	2.85	5.95	2.51						
	1.84	3.86	3.49							

Base Headway	Prevailing Headway		Ideal Sta	rtup Lost 1	Гime
			0.30	0.02	
2.67	2.67		0.26	0.35	-0.99
		3.11	-0.88	-0.37	

	11.99	0.90	2.85	
4.21	4.21	1.38	2.08	-0.20

	2.73		2.85	-0.54	-0.41
2.34	2.34	1.77	-0.18	-0.10	-0.18
2.90	2.90	0.10	2.00	-0.77	-0.37

	2.33	2.24	-0.99	0.43	-0.41
2.35	2.35	1.19	1.72	0.34	-1.12
2.10	2.10	1.40	-0.09	-0.66	-0.43

2.90	2.90	-0.69	1.61	-0.33	0.05
2.74	2.74	-0.85	0.23	-0.63	-0.44

2.44 2.44	3.11	-0.76	-1.13
-----------	------	-------	-------

2.78	3.45				1.57
Base Hdwy	Prevailing Headway				Start up Lost time
		0.05	0.97	0.63	-0.08
		-0.94	1.08	0.71	
		-0.19	0.07	3.17	-0.27
		0.16	0.84	-0.23	-0.71
	3.76	0.43	2.24	8.49	2.04
3.29	3.29	-1.33	0.30	0.13	-0.65
2.03	2.03	-1.80	1.51	-0.35	-0.04
	5.79	-0.82	2.71	0.69	
	3.17				
3.64	3.64	-1.82	-0.44	0.51	1.51
3.22	3.22	0.04	1.55	1.97	1.55
	4.33	-0.65			2.11
2.44	2.44			-0.76	-1.13
2.44	2.44	0.60	1.27	-0.43	-0.48
		-0.94			

Interse	ction	Par	k Av & 5	7 St		Date &	Time	09/29	/11; 16:	15	
	R	led = He	avy vehi	cle; Blue	= Ped; G	Green = i	nterfere	nce			Lane
Vehicle											
No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	0.00										Right
	10.59	2.87									
	5.71	5.30	2.62	2.49							
	5.58	3.30	3.47								
2	2.21	3.27	27.31	13.79							Right
	0.00										
	3.05	3.04	2.21	2.07							
	3.12	3.33									
3	0.00										Right
	1.45										
	2.26	3.69	5.32	2.30							
	2.09	4.40	3.04	3.41							
4	0.00										Right
	1.77	3.69	14.55	3.38	4.21	4.25	2.79	6.91			
	2.57	2.83	3.30	2.30	2.05	2.04	2.18	3.57	1.18		
	2.06	2.38	1.81	1.70	3.44	4.43	3.21				
5	3.49	6.83	7.95	4.19	4.32	5.89	9.53				Right
22	3.68	3.65									
	4.58	4.02	1.79	2.52	3.37	2.21	2.24	2.32	1.51		
	3.19	2.65	3.15								
6	5.69	4.10	9.84	7.30							Right
	2.66										
İ	3.46	2.65	2.44	1.90	1.59	2.23					
	3.04	2.63	2.16								
7	2.23	4.43	3.82	10.45	7.67	5.24	2.91	1.95			Right
	5.75										
	3.27	2.35	2.25	1.99	2.60						
	3.41	2.96	1.51	2.97							
0	6.61	16.14	21 10								Dich+
8	6.61	10.14	21.18								Right
	4.00	24.40	2 54	4 74	2 20	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.00		
	5.77	21.46	3.51	4.71	2.30	2.69		1.90	1.96		
1	5.58	4.86	14.27	3.88	1.85	2.19	2.48				

9	3.72	13.77	22.76	6.39				Right
	13.99	3.88	2.96	2.87				
	11.45	1.52	6.69	2.94	1.95			
	10.26	1.34	2.19					
10	4.50	17.28	5.77	2.51	6.72			Right
	6.41	17.17	3.12	2.12	2.69			
	17.75	3.49	3.77	3.97	1.84	2.34		
	2.54	15.61	2.96	3.27	2.74	2.77		

Base Headway	Prevailing Headway		Ideal Sta	rtup Lost 1	Time
			0.36		
		3.20	2.79	0.11	-0.02
		3.07	0.79	0.96	

0.54	0.53	-0.30	-0.44
0.61	0.82		

-1.06			
-0.25	1.18	2.81	-0.21
-0.42	1.89	0.53	0.90

3.75	4.54	-0.74	1.18		0.87
2.20	2.20	0.06	0.32	0.79	-0.21
3.69	3.69	-0.45	-0.13	-0.70	-0.81

		0.15			
1.91	1.91	0.95	0.14	-0.07	-0.61
		0.53	0.12	-0.35	

	4.44				
		3.24			
2.60	2.60	0.76	-0.16	-0.26	-0.52
		0.90	0.45	-1.00	0.46
		1.49			
2.19	2.19	3.26		1.00	2.20
2.17	2.17	3.07	2.35		1.37
			1.37	0.45	0.36
1.95	1.95		-0.99	4.18	0.43
			-1.17	-0.32	
	6.72				
	2.69			0.61	-0.39
2.09	2.09		0.98	1.26	1.46
2.76	2.76	0.03		0.45	0.76
		0.99	0.71	0.50	0.31
Base	Prevailing				Start up Lost
Hdwy	Headway				time
2.51	3.26				2.51

Interse	ction	Parl	k Av & 57	' St		Date &	Time	09/2	9/11; 1	6:45	
	R	ed = He	avy vehic	le; Blue	= Ped; C	Green = i	nterfere	ence			Lane
Vehicle			•		•						
No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	0.00										Right
	11.42	5.49	4.46	6.75	3.18	2.34	3.68	2.90	2.37	3.19	
	3.27	6.80	4.36	3.36	3.82	3.71	3.07	2.24	2.83	2.15	
	8.50	1.21	2.29	2.65	2.30	2.34	1.88	3.49	3.96		
	0.00										D'ala
2	0.00	2.04									Right
	4.35	3.04									
	3.51	3.54	3.65	4.83							
	5.91	4.60	3.12								
3	4.72	4.41	21.06	3.58	2.85	3.65					Right
	5.32										
	3.26	3.43	3.41	2.30	1.96	1.87	4.08	2.18			
	3.29	3.02	5.66	2.77	3.75	3.26	1.54	2.38			
4	8.47	4.35	7.05								Right
	3.57	3.90	4.02	3.97							
	1.65	4.19	2.57	1.32	4.10	1.98	1.37	1.35	2.13		
	3.65	4.30	3.05	2.90	2.13						
5	1.76										Right
52	1.65	3.15	4.27								
	1.99	2.26	1.74	2.90							
	0.85										
6	4.05	0.05									Right
	3.10	3.69	2.96								
İ	5.78	2.76	2.13	1.96							
	1.54	4.77									
7	10.17	7.16	7.70								Right
	2.62	3.57	3.26								
	2.91	3.86	2.73	2.27	1.91						
	1.98	2.79	1.46	2.94							
	50		•	· ·							
8	0.00										Right
	4.69	3.96	2.83	2.85							_
	5.05	2.26	4.39	2.01	1.35						
	3.88	2.58	1.91								

9	0.00				Right
	4.75	3.54	3.08		
	2.79	4.69			
10	0.00				Right
	3.85	3.04	4.02		
	2.60	3.27	2.91	2.18	
	2.15	2.80	2.77		

Base	Prevailing								
Headway	Headway		Ideal Startup Lost Time						
2.94	2.94		3.03	2.00	4.29				
2.97	2.97	0.81		1.90	0.90				
2.79	2.79		-1.25	-0.17	0.19				

1.89	0.58		
1.05	1.08	1.19	2.37
3.45	2.14	0.66	

2 25

		2.86			
2.52	2.52	0.80	0.97	0.95	-0.16
2.73	2.73	0.83	0.56	3.20	0.31

1.11	1.44	1.56	1.51
-0.81	1.73	0.11	-1.14
1.19	1.84	0.59	0.44

-0.81	0.69	1.81	
-0.47	-0.20	-0.72	0.44
-1.61			

0.64	1.23	0.50	
3.32	0.30	-0.33	-0.50
-0.92	2.31		

		0.16	1.11	0.80	
1.91	1.91	0.45	1.40	0.27	-0.19
		-0.48	0.33	-1.00	0.48
		2.23	1.50	0.37	0.39
1.35	1.35	2.59	-0.20	1.93	-0.45
		1.42	0.12	-0.55	
		2.29	1.08	0.62	
		0.33	2.23		
		1.39	0.58	1.56	
		0.14	0.81	0.45	-0.28
		-0.31	0.34	0.31	
		0.87	0.99	0.75	0.54
Base Hdwy	Prevailing Headway				Start up Lost time
2.46	2.56				3.15
	, -				

Intersec	tion	Park	Av &	57 St		Date 8	k Time	09/28	8/11; 12	2:00	
	Red	d = Heav	y vehi	cle; Blue	e = Ped;	Green =	= interfe	rence			Lane
Vehicle			ĺ	,	,						
No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	0										Right
	3.4	3.3	2.4	2.9	3.2	5.6	4.6	5	3.8		
	2.1	4	3.6	1.6	3.9	2.7					
	4	4.7	6.4	15.1							
											D: 1 :
2	0	2.0	2.6								Right
	1.4	3.8	3.6	2.0	2.2						
	1.3	2.6	2.7	2.3	2.2	0.6	7.0	10			
	3.8	4.5	3	2.8	3.1	9.6	7.8	10			
3	0										Diah+
3	4.7	5.3	8.2	2.2	2.8	3.9	2				Right
	3.8	8.7	2.3	2.2	2.8	4.1	3.5	1.5	2.2		
	4.9	6.5	3.2	3.4	4.1	3.7	3.6	1.5	2.2		
	4.3	0.5	3.2	3.4	4.1	3.7	3.0				
4	0										Right
	5.4	3.3	4.2	8.2	3.8						Tugite
	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.7	3.6	3.4	3.8	4.1	2.6		
	2.4	2.9	3.4								
5	0										Right
	3.4	5.3									
	3.6	4.2									
	1.2										
6	0										Right
	9.6	3.1	2.7								
	7	4.4	2.1	2.1	2.3	1.9	3.4	3.2	1.8		
	6.5	5.9	2.5	2.6	2.9	2.1	2.5	2.7	4.4		
7	0										Right
	3.1	9.5	6.6	6.5	4.1						
	6.5	3.3	2.2	4.3	3.2	3.9	3.1	2.8	2.5		
	3.2	2.8	3.9	3.6	4.5	3.3					
											D: 1:
8	0	2.4	2.0	4.2	2.7						Right
	2.8	2.4	2.9	4.3	2.7	F 2		2.2	2.5		
	2.8	3.2	3.9	2.8	5.5	5.3	4	3.3	2.5		

	5.1	3.6	4.2	3.3	2.1	2.9				
9	0									Right
	19.9	2.6	3	3	3.7					
	1.8	16.3	3.7	4.9	2.6	3.4	3.2	3	2.6	
	1.4									
10	0									Right
	5.3	7.1								
	2.3	4	3.7	3.7	3.5	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.8	

		Start up Lost Time						
ideal								
headway	headway		Ideal Startup Lost	Time				
Headway	Headway		ideal Startup Lost	. Tillie				
	4.44	0.45	0.35	-0.55	-0.05			
3.30	3.30	-0.85	1.05	0.65	-1.35			
		1.05	1.75					
		-1.55	0.85	0.65				
2.20	2.20	-1.65	-0.35	-0.25	-0.65			
	3.10	0.85						
	2.90				-0.75			
	2.50			-0.65	-0.65			
3.80	3.80	1.95		0.25	0.45			
	3.80		0.35					
	3.50	0.15	0.15	-0.35	-0.25			
		-0.55	-0.05	0.45				
		2						
		0.45						
		0.65	1.25					
		-1.75						
			0.15	-0.25				
	2.30		0.15	-0.25	-0.85			
	2.30			-0.65	-0.65			

2.92	2.92			-0.45	-0.35
	4.10	0.15			
	2.90		0.35	-0.75	
3.90	3.90	0.25	-0.15	0.95	0.65
	2.70	-0.15	-0.55	-0.05	
2.90	2.90	-0.15	0.25	0.95	-0.15
2.50	2.50	2.15	0.65	1.25	0.35
	3.70		-0.35	0.05	0.05
2.96	2.96	-1.15			
		-1.55			
2.82	2.82	-0.65	1.05	0.75	0.75
3.03	3.16	-0.10	0.40	0.1	-0.2
Base	Prevailing			Start up	
Hdwy	Headway			Lost time	
2.95	3.16			0.20	,

Nehicle No/	Intersec	tion	Park	Av & 5	7 St		Date	& Time	09/28	3/11; 1	2:15	
No/ Cycle 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 0		Red	d = Heav	y vehic	le; Blue	e = Ped;	Green	= interfe	rence			Lane
Cycle 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 0 3.6 13 16.2 8.6 2.6 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.8 3.2 3.4 3.7 4.3 3.3 2.2 3.6 4.4 3.4 3.7 4.4 3.3 3.2 3.6 4.4 3.4 3.1 3.2 3.6 4.4 3.6 4.4 3.6 4.4 3.6 4.4 3.6 4.4 3.8 3.1 2.1 3.6 4.4 3.8 3.1 2.1 3.8 3.3 3.2 3.8 3.5 3.8 3.3 3.2 3.3 3.2 3.3 3.6 3.4 3.5 3.8 3.7	Vehicle											
1 0												
2.7 3.6 13 16.2 8.6 2.6			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
2.4 3.5 2.4 2.4 2.1 Right 2.8 4.1 3.8 Right 3.2 2.5 12 3.4 3 7.4 3 3.3 2.2 1.1 2.9 1.8 2.5 2.6 2.5 2.2 3.6 4.4 3 0 Right 3.4 2.1 3.5 11.8 3.9 3.1 2.1 3.5 1.5 3.6 2.6 2 5.1 2.4 3 3.5 3.4 3.2 2.1 3.3 3.5 3.4 3.2 3.3 3.5 3.4 3.2 3.3 2.6 3.4 3.5 3.8 3.3 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.8 3.3 3.5 3.8 3.3 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>2.6</td> <td>4.0</td> <td>46.0</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>2.6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Right</td>	1		2.6	4.0	46.0	0.6	2.6					Right
2 0							2.6					
2 0					2.4	2.1						
3.2 2.5 12 3.4 3 7.4 3 3.3 2.2 1.1 2.9 1.8 2.5 2.6 2.5 2.2 3.6 4.4 3.4 3 3.3 2.7 2.6 2.9 4.6 17.8 2.6 10.4 4 3.5 3.6 2.6 2 5.1 2.4 3 3 3.3 2.5 3.4 3 2.1 3.3 2.6 3.4 3.5 3.8 3.3 2.5 3.1 3.7 3.7 4.5 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 5.2 4.5 3.6 4.1 6.7 16.5 2.6 5 5 0 Right 3.1 3.3 2.1 2.7 3.3 3.3 2.3 2.2 1.9 2.5 3.6 5.3 3.3 3.3 2.2 1.9 2.5 3.6 5.3 3.3 3.4 2.8 3.1 2.8 4 5 6 0 Right 3.1 3.3 3.3 4.5 2.9 1.9 1.9 1.6 6.4 3.4 3.4 3 3 3 1.7 1.9 1.6 6.4 3.4 3.5 3.8 8 0 Right 4.2 8 Right 4.2 8 Ri		2.8	4.1	3.8								
3.2 2.5 12 3.4 3 7.4 3 3.3 2.2 1.1 2.9 1.8 2.5 2.6 2.5 2.2 3.6 4.4 3.4 3 3.3 2.7 2.6 2.9 4.6 17.8 2.6 10.4 4 3.5 3.6 2.6 2 5.1 2.4 3 3 3.3 2.5 3.4 3 2.1 3.3 2.6 3.4 3.5 3.8 3.3 2.5 3.1 3.7 3.7 4.5 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 5.2 4.5 3.6 4.1 6.7 16.5 2.6 5 5 0 Right 3.1 3.3 2.1 2.7 3.3 3.3 2.3 2.2 1.9 2.5 3.6 5.3 3.3 3.3 2.2 1.9 2.5 3.6 5.3 3.3 3.4 2.8 3.1 2.8 4 5 6 0 Right 3.1 3.3 3.3 4.5 2.9 1.9 1.9 1.6 6.4 3.4 3.4 3 3 3 1.7 1.9 1.6 6.4 3.4 3.5 3.8 8 0 Right 4.2 8 Right 4.2 8 Ri	2	0										Dight
1.1 2.9 1.8 2.5 2.6 2.5 2.2 3.6 4.4 3 2.7 2.6 2.9 4.6 17.8 2.6 10.4 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>2.5</td> <td>12</td> <td>3 /</td> <td>3</td> <td>7.1</td> <td>3</td> <td>3 3</td> <td>2.2</td> <td></td> <td>Nigiit</td>			2.5	12	3 /	3	7.1	3	3 3	2.2		Nigiit
3 2.7 2.6 2.9 4.6 17.8 2.6 10.4 Right 3 0 Right 3.4 2.1 3.5 11.8 3.9 3.1 2.1 1.5 3.6 2.6 2 5.1 2.4 3 1.2 3.5 3.4 3 2.1 3.3 2.6 3.4 3.5 4 0 Right 4 3.5 3.8 Right 3.3 2.5 3.1 3.7 3.7 4.5 3.7 3.8 3.7 5.2 4.5 3.6 4.1 6.7 16.5 2.6 5 0 Right 3.1 3.3 2.1 2.7 3.3 3.3 2.3 2.2 1.9 2.5 3.6 5.3 3.3 3.4 2.8 3.1 2.8 4 6 0 Right 3.1 3.3 1 3.3 2.1 2.7 3.3 3.3 4.5 2.9 1.9 Right 7 0 Right 7 0 Right 3.6 4.8 3.4 2.7 3.3 1.7 1.9 1.6 6.4 3.4 3.4 3 Right Right												
3 0 Right 3.4 2.1 3.5 11.8 3.9 3.1 2.1 1.5 3.6 2.6 2 5.1 2.4 3 1.2 3.5 3.4 3 2.1 3.3 2.6 3.4 3.5 4 0 Right 4 3.5 3.8 3.7 3.7 4.5 3.7 3.8 3.7 5.2 4.5 3.6 4.1 6.7 16.5 2.6 5 0 Right 3.1 3.3 2.1 2.7 3.3 3.4 2.8 3.1 2.8 4 6 0 Right 3.1 3.3 2.3 2.2 1.9 2.5 3.6 5.3 3.3 3.4 2.8 3.1 2.8 4 6 0 Right 3.1 3.3 4.5 2.9 3 3.1 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.1 3.8 4.5 3.1 3.3 3.1 3.3 3.4 3.8 3.1 3.8 4.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1										7.7		
3.4 2.1 3.5 11.8 3.9 3.1 2.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.3 3.5 3.4 3.3 2.1 3.3 2.6 3.4 3.5 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.8 3.7 3.8			2.7	2.0	2.5	4.0	17.0	2.0	10.4			
3.4 2.1 3.5 11.8 3.9 3.1 2.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.3 3.5 3.4 3.3 2.1 3.3 2.6 3.4 3.5 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.8 3.7 3.8	3	0										Right
1.5 3.6 2.6 2 5.1 2.4 3 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>2.1</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>11.8</td> <td>3.9</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>2.1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			2.1	3.5	11.8	3.9	3.1	2.1				
1.2 3.5 3.4 3 2.1 3.3 2.6 3.4 3.5 4												
4 0 Right 4 3.5 3.8 Right 3.3 2.5 3.1 3.7 3.7 4.5 3.7 3.8 3.7 5.2 4.5 3.6 4.1 6.7 16.5 2.6 Right 5 0 Right Right Right 3.1 3.3 2.1 2.7 2.8 4 2.5 3.6 5.3 3.3 3.4 2.8 3.1 2.8 4 6 0 Right Right Right Right 7 0 Right Right Right 8 0 Right Right									3.4	3.5		
4 3.5 3.8												
4 3.5 3.8	4	0										Right
5.2 4.5 3.6 4.1 6.7 16.5 2.6 Right 5 0		4	3.5	3.8								
5 0 Right 3.1 3.3 2.1 2.7 3.3 2.3 2.2 1.9 2.5 3.6 5.3 3.3 3.4 2.8 3.1 2.8 4 6 0 Right 3.1 3.3 4.5 2.9 1.9 Right 7 0 Right 3.6 Right 3.6 Right 4.8 3.4 2.7 3.3 1.7 1.9 1.6 6.4 3.4 3 8 0 Right		3.3	2.5	3.1	3.7	3.7	4.5	3.7	3.8	3.7		
3.1 3.3 2.1 2.7 3.3 2.2 1.9 3.3 3.4 2.8 3.1 2.8 4 3.1 3.3 3.3 3.4 2.8 3.1 2.8 4 3.1 3.3 4.5 2.9 3.1 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6		5.2	4.5	3.6	4.1	6.7	16.5	2.6				
3.1 3.3 2.1 2.7 3.3 2.2 1.9 3.3 3.4 2.8 3.1 2.8 4 3.1 3.3 3.3 3.4 2.8 3.1 2.8 4 3.1 3.3 4.5 2.9 3.1 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6												
3.3 2.3 2.2 1.9	5	0										Right
2.5 3.6 5.3 3.3 3.4 2.8 3.1 2.8 4		3.1	3.3	2.1	2.7							
6 0 Right 3.1 Right 7 0 Right 3.6 4.8 3.4 2.7 3.3 1.7 1.9 1.6 6.4 3.4 3 8 0 Right A.2 Right		3.3	2.3	2.2	1.9							
3.1 3.3 4.5 2.9 19 1.9 1.6 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6		2.5	3.6	5.3	3.3	3.4	2.8	3.1	2.8	4		
3.1 3.3 4.5 2.9 19 1.9 1.6 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6 19 1.6												
3.3 4.5 2.9	6											Right
1.9 Right 3.6				_								
7 0 Right 3.6 4.8 3.4 2.7 3.3 1.7 1.9 1.6 6.4 3.4 3 8 0 Right 4.2			4.5	2.9								
3.6 4.8 3.4 2.7 3.3 1.7 1.9 1.6 6.4 3.4 3 Right		1.9										
3.6 4.8 3.4 2.7 3.3 1.7 1.9 1.6 6.4 3.4 3 Right	_											Di. L
4.8 3.4 2.7 3.3 1.7 1.9 1.6 6.4 3.4 3 3 3 3 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4	/											Kight
8 0 Right 4.2			2.4	2.7	2.2	17	1.0	1.0				
8 0 Right 4.2					3.3	1./	1.9	1.6				
4.2		0.4	3.4	3								
4.2	Q	0										Right
	0											Migni
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		3.6	3.2	2.2	2.7	1.2	1.4					

	2.8	2.4	2					
9	0							Right
	1.4	5.2	2.8					
	2.3	3.4	2.3					
	3.8	3.2	3.3					
10	0							Right
	0							
	3.7	2.6	4.1	4.3	2.7			
	5.1	5.6	2.6					

Base	Prevailing				
Headway	Headway		Start up Lo	ost time	
,	,		<u>'</u>		
	2.60	0.16	1.06		
2.10	2.10	-0.14	0.96	-0.14	-0.14
		0.26	1.56	1.26	
	2.88	0.66	-0.04		0.86
2.43	2.43	-1.44	0.36	-0.74	-0.04
	8.85	0.46	0.16	0.06	0.36
	3.03	0.86	-0.44	0.96	
	3.50	-1.04	1.06	0.06	-0.54
2.98	2.98	-1.34	0.96	0.86	0.46
		1.46	0.96	1.26	
3.88	3.88	0.76	-0.04	0.56	1.16
	4.65	2.66	1.96	1.06	1.56
		0.56	0.76	-0.44	0.16
		0.76	-0.24	-0.34	-0.64
3.22	3.22	-0.04	1.06	2.76	0.76
		0.56			
		0.76	1.96	0.36	
		-0.64			

		1.06			
1.73	1.73		0.86	0.16	0.76
			0.86	0.46	
		1.66			
1.30	1.30	1.06	0.66	-0.34	0.16
		0.26	-0.14	-0.54	
		-1.14	2.66	0.26	
		-0.24	0.86	-0.24	
		1.26	0.66	0.76	
2.70	2.70	1.16	0.06	1.56	1.76
		2.56	3.06	0.06	
		0.48	0.86	0.42	0.47
Base	Prevailing			Start up	
Hdwy	Headway			Lost time	
2.54	3.28			2.24	

Intersec	tion	Park	Av & 5	7 St		Date 8	& Time	09/28	8/11; 1	2:30	
	Red	d = Heav	y vehic	le; Blue	e = Ped;	Green	= interfe	rence			Lane
Vehicle			•								
No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	0										Right
	2.5	6.4	9.8	7	5.4	3.5	7	3.1			
	1.2										
	2.7	2.8	2.4	2	2.2	1.7	1.9	2.4	2.4		
2	0										Right
	8.4	2.9	2.0								
	4.7	2.8	2.3								
	1.8										
											Diabt
3	0										Right
	0	2.1	2.0	2.1	1.5						
	1.5	3.1	3.6	2.1	1.5						
	1.8										
4	0										Right
	2.5	3	4.1	4	2.5						Nigit
	2.3	6.9	2.8	3.3	2.8	1.6					
	2.3	4.4	2.3	2.1	2.0	1.0					
	2.5	7.7	2.5	2.1							
5	0										Right
	15.6	2.1	5	13.1	5.1	4.8					
	17.7	3.6	2.2	4.9	7.2	1.3	2.4	3	2		
	19	2.2	2.5	5.3	3.4	3	3.9				
6	0										Right
	3.2	6.9	22.6	6	4.4						
	1.9	2.6	3.7	4	13.6	6.3	4.7	2.6	3.6		
	2	3.1									
7	0										Right
	6.1	6.9	2.5	1.9	3.3	8	1.6	2.4	5.1	-	
	6.5	4.8	3.5	2.4	3.4	4.6	4.4	4.1	2.2		
	9.5	2	2.7	2.3	3.7	3.5	6	4.5	3.7		
8	0										Right
	2.2	3.5	3.8	3.3	3.1	3.3					
	1.2	3.3	3.3	4.1	7	2.4	1.6	2	2.3		

	2.3	2.1	4.7	2.4	2.6	2.6	6.2	4.1		
9	0									Right
	2.4	3.6	2.6	3.3	4.1	3.9				
	1.6	4.8	5.1	3.9	2.7	6	5.1	2.6	9	
	3.4	4	3.2	5.1	4.5	2.9	3.4	5.3	5.2	
10	0									Right
	2.7	3.7	3	11.5	8.5	2.7	1.7	3.4	2.6	
	2.6	2.2	2.1	2.3	3.6	6.3	5	2.9	2.2	
	1.6	2.7	2.6	2.7	4	3.4	5.3	3.4	3.5	

Ideal headway	Prevailing headway		Startup Lo	st Time	
	4.00	-0.73			
		-2.03			
2.12	2.12	-0.53	-0.43	-0.83	-1.23
			-0.33		
			-0.43	-0.93	
		-1.43			
1.50	1.50	-1.73	-0.13	0.37	-1.13
		-1.43			
	2.50	-0.73	-0.23		
2.20	2.20	-1.23	3.67	-0.43	0.07
		-0.93	1.17	-0.93	-1.13
	4.95		-1.13	1.77	
	2.18		0.37	-1.03	
3.43	3.43		-1.03	-0.73	2.07
	4.40				
	6.16	-1.33	-0.63	0.47	0.77

		-1.23	-0.13		
	3.10			-0.73	-1.33
	3.74		1.57	0.27	-0.83
4.28	4.28		-1.23	-0.53	-0.93
	3.20	-1.03	0.27	0.57	0.07
	2.08	-2.03	0.07	0.07	
3.10	3.10	-0.93	-1.13	1.47	-0.83
	4.00	-0.83	0.37	-0.63	0.07
4.10	4.10	-1.63	1.57	1.87	0.67
4.26	4.26	0.17	0.77	-0.03	1.87
	2.60	-0.53	0.47	-0.23	
2.90	2.90	-0.63	-1.03	-1.13	-0.93
3.92	3.92	-1.63	-0.53	-0.63	-0.53
				-	
		-1.12	0.083043478	0.091904762	-0.205
Base	Prevailing			Start up Lost	
Hdwy	Headway			time	
3.18	3.40			-1.33	

Intersec	tion	Park	Av & 5	7 St		Date 8	& Time	09/28	3/11; 1	2:45	
	Red	d = Heav	y vehic	le; Blue	e = Ped;	Green	= interfe	rence			Lane
Vehicle											
No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	0										Right
	1.8	2.8	2.2	3.5	4.2	2.9	2.1	1.9	2.7		
	2.5	3.8	2.5	17.6	1.9	3.6	3.5	1.9	2.7		
	6.4	2.6	2.2	5.8							
											5
2	0	2	2.0	2.5	2.0	7.0	2.2	2.5	2.5		Right
	3.6	3	2.9	3.5	2.9	7.8	3.3	2.5	3.5		
	1.3	2.5	3.1	3.6	2.6	2.9	2.7	7.1	2		
	1.4	2.4	3.1	3.2	4.4	9.1	2.9	10.6			
2											D:-I
3	0	2.7	2.6	2.0	2.4	0.5	1.0		2		Right
	2	3.7	3.6	2.9	2.4	9.5	1.9	2	3		
	1.5	2.2	2.2	2.6	2.8	10.7	2.3	2.7	2.4		
	1.6	2.2	2.4	5.5	3	6.2	4.1	3.7			
4	0										Diabt
4	1.7	3.8	1 E	17	6.2	7.1	5.7	5.3	2.4		Right
	4.6	3.8	4.5	4.7 2.7	6.3 2.7	7.4 4.4	3.5	3.3	3.4 2.7		
	2.6	3.2	2.9	2.7	2.7	4.6	2.7	2.1	2.7		
	2.0	3.2	2.5	2.4	2.7	4.0	2.7	2.1	2.7		
5	0										Right
3	5.6	4.3	4.1	6.2	2.8	2	2.1	1.5	1.8		Mgm
	1.5	3.8	2	3.3	5.3	5.2	3.5	4	2.1		
	2.4	3.2	9.6	5.6	3.3	3.2	3.3	•			
		0.1_		0.0							
6	0										Right
	2.6	3.7	3.5	3.8	3.5	3.8	4.7	5.2	3.1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	2.1	7.3	3.9	3.4	3.4	3.5	4.5	5.8	4.7		
	3.2	4.3	4.4	4.1	3.2	2.4	3.7	3.9	3.5		
7	0										Right
	1.9	4.3	2.7	3.6	6	8.4	3.9	3.1	3		
	1.4	3.5	2.9	10.3	10.2	3.1	3.6	2.6	3.9		
	1.7	3.9	3.8	10.5	3.5	4.5	3.5	2.5	2.6		
8	0										Right
	3.4	5.5	6	5.3	5.7	4.6	3.7				
	10.4	4	3.4	5	4.7	3.6	4.2				

	11.8	2.8	2.9	3.8	2.8	3.4	4.3	2.7	2.7	
9	0									Right
	1.8	4.2	2.6	3.5	7.7	10.7				
	3.2	4.2	8.1	2.8	7.5	1.8	1.7	2.1	2.5	
	1.8	2.8								
10	0									Right
	1.5	6.1	2.5	3.1	8.7	3.9	3.6	3.4	3.6	
	2.6	4.6	3.2	2.4	10.7	4.4	7.1	4.8	4.4	
	3	2.7	3.7	2.4	3.1	6.1	4.4	2.9	2.3	

Ideal headway	Prevailing headway	,	Startup Lo	ost Time	
	2.76	-1.42	-0.42	-1.02	0.28
2.50	2.40	-0.72	0.58	-0.72	
			-0.62	-1.02	2.58
	4.00	0.38	-0.22	-0.32	0.28
2.55	2.55	-1.92	-0.72	-0.12	0.38
	3.65	-1.82	-0.82	-0.12	-0.02
	2.33	-1.22	0.48	0.38	-0.32
2.55	2.55	-1.72	-1.02	-1.02	-0.62
2.70	3.60	-1.62	-1.02	-0.82	2.28
	5.62	-1.52	0.58	1.28	
3.32	3.32		0.58	-0.22	-0.52
2.96	2.96	-0.62	-0.02	-0.32	-0.82
	2.04	2.38	1.08	0.88	
	3.20	-1.72	0.58	-1.22	0.08
		-0.82	-0.02		2.38
	4.06	-0.62	0.48	0.28	0.58
	4.38	-1.12	4.08		0.18
3.34	3.34	-0.02	1.08	1.18	0.88

İ					
	4 00	1 22	1.00	-0.52	0.30
	4.88	-1.32	1.08		0.38
2.22	3.30	-1.82	0.28	-0.32	
3.32	3.32	-1.52	0.68	0.58	
	4.67				
	4.17		0.78	0.18	1.78
3.18	3.18		-0.42	-0.32	0.58
	9.20	-1.42	0.98	-0.62	0.28
	2.03	-0.02	0.98		-0.42
		-1.42	-0.42		
	4.64	-1.72	2.88	-0.72	-0.12
	4.53	-0.62	1.38	-0.02	-0.82
3.18	3.76	-0.22	-0.52	0.48	-0.82
		-0.968	0.424827586	-0.168	0.366956522
				Start up	
Base	Prevailing			Lost	
Hdwy	Headway			time	
2.96	3.72			-0.34	

Intersec	tion	Park	Av & 5	7 St		Date 8	& Time	09/28	3/11; 1	3:00	
	Red	d = Heav	y vehic	le; Blue	e = Ped;	Green	= interfe	rence			Lane
Vehicle											
No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	0										Right
	6.6	3.3	3.4	6.7	11.2	3.7	3.2	4.8	2.5		
	3.7	2.7	2.3	2.8	2.9	6.6	3.9	2.5	2.9		
	4.6	2.4	1.8	2	2.2	3.3	3.1	4.1	2.9		
											5: 1:
2	0	2.5	2.0								Right
	6.6	2.5	3.8	4.2	2.0	4.6	2.5	1.0	2.6		
	1.9	7.3	2.6	4.3	3.8	4.6	3.5	1.9	2.6		
	4.6	3.6	2.2	4.9	2.9	2.4	3.1	3.3			
2	0										Diah+
3	2.8	4.7	7.7	4.9	5.1	7.6	2.4	2.1	1.8		Right
	1.6	5.1	4.1	4.9	6.1	2.5	4	3.3	1.7		
	7.5	3.8	4.1	4.6	3.4	3.3	4	3.7	2.2		
	7.3	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.4	3.3	4	5.7	2.2		
4	0										Right
•	1.8	7.3	3.7	5.5	3.2	4.4					Marie
	4.6	2.9	2.9	3.3	13.3	3.8	11.5	2.9			
	2.3	2.9	2.8	4.5	5.2	6.8	3.1	2.4	2.1		
5	0										Right
	2.8	4.7	6.9	18.5	4.4						
	3	3.5	6.4	3.8							
	3.9	2.8	3.8	3.1	3.3						
6	0										Right
	4.8	4	13	7.6	3	3.4	3.7	3.3			
	5.4	2.2	2.8	2.9	2.9	6.9	3.4	2.3	3.5		
	3	4.1	2.6	2.2	4.5	2.8	2.7	2.5			
7	0										Right
	6.9	2.6	18.7	4.8	2.9	4.6	4.8				
	3.5	4.1	4.5	3.5	3.9	3.2	2.4	2.9	2.4		
	3.5	2.1	4.2	2.9	2.2	3.2	3.9	3.3	3.3		
8	0										Right
	5.1	5.7	4.1	0.5	2.5	7.3	3.9				
	7.1	3.2	7.4	4.5	6	4.1					

	2.8	2.4	4.1	6	3.7	3.1	3.3	3.1		
9	0									Right
	1.1	3.9	3.1	4.2	9.4					
	1.9	5.1	3.5	6.1	4.1					
	2.4	3.1	2	6	6.3	2.9	3.1	3.3	3.1	
10	0									Right
	3.5	3.7	2.3	6.5	9.6	2.6	1.9			
	2.7	3.6	4.2	2.6	2.9	7.5	3.5			
	2.3	4.1	2.4	2.4	3.1	2.9	6.9	2.9	3.1	

Г	1									
Ideal headway	Prevailing headway	Startup Lost Time								
	5.08		0.05	0.15						
3.05	3.05		-0.55	-0.95	-0.45					
3.12	3.12	1.35	-0.85	-1.45	-1.25					
			-0.75	0.55						
3.28	3.28	-1.35		-0.65	1.05					
2.93	2.93	1.35	0.35	-1.05						
	3.80	-0.45								
2.88	2.88	-1.65	1.85	0.85	1.35					
3.32	3.32		0.55	1.55	1.35					
	3.80	-1.45		0.45						
	3.35	1.35	-0.35	-0.35	0.05					
	3.20	-0.95	-0.35	-0.45	1.25					
	4.40	-0.45								
		-0.25	0.25		0.55					
3.30	3.30	0.65	-0.45	0.55	-0.15					
	3.35		0.75							
3.03	3.03		-1.05	-0.45	-0.35					

	3.13	-0.25	0.85	-0.65	-1.05
	4.10		-0.65		
2.96	2.96		0.85	1.25	0.25
3.18	3.18	0.25	-1.15	0.95	-0.35
	4.57	1.85			
4.10	4.10		-0.05		1.25
3.30	3.30	-0.45	-0.85	0.85	
	9.40	-2.15	0.65	-0.15	0.95
4.10	4.10	-1.35	1.85	0.25	2.85
	2.40	-0.85	-0.15	-1.25	
	4.70			-0.95	
3.20	3.20	-0.55	0.35	0.95	-0.65
3.00	3.00	-0.95	0.85	-0.85	-0.85
		- 26666667	0.036363636		0.45625
		0.266666667	0.036363636	0 Start	0.45625
				up	
Base	Prevailing			Lost	
Hdwy	Headway			time	
3.25	3.72			0.23	

Intersec	tion	Park	Av & 5	7 St		Date 8	& Time	09/28	3/11; 1	3:15	
	Red	d = Heav	y vehic	le; Blue	e = Ped;	Green	= interfe	rence			Lane
Vehicle											
No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	0										Right
	2	5.1	13.9	4.8	9	3.5	2.6	2.3	2.2		
	1.7	1.9	1.9	2.9	6.8	3.3	2.4	2	2.7		
	1.7	3.7	3.6	10.8							
2	0										Diabt
	1.6	2.1	15.6	2.6	2.0	2.6	2 5	2.4			Right
	1.6 2.7	3.1	15.6 3.2	3.6 2.6	3.2	3.6 5.1	2.5	2.4 3.5	3.6		
	4.4	2.1	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.4	2.1	3.6	3.8		
	4.4	2.9	2.7	2.9	2.3	2.4	2.1	3.0	3.6		
3	0										Right
<u> </u>	5.1	3.7	18.1	3.3	2.4	8.3	3.5				MgHt
	1.2	4.7	5.5	2.4	1.9	2.2	3.5				
	3.5	4.2	4	2.6	3	2.6	2.2	2.2	3.5		
	3.3		•	2.0		2.0			3.3		
4	0										Right
	2.2	4.5	10.8	2.7	4.6						
	2.6	2.1	2.7	3.4	3.5	3.9	2.1	2.7	1.6		
	4	3	3.9	2.3	2.6						
5	0										Right
	3.4										
	2.4	3.1									
	4.1										
6	0										Right
	4.6	5.3	14.1	3.8	3.4	4.1					
	2.1	2.6	3.1	3.6							
	1.9	3	2.2								
7	0		4.5.5								Right
	3.7	5.6	14.9	6.7	2.9						
	1.9	2.9	2.3	3.9	2.3	2.8	2.2				
	1.8	2.9	1.9	2.6	2.8						
0	0										Diaht
8	0	2.1	2.7	2 2	2.0	2.1					Right
	5	3.1	2.7	2.3	2.9	3.1					
	3.6	1.9	2.6	2.3	1.9						

	5	2.1	3.4	1.9				
9	0							Right
	6	7.5	3.7					
	6.2	3.6	2.1	2.7				
	8.9	2.1						

					1
Base	Prevailing				
headway	headway		Star	tup Lost Time	
	3.92	-0.64			
	2.60	-0.94	-0.74	-0.74	0.26
		-0.94	1.06	0.96	
	2.83	-1.04	0.46		0.96
3.68	3.68	0.06	-0.54	0.56	-0.04
2.88	2.88	1.76	0.26	0.06	0.26
	4.73		1.06		0.66
2.05	2.05	-1.44	2.06	2.86	-0.24
2.70	2.70	0.86	1.56	1.36	-0.04
	4.60	-0.44			0.06
2.76	2.76	-0.04	-0.54	0.06	0.76
2.60	2.60	1.36	0.36	1.26	-0.34
		-0.24	0.46		
		1.46			
	2.75				1.15
	3.75	0.54	0.04	0.46	1.16
		-0.54	-0.04	0.46	0.96
		-0.74	0.36	-0.44	
	2.00				
2.42	2.90 2.43	0.74	0.26	0.24	
2.43	2.43	-0.74	0.26	-0.34	

2.80	2.80	-0.84	0.26	-0.74	-0.04
	3.00		0.46	0.06	-0.34
1.90	1.90	0.96	-0.74	-0.04	-0.34
		2.36	-0.54	0.76	-0.74
				1.06	
			0.96	-0.54	0.06
		<u>6.26</u>	<u>-0.54</u>	_	_
		0.325	0.295	0.389411765	0.177647059
Base	Prevailing			Start up Lost	
Hdwy	Headway			time	
2.64	3.07			1.187058824	

Intersec	tion	Park	Av & 5	7 St		Date 8	& Time	09/28	3/11; 1	3:45	
	Red	d = Heav	y vehicl	le; Blue	e = Ped;	Green	= interfe	rence			Lane
Vehicle											
No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	0										Right
	2.7	1.7	1.6	2.6	2.2	4.5					
	1.4	6	3.3	2.2	3.1	2.2	_				
	1.8	3.9	2.5	3.3	3.3	4.5	5				
2	0										Diabt
2	2.2	4	3.9	3.1							Right
	2.2	4.1	9.3	4.6	3.7	2.9					
	2	3.9	9.3	5.4	7.5	3.9	3.2	2.7	2.6		
		3.3	U	J. 4	7.5	3.3	٥.۷	۷.1	۷.0		
3	0										Right
	0										Marie
	2.9	2.8	5.3	4.5							
	0										
4	0										Right
	1.6										
	2.1	3.3									
	2.6										
5	0										Right
	4.9	5									
	1.5	1.9	4.1	3.2	2.9	2	1.9	1.7	1.6		
	3.9										
6	0										Right
	3.4	4.4	3.8	1.2							
	2	2.5	1.6								
	2.4	3.4									
_											D: 1:
7	0	2.5	2.5	2 -							Right
	2.9	2.5	2.5	2.7	17	2.7	2.4	4.3	2.4		
	2.4	2.8	5.9	1.5	1.7	2.7	2.4	4.3	2.4		
	1.3	3.8									
8	2 E	176	2.4	2.2	E E	7 -	2.4				Diah+
8	3.5	17.6	2.4	3.3	5.5	7.5	3.4				Right
	2.6	2 5	2 5	27	E 6	/1 2					
	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.7	5.6	4.3					

	1.9	2.7	2	2.9	2.3	2.5	3.1	12.3		
9	0									Right
	1.9	4.1	3	3.2						
	1.6	2.1	2.6	2.8	2.3	2.4	2.2	1.7	1.8	
	1.3	5.7	2	2.2						
10	3.9									Right
	4.8	2.7								
	3.8	1.8	2.4							
	2.1	2.5								

Base headway	Prevailing headway		Startup Lost	Time	
	2.20	-0.11	-1.11	-1.21	-0.21
2.65	2.65	-1.41			-0.61
4.27	4.27	-1.01	1.09	-0.31	0.49
_		-0.61	1.19	1.09	0.29
3.30	3.30	-0.81	1.19	1.03	1.79
3.30	3.98	-0.81	1.09	3.19	2.59
		0.09	-0.01		1.69
		-0.71	0.49		
		-0.21			
2.02	2.02	-1.31	-0.91		
2.02	2.02	1.09	-0.91		
		1.03			
			1.59	0.99	-1.61
		-0.81	-0.31	-1.21	

2.81	3.30			0.27	
Hdwy	Headway			time	
Base	Prevailing			Lost	
				Start up	
		-0.438	0.330909091	0.17	0.2025
		-0.71	-0.31	_	_
		0.99	-1.01	-0.41	
		1.99	-0.11		
		1.09			
, , ,		-1.51	2.89	-0.81	-0.61
2.08	2.08	-1.21	-0.71	-0.21	-0.01
		-0.91	1.29	0.19	0.39
2.03	2.03	0.91	0.11	0.01	0.03
2.63	2.63	-0.01	-0.31	-0.31	0.09
	4.95	-0.21	-0.31	-0.31	-0.11
	3.47	-0.21		-0.41	0.49
	5.47			-0.41	0.49
		-1.51	0.99		
2.70	2.70	-0.41	-0.01	3.09	-1.31
			-0.31	-0.31	-0.11
		-0.41	0.59		

Intersec	tion	Park	Av & 5	7 St		Date 8	& Time	09/28	3/11; 1	4:15	
	Red	d = Heav	y vehic	le; Blue	e = Ped;	Green	= interfe	rence			Lane
Vehicle											
No/		_						_			
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	5: 1:
1	0	2.5	4.7	2.0							Right
	1.6	3.5	4.7	2.9							
	3.3	4.1	2 5								
	0.9	4.3	3.5								
2	0										Right
	3.4	4.3	2.1								Mgm
	1.8	2.3									
	2.3	3.1									
3	0										Right
	6.2	3.9	2.6								
	2.6										
	2.4	2									
4	0										Right
	3.6	6.9	3.8	3.7	4.8						
	2.6	4	2.2	3.1	2.1	0.9	2.2	2	1.4		
	4.6										
											_
5	0										Right
	5.6	3.5	4.1								
	4.9	6.5	5.1								
	2.5										
-	^										Diah+
6	4.7	3.4									Right
	4.7	3.4	4.4	2.7	2.5						
	0	5.1	7. 7	2.7	2.5						
7	0										Right
	3.4										
	1.8	3.9									
	0										
8	0									-	Right
	2.6	3.9	2.5								
	2.3	2.6	1.7								

	2.1	3.1	3.5	1.6	2.9			
9	0							Right
	3	6.9	12	10.6	5.7			
	3.5	3.7	1.9	2.4				
	5.3							
10	0							Right
	3.6	5.9	12.8	10.6	6			
	5.4	2.7						
	3.8	2.5						

	1				
Base headway	Prevailing headway		Startup	Lost Time	
		-0.77	1.13	2.33	0.53
		0.93	1.73		
		-1.47	1.93	1.13	
		1.03	1.93	-0.27	
		-0.57	-0.07		
		-0.07	0.73		
		3.83	1.53	0.23	
		0.23			
		0.03	-0.37		
		0.00	0.07		
	4.80			1.43	1.33
1.72	1.72	0.23	1.63	-0.17	0.73
1.72	1.72	2.23	1.03	0.17	0.73
		2.23			
			1.13	1.73	
		2.52	1.13	1./3	
		2.53			
		0.13			
		2.33	1.03		
2.50	2.50		0.73	2.03	0.33

		-2.37	-2.37	-2.37	-2.37					
		1.03								
		-0.57	1.53							
		0.23	1.53	0.13						
		-0.07	0.23	-0.67						
2.90	2.90	-0.27	0.73	1.13	-0.77					
	5.70	0.63								
		1.13	1.33	-0.47	0.03					
		2.93								
	6.00	1.23	3.53							
		3.03	0.33							
		1.43	0.13							
		0 =0	0.0500055	0.476450646	-					
_		0.73	0.953809524	0.476153846	0.027142857					
Base	Prevailing			Start up Lost						
Hdwy	Headway			time						
2.37	3.94		2.13							

Intersed	tion	Park	Av & 5	7 St		Date 8	& Time	09/28	3/11; 1	4:30	
	Red	d = Heav	y vehicl	le; Blue	e = Ped;	Green	= interfe	rence			Lane
Vehicle											
No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	5.1										Right
	2.4	3.3									
	1.8	1.7	1.4								
	2										
2	0										Right
	2.7										
	2.3										
	2.3	2.6									
3	0										Right
	2.9	2.6	3.5								
	1.9	2.6	2.1	2.1	2.7	2.2					
	3.9	2.4	4.4	2.4	1.8	1.7					
4	0										Right
	7.8	10.9	2.8	4.3	2.8						
	4.9	11.8	2.4	2	2.8	3.3	1.7	1.1	2.3		
	3.7	2.9	4.2								
5	0										Right
	0										
	3										
	1.8	3.4									
6	0										Right
	2.5	4.3	2.5	4.2	2.7						
	1.8	3.6	1.7	2	2						
	1.6	3.4	2.1	1.7	2.1	2.4	2.1	2.8	3.1		
7	3.1										Right
	1.9										
	1.7	5	6.2	2.9	3.7	2	1.7	1.6	1.3		
	0.8										
8	3.5										Right
	4.1	5.3	2.5	2.9							
	4	2.9	2.5	3.2	6.2	2.2	2.9	1.6	1.7		

	4.7	2.3								
9	6.6									Right
	2.9	5.1	3.4							
	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.8	4.7	4.5	3.6	2.9	2.9	
	0.5	1.6	2.9							
10	0									Right
	3.6									
	3.7									
	5									

<u> </u>	1				
Base headway	Prevailing headway		Startup L	ost Time	
		-0.05	0.85		
		-0.65	-0.75	-1.05	
		-0.45			
		0.25			
		-0.15			
		-0.15	0.15		
			0.15	1.05	
2.45	2.45	-0.55	0.15	-0.35	-0.35
1.75	1.75	1.45	-0.05	1.95	-0.05
	2.80			0.35	
2.24	2.24	2.45		-0.05	-0.45
		1.25	0.45	1.75	
			01.0	2.70	
		0.55			
		-0.65	0.95		
		-0.03	0.33		
	2.70	0.05	1.85	0.05	
2.00	ł				0.45
2.00	2.00	-0.65	1.15	-0.75	-0.45

2.50	2.50	-0.85	0.95	-0.35	-0.75
		0.65			
		-0.55			
2.06	2.06	-0.75	2.55		0.45
		-1.65			
		1.05			
				0.05	0.45
	1.83	1.55	0.45	0.05	0.75
		2.25	-0.15		
		0.45	2.65	0.95	
	3.72	0.25	0.35	0.35	1.35
		-1.95	-0.85	0.45	
		1.25			
		0.169230769	0.638235294	0.296666667	0.10555556
Base	Prevailing			Start up Lost	
Hdwy	Headway			time	
2.45	3.09			1.21	

Intersec	tion	Park	Av & 5	7 St		Date 8	& Time	09/28	3/11; 1	4:45	
	Red	d = Heav	y vehicl	le; Blue	e = Ped;	Green	= interfe	rence			Lane
Vehicle											
No/											
Cycle	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	0	6.5									Right
	1.4	6.5									
	4.4	4.6									
	2.3	3.9									
2	0										Right
2	3.1	6.3									Nigiit
	1.7	2.4	2.9	4	1.8						
	3.4	3.1	1.9		1.0						
		3.1	1.5								
3	0										Right
	2.7	3.3	1.9								0 -
	1.5	1.9									
	3.4	2.2	2.4								
4	0										Right
	4.2	3.7	2.8	3							
	4.2	3.2	2.4	3.1	7.8	6.9	3.8	4.4	4.1		
	2.6	2.6	3.7	3.4	5.9	3.6	2.5	2.6			
5	0										Right
	2.9	4.2	10.9	6	2.8	4.3	4	3.7	2.4		
	2.8	3.2									
	1.4	5.4	2.4	2.8	7.5	3.2					
											_
6	0										Right
	3.6	3.3	2.6	2.1	2.3	2.2					
	2.1	3.3	3.4	2.3	2.8	2.2	1.7				
	5.1	2.5	3.7	6.5							
7	^										Dial-+
7	0	27	2.2	10	E 7						Right
	5.2 3.6	3.7 2.3	3.3 1.9	2.1	5.7 2.3	1.8					
	3.3	4.7	3.2	2.1	1.6	2.8	1.5	2	2		
	٥.٥	4./	٥.۷	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.5				
8	0										Right
	1.9	2.9	3.6								
	5.6	2.6	2.4	1.8	1.7	5	2.1	1.6	1.7		

	2.9	2.3	2.6	2.3	4	2	2.4	2.6		
9	0									Right
	4.5	3.5	4.5	15.4	7.4	8.1				
	2.5	2.8	1.9							
	1.1	4								
10	0									Right
	4.9	5.8	13.4	5.6	3.4	4.4	3.6			
	3.9	2.7	1.7	2.3	3.6	3	1.8	1.4		
	2.7	3.1	2.6	2.3						

					1
Base headway	Prevailing headway		Startup L	ost Time	
		-0.89	4.21		
		2.11	2.31		
		0.01	1.61		
1.80	1.80	-0.59	0.11	0.61	
		1.11	0.81	-0.39	
		0.41	1.01	-0.39	
		-0.79	-0.39		
		1.11	-0.09	0.11	
			1.41	0.51	0.71
	4.10	1.91	0.91	0.11	0.81
	2.90	0.31	0.31	1.41	1.11
3.44	3.44	0.61	1.91		
		0.51	0.91		
	3.20	-0.89	3.11	0.11	0.51
2.30	2.30		1.01	0.31	-0.19
2.23	2.23	-0.11	1.01	1.11	0.01

		2.81	0.21	1.41	
	5.70		1.41	1.01	
2.05	2.05	1.31	0.01	-0.39	-0.19
1.98	1.98	1.01	2.41	0.91	0.31
		-0.39	0.61	1.31	
1.78	1.78	3.31	0.31	0.11	-0.49
2.75	2.75	0.61	0.01	0.31	0.01
	7.75		1.21	2.21	
		0.21	0.51	-0.39	
		-1.19	1.71		
	3.80		3.51		
	2.07		0.41	-0.59	0.01
		0.41	0.81	0.31	0.01
		0.560108696	1.146681034	0.461130952	0.217083333
Base	Prevailing			Start up Lost	
Hdwy	Headway			time	
2.29	3.19			2.39	

