# Exercise For Convexity and Optimization in $\mathbb{R}^n$

## Qiuyi Chen Qiuyi.Chen@liverpool.ac.uk

May, 2025

Contents	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{3}{4}$

Dedicated to the knee scrapes, playdates, and heartaches.

## **Topics in Real Analysis**

#### 1.1Introduction

**Exercise 1.1.** For any vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , show that  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = 2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\|\mathbf{y}\|^2$ . Interpret this relation as a statement about parallelograms in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Solution.** To prove that  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = 2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\|\mathbf{y}\|^2$  for any vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we use the definition of the Euclidean norm and properties of the dot product. Recall that  $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ .

First, expand  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2$ :

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2.$$

Next, expand  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2$ :

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2.$$

Add these two expressions:

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = (\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2) + (\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2) = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 + 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) - 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}).$$

The cross terms  $2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$  and  $-2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$  cancel, yielding:

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = 2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\|\mathbf{y}\|^2.$$

Thus, the equality holds for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , this equality has a geometric interpretation related to parallelograms. Consider vectors **x** and **y** emanating from the same initial point. These vectors form two adjacent sides of a parallelogram. The vector  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ represents one diagonal of the parallelogram, and  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$  represents the other diagonal (assuming the parallelogram is completed appropriately).

The left side of the equality,  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2$ , is the sum of the squares of the lengths of the two diagonals. The right side,  $2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\|\mathbf{y}\|^2$ , is twice the sum of the squares of the lengths of the two adjacent sides. Since a parallelogram has two pairs of equal sides, the sum of the squares of the lengths of all four sides is  $2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\|\mathbf{y}\|^2$ (two sides of length  $\|\mathbf{x}\|$  and two of length  $\|\mathbf{y}\|$ ).

Therefore, the equality states that for any parallelogram in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the sum of the squares of the lengths of the diagonals equals the sum of the squares of the lengths of all four sides. This is a fundamental property of parallelograms in Euclidean geometry, often called the parallelogram law.

#### 1.3 Algebra of Sets

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\{S_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$  be a collection of subsets of a set X. Then

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} S_{\alpha} = c \left[ \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha}) \right],$$
$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} S_{\alpha} = c \left[ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha}) \right].$$

**Proof.** We establish both identities by showing mutual inclusion of the corresponding sets.

1. 
$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} S_{\alpha} = c \Big[ \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha}) \Big]$$
.

1.  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} S_{\alpha} = c \Big[ \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha}) \Big]$ .

(i) Subset relation  $\subseteq$ . Let  $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} S_{\alpha}$ . Then there exists an index  $\alpha_0 \in A$  such that  $x \in S_{\alpha_0}$ . If x were also  $(cS_{\alpha})$  it would belong to  $cS_{\alpha}$  i.e.  $x \notin S_{\alpha}$  a contradiction. Therefore  $x \notin \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha})$ , which contained in  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha})$ , it would belong to  $cS_{\alpha_0}$ , i.e.  $x \notin S_{\alpha_0}$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $x \notin \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha})$ , which means  $x \in c[\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha})].$ 

(ii) Subset relation  $\supseteq$ . Conversely, take  $x \in c[\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha})]$ . Then  $x \notin \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha})$ , so there exists an index  $\alpha_1 \in A$  with  $x \notin cS_{\alpha_1}$ . Equivalently,  $x \in S_{\alpha_1}$ , hence  $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} S_{\alpha}$ . Combining (i) and (ii) yields the desired equality.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{2.} & \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} S_{\alpha} \ = \ c \Big[ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha}) \Big] \textbf{.} \\ \text{The argument is analogous.} \end{array}$$

(i) Subset relation  $\subseteq$ . Let  $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} S_{\alpha}$ . Then  $x \in S_{\alpha}$  for every  $\alpha$ . Consequently,  $x \notin cS_{\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha$ , which implies  $x \notin \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha})$ . Hence  $x \in c[\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha})]$ .

(ii) Subset relation  $\supseteq$ . Let  $x \in c[\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha})]$ . Then  $x \notin \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (cS_{\alpha})$ , so for every  $\alpha \in A$  we have  $x \notin cS_{\alpha}$ ; equivalently  $x \in S_{\alpha}$ . Therefore  $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} S_{\alpha}$ . 

Since both inclusions hold, the second identity follows.

### Metric Topology of $\mathbb{R}^n$

**Exercise 4.1.** Use the properties of the norm to show that the function d defined by

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2\right)^{1/2}$$

is a metric, or distance function, on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** To verify that  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||$  is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we must show that it satisfies the following three properties for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ : (i) non-negativity with the identity of indiscernibles, (ii) symmetry, and (iii) the triangle inequality.

1. Non-negativity and identity of indiscernibles. The Euclidean norm is always non-negative, so

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \ge 0.$$

Moreover,  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$  if and only if  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = 0$ , which occurs precisely when  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ , i.e. when  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ .

**2. Symmetry.** Because  $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \|-\mathbf{v}\|$  for any vector  $\mathbf{v}$ ,

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = \|-(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\| = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| = d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}).$$

3. Triangle inequality. The Euclidean norm satisfies the triangle inequality  $\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| + \|\mathbf{v}\|$ . Choosing  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}$  gives

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\| = \|(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z})\| \le \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| + \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\| = d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}).$$

Since all three axioms hold, the function d is indeed a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Exercise 4.2.** (a) Sketch the graph of  $y = \sin(1/x)$  for x > 0.

(b) Consider the graph as a set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and find the limit points of this set.

**Solution.** (a) Sketch of the curve. Set t = 1/x for x > 0. Then the graph of  $y = \sin(1/x)$  for x > 0 corresponds to the standard sine curve  $y = \sin t$  for t > 0, but viewed through the change of variables x = 1/t. As  $x \to 0^+$  we have  $t\to +\infty$ , so the curve oscillates infinitely often between -1 and 1 while its x-coordinate approaches 0. For moderate values of x the graph resembles the usual sine curve stretched horizontally, whereas near the y-axis the oscillations become increasingly rapid, creating a "comb-like" fringe that accumulates on the interval  $\{0\} \times [-1,1]$ .

(b) Limit points of the graph. Let

$$S := \{ (x, \sin(1/x)) : x > 0 \} \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We claim that the set of limit points of S is

$$S' = S \cup \{(0,y) : -1 \le y \le 1\}.$$

The verification proceeds in two steps.

Step 1: Every point of S is a limit point. Fix  $(x_0, \sin(1/x_0)) \in S$  with  $x_0 > 0$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Recall the elementary inequality  $|\sin u - \sin v| \le |u - v|$  valid for all real numbers u, v. Set

$$\delta := \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \, \frac{\varepsilon x_0^2}{4}, \, \frac{x_0}{2} \right\}.$$

Pick any  $x_{\varepsilon} \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \setminus \{x_0\}$  and define  $P_{\varepsilon} := (x_{\varepsilon}, \sin(1/x_{\varepsilon}))$ . Then  $P_{\varepsilon} \in S$  and

$$|x_{\varepsilon} - x_0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \qquad |\sin(1/x_{\varepsilon}) - \sin(1/x_0)| \le |1/x_{\varepsilon} - 1/x_0| = \frac{|x_{\varepsilon} - x_0|}{|x_{\varepsilon}|x_0} \le \frac{2\delta}{x_0^2} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Consequently

Consequently 
$$||P_{\varepsilon} - (x_0, \sin(1/x_0))|| \leq \sqrt{(|x_{\varepsilon} - x_0|)^2 + (|\sin(1/x_{\varepsilon}) - \sin(1/x_0)|)^2} < \varepsilon,$$
 proving that  $(x_0, \sin(1/x_0))$  is indeed a limit point of  $S$ .

Step 2: Points of the form (0,y) with  $|y| \le 1$  are limit points. Fix  $y \in [-1,1]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Because the sine function attains every value in [-1,1] infinitely often, we can pick  $t_{\varepsilon} > \max\{1/\varepsilon,0\}$  such that  $|\sin t_{\varepsilon} - y| < \varepsilon$ . Setting  $x_{\varepsilon} = 1/t_{\varepsilon}$ we obtain  $0 < x_{\varepsilon} < \varepsilon$  and

$$\|(x_{\varepsilon}, \sin(1/x_{\varepsilon})) - (0, y)\| < \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^2} < \sqrt{2} \varepsilon.$$

 $\left\|(x_{\varepsilon},\sin(1/x_{\varepsilon}))-(0,y)\right\|<\sqrt{\varepsilon^2+\varepsilon^2}<\sqrt{2}\,\varepsilon.$  Thus (0,y) is approached by points of S distinct from itself, so it is a limit point.

Step 3: No other points are limit points. If x < 0, every open ball centred at (x,y) contains points whose first coordinate is negative, whereas S lies entirely in x > 0; thus such points cannot be limit points. If x = 0 but |y| > 1, the vertical separation |y|-1 already exceeds the range of sin, so no sequence in S can approach (0,y).

Finally, consider a point (x, y) with x > 0 that does

emphant belong to S. Write  $y_0 := \sin(1/x)$  and set  $d := |y - y_0| > 0$ . Choose

$$r := \min \left\{ \frac{d}{2}, \, \frac{x}{2} \right\}.$$

For any  $(x', \sin(1/x')) \in S$  satisfying |x' - x| < r we have  $x' \ge x/2$  and hence, using  $|\sin u - \sin v| \le |u - v|$  again,

$$|\sin(1/x') - y_0| \le \frac{|x' - x|}{x'x} \le \frac{2r}{x^2} \le \frac{d}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$|\sin(1/x') - y| \ge |y - y_0| - |\sin(1/x') - y_0| > \frac{d}{2},$$

so the Euclidean distance between  $(x', \sin(1/x'))$  and (x, y) exceeds d/2. Hence the ball B((x, y), d/2) contains no point of S, proving that (x,y) is not a limit point. Collecting the cases established in Steps 1–3 completes the description of S'.

Consequently, the set of all limit points of the graph is precisely  $S \cup (\{0\} \times [-1,1])$ , as asserted.

**Exercise 4.3.** Show that for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and r > 0 the set B(x,r) is open; that is, show that an open ball is open.

**Proof.** Let  $y \in B(x, r)$ , so by definition ||y - x|| < r. Define

$$\varepsilon := r - \|y - x\| > 0.$$

We claim that the entire ball  $B(y,\varepsilon)$  is contained in B(x,r). Indeed, if  $z \in B(y,\varepsilon)$  then  $||z-y|| < \varepsilon$ , and by the triangle inequality,

$$||z - x|| \le ||z - y|| + ||y - x|| < \varepsilon + ||y - x|| = r.$$

 $||z-x|| \le ||z-y|| + ||y-x|| < \varepsilon + ||y-x|| = r$ . Hence  $z \in B(x,r)$ . Since every point y of B(x,r) is an interior point, the set B(x,r) is open.

**Remark.** Idea of the proof. To show that the open ball B(x,r) is an open set we verify that every point it contains is an interior point. Fix  $y \in B(x,r)$  and measure how much "room" is left before reaching the boundary: the gap is  $\varepsilon := r - \|y - x\| > 0$ . Any point z that sits within this gap around y (that is,  $\|z - y\| < \varepsilon$ ) cannot escape the larger ball, because the triangle inequality guarantees  $||z-x|| < ||z-y|| + ||y-x|| < \varepsilon + (r-\varepsilon) = r$ . Thus the smaller ball  $B(y,\varepsilon)$  lies completely inside B(x,r), making y an interior point. Since y was arbitrary, B(x,r) is open.

**Exercise 4.4.** Show that for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and r > 0 the closed ball  $\overline{B(x,r)}$  is closed.

**Proof.** Set  $C := \overline{B(x,r)} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||y-x|| \le r\}$ . We show that its complement  $C^c$  is open. Let  $y \in C^c$ , so ||y-x|| > r. Define

$$\varepsilon := \frac{\|y - x\| - r}{2} > 0.$$

 $\varepsilon \ := \ \frac{\|y-x\|-r}{2} \ > \ 0.$  If  $z\in B(y,\varepsilon)$  then  $\|z-y\|<\varepsilon,$  and by the triangle inequality,

$$||z-x|| \ge ||y-x|| - ||z-y|| > (r+2\varepsilon) - \varepsilon = r+\varepsilon > r.$$

Hence  $z \notin C$ . Therefore  $B(y, \varepsilon) \subset C^c$ , proving that every point of  $C^c$  is interior. The complement of C is open, so Cis closed.

**Remark.** Idea of the proof. For a point y lying outside the closed ball we measure how far it is from the boundary: the surplus distance is  $\delta := ||y - x|| - r > 0$ . Choosing half of this surplus as a radius,  $\varepsilon = \delta/2$ , guarantees that the entire ball  $B(y,\varepsilon)$  stays outside, because any point inside that small ball remains at least  $r+\varepsilon>r$  away from x. Since such a neighbourhood exists around each exterior point, the complement of the closed ball is open, which is exactly the definition of the original set being closed.

**Exercise 4.5.** Show that any finite set of points  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is closed.

**Proof.** Denote the finite set by  $F := \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ . We show that its complement  $F^c$  is open. Let  $y \in F^c$ ; then  $y \neq x_i$ for every i. Define the positive distances

$$d_i := ||y - x_i|| > 0,$$
  $i = 1, ..., k,$  and set  $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2} \min_{1 \le i \le k} d_i > 0.$ 

For any  $z \in B(y, \varepsilon)$  we have  $||z - y|| < \varepsilon \le d_i/2$ , hence by the triangle inequality

$$||z - x_i|| \ge ||y - x_i|| - ||z - y|| > d_i - \varepsilon \ge \frac{1}{2}d_i > 0 \quad (1 \le i \le k).$$

Consequently  $z \neq x_i$  for every i, i.e.  $z \in F^c$ . Thus  $B(y, \varepsilon) \subset F^c$ , so every exterior point is interior to the complement;  $F^c$  is open and F is closed.

An alternative argument is to note that each singleton  $\{x_i\}$  is closed (apply the previous step with k=1) and that a finite union of closed sets remains closed:

$$F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \{x_i\}.$$

**Remark.** Idea of the proof. For a point y not in the finite set we compute its distances to each listed point. The smallest of these distances is still positive; taking half of it as the radius gives a neighbourhood around y that misses the entire finite set, showing the complement is open. Equivalently, observe that singletons are closed and a finite union of closed sets is closed.

**Exercise 4.6.** Show that in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  no point x with ||x|| = 1 is an interior point of the closed unit ball  $\overline{B(0,1)}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfy ||x|| = 1. To show that x is not an interior point of  $\overline{B(0,1)}$  we must prove that every open ball centred at x contains points that lie *outside* B(0,1).

Fix an arbitrary radius r > 0 and define

$$y := (1 + \frac{r}{2}) x$$
.

Then

$$||y - x|| = \left|1 + \frac{r}{2} - 1\right| ||x|| = \frac{r}{2} < r,$$
 but  $||y|| = 1 + \frac{r}{2} > 1.$ 

Hence y lies within the ball B(x,r) yet satisfies ||y|| > 1, so  $y \notin \overline{B(0,1)}$ . Consequently B(x,r) is not contained in B(0,1). Because r>0 was arbitrary, no open neighbourhood of x sits inside the closed unit ball, and thus x is not an interior point.

**Remark.** Idea of the proof. Any boundary point x with ||x|| = 1 can be pushed slightly outward along its own direction: scaling by  $1+\varepsilon$  moves the point a distance roughly  $\varepsilon$  while immediately leaving the unit ball. No matter how small a radius we choose, such an outward perturbation fits inside the radius yet escapes the ball, so the original point cannot be interior.

**Exercise 4.7.** Show that for any set S in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the set  $\bar{S}$  (the closure of S) is closed.

**Proof.** Recall that the closure of S is defined by

$$\bar{S} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \forall r > 0, \ B(x,r) \cap S \neq \emptyset \}.$$

We shall prove that its complement  $\bar{S}^c$  is open.

Let  $y \in \bar{S}^c$ . By definition of the complement, there exists a radius  $r_0 > 0$  such that the open ball  $B(y, r_0)$  does not meet S; otherwise y would belong to the closure. In particular, for this same  $r_0$  we have

$$B(y, r_0) \cap S = \emptyset \implies B(y, r_0) \cap \bar{S} = \emptyset,$$

because  $\bar{S}$  contains S. Hence  $B(y, r_0)$  lies entirely inside  $\bar{S}^c$ , showing that y is an interior point of the complement. Since every point of  $\bar{S}^c$  is interior, the complement is open; equivalently,  $\bar{S}$  is closed.

**Remark.** Idea of the proof. A point fails to belong to the closure precisely when some open ball around it avoids S. But that same ball automatically avoids  $\bar{S}$  as well, so it sits inside the complement. Thus every exterior point has a protective open neighbourhood—the hallmark of an open set—so the complement is open and the closure is closed.

**Exercise 4.8.** Show that for any set S the closure  $\bar{S}$  is equal to the intersection of all closed sets containing S.

**Proof.** Denote by

$$\mathcal{F} \; := \; \big\{ \, F \subset \mathbb{R}^n : F \text{ is closed and } S \subset F \, \big\}, \qquad K \; := \; \bigcap_{F \subset \mathcal{F}} F.$$

 $\mathcal{F} := \big\{ F \subset \mathbb{R}^n : \text{$F$ is closed and $S \subset F$} \big\}, \qquad K := \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F.$  The intersection of any family of closed sets is closed, hence \$K\$ is closed. Because \$S \subset F\$ for every \$F \in \mathcal{F}\$, we also have  $S \subset K$ .

- 1.  $\bar{S} \subset K$ . Let  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since F is closed and contains S, the closure property of  $\bar{S}$  implies  $\bar{S} \subset F$ . This holds for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , therefore  $\bar{S} \subset K$ .
- **2.**  $K \subset \bar{S}$ . The closure  $\bar{S}$  itself is closed and contains S, so  $\bar{S} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Intersecting over  $\mathcal{F}$  cannot yield a set larger than one of its members, hence  $K \subset S$ .

Combining the two inclusions gives  $K = \bar{S}$ . That is, the closure of S coincides with the intersection of all closed sets that contain S.

**Remark.** Idea of the proof. The family of all closed supersets of S has a natural "smallest" member given by their intersection K. Because K is itself closed and contains S, the closure  $\bar{S}$ —which is the minimal closed set containing S—must sit inside K. On the other hand,  $\bar{S}$  belongs to the family, so the intersection K cannot fall outside  $\bar{S}$ . The two sets therefore match exactly.

#### 1.5 Limits and Continuity

**Exercise 5.1.** (i) The limit of a convergent sequence is unique.

- (ii) Let  $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = x_0$ , let  $\lim_{k\to\infty} y_k = y_0$ , and let  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \alpha_k = \alpha$ , where  $\{\alpha_k\}$  is a sequence of scalars. Then  $\lim_{k\to\infty} (x_k+y_k)$  exists and equals  $x_0+y_0$ , and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \alpha_k x_k$  exists and equals  $\alpha x_0$ .
- (iii) A convergent sequence is bounded.

**Proof.** We work in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and use the standard  $\|\cdot\|$  norm; limits are taken with respect to this norm.

(i) Uniqueness of the limit. Suppose a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  converges to both  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose

 $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$k \ge N_1 \implies ||x_k - \ell_1|| < \varepsilon/2, \qquad k \ge N_2 \implies ||x_k - \ell_2|| < \varepsilon/2.$$

For  $k \geq \max\{N_1, N_2\}$  we then have

$$\|\ell_1 - \ell_2\| \le \|\ell_1 - x_k\| + \|x_k - \ell_2\| < \varepsilon.$$

Because  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary,  $\|\ell_1 - \ell_2\| = 0$ , whence  $\ell_1 = \ell_2$ . The limit is therefore unique.

### (ii) Limits of sums and scalar multiples.

1. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N_1, N_2$  such that  $k \ge N_1$  implies  $||x_k - x_0|| < \varepsilon/2$  and  $k \ge N_2$  implies  $||y_k - y_0|| < \varepsilon/2$ . For  $k \ge N := \max\{N_1, N_2\}$  we have

$$\|(x_k + y_k) - (x_0 + y_0)\| \le \|x_k - x_0\| + \|y_k - y_0\| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence  $x_k + y_k \rightarrow x_0 + y_0$ .

2. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , convergence of  $\alpha_k$  means there exists  $N_1$  with  $|\alpha_k - \alpha| < \varepsilon/(2(1 + ||x_0||))$  for  $k \ge N_1$ . Convergence of  $x_k$  gives  $N_2$  with  $||x_k - x_0|| < \varepsilon/(2(1 + |\alpha|))$  for  $k \ge N_2$ . For  $k \ge N := \max\{N_1, N_2\}$  we estimate

$$\|\alpha_k x_k - \alpha x_0\| \le \|\alpha_k - \alpha\| \|x_k\| + \|\alpha\| \|x_k - x_0\|.$$

The triangle inequality together with  $||x_k|| \le ||x_k - x_0|| + ||x_0||$  yields

$$\|\alpha_k x_k - \alpha x_0\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{\|x_k - x_0\| + \|x_0\|}{1 + \|x_0\|} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $\alpha_k x_k \to \alpha x_0$ .

(iii) A convergent sequence is bounded. Let  $x_k \to x_0$ . Take  $\varepsilon := 1$  and choose N so that  $||x_k - x_0|| < 1$  for all  $k \ge N$ . Then

$$||x_k|| \le ||x_k - x_0|| + ||x_0|| < 1 + ||x_0|| \quad (k \ge N).$$

Define

$$M := \max\{\|x_1\|, \dots, \|x_{N-1}\|, 1 + \|x_0\|\}.$$

Every term of the sequence satisfies  $||x_k|| \leq M$ , so the sequence is bounded.

**Remark.** *Idea of the proof.* Uniqueness comes from squeezing two putative limits together via the triangle inequality. The limit laws follow by splitting the error of a sum or product into individually controllable parts. Boundedness is immediate once the tail of the sequence lies in a fixed ball around its limit, while the finitely many initial terms can be absorbed into a single bound.

**Exercise 5.2.** Show that if  $\lim_{x\to s} f(x) = L$ , then L is unique.

**Proof.** Assume that f approaches two limits  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  at the point s. We show that they must coincide. Suppose, for contradiction, that  $L_1 \neq L_2$ . Set

$$\varepsilon := \frac{|L_1 - L_2|}{3} > 0.$$

Because  $\lim_{x\to s} f(x) = L_1$ , there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that

$$0 < |x - s| < \delta_1 \implies |f(x) - L_1| < \varepsilon.$$

Likewise, convergence to  $L_2$  furnishes  $\delta_2 > 0$  with

$$0 < |x - s| < \delta_2 \implies |f(x) - L_2| < \varepsilon.$$

Now choose any x satisfying

$$0 < |x - s| < \delta := \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}.$$

Then both inequalities hold simultaneously, and the triangle inequality gives

$$|L_1 - L_2| \le |L_1 - f(x)| + |f(x) - L_2| < \varepsilon + \varepsilon = \frac{2}{3}|L_1 - L_2|,$$

which is impossible. Hence our assumption  $L_1 \neq L_2$  must be false; therefore  $L_1 = L_2$ . The limit value is unique.  $\square$ 

**Remark.** Idea of the proof. If two distinct numbers both pretend to be the limit, pick an  $\varepsilon$  slightly smaller than their separation. Close enough to s, the function has to lie within that  $\varepsilon$ -tube around each candidate limit, but those two tubes do not overlap—contradiction. The triangle inequality formalises this intuition and forces the two limits to coincide.