1. let h(x,y) = f(x-y)d(y). Then log h(x,y) = log f(x-y)d(y) = log f(x-y) + log d(y) We know logfix-y, and logdiy are concave, so, their sum logh(x,y) is also concave. Then we use the theorem that the integral of a log-concave function is also log-concave We thus know [hix, y) dy = (f*9)(x) is log-concave.

2. a). Show $f(x) = \frac{P(x)}{q(x)}$ is quasi-convex, where p(x) is convex and $p(x) \geqslant 0$, q(x) is concave and q(x) > 0.

This is equivalent to show that for $\pi \in [0,1]$, $x,y \in domif)$,

 $f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \max(f(x), f(y))$

• If $\max(f(x), f(y)) = f(x)$, then we need to show that $\frac{p(\pi x + (1 - \pi)y)}{q(\pi x + (1 - \pi)y)} \le \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$.

This means we $\frac{\pi p(x) + (1 - \pi)p(y)}{\pi q(x) + (1 - \pi)p(y)}$, so we now need to show $\frac{\pi p(x) + (1 - \pi)p(y)}{\pi q(x) + (1 - \pi)q(y)} = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \le 0$.

This is equivalent to show Ap(x)9(x)+(1-1)p(y)9(x) - Ap(x)9(x)-(1-1)9(y)p(x) < 0 since the denominator is positive

This is equivalent to show (1-1)(P14)9(x)-9(4)P1x)) <0 x which is true because

 $\frac{P(x)}{q(x)} > \frac{P(y)}{q(y)} \Rightarrow P(y)q(x) \leq P(x)q(y) \Rightarrow P(y)q(x) - q(y)P(x) \leq 0$

• Similarly, if $\max(f(x), f(y)) = f(y)$, we can carry but the same calculation to show that $\frac{p(n \times + (1-n)y)}{q(n \times + (1-n)y)} \leq \frac{p(y)}{q(y)}$.

Hence, f(xx+(1-x)y) < max(fix), fiy)), so, fix) = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} is quasi-corvex.

```
(c). We want to show \phi_{t}(x) = p(x) - tg(x) is convex. Then for x, y \in dom(f), \pi \in [0, 1], \theta_{t}(\pi x + (1-\pi)y) = p(\pi x + (1-\pi)y) - tg(\pi x + (1+\pi)y) \le \pi p(x) + (1-\pi)p(y) - t(\pi g(x) + (1-\pi))g(y)
= \pi (p(x) - tg(x)) + (1-\pi)(p(y) - tg(y))
= \pi (p(x) - tg(x)) + (1-\pi)(p(y) - tg(y))
= \pi (p(x) + (1-\pi)(p(y)) - tg(y)
= \pi (p(x) + (1-\pi)(p(y)) - tg(x)
= \pi (p(x) + (1-\pi)(p(x)) - tg(x)
= \pi (p(x) + (1-\pi)(p(x)
```

4. See next page

2. (ontinued). (b). $f(x) \le t \iff \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \le t \iff p(x) \le t \frac{q(x)}{q(x)} \le 0$

- 4. If we write down the dual of the primal problem, it's max -b'z s.t. A'z +c=0 ZZO
 - If the dual problem is feasible, and bounded then it's also bounded, because otherwise, the primal is infeasible, which is impossible since A is square and non-singular. Then, let the optimal solution for the dual be Z^* , we know $\{A^TZ^*+C=0\}=A^{-T}(-C)\geq 0$ By strong duality, we also know $P^*=d^*=-b^TZ^*=b^TA^{-T}C$
 - If the dual problem is infeasible, then the primal is either infeasible or unbounded. In this public, the primal is always feasible so the primal is unbounded, $p^* = -\infty$

Therefore, $p^* = \begin{cases} b^T A^{-T} c, & \text{if } A^{-T} c \leq 0 \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

5. a). If A > 0, the we can first make a change of variables $y = A^{1/2} \times$, and write $\mathcal{E} = A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{R}$. With this new variable, the problem becomes min $\mathcal{E}^T y$, which means minimizing a linear function over the unit hall. s.t. $y^T y \leq 1$. The optimal solution is $y^* = -\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$.

In general when $A \notin S^n$, we can make a change of variables bosed on eigendecomposition $A = Q \operatorname{diag}(\lambda) Q^T = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i q_i q_i^T$. We define $y = Q \times$, $b = Q \times$ and express the problem as $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i y_i$ if $\lambda_i > 0$, $\forall i$, the problem is reduced to what talked about above. So, we can make $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2 \le 1$ if $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2 \le 1$ if $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2 \le 1$ if for some i, $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2 \le 1$ if for some i, $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2 \le 1$ in $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2 \le 1$ in

5. (contin-red) (b). Make a change of variables $y = A^{1/2}(x - x_c)$, $x = A^{-\frac{1}{2}}y + x_c$ and solve the new equivalent problem min $c^TA^{-\frac{1}{2}}y + c^Tx_c$ The solution is $y^* = -(\frac{1}{1|A^{\frac{1}{2}}|_{1}})A^{-\frac{1}{2}}c$, $\chi^* = \chi_c - (\frac{1}{1|A^{\frac{1}{2}}|_{1}})A^{-1}c$

(c). If $B \geq 0$, then the optimal value is obviously zero (since $x^TBx \geq 0$ for all x, with In general, we can make a change of variables $y = A^{\frac{1}{2}}x$ with A > 0. The problem then becomes min $y^TA^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{\frac{1}{2}}y$.

s.t. 4Ty <1

If the constraint y y < 1 is active at the optimum (y y = 1), then the optimal value is Mmin (A-2BA-2) because Timin = inf xTAX

If y*Ty < 1, then it must be at a point where the gradient of objective = function vanishes, i.e., By = 0, in which the optimal value is zero.