Solution to Problem Set 6

Problem 1:

1. Notice that:

$$\begin{split} Y_1 &= X_1 + N_1 \\ Y_2 &= X_2 + N_2 \\ h_{MAP,\underline{Y} \to X_1} \left(\underline{y} \right) = arg \max_{x_1 \in \{-1,1\}} Pr\left\{ X_1 = x_1 | \underline{Y} = \underline{y} \right\} = \\ &= arg \max_{x_1 \in \{-1,1\}} \frac{f_{\underline{Y}|X_1} \left(\underline{y} | x_1 \right) Pr\{X_1 = x_1\}}{f_{\underline{Y}} \left(\underline{y} \right)} = \\ &= arg \max_{x_1 \in \{-1,1\}} \left(\sum_{x_2 \in \{-1,1\}} f_{\underline{Y}|X_1X_2} \left(\underline{y} | x_1, x_2 \right) Pr\{X_2 = x_2 | X_1 = x_1 \} \right) Pr\{X_1 = x_1\} \stackrel{\cong}{=} \\ &= arg \max_{x_1 \in \{-1,1\}} \left(\sum_{x_2 \in \{-1,1\}} f_{\underline{N}|X_1X_2} (y_1 - x_1, y_2 - x_2 | x_1, x_2) Pr\{X_2 = x_2\} \right) Pr\{X_1 = x_1\} = \\ &= arg \max_{x_1 \in \{-1,1\}} \left(\sum_{x_2 \in \{-1,1\}} f_{N_1} (y_1 - x_1) f_{N_2} (y_2 - x_2) Pr\{X_2 = x_2\} \right) Pr\{X_1 = x_1\} = \\ &= arg \max_{x_1 \in \{-1,1\}} \left(\sum_{x_2 \in \{-1,1\}} f_{N_2} (y_2 - x_2) Pr\{X_2 = x_2\} \right) Pr\{X_1 = x_1\} f_{N_1} (y_1 - x_1) = \\ &= arg \max_{x_1 \in \{-1,1\}} Pr\{X_1 = x_1\} f_{N_1} (y_1 - x_1) = arg \max_{x_1 \in \{-1,1\}} Pr\{X_1 = x_1 | Y_1 = y_1\} \end{split}$$

The optimal estimator is given according to the scalar MAP rule, that is:

$$Pr\{X_{1} = 1 | Y_{1} = y_{1}\} \stackrel{1}{\underset{-1}{\overset{1}{\leq}}} Pr\{X_{1} = -1 | Y_{1} = y_{1}\}$$

$$\underline{f_{Y_{1}|X_{1}}(y_{1}|1) Pr\{X_{1} = 1\}} \stackrel{1}{\underset{-1}{\overset{1}{\leq}}} \underline{f_{Y_{1}|X_{1}}(y_{1}|-1) Pr\{X_{1} = -1\}}$$

$$\underline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sigma^{2}}}} e^{-\frac{(y_{1}+1)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} \cdot (1-p) \stackrel{1}{\underset{-1}{\overset{1}{\leq}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sigma^{2}}} e^{-\frac{(y_{1}-1)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} \cdot p$$

$$e^{-\frac{(y_{1}+1)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} + \frac{(y_{1}-1)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} \stackrel{1}{\underset{-1}{\overset{1}{\leq}}} \frac{p}{1-p}$$

$$\Rightarrow h_{MAP,\underline{Y}\to X_{1}}(\underline{y}) := y_{1} \stackrel{1}{\underset{-1}{\overset{1}{\leq}}} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} ln(\underline{p})$$

Similarly:

$$h_{MAP,\underline{Y}\to X_2}\left(\underline{y}\right) := y_2 < \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)}_{Th\left(\sigma^2,p\right)}$$

The probability of error in X_i :

$$\begin{split} &\Pr\{E\} = \Pr\{E \mid X_i = 1\} \Pr\{X_i = 1\} + \Pr\{E \mid X_i = -1\} \Pr\{X_i = -1\} = \\ &= (1-p)\Pr\{Y < Th(\sigma^2, p) \mid X_i = 1\} + p\Pr\{Y > Th(\sigma^2, p) \mid X_i = -1\} = \\ &= (1-p) \cdot \left(1 - Q\left(\frac{Th(\sigma^2, p) - 1}{\sigma}\right)\right) + p \cdot Q\left(\frac{Th(\sigma^2, p) + 1}{\sigma}\right) = \\ &= (1-p) \cdot Q\left(\frac{1 - Th(\sigma^2, p)}{\sigma}\right) + p \cdot Q\left(\frac{Th(\sigma^2, p) + 1}{\sigma}\right) \end{split}$$

For $p = \frac{1}{2}$: $\Pr\{E\} = Q\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)$ as we saw in class.

2. For the above case, the estimation function is $sign(\bullet)$. The probability of error:

$$\begin{split} &\Pr\{E\} = \Pr\{E \mid X_1 = 1\}\frac{1}{2} + \Pr\{E \mid X_1 = -1\}\frac{1}{2} = \\ &= \Pr\{Y_1 < 0 \mid X_1 = 1\}\frac{1}{2} + \Pr\{Y_1 > 0 \mid X_1 = -1\}\frac{1}{2} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x_2 \in \{-1,1\}} \Pr\{Y_1 < 0 \mid X_1 = 1, X_2 = x_2\} \Pr\{X_2 = x_2 \mid X_1 = 1\} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x_2 \in \{-1,1\}} \Pr\{Y_1 > 0 \mid X_1 = -1, X_2 = x_2\} \Pr\{X_2 = x_2 \mid X_1 = -1\} = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\sigma}\right) + \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\sigma}\right) + \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\sigma}\right) + \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\sigma}\right) \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\sigma}\right) + \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\sigma}\right) \right) \end{split}$$

3. First, let us find the inverse matrix of H:

$$H^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\alpha \\ -\alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{W} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \end{bmatrix}^T + H^{-1} \underline{N}$$

$$\tilde{N}$$

 $\underline{\widetilde{N}}$ is also a normal random vector:

$$\begin{split} E\{\widetilde{\underline{N}}\} &= \underline{0} \; ; \; \; C_{\widetilde{N}\widetilde{N}} = H^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} (H^{-1})^T \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{(1-\alpha^2)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\alpha \\ -\alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\alpha \\ -\alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\sigma^2}{(1-\alpha^2)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1+\alpha^2 & -2\alpha \\ -2\alpha & 1+\alpha^2 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

For $p = \frac{1}{2}$, the estimator is the $sign(\bullet)$ function. Furthermore, based on the probability of error from section 1:

$$\Pr\{E\} = Q\left(\frac{\left(1 - \alpha^2\right)}{\sigma\sqrt{\left(1 + \alpha^2\right)}}\right)$$

Problem 2:

1. From the linearity property of the expected value:

$$\hat{W}^{\text{MMSE}} = E[W \mid Y] = E[a^T X \mid Y] = a^T E[X \mid Y] = a^T \hat{X}^{\text{MMSE}}$$

2.

$$MSE = Var(W) - Var(\hat{W}^{\text{MMSE}}) = Var(a^{T}X) - Var(a^{T}\hat{X}^{\text{MMSE}})$$
$$= a^{T}C_{X}a - a^{T}C_{\hat{Y}^{\text{MMSE}}}a = a^{T} \left[C_{X} - C_{\hat{Y}^{\text{MMSE}}} \right] a = a^{T}C_{\text{MMSE}}a$$

3. We will show this from the perpendicularity principle: (this solution is correct also for the case of non-invertible covariance matrix of Y). We are interested in checking the "candidate" $a^T \hat{X}^{BLE}$. Let Z be a random variable that is a **linear** function of Y. Let us check whether the estimation error is orthogonal to Z:

$$E\Big[\Big(W - a^T \hat{X}^{\text{BLE}}\Big)Z\Big] = E\Big[\Big(a^T X - a^T \hat{X}^{\text{BLE}}\Big)Z\Big] = a^T \cdot \underbrace{E\Big[\Big(X - \hat{X}^{\text{BLE}}\Big)Z\Big]}_{0} = 0$$

We got that the estimation error of $a^T \hat{X}^{BLE}$ is orthogonal to all linear functions of the measurement Y, and from here we get that this is the optimal linear estimator (in the sense of MMSE) of X from Y.

4.

$$MSE = Var(W) - Var(\hat{W}^{BLE}) = Var(a^T X) - Var(a^T \hat{X}^{BLE})$$
$$= a^T C_X a - a^T C_{\hat{X}^{BLE}} a = a^T \left[C_X - C_{\hat{X}^{BLE}} \right] a = a^T C_{BLE} a$$

5.

$$\begin{split} &C_{\underline{e}} = E\left\{\left(\underline{e} - \underline{\eta}_{e}\right)\!\left(\underline{e} - \underline{\eta}_{e}\right)^{T}\right\} = E\left\{\left(\underline{X} - \underline{\hat{X}} - \underline{\eta}_{e}\right)\!\left(\underline{X} - \underline{\hat{X}} - \underline{\eta}_{e}\right)^{T}\right\} = \\ &E\left\{\left(\underline{X} - \underline{\hat{X}}_{MMSE} + \underline{\hat{X}}_{MMSE} - \underline{\hat{X}} - \underline{\eta}_{e}\right)\!\left(\underline{X} - \underline{\hat{X}}_{MMSE} + \underline{\hat{X}}_{MMSE} - \underline{\hat{X}} - \underline{\eta}_{e}\right)^{T}\right\} = \\ &= E\left\{\left(\!\left(\underline{X} - \underline{\hat{X}}_{MMSE}\right) + \left(\underline{\hat{X}}_{MMSE} - \underline{\hat{X}} - \underline{\eta}_{e}\right)\!\right)\!\left(\!\left(\underline{X} - \underline{\hat{X}}_{MMSE}\right) + \left(\underline{\hat{X}}_{MMSE} - \underline{\hat{X}} - \underline{\eta}_{e}\right)\!\right)^{T}\right\} = \\ &= \underbrace{E\left\{\underline{e}_{MMSE}\underline{e}_{MMSE}^{T}\right\}} + 0 + 0 + PSD \Rightarrow C_{\underline{e}} - C_{\underline{e}_{MMSE}} is PSD \end{split}$$

6. We need to prove that:

$$E\left\{\underline{e}\,\underline{e}^{T}\right\} - E\left\{\underline{e}_{MMSE}\,\underline{e}_{MMSE}^{T}\right\} \ge 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{a}^{T}\left(E\left\{\underline{e}\,\underline{e}^{T}\right\} - E\left\{\underline{e}_{MMSE}\,\underline{e}_{MMSE}^{T}\right\}\right)\underline{a} \ge 0 \qquad \text{for all } \underline{a}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{a}^{T}E\left\{\underline{e}\,\underline{e}^{T}\right\}\underline{a} \ge \underline{a}^{T}E\left\{\underline{e}_{MMSE}\,\underline{e}_{MMSE}^{T}\right\}\underline{a}$$

But we saw in section 1 that for MMSE estimator it holds that $MSE_{MMSE} = \underline{a}^T E \left\{ \underline{e}_{MMSE} \underline{e}_{MMSE}^T \right\} \underline{a}$ and, similarly, $MSE_e = \underline{a}^T E \left\{ \underline{e} \underline{e}^T \right\} \underline{a}$, and we know that the MSE of all estimators is at least the MSE of the optimal estimator.

Problem 3:

1. For m < n:

$$\begin{split} \hat{Y}_{n} &= E\left\{Y_{n} \mid Y_{m}\right\} = E\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{n} X_{i} \mid Y_{m}\right\} = E\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} X_{i} \mid Y_{m}\right\} = E\left\{Y_{m} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} X_{i} \mid Y_{m}\right\} = E\left\{Y_{m} \mid Y_{m}\right\} + E\left\{\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} X_{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i}\right\} = E\left\{Y_{m} \mid Y_{m}\right\} + E\left\{\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} X_{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i}\right\} = Y_{m} + E\left\{\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} X_{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i} \mid Y_{m}\right\} = E\left\{Y_{m} \mid Y_{m}\right\} + E\left\{\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} X_{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i} \mid Y_{m}\right\} = E\left\{Y_{m} \mid Y_{m}\right\} + E\left\{\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} X_{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m} X_{i} \mid Y_{m}\right\} = E\left\{Y_{m} \mid Y_{m}\right\} + E\left\{X_{m} \mid Y_{m}\right\} = E\left\{X_{m} E\left$$

where (1) follows from the fact that $\{X_i\}$ are i.i.d.

For
$$m = n$$
: $\hat{Y}_n = E\{Y_n | Y_m\} = E\{Y_m | Y_m\} = Y_m$

And overall: $\hat{Y}_n = Y_m$

2.

$$\begin{split} \hat{Y_n} &= E\left\{Y_n \mid Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\right\} = E\left\{\sum_{i=0}^n X_i \mid Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\right\} = E\left\{\sum_{i=0}^m X_i + \sum_{i=m+1}^n X_i \mid Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\right\} = E\left\{Y_m \mid Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\right\} + E\left\{\sum_{i=m+1}^n X_i \mid Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\right\} = E\left\{Y_m \mid Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\right\} + E\left\{\sum_{i=m+1}^n X_i \mid Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\right\} = E\left\{Y_m \mid Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\right\} + E\left\{\sum_{i=m+1}^n X_i\right\} = Y_m + \left\{\sum_{i=m+1}^n X_i \mid Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\right\} = Y_m \end{split}$$

where (2) is of the same reason: $\{X_i\}$ are i.i.d.

Therefore, again we got that $\hat{Y}_n = Y_m$. Namely, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{m-1} do not contribute information beyond what is already found in Y_m for the estimation of Y_n .

3. The question may be extended as follows: repeat section 1 where, now, m is a random variable that takes the values 0,1,...,M with some probabilities (their sum must be 1 of course) when $M \le n$:

$$\hat{Y}_{n} = E\left\{Y_{n} \mid Y_{m}\right\} = E\left\{\underbrace{E\left\{Y_{n} \mid Y_{m}, m\right\}}_{(*) = Y_{m}} \mid Y_{m}\right\} = E\left\{Y_{m} \mid Y_{m}\right\} = Y_{m}$$

where (*) follows from section 1.

Problem 4:

1. From the fact that the MMSE estimator is unbiased we get:

$$E\{X\} = E\{\hat{X}\} = 1 \cdot \Pr\{Y > 0\} + (-1) \cdot \Pr\{Y \le 0\} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0$$

2. From the perpendicularity principle:

$$0 = E\left\{\left(X - \hat{X}\right)Y\right\} = E\left\{XY\right\} - E\left\{\hat{X}Y\right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow E\{XY\} = E\{\hat{X}Y\} = E\{\text{sign}(Y) \cdot Y\} = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{2} \text{sgn}(y) \cdot y \, dy = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{2} |y| \, dy = 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2} y \, dy = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\sigma_{XY} = E\{XY\} - \underbrace{E\{X\}E\{Y\}}_{0} = \frac{1}{2}$$

3.

$$\hat{X}_{BLE}\left(Y\right) = \eta_X + \frac{\sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_Y^2} \left(Y - \eta_Y\right) = 0 + \frac{\sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_Y^2} \left(Y - 0\right) = \frac{\sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_Y^2} Y$$

In the previous section, we found that $\sigma_{XY} = 1/2$, and we also know that for $Y \sim U(-1,1)$ it holds $\sigma_Y^2 = 1/3$. From here:

$$\hat{X}_{BLE}(Y) = \frac{\sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}Y = \frac{1/2}{1/3}Y = \frac{3}{2}Y$$

4. The estimation error of the linear estimator is given by:

$$E\left\{\left(X - \hat{X}_{BLE}\right)^{2}\right\} = \sigma_{X}^{2} - \frac{\sigma_{XY}^{2}}{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}$$

All the values except σ_X^2 have already been calculated. For the calculation of σ_X^2 , notice that from the given:

$$\frac{1}{2} = E\left\{ \left(X - \hat{X}_{MMSE} \right)^{2} \right\} = \sigma_{X}^{2} - \sigma_{\hat{X}_{MMSE}}^{2}$$

$$\sigma_{\hat{X}_{MMSE}}^{2} = \operatorname{var}\left(\operatorname{sign}\left(Y\right)\right) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_{X}^{2} - 1 = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \sigma_{X}^{2} = \frac{3}{2}$$

Let us substitute in the error formula and get:

$$E\left\{ \left(X - \hat{X}_{BLE} \right)^2 \right\} = \sigma_X^2 - \frac{\sigma_{XY}^2}{\sigma_Y^2} = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^2}{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{3}{4}$$

Notice that:

$$E\left\{\left(X - \hat{X}_{MMSE}\right)^{2}\right\} \le E\left\{\left(X - \hat{X}_{BLE}\right)^{2}\right\} \le \operatorname{var}\left(X\right)$$

Problem 5:

1. N_1, N_2 are jointly normal, X is normal and independent of them, and, thus, X, N_1, N_2 are jointly normal.

 X, N_1, N_2, Y_1, Y_2 result from a linear transformation on X, N_1, N_2 , and, therefore, they are, too, jointly normal.

2. Since X, Y_1 are jointly Gaussian, the conditional distribution is also normal:

$$E[Y_1 \mid X] = E[X \mid X] + E[N_1 \mid X] = X$$

$$Var(Y_1 \mid X) = Var(N_1 \mid X) = Var(N_1) = \sigma_N^2$$

$$\Rightarrow Y_1 \mid X \sim N(X, \sigma_N^2)$$

3.

$$\hat{X}_{BLE} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y_1)}{\text{Var}(Y_1)} Y_1 = \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(N_1)} Y_1 = \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_N^2} Y_1$$

4. The same answer as in section 3, since X, Y_1 are jointly normal.

5.

MSE = Var(X) - Var(
$$\hat{X}_{BLE}$$
) = $\sigma_X^2 - \left(\frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_N^2}\right)^2 \text{Var}(Y_1)$
= $\sigma_X^2 - \left(\frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_N^2}\right)^2 \left(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_N^2\right) = \frac{\sigma_X^2 \sigma_N^2}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_N^2}$

6. Option 1: for symmetry reasons, the estimator will be a function of $Y_1 + Y_2$. Since $X, Y_1 + Y_2$ are jointly Gaussian:

$$\hat{X}_{BLE} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y_1 + Y_2)}{\text{Var}(Y_1 + Y_2)} (Y_1 + Y_2) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, 2X + N_1 + N_2)}{\text{Var}(2X + N_1 + N_2)} (Y_1 + Y_2)$$

$$= \frac{2\text{Var}(X)}{4\text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(N_1) + \text{Var}(N_2) + 2\text{Cov}(N_1, N_2)} (Y_1 + Y_2)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_X^2}{2\sigma_Y^2 + (1 + \rho)\sigma_N^2} (Y_1 + Y_2)$$

Option 2: Direct calculation

$$\begin{split} \hat{X}_{BLE} &= C_{XY}C_{Y}^{-1}Y \\ &= (\text{Cov}(X, Y_{1}) \quad \text{Cov}(X, Y_{2})) \begin{pmatrix} \text{Var}(Y_{1}) & \text{Cov}(Y_{1}, Y_{2}) \\ \text{Cov}(Y_{1}, Y_{2}) & \text{Var}(Y_{2}) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{1} \\ Y_{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{X}^{2}}{2\sigma_{X}^{2} + (1 + \rho)\sigma_{N}^{2}} (Y_{1} + Y_{2}) \end{split}$$

7.

MSE = Var(X) - Var(
$$\hat{X}_{BLE}$$
) = $\sigma_X^2 - \left(\frac{\sigma_X^2}{2\sigma_X^2 + (1+\rho)\sigma_N^2}\right)^2 Var(Y_1 + Y_2)$
= $\frac{(1+\rho)\sigma_N^2\sigma_X^2}{2\sigma_X^2 + (1+\rho)\sigma_N^2}$

8. The minimum is 0, and it is obtained for $\rho = -1$. In this case, the sum of the two noises is zero, and, thus, X is equal in a deterministic way to the average of the two measurements – namely, it can be reproduced with zero error.

9. For
$$\sigma_X^2 \ll \sigma_N^2$$
: MSE $\approx \sigma_X^2$. For $\sigma_X^2 >> \sigma_N^2$: MSE $\approx \left(\frac{1+\rho}{2}\right)\sigma_N^2$