
Goal: In this lecture, we talk about Second-Order Cone Programming (SOCP), Robust Linear Programming, and Geometric Programming (GP).

1 Second-Order Cone Programming (SOCP)

Definition 1. A second-order cone program (SOCP) is an optimization problem of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \min : & \quad \mathbf{q}^\top \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} : & \quad \|\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_i\|_2 \leq \mathbf{c}_i^\top \mathbf{x} + d_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \\ & \quad \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the optimization variable, and $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{A}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n}$, $\mathbf{b}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $\mathbf{c}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $d_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, and $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^p$.

- The inequalities are called second-order cone (SOC) constraints.

Note that we can also write the equality constraint $\mathbf{F} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g}$ as a second-order cone constraint by setting $\mathbf{A}_{m+1} = \mathbf{F}$, $\mathbf{b}_{m+1} = -\mathbf{g}$, $\mathbf{c}_{m+1} = \mathbf{0}$, and $d_{m+1} = 0$.

Remark 1. As we discussed in Lecture 9, the second order cone in dimension $n + 1$ is the set

$$\mathcal{L}^{n+1} = \{(\mathbf{x}, t) \mid \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq t\}.$$

Hence, the above optimization problem can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \min : & \quad \mathbf{q}^\top \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} : & \quad (\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{c}_i^\top \mathbf{x} + d_i) \in \mathcal{L}^{n_i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Observation: If $\mathbf{A}_i = \mathbf{0}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, then we obtain an LP. (LP \subset SOCP)

Observation: If we set $\mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{0}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, then we obtain a QCQP. (QCQP \subset SOCP)

1.1 Robust linear programming

The parameters in optimization problems are often uncertain, e.g., in an LP:

$$\begin{aligned} \min : & \quad \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} : & \quad \mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{x} \leq b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

there can be uncertainty in \mathbf{c} , \mathbf{a}_i , and b_i .

There are two different ways to address uncertainty in LP. (i) We assume that \mathbf{a}_i belong to a set of vectors $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{E}_i$ and we want to ensure for any of possible choices \mathbf{a}_i our solution is valid. This approach is also known as *deterministic approach*.

$$\begin{aligned} \min : & \quad \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} : & \quad \mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{x} \leq b_i, \text{ for all } \mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{E}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The second approach for modeling uncertainty in LP is assuming that \mathbf{a}_i is a random variable and constraints must hold with probability larger than a threshold η . This approach is also known as *stochastic approach*.

$$\begin{aligned} \min : & \quad \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} : & \quad P(\mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{x} \leq b_i) \geq \eta, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

1.1.1 Deterministic approach via SOCP

Consider deterministic robust linear programming when the set \mathcal{E}_i is an ellipsoid.

$$\mathcal{E}_i = \{\bar{\mathbf{a}}_i + \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{u} \mid \|\mathbf{u}\|_2 \leq 1\}$$

where $\mathbf{P}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here, \mathbf{P}_i and $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ are given. For each constraint i , we want to ensure that the constraint $\mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{x} \leq b_i$ is satisfied for all possible $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{E}_i$. Hence, the i -th constraint can be written as

$$\mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{x} \leq b_i, \text{ for all } \mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{E}_i \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \left(\sup_{\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{E}_i} \mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{x} \right) \leq b_i$$

Further, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{E}_i} \mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{x} &= \sup_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \leq 1} (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_i + \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{u})^\top \mathbf{x} \\ &= \bar{\mathbf{a}}_i^\top \mathbf{x} + \sup_{\|\mathbf{u}\|_2 \leq 1} \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{P}_i^\top \mathbf{x} \\ &= \bar{\mathbf{a}}_i^\top \mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{P}_i^\top \mathbf{x}\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the robust linear constraint can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{x} \leq b_i, \text{ for all } \mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{E}_i \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \bar{\mathbf{a}}_i^\top \mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{P}_i^\top \mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq b_i$$

which is evidently a second-order cone constraint. Hence, the Robust LP problem in this case can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \min : & \quad \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} : & \quad \bar{\mathbf{a}}_i^\top \mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{P}_i^\top \mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

which is an SOCP.

1.1.2 Stochastic approach via SOCP

The robust LP described above can also be considered in a statistical framework. Here we suppose that the parameters \mathbf{a}_i are independent Gaussian random vectors, with mean $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_i$ and covariance Σ_i . In this case, the constraint of the following LP

$$\begin{aligned} \min : & \quad \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} : & \quad P(\mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{x} \leq b_i) \geq \eta_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Based on the probability distribution of \mathbf{a}_i , we know that $\mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{x}$ is a Gaussian random variable with mean $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_i^\top \mathbf{x}$ and variance $\mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma_i \mathbf{x}$. Hence,

$$P(\mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{x} \leq b_i) = \Phi \left(\frac{b_i - \bar{\mathbf{a}}_i^\top \mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma_i \mathbf{x}}} \right) \quad \text{where} \quad \Phi(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^y e^{-t^2/2} dt$$

Hence, the i -th constraint can be written as (assuming that $\eta \geq 1/2$)

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{x} \leq b_i) \geq \eta_i & \Leftrightarrow \Phi \left(\frac{b_i - \bar{\mathbf{a}}_i^\top \mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma_i \mathbf{x}}} \right) \geq \eta_i \\ & \Leftrightarrow \frac{b_i - \bar{\mathbf{a}}_i^\top \mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma_i \mathbf{x}}} \geq \Phi^{-1}(\eta_i) \\ & \Leftrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{a}}_i^\top \mathbf{x} + \Phi^{-1}(\eta_i) \|\Sigma_i^{1/2} \mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq b_i \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Robust LP problem in this case can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \min : & \quad \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} : & \quad \bar{\mathbf{a}}_i^\top \mathbf{x} + \Phi^{-1}(\eta_i) \|\Sigma_i^{1/2} \mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

which is an SOCP.

2 Geometric Programming (GP)

We proceed to study a family of optimization problems that are not convex in their natural form, but can be transformed to convex optimization problems, by a change of variables and a transformation of the objective and constraint functions.

Definition 2. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\text{dom} f = \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ is called *monomial*, if it can be expressed as

$$f(\mathbf{x}) := c x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n},$$

where $c > 0$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3. A sum of monomials, i.e., a function of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{k=1}^K c_k x_1^{a_{1k}} \dots x_n^{a_{nk}},$$

where $c_k > 0$ is called a *posynomial function* (with K terms), or simply, a *posynomial*.

We call an optimization problem a **Geometric Program** if it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \min : & \quad f_0(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{s.t.} : & \quad f_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \\ & \quad h_i(\mathbf{x}) = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

where f_0, f_1, \dots, f_m are posynomial and h_1, \dots, h_p are monomial.

2.1 Geometric program in convex form

Change variables to $y_i = \log x_i$ so that $x_i = \exp y_i$

If f is the monomial function of x mentioned above then we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}) := cx_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} = c(e^{y_1})^{a_1} \dots (e^{y_n})^{a_n} = e^{\mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{y} + b}$$

where $b = \log(c)$. Similarly, for a posynomial function we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{k=1}^K c_k x_1^{a_{1k}} \dots x_n^{a_{nk}} = \sum_{k=1}^K e^{\mathbf{a}_k^\top \mathbf{y} + b_k}$$

where $\mathbf{a}_k = (a_{1k}, \dots, a_{nk})$ and $b_k = \log(c_k)$. The geometric program can be expressed in terms of the new variable \mathbf{y} as

$$\begin{aligned} \min : & \quad \sum_{k=1}^{K_0} e^{\mathbf{a}_{0k}^\top \mathbf{y} + b_{0k}} \\ \text{s.t.} : & \quad \sum_{k=1}^{K_i} e^{\mathbf{a}_{ik}^\top \mathbf{y} + b_{ik}} \leq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \\ & \quad e^{\mathbf{g}_i^\top \mathbf{y} + h_i} = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

Now we transform the objective and constraint functions, by taking the logarithm. This results in the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min : & \quad \tilde{f}_0(\mathbf{y}) := \log \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K_0} e^{\mathbf{a}_{0k}^\top \mathbf{y} + b_{0k}} \right) \\ \text{s.t.} : & \quad \tilde{f}_i(\mathbf{y}) := \log \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K_i} e^{\mathbf{a}_{ik}^\top \mathbf{y} + b_{ik}} \right) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \\ & \quad \tilde{h}_i(\mathbf{y}) := \mathbf{g}_i^\top \mathbf{y} + h_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

Since the functions \tilde{f}_i are convex, and \tilde{h}_i are affine, this problem is a convex optimization problem. If the posynomial objective and constraint functions all have only one term, i.e., are monomials, then the convex form geometric program reduces to a linear program!

Examples: Read the textbook.