

# Exercise For Convexity and Optimization in $\mathbb{R}^n$

Qiuyi Chen  
Qiuyi.Chen@liverpool.ac.uk

*May, 2025*

## Contents

1 Topics in Real Analysis

3

Dedicated to the knee scrapes, playdates, and heartaches.

# 1 Topics in Real Analysis

**Exercise 1.1.** For any vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , show that  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = 2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\|\mathbf{y}\|^2$ . Interpret this relation as a statement about parallelograms in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Solution.** To prove that  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = 2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\|\mathbf{y}\|^2$  for any vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we use the definition of the Euclidean norm and properties of the dot product. Recall that  $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ .

First, expand  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2$ :

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2.$$

Next, expand  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2$ :

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2.$$

Add these two expressions:

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = (\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2) + (\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2) = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 + 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) - 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}).$$

The cross terms  $2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$  and  $-2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$  cancel, yielding:

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = 2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\|\mathbf{y}\|^2.$$

Thus, the equality holds for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , this equality has a geometric interpretation related to parallelograms. Consider vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  emanating from the same initial point. These vectors form two adjacent sides of a parallelogram. The vector  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$  represents one diagonal of the parallelogram, and  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$  represents the other diagonal (assuming the parallelogram is completed appropriately).

The left side of the equality,  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2$ , is the sum of the squares of the lengths of the two diagonals. The right side,  $2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\|\mathbf{y}\|^2$ , is twice the sum of the squares of the lengths of the two adjacent sides. Since a parallelogram has two pairs of equal sides, the sum of the squares of the lengths of all four sides is  $2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\|\mathbf{y}\|^2$  (two sides of length  $\|\mathbf{x}\|$  and two of length  $\|\mathbf{y}\|$ ).

Therefore, the equality states that for any parallelogram in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the sum of the squares of the lengths of the diagonals equals the sum of the squares of the lengths of all four sides. This is a fundamental property of parallelograms in Euclidean geometry, often called the parallelogram law.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be a collection of subsets of a set  $X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha &= c \left[ \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_\alpha) \right], \\ \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha &= c \left[ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (cS_\alpha) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** We establish both identities by showing mutual inclusion of the corresponding sets.

$$1. \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha = c \left[ \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_\alpha) \right].$$

(i) *Subset relation  $\subseteq$ .* Let  $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha$ . Then there exists an index  $\alpha_0 \in A$  such that  $x \in S_{\alpha_0}$ . If  $x$  were also contained in  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_\alpha)$ , it would belong to  $cS_{\alpha_0}$ , i.e.  $x \notin S_{\alpha_0}$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $x \notin \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_\alpha)$ , which means  $x \in c \left[ \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_\alpha) \right]$ .

(ii) *Subset relation  $\supseteq$ .* Conversely, take  $x \in c \left[ \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_\alpha) \right]$ . Then  $x \notin \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (cS_\alpha)$ , so there exists an index  $\alpha_1 \in A$  with  $x \notin cS_{\alpha_1}$ . Equivalently,  $x \in S_{\alpha_1}$ , hence  $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha$ . Combining (i) and (ii) yields the desired equality.

$$2. \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha = c \left[ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (cS_\alpha) \right].$$

The argument is analogous.

(i) *Subset relation  $\subseteq$ .* Let  $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha$ . Then  $x \in S_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha$ . Consequently,  $x \notin cS_\alpha$  for any  $\alpha$ , which implies  $x \notin \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (cS_\alpha)$ . Hence  $x \in c \left[ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (cS_\alpha) \right]$ .

(ii) *Subset relation  $\supseteq$ .* Let  $x \in c \left[ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (cS_\alpha) \right]$ . Then  $x \notin \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (cS_\alpha)$ , so for every  $\alpha \in A$  we have  $x \notin cS_\alpha$ ; equivalently  $x \in S_\alpha$ . Therefore  $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha$ .

Since both inclusions hold, the second identity follows.  $\square$

**Exercise 4.1.** Use the properties of the norm to show that the function  $d$  defined by

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

is a metric, or distance function, on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** To verify that  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$  is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we must show that it satisfies the following three properties for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ : (i) non-negativity with the identity of indiscernibles, (ii) symmetry, and (iii) the triangle inequality.

**1. Non-negativity and identity of indiscernibles.** The Euclidean norm is always non-negative, so

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \geq 0.$$

Moreover,  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$  if and only if  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = 0$ , which occurs precisely when  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ , i.e. when  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ .

**2. Symmetry.** Because  $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \|-\mathbf{v}\|$  for any vector  $\mathbf{v}$ ,

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = \|-(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})\| = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| = d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}).$$

**3. Triangle inequality.** The Euclidean norm satisfies the triangle inequality  $\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| + \|\mathbf{v}\|$ . Choosing  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}$  gives

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\| = \|(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z})\| \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| + \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\| = d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}).$$

Since all three axioms hold, the function  $d$  is indeed a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 4.2.** (a) Sketch the graph of  $y = \sin(1/x)$  for  $x > 0$ .

(b) Consider the graph as a set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and find the limit points of this set.

**Solution.** (a) **Sketch of the curve.** Set  $t = 1/x$  for  $x > 0$ . Then the graph of  $y = \sin(1/x)$  for  $x > 0$  corresponds to the standard sine curve  $y = \sin t$  for  $t > 0$ , but viewed through the change of variables  $x = 1/t$ . As  $x \rightarrow 0^+$  we have  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , so the curve oscillates infinitely often between  $-1$  and  $1$  while its  $x$ -coordinate approaches 0. For moderate values of  $x$  the graph resembles the usual sine curve stretched horizontally, whereas near the  $y$ -axis the oscillations become increasingly rapid, creating a "comb-like" fringe that accumulates on the interval  $\{0\} \times [-1, 1]$ .

(b) **Limit points of the graph.** Let

$$S := \{(x, \sin(1/x)) : x > 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We claim that the set of limit points of  $S$  is

$$S' = S \cup \{(0, y) : -1 \leq y \leq 1\}.$$

The verification proceeds in two steps.

*Step 1: Every point of  $S$  is a limit point.* Fix  $(x_0, \sin(1/x_0)) \in S$  with  $x_0 > 0$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Recall the elementary inequality  $|\sin u - \sin v| \leq |u - v|$  valid for all real numbers  $u, v$ . Set

$$\delta := \min\left\{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon x_0^2}{4}, \frac{x_0}{2}\right\}.$$

Pick any  $x_\varepsilon \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \setminus \{x_0\}$  and define  $P_\varepsilon := (x_\varepsilon, \sin(1/x_\varepsilon))$ . Then  $P_\varepsilon \in S$  and

$$|x_\varepsilon - x_0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad |\sin(1/x_\varepsilon) - \sin(1/x_0)| \leq |1/x_\varepsilon - 1/x_0| = \frac{|x_\varepsilon - x_0|}{|x_\varepsilon x_0|} \leq \frac{2\delta}{x_0^2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Consequently

$$\|P_\varepsilon - (x_0, \sin(1/x_0))\| \leq \sqrt{(|x_\varepsilon - x_0|)^2 + (|\sin(1/x_\varepsilon) - \sin(1/x_0)|)^2} < \varepsilon,$$

proving that  $(x_0, \sin(1/x_0))$  is indeed a limit point of  $S$ .

*Step 2: Points of the form  $(0, y)$  with  $|y| \leq 1$  are limit points.* Fix  $y \in [-1, 1]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Because the sine function attains every value in  $[-1, 1]$  infinitely often, we can pick  $t_\varepsilon > \max\{1/\varepsilon, 0\}$  such that  $|\sin t_\varepsilon - y| < \varepsilon$ . Setting  $x_\varepsilon = 1/t_\varepsilon$  we obtain  $0 < x_\varepsilon < \varepsilon$  and

$$\|(x_\varepsilon, \sin(1/x_\varepsilon)) - (0, y)\| < \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^2} < \sqrt{2}\varepsilon.$$

Thus  $(0, y)$  is approached by points of  $S$  distinct from itself, so it is a limit point.

*Step 3: No other points are limit points.* If  $x < 0$ , every open ball centred at  $(x, y)$  contains points whose first coordinate is negative, whereas  $S$  lies entirely in  $x > 0$ ; thus such points cannot be limit points. If  $x = 0$  but  $|y| > 1$ , the vertical separation  $|y| - 1$  already exceeds the range of  $\sin$ , so no sequence in  $S$  can approach  $(0, y)$ .

Finally, consider a point  $(x, y)$  with  $x > 0$  that does

emphnot belong to  $S$ . Write  $y_0 := \sin(1/x)$  and set  $d := |y - y_0| > 0$ . Choose

$$r := \min\left\{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right\}.$$

For any  $(x', \sin(1/x')) \in S$  satisfying  $|x' - x| < r$  we have  $x' \geq x/2$  and hence, using  $|\sin u - \sin v| \leq |u - v|$  again,

$$|\sin(1/x') - y_0| \leq \frac{|x' - x|}{x'x} \leq \frac{2r}{x^2} \leq \frac{d}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$|\sin(1/x') - y| \geq |y - y_0| - |\sin(1/x') - y_0| > \frac{d}{2},$$

so the Euclidean distance between  $(x', \sin(1/x'))$  and  $(x, y)$  exceeds  $d/2$ . Hence the ball  $B((x, y), d/2)$  contains no point of  $S$ , proving that  $(x, y)$  is not a limit point. Collecting the cases established in Steps 1–3 completes the description of  $S'$ .

Consequently, the set of all limit points of the graph is precisely  $S \cup (\{0\} \times [-1, 1])$ , as asserted.