

## WATER LAWS

---

### 541.410

#### NOTES OF DECISIONS

The use of water for lifting water for irrigation and for generating electricity for lifting water for irrigation is a beneficial use. *Re Deschutes River*, (1930) 134 Or 623, 286 P 563, 294 P 1049.

Where a water user intended using a waterwheel for irrigation purposes, his notice of appropriation should have included a claim for the quantity of water desired to be appropriated for power purposes, and such appropriation was required to be reasonable. *Re Owyhee River*, (1928) 124 Or 44, 259 P 292.

### 541.540

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Action against irrigation district for flood damage, 1960-62, p 204.

### 541.605 to 541.695

\*See annotations under ORS 196.800 to 196.905.

### 541.605

\*See annotations under ORS 196.800.

### 541.610

\*See annotations under ORS 196.805.

### 541.615

\*See annotations under ORS 196.810.

### 541.620

CASE CITATIONS: *State Land Bd. v. Gen. Constr. Co.*, (1970) 2 Or App 53, 465 P2d 731.

### 541.625

\*See annotations under ORS 196.825.

### 541.626

\*See annotations under ORS 196.830.

### 541.645

\*See annotations under ORS 196.855.

### 541.650

\*See annotations under ORS 196.860.

### 541.665

\*See annotations under ORS 196.880.

### 541.890 to 541.969

(formerly 541.351 to 541.395)

\*LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 26 EL 175 (1996).

### 541.926

(formerly 541.370)

\*LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 26 EL 175 (1996).

### 541.990

\*LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 4 EL 351 (1974).

## Chapter 542 Water Resource Surveys and Projects; Compacts

### 542.010

LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 3 WLJ 297, 299.

### 542.040

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Repayment of money expended in investigation which disclosed that irrigation project was not feasible, 1928-30, p 392.

### 542.110

LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 3 WLJ 299, 303, 311.

### 542.210

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Construction of dam across the Rogue River by a corporation having vested water rights, 1948-50, p 403.

### 542.620

CASE CITATIONS: *Swanson v. Coos County*, (1970) 4 Or App 587, 481 P2d 375.

\*ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Legal effect of classification of water resources or establishment of minimum flows in Upper Klamath River Basin if contrary to use preferences described in this section, (1979) Vol 39, p 748.

LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 47 OLR 368; 48 OLR 117.

### 542.750

LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 47 OLR 53.

## Chapter 543 Hydroelectric Projects

### Chapter 543

#### NOTES OF DECISIONS

The state does not have the power to veto a license granted by the Federal Government to construct a dam across a navigable stream for purposes of generating

electricity. *State v. Idaho Power Co.*, (1957) 211 Or 284, 312 P2d 583.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Authority of State Water Resources Board in reviewing license application for a hydroelectric project, 1960-62, p 274.

LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 3 WLJ 299, 300, 310, 384; \*16 EL 583, 593 (1986).