HW3

Name: Xiang Gu EID: xg2847

## Part A:

## 14.7.1

# Speed Bitmap Index:

Speed	Original Bit-vector	Compressed Bit-vector
1.42	00100000000	1010
1.86	00000000010	11101010
2.00	00000001000	11101000
2.10	01000000000	01
2.20	000000110000	11011000
2.66	10000000000	00
2.80	000100000101	101111010101
3.20	000011000000	11010000

## Ram bitmap index:

ram	Original bit-vector	Compressed bit-vector
512	011010000000	010001
1024	100101101001	0010100100011010
2048	00000010110	1101110100

# Hd bitmap index:

hd	Original bit-vector	Compressed bit-vector
80	00100000000	1010
160	00000000011	1110101000
200	00000100000	110110
250	110110011000	00000100101000
300	00000000100	11101001

320	000001000000	110101	
-----	--------------	--------	--

#### 14.7.3

## m \* (1,000,000 / 8) bytes

Explanation: each bit-vector (for each value) has 1 million / 8 bytes. Hence the bitmap index has in total m \* (1 million / 8) bytes.

#### Part B:

1.

- (a). There is **no** false positive after adding a through y;
- (b). No, it does not depend on the size of the key.

2.

The general formula for the probability of having a false positive after inserting n keys in a hash table of length m bits with k hash function is (1 - (1 - 1/m) \* kn) \* k

- (a). Plug in m = 50, k = 3, n = 1, Pr(false positive after inserting one key) \approx **0.0002**
- (b). Plug in m = 50, k = 3, n = 20, Pr(false positive after inserting twenty keys) \approx **0.3466**
- (c). Definitely **not** there (voted on Piazza poll)

3.

The optimal  $k^* = m/n * ln2$ . After plugging in m = 50 and k = 3, we can write down the inequality 2 < 50/n \* ln2 <= 3, from which we can solve for n: 11.55 <= n < 17.328.

Therefore, the possible number of keys the requirements statement stated to be stored are  $\{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17\}$ , for this k = 3 to be optimal for this particular length of the hash table (i.e. m = 50).

#### Part C:

#### 5.1.1

Speed (as a set)	Speed (as a bag)
2.66	2.66
2.10	2.10
1.42	1.42
2.80	2.80
3.20	3.20

2.20	3.20
2.00	2.20
1.86	2.20
3.06	2.00
	2.80
	1.86
	2.80
	3.06
Average = 2.3667	Average = 2.4846

# 5.1.2:

hd (as a set)	hd (as a bag)
250	250
80	250
320	80
200	250
300	250
160	320
	200
	250
	250
	300
	160
	160
	80
Average = 218.33	Average = 215.38

16.2.2:

(b).

set difference:

Consider the following two relations R(a,b) and S(a,b):

$$R = \{(1,3), (1,3)\}, S = \Phi (aka empty relation) \pi_a (R - S) = \{(1)\} != \pi_a(R) - \pi_a(S) = \{(1), (1)\}$$

bag difference:

Consider the following two relations R(a,b) and S(a,b):

$$R = \{(1,3), (1,2)\}, S = \{(1,3), (1,4)\}$$
 
$$\pi_a(R -_B S) = \{(1)\} = \pi_a(R) -_B \pi_a(S) = \phi$$

(c).

Consider the following relation R(a,b):

$$R = \{(1,3), (1,4)\}$$
 \delta(\pi\_a(R)) = \{(1)\} != \pi\_a(\delta(R)) = \{(1), (1)\}

2.

İ.

ii.

```
p3=# select * from r where joinKey1 != 101;
theprimarykey | name | joinkey1
-----5 | John | 106
(1 row)
```

iii.

```
p3=# select * from r where joinKey1 IS NULL;
theprimarykey | name | joinkey1

2 | David |
3 | David |
4 | Dan |
(3 rows)
```

iv.

٧.

```
p3=# select joinKey1 from r;
joinkey1
------101
106
(5 rows)
```

νi.

vii.

```
p3=# select * from r, s where joinKey1 != joinKey2;
theprimarykey | name | joinkey1 | theprimarykey | romannumeral | joinkey2

5 | John | 106 | 6 | V | 101
1 | Andrea | 101 | 8 | L | 105
5 | John | 106 | 8 | L | 105
(3 rows)
```

#### viii.

```
p3=# select * from r
p3-# full outer join s on joinKey1 = joinKey2;
theprimarykey | name | joinkey1 | theprimarykey | romannumeral | joinkey2
            1 | Andrea |
                            101
                                              6 | V
                                                                      101
                                               8 | L
                                                                      105
                                               7 | X
            5 John
                             106 I
            2 | David
            3 | David |
            4 Dan
(7 rows)
```

#### ix.

### Χ.

χi.

3.

Three ways to write query vi: (theta-join)

- select \* from r,s where joinKey1 = joinKey2;
- select \* from r inner join s on joinKey1 = joinKey2;
- with r1 as (select \* from r where joinKey1 in (select joinKey2 from s)),
   s1 as (select \* from s where joinKey2 in (select joinKey1 from r))
   select \* from r1, s1;

Three ways to write query vii: (theta-join)

- select \* from r,s where joinKey1 != joinKey2;
- select \* from r inner join s on joinKey1 != joinKey2;
- (select \* from r,s where joinKey1 is not null and joinKey2 is not null) except
   (select \* from r,s where joinKey1 = joinKey2);

4.

Two ways to write query viii: (full outer join)

- select \* from r full outer join s on joinKey1 = joinKey2;
- (select \* from r left outer join s on joinKey1 = joinKey2)
   union
   (select \* from r right outer join s on joinKey1 = joinKey2);

Two ways to write query ix: (left outer join)

- select \* from r left outer join s on joinKey1 = joinKey2;
- (select \* from r full outer join s on joinKey1 = joinKey2)
   except
   (select \* from r right outer join s on joinKey1 = joinKey2);

Two ways to write query x: (left semijoin)

- select \* from r where joinKey1 in (select joinKey2 from s);
- (select \* from r)
   except

```
(select * from r where joinKey1 not in (select joinKey2 from s));
```

Two ways to write query xi: (left anti semijoin)

- select \* from r where joinKey1 not in (select joinKey2 from s);
- (select \* from r)
   except
   (select \* from r where joinKey1 in (select joinKey2 from s));