fallibleideas.github.io - an somewhat-open-contribution online resource for new FI participants and members Notation `[OR]`: indicates potential overreaching - i.e. suggested authors probably unsuitable for these sections/topics/etc

aesthetics

curi.us-esq red + read-the-docs (replacing purple)

meta

authors

not curi

he does lots already

no pressure on him (or, at least less)

established members (\*\*indirect\*\*)

mentor / guidance role

feedback, suggestions, etc

FI has the resources to significantly lower error rate without ET's direct involvement

say curi will catch some X% of errors. everyone else combined will catch some Y%. Do the Y% first, because at the very least: X > X-Y

can act as another source for error correction

"transitioning" members (primary authors)

I (Max) count myself here

these members have experienced major barriers (usually due to ideas held at the time). have overcome some barriers, and are overcoming others. but no track record, yet

experience is fleeting. With guidance, things written at the time will impart better reflections of the experience overcoming parochial errors. for this an other reasons there's also energy to contribute

"better" is theory-laden: what makes this site better? what is this site for? it's resource for new members. with few exceptions any new members will go through (at least somewhat) similar experiences. They are the primary audience, and the purpose is to aid and accelerate their understanding of FI\*.

\*: While I think FI is a perfectly good name and reference, I also think it's worth differentiating from \*Critical Rationalism\*, so I've made an effort to talk particularly about FI here.

There was some discussion about FI as a name in 2018 from memory; IIRC \*Critical Fallibilism\* came up as an option; I'm quite fond of that -- if we needed an alternative to FI for whatever reason.

no clear method of contribution at the moment (outside of exercises)

provides a meaningful outlet

low burden on more capable members (those with a lower error rate)

contribution is important: FI has \*purpose and significance\*

provides a meaningful \*goal\*

audience

newer members

high quality resource; more relatable than fallibleideas.com / curi.us (sometimes the standard is overwhelming). not as high a quality as fallibleideas.com or curi.us, obvs

sympathetic

index of resources

fi, curi.us, but also: fallibleliving.com, conjectures and refutations.com, more?

not easy to find

can have a section for lower quality stuff, too, like my site

newer member <-> newer member interactions help learning for both

learning necessary anyway; shortcuts aren't easy to stumble across

community

don't want \*all\* standards so high the barrier seems insurmountable

because it's not, but it can \*seem\* like that

growth is important; as is the \*type\* of growth

this site isn't a good idea if it doesn't help us grow in the right way

intro

history of FI

origins

[OR] legacies

[OR] previous communities (indirectly related)

[OR] significant prior contributors (possibly indirect)

why FI

internal + external consistency

reach

honest, integral\*, genuine, sincere

\*: in the sense of one having integrity. (is there a better word?)

archive of material

stuff on fallibleideas.com

pseudo guided documentation; at least collection of supporting material

things that might get lost, e.g. analysing lies from like 2018, \*Analyzing How Culture Manipulates You by Pulling Your Puppet Strings\*, etc

intro "guide"

why join?

what's it like?

what challenges will you (likely) go through?

how is it different from other (social) groups?

what should you know?

how do you learn those things?

what problems are you likely to run in to?

what is expected of you in the community?

what is a low error rate?

what does a low error rate mean?

how do you lower your error rate?