

From: media
Sent: Wed, 7 Apr 2021 07:40:16 +1000
To: Louise Parrott; Andrew Johnson
Cc: media
Subject: FW: Laming Facebook pages without political authorisation[SEC=OFFICIAL]

Louise / Andrew - see the below enquiry from the Guardian, which is an expected follow-up to their earlier published piece on the matter. A fresh angle of media scrutiny on the under fire Mr Laming.

The journalist is looking for a yes or no around whether these pages meet the definition of electoral matter and therefore whether they should contain an electoral authorisation. If the answer is yes, the question she's also asking is what action we will take.

The third option for us in terms of this media response is a holding line that 'we are reviewing the material with reference to the requirements of the Electoral Act' - with background info re the requirements that they already know.

The three different options for response will no doubt be categorised as 'AEC pursuing Laming for wrong-doing', 'AEC lacking teeth yet again', or 'AEC investigating'.

Whatever our interim answer is I think we should aim to give it by early afternoon - and I will give the journalist a line about a forthcoming response 'today'.

Let me know an ETA. I'm also happy to come up to level 6 to discuss. I'll provide a separate heads-up email to Tim / Tom.

Cheers
 Evan

Sent with BlackBerry Work
 (www.blackberry.com)

From: s 47F <[\[REDACTED\]@theguardian.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@theguardian.com)>
Date: Tuesday, 06 Apr 2021, 5:04 pm
To: media <media@aec.gov.au>
Subject: Laming Facebook pages without political authorisation

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Australian Federal Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Evan, as discussed, here is the story,
<https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2021/apr/06/liberal-mp-andrew-laming-used-dozens-of-facebook-pages-to-promote-lnp-and-attack-opponents>

And have a look at ^{s 47F} [REDACTED] Tweets which have pulled out some examples from some of the pages which he says suggests disclosure required.

[https://twitter.com/^{s 47F} \[REDACTED\]/status/1379303705447591936?s=20](https://twitter.com/^{s 47F} [REDACTED]/status/1379303705447591936?s=20)

Also keen to know if paid advertising makes any difference or not to the requirement of disclosure.

Thanks so much.

--

^{s 47F} [REDACTED]


Chief political correspondent
The Guardian | Australia

^{s 47F} [REDACTED]

^{s 47F} [REDACTED] [@theguardian.com](https://twitter.com/theguardian.com)

twitter

@^{s 47F} [REDACTED]



^{s 47F} [REDACTED]

Parliamentary Press Gallery
Parliament House, Canberra, ACT 2600
theguardian.com/au

Download the Guardian app for

[Android](#) and
[iOS](#)

This e-mail and all attachments are confidential and may also be privileged. If you are not the named recipient, please notify the sender and delete the e-mail and all attachments immediately. Do not disclose the contents to another person. You may not use the information for any purpose, or store, or copy, it in any way. Guardian News & Media Limited is not liable for any computer viruses or other material transmitted with or as part of this e-mail. You should employ virus checking software.

Guardian News & Media Limited is a member of Guardian Media Group plc. Registered Office: PO Box 68164, Kings Place, 90 York Way, London, N1P 2AP. Registered in England Number 908396