

Final Project - Twitter Geolocator

CJ Xiang

12/12/2016

Introduction

Hi, my name is CJ Xiang. The goal of this presentation is to walk you guys through how I set up twitter API, got geolocated tweets from which to perform data analyses, constructed statistical models, and built interactive apps and visualizations to make data-driven explorations. As you will discover soon a handful of quantitative methods are covered in this project, they are included but not limited to Shiny, Bootstrap, text analysis, sentimental analysis, word clouds, and mapping. Now, let us rock.

Set up Twitter API

We set up Twitter API by linking URL and consumer Key to our server. The process is a little of tedious and it cannot be knitted in PDF. Instead, we will attach the resulted my_outh.Rdada file.

Tweets Collecting and Mapping

Our interest in Starbucks. It may take up to 6000s to collect data, and therefore filterStream("tweetsUS.json",track=c("Starbucks", "oauth = my_oauth)) is optional here as we will attach Json file for you.

```
library(streamR)
# Load back the file
load("my_oauth.Rdada")

# filterStream("tweetsUS.json",track=c("Starbucks"),timeout=6000, oauth = my_oauth)
tweets.df <- parseTweets("tweetsUS.json",simplify = FALSE)
```

```
## 3160 tweets have been parsed.
```

```
# Again, save the file for further use
saveRDS(tweets.df, "StarbucksOriginalData.RDS")
```

Before going any further, let us plot the map data to decide if we need do some data cleaning. It can get really messy. Building visualization is a great tool to give me a sense where I am currently at the project, and the rest is all about curiosity.

```
library(ggplot2)
library(grid)

map.data <- map_data("state")
points <- data.frame(x=as.numeric(tweets.df$place_lon), y=as.numeric(tweets.df$place_lat))

points <- points[points$y>25,]
ggplot(map.data)+
  geom_map(aes(map_id = region),
           map=map.data,
```

```

    fill="white",
    color="grey20",size=0.25)+
expand_limits(x = map.data$long, y = map.data$lat)+
theme(axis.line = element_blank(),
      axis.text = element_blank(),
      axis.ticks = element_blank(),
      axis.title = element_blank(),
      panel.background = element_blank(),
      panel.border = element_blank(),
      panel.grid.major = element_blank(),
      plot.background = element_blank(),
      plot.margin = unit(0 * c(-1.5, -1.5, -1.5, -1.5), "lines"))+
geom_point(data = points,
          aes(x = x, y = y), size = 1,
          alpha = 1/5, color = "darkgreen")+
ggtitle("Tweets mentioning Starbucks in the U.S.")

```

Tweets mentioning Starbucks in the U.S.



Now as we can see some points are located outside the U.S. territory. Since my priori interest is to focus on tweets within the U.S., we should filter out tweets outside the U.S.

```

index <- which(tweets.df$country_code == "US")
tweetsClean.df <- tweets.df[index,]


map.data <- map_data("state")
points <- data.frame(x=as.numeric(tweetsClean.df$place_lon), y=as.numeric(tweetsClean.df$place_lat))

points <- points[points$y>25,]

```

```
ggplot(map.data)+
  geom_map(aes(map_id = region),
    map=map.data,
    fill="white",
    color="grey20",size=0.25)+
  expand_limits(x = map.data$long, y = map.data$lat)+
  theme(axis.line = element_blank(),
    axis.text = element_blank(),
    axis.ticks = element_blank(),
    axis.title = element_blank(),
    panel.background = element_blank(),
    panel.border = element_blank(),
    panel.grid.major = element_blank(),
    plot.background = element_blank(),
    plot.margin = unit(0 * c(-1.5, -1.5, -1.5, -1.5), "lines"))+
  geom_point(data = points,
    aes(x = x, y = y), size = 1,
    alpha = 1/5, color = "darkgreen")+
  ggtitle("Tweets mentioning Starbucks in the U.S.")
```

Tweets mentioning Starbucks in the U.S.



We still have an outlier! But why? We already ensured country code is U.S though. It seems the only possibility is that such tweet has a country code U.S, but with bizzare geolocations. I decide to remove it in the interest of consistency. And here we go!

```
newindex <- which(tweetsClean.df$country == "Vereinigste Staaten")
tweetsFinalclean.df <- tweetsClean.df[-newindex,]
saveRDS(tweetsFinalclean.df, "StarbucksUSData.RDS")
```


```

map.data <- map_data("state")
points <- data.frame(x=as.numeric(tweetsFinalclean.df$place_lon), y=as.numeric(tweetsFinalclean.df$place_lat))

points <- points[points$y>25,]
ggplot(map.data)+
  geom_map(aes(map_id = region),
            map=map.data,
            fill="white",
            color="grey20",size=0.25)+
  expand_limits(x = map.data$long, y = map.data$lat)+
  theme(axis.line = element_blank(),
        axis.text = element_blank(),
        axis.ticks = element_blank(),
        axis.title = element_blank(),
        panel.background = element_blank(),
        panel.border = element_blank(),
        panel.grid.major = element_blank(),
        plot.background = element_blank(),
        plot.margin = unit(0 * c(-1.5, -1.5, -1.5, -1.5), "lines"))+
  geom_point(data = points,
            aes(x = x, y = y), size = 1,
            alpha = 1/5, color = "blue") +
  ggtitle("Tweets mentioning Starbucks in the U.S.")

```

Tweets mentioning Starbucks in the U.S.



It may take a couple of steps to get there, but we finally did!

What are word clouds? Word cloud is an image composed of words used in a particular text or subject, in which the size of each word indicates its frequency or importance. In the following, we will find out most frequently mentioned hashtags when tracking Starbucks.

[illegible]

5

```
foo <- str_extract_all(Star_text, "@\\w+")
namesCorpus1 <- Corpus(VectorSource(foo))
wordcloud(words = namesCorpus1, scale=c(3,0.5), max.words=40, random.order=FALSE,
          rot.per=0.10, use.r.layout=FALSE, colors=pal)
```

@starbucks

```
# When focus on #
set.seed(146)
hoo <- str_extract_all(Star_text, "#\\w+")
namesCorpus2 <- Corpus(VectorSource(hoo))
wordcloud(words = namesCorpus2, scale=c(3,0.5), max.words=40, random.order=FALSE,
          rot.per=0.10, use.r.layout=FALSE, colors=pal)
```

#veterans
#jobs
#job
#simon
#hospitality
#hiring
#brookline
#careerarc
#starbucks

Text Analysis and Sentiment Analysis

We perform text analysis to remove entitles, @, punctuation, numbers, html links, and unnecessary spaces in text to make sure data is ready to go before I plot a sentiment bar graph and a pie chart. Sentiment analysis

helps us identify and categorize opinions expressed tweets, especially in order to determine whether the tweeter users' attitude towards Starbucks is positive, negative, or neutral.

```
# required packages
library(twitterR)
library(sentimentr)
library(plyr)
library(ggplot2)
library(wordcloud)
library(RColorBrewer)
library(syuzhet)
library(plotrix)

# Let's prepare for sentiment analysis

some_txt <- Star_text

# remove retweet entities
some_txt = gsub("(RT|via)((?:\\b\\W*@\\w+)+)", "", some_txt)
# remove at people
some_txt = gsub("@\\w+", "", some_txt)
# remove punctuation
some_txt = gsub("[[:punct:]]", "", some_txt)
# remove numbers
some_txt = gsub("[[:digit:]]", "", some_txt)
# remove html links
some_txt = gsub("http\\w+", "", some_txt)
# remove unnecessary spaces
some_txt = gsub("[ \\t]{2,}", "", some_txt)
some_txt = gsub("^\\s+|\\s+$", "", some_txt)

# define "tolower error handling" function
try.error = function(x)
{
  # create missing value
  y = NA
  # tryCatch error
  try_error = tryCatch(tolower(x), error=function(e) e)
  # if not an error
  if (!inherits(try_error, "error"))
    y = tolower(x)
  # result
  return(y)
}

# lower case using try.error with sapply
some_txt = sapply(some_txt, try.error)
# remove NAs in some_txt
some_txt = some_txt[!is.na(some_txt)]
names(some_txt) = NULL


mySentiment <- get_nrc_sentiment(some_txt)

sentimentTotals <- data.frame(colSums(mySentiment[,c(1:8)]))
```

```
names(sentimentTotals) <- "count"
sentimentTotals <- cbind("sentiment" = rownames(sentimentTotals), sentimentTotals)
rownames(sentimentTotals) <- NULL
```

Now we can plot a sentiment histogram


```
ggplot(data = sentimentTotals, aes(x = sentiment, y = count)) +
  geom_bar(aes(fill = sentiment), stat = "identity") +
  theme(legend.position = "none") +
  xlab("Sentiment") + ylab("Total Count") +
  ggtitle("Total Sentiment Score for Tweets")
```



We can also plot a pie chart to see the sentiment make up

```
pos <- sum(mySentiment$positive)
neg <- sum(mySentiment$negative)
slices <- c(pos, neg)
lbls <- c("+", "-")
pie3D(slices, labels=lbls, explode=0.12, main="Pie Chart of Postive and Negative Tweets")
```


Pie Chart of Postive and Negative Tweets



In light of pie chart, we able to discover that more than 3/4 of collected tweets have a positive attitude towards Starbucks while the rest not so friendly.

Tweets Clustering and Spacial Relationships Exploration

We already generated maps at the beginning of exploration, but this time we will take a step further. I am interested in whether tweets sentiments are affected by geolocations. In other words, are there particular regions in the U.S. that favor/dislike Starbucks so much that their tweets sentiments are generally positive/negative. We will first build a visualization to make an hypothesis before we officially to test it.

```
# load in Raw data
Data5 <- readRDS("StarbucksUSData.RDS")
# Data Cleaning
Data4 <- Data5[,c(1,13,20,21,22,29,34,37,38)]
# Combine Sentiment dataset with Data4
Data3 <- cbind(Data4,mySentiment)
# More Data Cleaning
Data2 <- Data3[,c(1:9,18:19)]
# Add categorical variables into table based on the comparison of postive and negative scores
Data1 <- data.frame(Data2$positive - Data2$negative)
beautiful <- function(dk){
  if(dk > 0) {
    return(3)
  } else if (dk < 0) {
    return(1)
  } else {
    return(2)
  }
}
Data <- data.frame(Data2[1:11], apply(Data1, 1, beautiful))
colnames(Data)[12] <- "sentclass"
# Save this file for later use
saveRDS(Data, "Data.RDS")


map.data <- map_data("state")
points <- data.frame(x=as.numeric(Data$place_lon), y=as.numeric(Data$place_lat), z=Data$sentclass)
```

```

points <- points[points$y>25,]
ggplot(map.data)+
  geom_map(aes(map_id = region),
    map=map.data,
    fill="white",
    color="grey20",size=0.25)+
  expand_limits(x = map.data$long, y = map.data$lat)+
  theme(axis.line = element_blank(),
    axis.text = element_blank(),
    axis.ticks = element_blank(),
    axis.title = element_blank(),
    panel.background = element_blank(),
    panel.border = element_blank(),
    panel.grid.major = element_blank(),
    plot.background = element_blank(),
    plot.margin = unit(0 * c(-1.5, -1.5, -1.5, -1.5), "lines")) +
  geom_point(data = points,
    aes(x = x, y = y, colour = factor(z)), size = 1,alpha = 1) +
  ggtitle("Starbucks Tweets Clustering in the U.S.")

```

Starbucks Tweets Clustering in the U.S.



It seems that most of colored points are scattered randomly, and few of them cluster together. Based on this visual, our assumption would be tweets sentiments are not significantly affected by geolocations. We will now build a Statistical Model to test our hypothesis.

Statistical Model Building

Our focus in this section is to build an Ordinal Logistic Regression Model to test our null hypothesis that sentiments are not significantly affected by geolocations. Following the same line of logic, some data cleaning is needed to drive conclusion. To begin with, we will categorize all the states into 4 regional divisions used by the United States Census Bureau.

```
# write.csv(Data, "Data.csv")
# We load Data into EXCEL and make sure the full_name column follow the same formart(City, States)
fullnames_update <- read.csv("Data.csv")
# Our ideas is to divide all states into 4 Areas(West, Mid_west, South, North East)
fullnames_update$State_names <- gsub(".*", "", fullnames_update$full_name)
fullnames_update$State_names <- gsub(".* ", "", fullnames_update$State_names)
# Now we categorize 50 states into 4 regions: East, West, South, and Midwest

# Regional divisions used by the United States Census Bureau
#
# Region 4: Northeast
# Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania
#
# Region 3: Midwest
# Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Wisconsin, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota
#
# Region 2: South
# Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, District of Columbia, West Virginia, Alabama, Kentucky, Mississippi, Tennessee, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas
#
# Region 1: West
# Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, Wyoming, Alaska, California, Hawaii, Oregon, and Washington

Handsomeness <- function(input){
  Reg1 = c("WA", "MT", "OR", "ID", "WY", "CA", "NV", "UT", "CO", "AZ", "NM") # West
  Reg2 = c("OK", "TX", "AR", "LA", "MS", "AL", "TN", "KY", "WV", "MD", "DE", "DC", "VA", "NC", "SC", "GA") # South
  Reg3 = c("ND", "SD", "NE", "KS", "MO", "IA", "MN", "WI", "IL", "IN", "OH", "MI") # Midwest
  Reg4 = c("NY", "PA", "NJ", "CT", "RI", "MA", "VT", "NH", "ME") # Northeast
  if (input %in% Reg1)
    return(1)
  else if (input %in% Reg2)
    return(2)
  else if (input %in% Reg3)
    return(3)
  else
    return(4)
}
fullnames_update$regions <- apply(data.frame(fullnames_update$State_names), 1, Handsomeness)

# Let's categorize sentiments in terms of categorical levels. 3 represents positive; 2 represents neutral; 1 represents negative
sentiment <- function(x){
  if(x==3)
    return("Positive")
  else if (x==2)
    return("Neutral")
  else
    return("Negative")
}
```

```
}
fullnames_update$sentiment <- apply(data.frame(fullnames_update$sentclass), 1, sentiment)
```

Now data is clean and ready to go. We use 95 % confidence interval to make a judgement. In this example, if 0 is inside the confidence interval, we fail to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that tweets sentiments are not significantly affected by regions, which basically justified our initial observation. However, if 0 is not covered inside the confidence interval, we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that tweets sentiments are significantly affected by regions.

```
# Ordinal Logistic Regression
# extract data that will be used in the Ordinal Logistic Regression Model
logdata <- fullnames_update[,c("sentiment","regions")]
attach(logdata)

# load packages
library(foreign)
library(ggplot2)
library(MASS)
library(Hmisc)
library(reshape2)

# description of data
# categorical data distribution
lapply(logdata[, c("sentiment","regions")], table)
```

```
## $sentiment
##
## Negative Neutral Positive
##      26      89      95
##
## $regions
##
##  1  2  3  4
## 82 67 34 27
```

```
ftable(xtabs(~ regions + sentiment, data = logdata))
```

```
##      sentiment Negative Neutral Positive
## regions
## 1              6      35      41
## 2             12      31      24
## 3              5      12      17
## 4              3      11      13
```

```
# Ordinal Logistic Regression
## fit ordered logit model and store results 'm'
logdata$sentiment <- as.factor(logdata$sentiment)
m <- polr(sentiment ~ regions, data = logdata, Hess=TRUE)
## view a summary of the model
summary(m)
```

```
## Call:
## polr(formula = sentiment ~ regions, data = logdata, Hess = TRUE)
##
## Coefficients:
##          Value Std. Error t value
## regions -0.04747      0.1268 -0.3745
##
## Intercepts:
##          Value Std. Error t value
## Negative|Neutral -2.0531  0.3327  -6.1715
## Neutral|Positive  0.0957  0.2899   0.3299
##
## Residual Deviance: 412.0096
## AIC: 418.0096
```

```
## coefficient
coefficient <- coef(summary(m))
## calculate and store p values
p <- pnorm(abs(coefficient[, "t value"]), lower.tail = FALSE) * 2
## combined coefficient and p values
(mresult <- cbind(coefficient, "p value" = p))
```

```
##          Value Std. Error  t value      p value
## regions      -0.04747184  0.1267535 -0.3745209 7.080168e-01
## Negative|Neutral -2.05313086  0.3326770 -6.1715449 6.762590e-10
## Neutral|Positive  0.09565139  0.2899385  0.3299024 7.414737e-01
```

```
## compute confidence interval
```

```
(ci <- confint(m)) # If the 95% CI does not cross 0, the parameter estimate is statistically significant
```

```
##      2.5 %      97.5 %
## -0.2964545  0.2015896
```

We are 95% confident that the value of parameter will fall into a range of (-0.2964545, 0.2015896) when a is at 5%. Therefore, we fail to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that tweets sentiments are not significantly affected by regions.

Now let us reshape the data to make columns regions, and rows sentiments. At this point you may ask we would I do this? It is not related to statistical modeling. Well, you are right. It is not related to statistical modeling but Shiny! We just got our data ready for building a shiny app that would be discussed at the end of presentation. Before Shiny, let us do something associated with bootstrap!

```
sdata <- ftable(xtabs(~ regions + sentiment, data = logdata))
sdata <- as.data.frame(sdata)
shiny.data <- matrix(sdata$Freq, nrow=3, ncol=4, byrow=TRUE)
colnames(shiny.data) <- c("Midwest", "Northeast", "South", "West")
rownames(shiny.data) <- c("Negative", "Neutral", "Positive")
shiny.data
```

```
##          Midwest Northeast South West
## Negative      6         12     5    3
## Neutral      35         31    12   11
## Positive     41         24    17   13
```

```
saveRDS(shiny.data, "ShinyData.RDS")
```

Bootstrap

In statistics, bootstrapping can refer to any test or metric that relies on random sampling with replacement. Bootstrapping allows assigning measures of accuracy (defined in terms of bias, variance, confidence intervals, prediction error or some other such measure) to sample estimates. In this example, we will plot a density curve of `favourites_count`, and use bootstrap to find mean of `favourites_count` for negative, neutral and positive tweets. We will also plot a density curve of `followers_count`, and use bootstrap to find mean of `followers_count` for negative, neutral and positive tweets.

```
# load data
starclass <- readRDS("Data.RDS")

index.pos <- which(starclass$sentclass==3)
index.neu <- which(starclass$sentclass==2)
index.neg <- which(starclass$sentclass==1)


pos.fav <- starclass[index.pos,]$favourites_count
neu.fav <- starclass[index.neu,]$favourites_count
neg.fav <- starclass[index.neg,]$favourites_count
pos.fol <- starclass[index.pos,]$followers_count
neu.fol <- starclass[index.neu,]$followers_count
neg.fol <- starclass[index.neg,]$followers_count

pos <- starclass[index.pos,]
neu <- starclass[index.neu,]
neg <- starclass[index.neg,]
attach(pos)
attach(neu)
attach(neg)

# plot density of favourites_count and followers_count


# followers count
ggplot(data=pos, aes(followers_count))+geom_density(kernel="gaussian") +
ggtitle("Density of followers count of positive tweets")
```

Density of followers count of positive tweets




```
ggplot(data=neu, aes(followers_count))+geom_density(kernel="gaussian") +  
ggtitle("Density of followers count of neutral tweets")
```

Density of followers count of neutral tweets




```
ggplot(data=neg, aes(followers_count))+geom_density(kernel="gaussian") +  
ggtitle("Density of followers count of negative tweets")
```


```
# favourites count
ggplot(data=pos, aes(favourites_count))+geom_density(kernel="gaussian") +
ggtitle("Density of favourites count of positive tweets")
```

Density of favourites count of positive tweets




```
ggplot(data=neu, aes(favourites_count))+geom_density(kernel="gaussian") +  
ggtitle("Density of favourites count of neutral tweets")
```

Density of favourites count of neutral tweets



```
ggplot(data=neg, aes(favourites_count))+geom_density(kernel="gaussian") +  
ggtitle("Density of favourites count of negative tweets")
```

Density of favourites count of negative tweets




```
# use bootstrap to find mean of favourites_count for negative, neutral and positive tweets
library(boot)
```

```
funmean <- function(data, index)
{
  x <- data[index]
  return(mean(x))
}
```

```
# bootstrap for positive, neutral and negative
bootout.posfav <- boot(pos.fav, funmean, R = 10000)
bootci.posfav <- boot.ci(bootout.posfav, conf = 0.95, type = "all")
bootout.posfav
```

```
##
## ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP
##
##
## Call:
## boot(data = pos.fav, statistic = funmean, R = 10000)
##
##
## Bootstrap Statistics :
##      original    bias    std. error
## t1* 2029.884 2.681325    465.5788
```

```
plot(bootout.posfav)
```



```
bootci.posfav
```

```
## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
## Based on 10000 bootstrap replicates
##
## CALL :
## boot.ci(boot.out = bootout.posfav, conf = 0.95, type = "all")
##
## Intervals :
## Level      Normal              Basic
## 95%   (1115, 2940 )   (1037, 2858 )
##
## Level      Percentile          BCa
## 95%   (1202, 3023 )   (1314, 3255 )
## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
```



```
bootout.neufav <- boot(neu.fav, funmean, R = 10000)
bootci.neufav <- boot.ci(bootout.neufav, conf = 0.95, type = "all")
bootout.neufav
```

```
##
## ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP
##
##
## Call:
```

```
## boot(data = neu.fav, statistic = funmean, R = 10000)
##
##
## Bootstrap Statistics :
##      original      bias      std. error
## t1* 5094.888 -5.944426    1354.588
```

```
plot(bootout.neufav)
```

Histogram of t




```
bootci.neufav
```

```
## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
## Based on 10000 bootstrap replicates
##
## CALL :
## boot.ci(boot.out = bootout.neufav, conf = 0.95, type = "all")
##
## Intervals :
## Level      Normal              Basic
## 95%   (2446, 7756 )   (2030, 7309 )
##
## Level      Percentile          BCa
## 95%   (2881, 8160 )   (3304, 9557 )
## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
```

```
bootout.negfav <- boot(neg.fav, funmean, R = 10000)
bootci.negfav <- boot.ci(bootout.negfav, conf = 0.95, type = "all")
bootout.negfav
```

```
##
## ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP
##
## Call:
## boot(data = neg.fav, statistic = funmean, R = 10000)
##
##
## Bootstrap Statistics :
##      original    bias      std. error
## t1* 8288.808 29.03168      2249.205
```

```
plot(bootout.negfav)
```



```
bootci.negfav
```


```
## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
## Based on 10000 bootstrap replicates
##
## CALL :
## boot.ci(boot.out = bootout.negfav, conf = 0.95, type = "all")
##
## Intervals :
## Level      Normal          Basic
## 95%   ( 3851, 12668 )   ( 3604, 12388 )
##
## Level      Percentile      BCa
## 95%   ( 4189, 12974 )   ( 4608, 13766 )
## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
```

```
# use bootstrap to find mean of followers_count for negative, neutral and positive tweets
```

```
bootout.posfol <- boot(pos.fol, funmean, R = 10000)
bootci.posfol <- boot.ci(bootout.posfol, conf = 0.95, type = "all")
bootout.posfol
```

```
##
## ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP
##
##
## Call:
## boot(data = pos.fol, statistic = funmean, R = 10000)
##
##
## Bootstrap Statistics :
##      original    bias      std. error
## t1*  933.6947  8.445555    269.8191
```

```
plot(bootout.posfol)
```



```
bootci.posfol
```

```
## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
## Based on 10000 bootstrap replicates
##
## CALL :
## boot.ci(boot.out = bootout.posfol, conf = 0.95, type = "all")
```





```
##
## Intervals :
## Level      Normal      Basic
## 95%   ( 396.4, 1454.1 ) ( 312.5, 1356.6 )
##
## Level      Percentile      BCa
## 95%   ( 510.7, 1554.9 ) ( 579.4, 1853.6 )
## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
```

```
bootout.neufol <- boot(neu.fav, funmean, R = 10000)
bootci.neufol <- boot.ci(bootout.neufol, conf = 0.95, type = "all")
bootout.neufol
```

```
##
## ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP
##
##
## Call:
## boot(data = neu.fav, statistic = funmean, R = 10000)
##
##
## Bootstrap Statistics :
##      original    bias    std. error
## t1* 5094.888 13.28601    1352.224
```

```
plot(bootout.neufol)
```

Histogram of t



```
bootci.neufol
```


```
## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
## Based on 10000 bootstrap replicates
##
## CALL :
## boot.ci(boot.out = bootout.neufol, conf = 0.95, type = "all")
##
## Intervals :
## Level      Normal          Basic
## 95%   (2431, 7732 )   (2072, 7294 )
##
## Level      Percentile      BCa
## 95%   (2896, 8118 )   (3268, 9531 )
## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
```

```
bootout.negfol <- boot(neg.fav, funmean, R = 10000)
bootci.negfol <- boot.ci(bootout.negfol, conf = 0.95, type = "all")
bootout.negfol
```

```
##
## ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP
##
##
## Call:
## boot(data = neg.fav, statistic = funmean, R = 10000)
##
##
## Bootstrap Statistics :
##      original      bias    std. error
## t1* 8288.808 -30.03282    2245.668
```

```
plot(bootout.negfol)
```

Histogram of t



```
bootci.negfol
```

```
## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
## Based on 10000 bootstrap replicates
##
## CALL :
## boot.ci(boot.out = bootout.negfol, conf = 0.95, type = "all")
##
## Intervals :
## Level      Normal          Basic
## 95%   ( 3917, 12720 )   ( 3535, 12343 )
##
## Level      Percentile      BCa
## 95%   ( 4234, 13043 )   ( 4666, 13825 )
## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
```

Shiny and Web applications

What is Shiny? Shiny is a web application framework for R that turn your analyses into interactive web application. In the following, I will make a Shiny application that shows what sentiment counts are given different regions. Again, just to refresh what variables we get, we have four different regions: Northeast, South, West, and Midwest; three sentiments include positive, neutral, and negative. Since we have already saved tidy version of data at end of Statistical Model Building session, let me load it in. Shiny has two components: a user-interface script, and a server script.

The app can be located in this link: https://sijiexiang.shinyapps.io/Final_Project/

Conclusion

R is beautiful! Is not it?

Reference

A special-shout to professor Haviland and Zihuan(Vivian) Qiao. Professor Haviland leads me into R. He consistently impressed me with his intelligence, his insights, and his persistence in solving a wide variety of problem. He would be an asset to any undergraduate/graduate students. Last but not at least is my teammate Vivian Qiao. Her code is as elegant as herself. It has been a wonderful ride! Thank you MA 615. Thank you Vivian.