

then similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}_X) &\iff \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{h} && \text{for some } n \times 1 \text{ vector } \mathbf{h} \text{ by key 1} \\
&\iff \mathbf{g} = \underbrace{\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'}_{\mathbf{P}_X} \mathbf{h} && \text{for some } \mathbf{h} \\
&\iff \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{X} \underbrace{(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'}_{p \times 1} \mathbf{h} && \text{treat as } \mathbf{X} \text{ product a } p \times 1 \text{ vector} \\
&\implies \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}_X) && \text{by key 1}
\end{aligned}$$

So $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}_X) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$. According to the results above, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}_X)$.

3. Prove $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$ is a solution to the normal equations $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$ (by slide 8 of set 2).

Let $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} &= \mathbf{X}' \underbrace{\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'}_{\mathbf{P}_X} \mathbf{y} \\
&= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{y} \\
&= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} && \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{P}_X = \mathbf{X}' \text{ by property of projection matrix in slide 5 of set 2}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$ is a solution to the normal equations.

4. Suppose the Gauss-Markov model with normal errors holds (see slide 14 of slide set 2 for a precise statement of the model).

(a) Suppose $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable. Derive the distribution of $\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, the OLSE of $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

$\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable \implies there exists \mathbf{A} that $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} \\
&= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} && \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} \\
&= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{y} && \mathbf{P}_X = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'
\end{aligned}$$

Base on the model assumption, $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$. Then $\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{y}$ is also multivariate normal by silce 24 of set 1, $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_X \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_X)')$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_X \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_X)' &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{P}_X' \mathbf{A}' \\
&= \sigma^2 \mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{A}' && \mathbf{P}_X \text{ is symmetric and idempotent} \\
&= \sigma^2 \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{A}' \\
&= \sigma^2 \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{C}'
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{C}')$.

(b) Now suppose $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is NOT estimable.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}) &= (\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}')\sigma^2\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}')' \\ &= \sigma^2\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-'}\mathbf{C}' \end{aligned}$$

We can not simply this further when $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is NOT estimable.

(c) Now suppose $H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}$ is testable. Prove the result on slide 21 of set 2.

Given the hypothesis is testable (see slide 16 of set 2), $\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is estimable and from the results in part (a), we have $\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2\mathbf{c}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{c})$, by linear transformation,

$$\frac{\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - d}{\sqrt{\sigma^2\mathbf{c}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{c}}} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{\mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta} - d}{\sqrt{\sigma^2\mathbf{c}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{c}}}, 1\right)$$

$$\text{let } u = \frac{\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - d}{\sqrt{\sigma^2\mathbf{c}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{c}}} \text{ and } \delta = \frac{\mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta} - d}{\sqrt{\sigma^2\mathbf{c}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{c}}}, u \sim \mathcal{N}(\delta, 1).$$

Then by slide 17 of set 2,

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \frac{\chi_{n-r}^2}{n-r} \implies w = \frac{(n-r)\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-r}^2$$

$\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ are independent, so their function u and w are also independent (see Theorem 4.3.5 in Casella and Berger, 2002). By slide 29 of set 1,

$$\frac{u}{\sqrt{w/(n-r)}} = \frac{\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - d}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2\mathbf{c}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{c}}} \sim t_{n-r}(\delta)$$

Therefore it follows a t distribution with non-central parameter $\delta = \frac{\mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta} - d}{\sqrt{\sigma^2\mathbf{c}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{c}}}$ and degrees of freedom $n-r$.

Note: The independence between u and w are necessary, we can first check $\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$. $\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is estimable so we can write it as $\mathbf{a}'\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{y}$ for some \mathbf{a}' , which is a function of $\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{y}$, and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}/(n-r) = \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}\|^2/(n-r)$ which is a function of $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}$.

By the independence results on slide 34 in set 1, when $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2\mathbf{I})$ in GMMNE (slide 16 of set 2), let $\mathbf{A}_1 = \mathbf{P}_X$, and $\mathbf{A}_2 = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_1\sigma^2\mathbf{I}\mathbf{A}_2' &= \mathbf{P}_X\sigma^2\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)' \\ &= \sigma^2\mathbf{P}_X(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)' \\ &= \sigma^2\mathbf{P}_X(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X) \\ &= \sigma^2(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{P}_X) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \text{because } \mathbf{P}_X \text{ is idempotent and symmetric}$$

then by Theorem 4.3.5 in Casella and Berger(2002), we have

$$\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{y} \perp (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y} \implies \mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \perp \hat{\sigma}^2 \implies u \perp w.$$

5. Consider a competition among 5 table tennis players labeled 1 through 5. For $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$, define y_{ij} to be the score for player i minus the score for player j when player i plays a game against player j . Suppose for $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$,

$$y_{ij} = \beta_i - \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

where β_1, \dots, β_5 are unknown parameters and the ϵ_{ij} terms are random errors with mean 0. Suppose four games will be played that will allow us to observe y_{12} , y_{34} , y_{25} , and y_{15} .

- (a) Define a design matrix \mathbf{X} so that model (1) may be written as $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &= \begin{bmatrix} y_{12} \\ y_{34} \\ y_{25} \\ y_{15} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 - \beta_2 \\ \beta_4 - \beta_4 \\ \beta_2 - \beta_5 \\ \beta_1 - \beta_5 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{34} \\ \epsilon_{25} \\ \epsilon_{15} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{X}} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \beta_4 \\ \beta_5 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{34} \\ \epsilon_{25} \\ \epsilon_{15} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Is $\beta_1 - \beta_2$ estimable?

Let $\mathbf{c}' = (1, -1, 0, 0, 0)$, then $\beta_1 - \beta_2$ can be written as $\mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

If $\mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable, there exists a \mathbf{a}' so that $\mathbf{c}' = \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{X}$ by slide 7 of set 2. We can find such $\mathbf{a}' = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0)'$, so $\beta_1 - \beta_2$ is estimable.

- (c) Is $\beta_1 - \beta_3$ estimable?

Let $\mathbf{c}'_2 = (1, 0, -1, 0, 0)$, then $\beta_1 - \beta_3$ can be written as $\mathbf{c}'_2\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Assume $\mathbf{c}'_2\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable, then there must be an $\mathbf{a}'_2 = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}'_2 &= \mathbf{a}'_2\mathbf{X} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$1 = a_1 + a_4; \quad 0 = -a_1 + a_3; \quad \underbrace{-1 = a_2; \quad 0 = -a_2}_{\text{contradiction!}}; \quad 0 = -a_3 - a_4 \quad (2)$$

So $\beta_1 - \beta_3$ is not estimable.

Comments: Some students claimed that they can not find an $\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{X}$ but without any further proof, you need show work to support your statement.

- (d) Find a generalized inverse of $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$.

Use the R function `ginv` in the `MASS` package.

```
> X=matrix(c(1,0,0,1,-1,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,-1,0,0,0,0,-1,-1),nrow=4)
> MASS::ginv( t(X) %*% X)
```

a generalized inverse matrix $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^-$ (not unique) is

$$(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^- = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2222 & -0.1111 & 0 & 0 & -0.1111 \\ -0.1111 & 0.2222 & 0 & 0 & -0.1111 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.25 & -0.25 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.25 & 0.25 & 0 \\ -0.1111 & -0.1111 & 0 & 0 & 0.2222 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (e) Write down a general expression for the normal equations.

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$$

- (f) Find a solution to the normal equations in this particular problem involving table tennis players.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b} &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^- \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ -1/3 & 0 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{12} \\ y_{34} \\ y_{25} \\ y_{15} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{12}/3 + y_{15}/3 \\ -y_{12}/3 + y_{25}/3 \\ y_{34}/2 \\ -y_{34}/2 \\ -y_{25}/3 - y_{15}/3 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The solution is not unique since $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^-$ is not unique.

Comments: In this problem, you need to write out \mathbf{b} as a 5×1 matrix involving y_{ij} instead of dot product of two matrices.

- (g) Find the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimator of $\beta_1 - \beta_5$.

Let $\mathbf{c}' = (1, 0, 0, 0, -1)$ then $\beta_1 - \beta_5 = \mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

The OLSE of $\mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is $\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{b}$ by slide 7 of set 2, based on the results in part (f),

$$\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{b} = y_{12}/3 + 2y_{15}/3 + y_{25}/3$$

Note: $\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{b}$ is unique since it is estimable and can be written as $\mathbf{a}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^- \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{y}$, where $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}$ is unique by slide 5 of set 2.

- (h) Give a linear unbiased estimator of $\beta_1 - \beta_5$ that is not the OLS estimator.

We need to find \mathbf{a}' that $E(\mathbf{a}'\mathbf{y}) = \beta_1 - \beta_5$, the simplest one is y_{15} when $\mathbf{a}' = (0, 0, 0, 1)$, y_{15} is linear unbiased but not the OLSE of $\beta_1 - \beta_5$.