Advice After Introductory Physics

I've met lots of people who have self-studied calculus-based physics, want to learn more, and are unsure what to do next. This document lists some resources for going further. If you want advice for how to start out learning physics or doing physics competitions, see my introductory advice file.

Deepening Core Knowledge

You're done with the introductory material after finishing a book like Halliday, Resnick, and Krane. If you enjoyed what you learned, there are many ways to deepen your knowledge.

- To get a deeper understanding of mechanics, try An Introduction to Mechanics by Kleppner and Kolenkow or Introduction to Classical Mechanics by Morin. These are used for honors introductory physics at MIT and Harvard, respectively. There's substantial overlap between the two, so just look at both and see which you like better. Morin has more sophisticated but less realistic problems. Morin's problems are about frictionless planes and massless strings, while Kleppner's problems are about cars and rockets.
- Halliday, Resnick, and Krane's brief coverage of relativity probably isn't enough to confidently
 handle Olympiad problems on the subject. You can learn more about the subject in the last few
 chapters of either Kleppner and Kolenkow or Morin. I think Morin's discussion of relativistic
 kinematics is excellent, carefully resolving many of the confusions a beginner might have.
- To get a deeper understanding of electromagnetism, try *Electricity and Magnetism* (3rd edition) by Purcell and Morin, which is so exceptional that it's used for honors introductory physics at almost all top colleges. It has many insightful discussions and problems, but it's best known for a beautiful chapter that uses relativity to introduce magnetism. For a short and sweet introduction to vector calculus that complements the book, try Schey's *Div*, *Grad*, *Curl*, *and All That*, which has good pictures; for a more thorough introduction, see MIT OCW's 18.02.
- The waves and thermodynamics chapters in Halliday, Resnick, and Krane are enough to get started on competitions, but other books can help deepen your understanding. For a clear introduction intended explicitly for Olympiad preparation, try the first and second volumes of Competitive Physics, by Wang and Ricardo, respectively.
- It's also very rewarding to get a broad overview of physics as an intellectual tradition. I strongly recommend the *Feynman Lectures on Physics* for fascinating discussion from a master of the subject. If you're interested in the history behind the material in an introductory physics course, try *Understanding Physics* by Cassidy, Holton, and Rutherford.
- I generally recommend against reading any book with a title like "Modern Physics." These books have the same problem as algebra-based physics textbooks: they try to explain too much with too little. Equations that should be derived with two lines of math end up "motivated" with hundreds of words of vague argumentation. Since modern physics courses need to have a large bank of problems to test students with, but they don't teach the students to derive anything, the textbooks end up packed with thousands of joyless, cookie-cutter questions where you simply look up numbers in a table and plug them into a given formula. In my opinion, a standard introductory book such as Halliday, Resnick, and Krane already gives enough background on modern physics for the Olympiad. But if you really want to jump into these topics early, Krane's Modern Physics is well-written and comprehensible.

There are many resources out there, but don't get too worried about choosing one. All good books contain the same essential core. As long as you understand the resource you're using, and you're learning new things, you're on the right track. If you're not sure whether you can or should start a book, just read the first chapter and see how it feels!

Competition Practice

There are many good sources of practice problems for competitions.

- Your primary source should be past USAPhO exams. I've rewritten the solutions to these exams for clarity, but make sure to give each problem your best try before peeking; it's easy to waste one that way.
- If you run out of practice USAPhOs and really want more, AAPT sells a CD-ROM of USAPhO exams going back to 1997. You can ask your physics teacher to purchase this, though they're substantially easier than current USAPhOs.
- Many problem sources are listed in the Syllabus for my handouts, but my favorite are:
 - Jaan Kalda's study guides. These are exceptional because they pair problems with the specific ideas needed to do them, allowing for rapid progress. They leave a lot to the reader; you won't get far if you don't already know calculus-based physics well. Student-written solutions are available here.
 - 200 Puzzling Physics Problems. This is a set of entertaining and devilishly tricky problems, mostly compiled from Eastern European physics competitions. (However, I don't recommend using this as your main source of practice. A number of problems rely on obscure tricks. It's best when used as food for thought.)

More Advanced Physics

There's a lot you can do if you want to move beyond competitions, towards more advanced physics.

- First, a warning. At this level, you'll see a lot of "study guide" books marketed as "student friendly", "for students", or even "for dummies". They all have glowing reviews¹, but I strongly recommend against using them. They don't explain how the physics works; they just tell you the mechanical steps you need to remember to solve the simplest exam problems.
 - In a course, they can be a life saver if you're pressed for time and mostly interested in grades, but the superficial understanding you'll get from them will collapse by the first lecture of the next course. It's like building the facade of a house without the foundation. If you're self-studying, there's no reason to ever use these books, because you have the time to do it right.
- If you're following a standard college curriculum, the next thing to do is to get an understanding of waves and oscillations. This corresponds to the MIT OCW's 8.03, with further background on differential equations given in 18.03. For entertaining demonstrations, see Walter Lewin's

¹These reviews are especially annoying because they make it hard to differentiate quality. For example, A Student's Guide to Maxwell's Equations is good, but A Student's Guide to Lagrangians and Hamiltonians is atrocious: its notation goes undefined and its derivations are just wrong. Every month I see a nonsensical StackExchange question from a poor student who's been terribly misled by the latter book. But both have a hundred five-star ratings online.

old 8.03 lectures. For a textbook reference, *Vibrations and Waves* by French is short and clear, covering the essentials of the subject, while *Waves* by Crawford is more thorough, with many interesting real-world applications and home experiments. If you enjoyed Morin's style, you can also try his *Waves* book draft.

- For intermediate electromagnetism, the best book is *Introduction to Electrodynamics* by Griffiths, by a big margin. The book is very clear, and its problems get surprisingly deep, due to Griffiths' experience writing many papers on the subtleties of classical electromagnetism. This level of electromagnetism requires deeper familiarity with vector calculus, which you can pick up from the first few chapters. For a dedicated introduction to the math, see David Tong's notes on Vector Calculus for a clean approach that gets to tensors² and introduces index notation, a powerful tool for deriving more complicated vector calculus identities.
- After learning mechanics at the level of Morin or Kleppler and Kolenkow, you can start learning Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanics, which are typically not useful for the Olympiad, but extremely important for physics in general. For a clear and gentle introduction, see *Classical Mechanics* by Taylor. For a nice supplement, see David Tong's notes on Dynamics.
- The standard undergraduate textbooks are clear, but they focus on explaining theory, at the expense of truly tricky problems. They can give you the mistaken impression that more advanced physics just consists of grinding out calculations using established procedures. The worst example of this is how most undergraduate mechanics books cover the formalism of Hamiltonian mechanics for 100 pages, but then never use it to solve anything less trivial than the harmonic oscillator. Of course, the giants of the 19th century loved tricky problems, and they invented these theoretical tools precisely to solve them. To see a bit of this side of physics, try flipping through Exploring Classical Mechanics by Kotkin and Serbo, and Static and Dynamic Electricity by Smythe. The latter is a real classic; it's the harder version of Jackson.
- For intermediate thermodynamics, I recommend either *Concepts in Thermal Physics* by Blundell and Blundell, or *Thermal Physics* by Schroeder. Blundell and Blundell is more comprehensive, with many interesting sidenotes and applications; Schroeder is short, clear, and crisp.
- All of the above is just an appetizer for what is arguably the main course of a physics major: quantum mechanics. There are two ways to enter the subject. The traditional way is to start with using Schrodinger's equations to solve for wavefunctions; this lets you seamlessly transition into it after finishing a course on waves, and supplies a lot of visual intuition. A newer approach is to start by applying the fundamental postulates to low-dimensional systems, like the qubits of quantum computing; this makes the subject accessible to people with no physics background at all, but it is somewhat abstract and requires familiarity with linear algebra. Of course, if you want to really know quantum mechanics, you're have to understand both perspectives.

²By the way, tensors are another one of those topics where you need an "official" source, like a book chapter or a polished set of lecture notes. When I google "introduction to tensors", most of the first ten results are terrible for beginners. I recognize a few that once got me confused for days, because they were full of typos, and cross-checking them made things worse because they used incompatible conventions. Others are correct, but way too sophisticated to serve as a real introduction. It doesn't help that mathematicians, computer scientists, engineers, and physicists all mean different things when they say "tensor"! Don't try to brute force learning this kind of thing by opening twenty tabs. Just use one good source and stick with it. Exploring will deepen your knowledge only once you have the foundation set.

- To start the first way, read *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics* by Griffiths, which comes with clear explanations and great problems. For video lectures at a similar level, try the MIT OCW 8.04 lectures by Barton Zwiebach (more clear) and Allan Adams (more energetic).
- To start the second way, read *Introduction to Linear Algebra* by Strang, used for MIT OCW's 18.06, is excellent for this. (This is an excellent thing to do in general, even if you don't stay in physics, because linear algebra is arguably even more useful than calculus. You'll probably still need to use it if you go into computer science, finance, data science, or even, god forbid, management consulting.) Then read the first half of *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* by Nielsen and Chuang, or try Umesh Vazirani's Quantum Computation course.
- More advanced quantum mechanics courses will freely use both perspectives. For material at this level, see *Principles of Quantum Mechanics* by Shankar, or the MIT OCW 8.05 lectures.
- If you want to start heading towards general relativity, the two gentlest books are *Gravity* by Hartle and *A First Course in General Relativity* by Schutz. While both cover similar ground, Schutz puts all the mathematical background up front (including a great introduction to fourvectors and tensors), while Hartle starts with physical results, having you take some of the math on faith until it's filled in later. Both are good, so just pick whichever style you prefer.
- If you're interested in fancy stuff like quantum field theory or string theory, I generally don't recommend reading anything about it at this stage. String theory in particular has an enormous amount of prerequisites, which means that books which try to popularize it skew towards "mindblowing" metaphysics. These books are built on layers of analogies, and you'll naturally want to probe deeper. But the second you try, the analogies will fall apart, because they are merely shadows on the wall. You'll find many lost souls online who have taken the analogies too literally, arriving at homemade theories that have little to do with anything in physics.³

Anyway, if you're determined to get a taste of these subjects, I recommend resources with at least a few equations in them. For example, in ascending order of difficulty:

- Cumrun Vafa's *Puzzles to Unravel the Universe* is like a popular string theory book, but with points explained with neat mathematical puzzles. It's meant for freshmen at Harvard, which means you only need high school algebra to understand it.
- David Tong's Particle Physics lecture notes cover the basics of the Standard Model and beyond, with many references to the history and deeper theory, and only high school math.
- Leonard Susskind's Theoretical Minimum lectures cover graduate-level topics using just calculus. They're not nearly detailed enough to serve as a foundation, but they do a great job of giving the flavor of the logic.
- Griffiths' Introduction to Elementary Particles clearly explains the basics of particle physics and the structure of the Standard Model, along with how to do some toy calculations in quantum field theory. It requires a good understanding of undergraduate quantum mechanics and special relativity.

³And it's easy to go astray even if you have excellent reading comprehension, because English is ambiguous. For example, if nothing is better than ice cream, and licorice is better than nothing, is licorice better than ice cream? There's a whole field of philosophy devoted to paradoxes like these, though to people who know math, it tends to look like trying to bang rocks together to make fire.

- Barton Zwiebach's A First Course in String Theory is the string theory textbook with the least prerequisites, and it actually derives many of the results it uses. It also requires undergraduate quantum mechanics and special relativity.

Don't get too confident if you use these – they only cover a small fraction of what typical introductory books in these subjects do. To really get started in these fields, you should at minimum learn graduate-level quantum mechanics first, at the level of Sakurai. For more advanced resources for each subfield of physics, see the introductory pages of my lecture notes.

Second Opinions

The internet has some great sources for physics learning advice, and unfortunately many not-so-great sources. There are two main reasons advice can be bad. The first is that many study guides are written by people who have never actually learned the basics. They write guides because it takes less effort to compile a big list of books and *imagine* knowing what's in them than to open a single book and *actually* learn something. The second is that most of the remaining study guides are written by eminent professors who haven't read an undergraduate-level book in decades. They're not going to start doing that again, so they tend to recommend outdated books that worked for them forty years ago. Or, worse, they simply skim through a newer book's table of contents and recommend it if the chapter titles and the author's name sound familiar; this way of doing things keeps a lot of subpar books on the market. The basic rule is that you should only believe a book recommendation if it was written by somebody who actually read and understood the book.

With that out of the way, here are links to some often-cited internet resources.

- Chicago Undergraduate Physics Bibliography. This is a list of books aimed towards learning theoretical particle physics. It has solid advice, but it's also 20 years out of date and missing many of the canonical books.
- How to Learn Math and Physics. This list, oriented towards mathematical physics, is also 20 years out of date. It has great books on the list, but it could be difficult to use for a self-learner because fluffy, equation-free popular books are placed right next to advanced graduate texts; undergraduate level books are almost absent.
- How to become a GOOD Theoretical Physicist. Gerard 't Hooft was one of the giants of particle physics. About 30 years ago, he compiled a massive list of resources available around the internet at the time. Unfortunately, the list was never finished or updated. Now, half the links are broken, and the rest point to abandoned, rough drafts of lecture notes that are honestly subpar, even compared to Wikipedia. It's constantly recommended because its length and author are impressive, but as far as I know, nobody has ever actually used it. If you ever run into anybody who claims this is the best overall resource for learning physics, you can be sure that they don't know anything about physics at all.
- So You Want to Learn Physics.... Susan Rigetti's book list is much more useful than the others here, because she understands how it felt to be a student. This short list covers a full undergraduate and graduate education, based on the canonical books.

⁴A lot of books are like this too. For example, The Road to Reality is a wildly popular coffee-table book that promises to cover all of physics in a couple hundred pages, but less than 1% of people who have bought it and recommend it have read past the first chapter, and less than 1% of those people were learning anything new. If you already know the material, it's a brilliant and masterful review, which explains the praise from professors. But if you don't, there's absolutely no way you can climb from arithmetic to quantum gravity with its couple hundred pages.

- So You Want To Be A Physicist. This is a huge resource that covers everything about the process of becoming a physicist in the US, from high school to postdoc applications. There's not much on the learning process itself, but it's helpful, especially if you're coming from outside physics or outside the US.⁵
- A Physics Booklist. Once upon a time, the internet was arranged around discussion forums, the greatest of which was sci.physics. As old-timers bitterly recount, it died a slow, agonizing death 20 years ago as crackpots slowly outnumbered the people who actually knew things. This unhelpfully long list is one of the relics of this lost civilization.
- Book Recommendations. I help curate this massive list of lists of book recommendations on Physics StackExchange, which is the closest thing to a successor of sci.physics. It's many times larger than all the other lists here combined, but that also means it has a lot of cruft.

⁵If you're an international student, you can also find information on Academia.SE. However, I don't recommend taking the site too seriously, because it has a strong bias. Any post that complains about international students quickly receives a hundred upvotes and thousands of views. But any post *from* an international student being mistreated is condescendingly mocked, downvoted, closed, and deleted. I've heard privately from several people demoralized by this process, and I'm sorry. It's simply a fact that the site's most devoted users view international students as all liars and cheaters. Don't worry so much about their opinions. The professors who spend all day on that site moralizing about the "inferior culture" of other countries are not doing any important research anyway. If some of these petty people go out of their way to be nasty to you, just remember it's because there's nothing of value going on in their lives.