

# Sheet 5: A spectral diameter bound

Xianzhi Wang

fall 2021

Let  $G$  be a finite undirected  $d$ -regular graph on  $n$  vertices. Let  $A$  denote the adjacency operator, and let  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}$  be an orthonormal eigenbasis with real eigenvalues  $\lambda_0 \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1}$ . Let  $\rho = \rho_0(G)$  be the spectral radius. On this sheet, we give an upper bound on the diameter using  $\rho$ .

Of course,  $b_i^\top b_i = 1$  ( $0 \leq i < n$ ). Let us force product the other way round. Let

$$U_i = b_i b_i^\top \quad (0 \leq i < n). \quad (1)$$

These matrices may look boring at first, but they are not. It turns out that they give an ‘orthonormal eigendecomposition’ for  $A$ .

**Lemma 1** *Let  $A, B$  be  $n \times n$  matrices such that  $Av_i = Bv_i$  ( $0 \leq i < n$ ) for a basis  $(v_i)$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $A = B$ .*

**Proof.** We show  $A = B$  by showing  $Ax = Bx$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For all vector  $x$ , decompose  $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i v_i$ ,

$$Ax = A\left(\sum_i \alpha_i v_i\right) \quad (2)$$

$$= \sum_i \alpha_i A(v_i) \quad (3)$$

$$= \sum_i \alpha_i Bv_i \quad (4)$$

$$= B\left(\sum_i \alpha_i v_i\right) \quad (5)$$

$$= B(x) \quad (6)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

■

**Theorem 2** *The following hold for  $1 \leq i, j < n$ :*

- 1)  $U_i^2 = U_i$ ;
- 2)  $U_i U_j = 0$  ( $i \neq j$ );
- 3)  $AU_i = \lambda_i U_i$ ;
- 4)  $\sum_{k=1}^n U_k = I$ ;
- 5)  $\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k U_k = A$ ;

**Proof.** 1)

$$U_i^2 = (b_i b_i^T)^2 = b_i b_i^T b_i b_i^T = b_i b_i^T = U_i \quad (7)$$

2)

$$b_i b_i^T b_j b_j^T = b_i \cdot 0 \cdot b_j^T = 0 \quad (i \neq j) \quad (8)$$

3)

$$AU_i = Ab_i b_i^T = \lambda_i b_i b_i^T = \lambda_i U_i \quad (9)$$

since  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}$  is eigenbasis.

4)

$$\sum_{k=1}^n U_k = U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_n \quad (10)$$

$$= b_1 b_1^T + b_2 b_2^T + \dots + b_n b_n^T \quad (11)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b_1^T \\ b_2^T \\ \vdots \\ b_n^T \end{bmatrix} = I \quad (12)$$

since it's the product of a matrix with orthonormal columns with its transpose.

5)

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k U_k = A \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k U_k = \sum_k \lambda_k b_k b_k^T \quad (14)$$

$$= \sum_k A b_k b_k^T \quad (15)$$

$$= A \sum_k b_k b_k^T \quad (16)$$

$$= A \sum_k U_k = AI = A \quad (17)$$

■

**Definition 3** For  $x, y \in V(G)$  let the distance  $d(x, y)$  be the minimal length of a path going from  $x$  to  $y$ .

**Lemma 4** Let  $G$  be an undirected, connected graph. Then  $d$  is a metric on  $V(G)$ .

**Proof.** We show  $d$  is a metric on  $V(G)$ . where  $G$  undirected connected graph.

(1)  $d(x, y) \geq 0$ , since the length of a path is always positive or zero, when  $x$  and  $y$  are the same vertex.  $x = y \implies d(x, y) = 0$  since the shortest path is of zero length when we are going from a vertex to itself.  $d(x, y) = 0 \implies x = y$ , the shortest path is zero length, so we never moved, it's the same vertex.

(2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  since traversing the shortest path backwards, the length is the same. (The graph is undirected)

(3)  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \forall x, y, z \in V(G)$ . the shortest path from  $x$  to  $y$ , then the shortest path from  $y$  to  $z$  could only be a longer path than the shortest path from  $x$  to  $z$ .

Since graph  $G$  is connected,  $d(x, y) < \infty$ . ■

**Definition 5** Let the diameter of  $G$  be

$$\text{diam}(G) = \max \{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in V(G)\}.$$

One can give a general lower bound on the diameter as follows.

**Lemma 6** Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -regular undirected graph on  $n$  vertices with  $d \geq 3$ . Then

$$\text{diam}(G) \geq \log_{d-1}(n/3). \quad (18)$$

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be  $d$ -regular, undirected graph on  $n$  vertices ( $d \geq 3$ )

$$\text{diam}(G) \geq \log_{d-1}\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) \quad (19)$$

$$(20)$$

Let  $r$  denote  $\text{diam}(G)$ , then showing

$$r \geq \log_{d-1}\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) \quad (21)$$

is the same as showing  $(d-1)^r \geq \frac{n}{3}$ , which is

$$3(d-1)^r \geq n. \quad (22)$$

$$n \leq 3(d-1)^r \quad (23)$$

we could have an upper bound on  $n$  by fixing a vertex  $v_0$  first, then since  $G$  is  $d$  regular,  $v_0$  has  $d$  neighbors, at 1st level, as we expand outwards. At 2nd level, each of those neighbors of  $v_0$  has  $d-1$  new neighbors, so we have  $d(d-1)$  in total (at max). At 3rd level,  $d(d-1)^2$  vertices in total (at max). As we go on, we could iterate at maximum  $r-1$  levels, since the diameter of  $G$  is  $r$ . If our fixed vertex  $v_0$  happens to be at the “center” of the graph, then  $n$  might be small compared to the upper bound we obtained in this way.

$$n \leq 1 + d + d(d-1) + d(d-1)^2 + \dots + d(d-1)^{r-1} \quad (24)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{d(d-1)^r - d}{d-2} \quad (25)$$

$$\leq 3(d-1)^r \quad (26)$$

$$(27)$$

if we show the last  $\leq$ , then we are done.

Now, we have  $3 \leq d$ .

Use  $X := (d-1)^r$  as short hand.

$$3X - 1 < 3X < dX \quad (28)$$

$$6X - 2 < 2dX \quad (29)$$

$$dX - 2 < 3X(d-2) \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{dX - 2}{d-2} < 3X \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{d-2 + dX - d}{d-2} < 3X \quad (32)$$

$$1 + \frac{dX}{d-2} - \frac{d}{d-2} < 3X \quad (33)$$

$$1 + \frac{d(d-1)^r}{d-2} - \frac{d}{d-2} < 3(d-1)^r \quad (34)$$

as desired. and we are done.

■

So, for a fixed degree, the diameter is at least logarithmic in the number of vertices.

It turns out that for good expanders, one can get a logarithmic upper bound for the diameter.

**Lemma 7** *Let  $x, y \in V(G)$ . Then*

$$d(x, y) = \min \{k \mid X_{\{x\}}^\top (A^k) X_{\{y\}} \neq 0\}. \quad (35)$$

**Theorem 8** *We have*

$$\text{diam}(G) \leq \frac{\log(n)}{\log(d/\rho_0(G))}. \quad (36)$$

Hint: decompose  $X_{\{x\}}$  and  $X_{\{y\}}$ .

**solution:**

$$\text{diam}(G) = \max_{x, y \in V(G)} \min_{\chi_{\{x\}}^T A^k \chi_{\{y\}} > 0} k \quad (37)$$

(since  $A^k$  has non-negative entries, so  $\neq 0$  is the same as  $> 0$ ).

$$\chi_{\{x\}}^T A^k \chi_{\{y\}} = \chi_{\{x\}}^T \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i^k U_i \chi_{\{y\}} \quad (38)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i^k (U_i)_{x,y} \quad (39)$$

$$= \lambda_0^k (U_0)_{x,y} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^k (U_i)_{x,y} \quad (40)$$

$$(41)$$

since  $\lambda_0 = d$ , and

$$U_0 = b_0 b_0^T = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \end{bmatrix} \quad (42)$$

and

$$(U_i)_{x,y} = (b_i b_i^T)_{x,y} \quad (43)$$

$$= (b_i)_x \cdot (b_i)_y \quad (44)$$

$$= x_i \cdot y_i \quad (45)$$

where

$$x_i := (b_i)_x \quad (46)$$

$$y_i := (b_i)_y \quad (47)$$

$$\text{for } i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\} \quad (48)$$

is the  $x$ -th entry in vector  $b_i$ , the  $y$ -th entry in vector  $b_i$ .

$$\chi_{\{x\}}^T A^k \chi_{\{y\}} = \lambda_0^k (U_0)_{x,y} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^k (U_i)_{x,y} \quad (49)$$

$$= \frac{d^k}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^k x_i \cdot y_i \quad (50)$$

$$\geq \left| \frac{d^k}{n} \right| - \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^k x_i \cdot y_i \right| > \quad (51)$$

$$\geq \frac{d^k}{n} - \rho^k \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |x_i| |y_i| \quad (52)$$

$$\geq \frac{d^k}{n} - \rho^k \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |x_i|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |y_i|^2} \quad (53)$$

$$\geq \frac{d^k}{n} - \rho^k \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |x_i|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |y_i|^2} \quad (54)$$

$$(55)$$

where we used inverse triangle inequality, and then Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.  
since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |x_i|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (b_i)_x (b_i)_x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (b_i b_i^T)_{x,x} = (I)_{x,x} = 1 \quad (56)$$

$$= \frac{d^k}{n} - \rho^k \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \quad (57)$$

$$= \frac{d^k}{n} - \rho^k \quad (58)$$

Thus,

$$\chi_{\{x\}}^T A^k \chi_{\{y\}} \geq \frac{d^k}{n} - \rho^k (*) \quad (59)$$

Since max is taken over  $x, y \in V(G)$ , we want  $\frac{d^k}{n} - \rho^k > 0$ . So

$$\frac{d^k}{n} > \rho^k \quad (60)$$

$$\frac{d^k}{\rho^k} > n \quad (61)$$

$$\log \left( \frac{d}{\rho} \right)^k > \log n \quad (62)$$

$$k > \frac{\log n}{\log (d/\rho)} \quad (63)$$

Min of  $k$  is taken over all  $\chi_{\{x\}}^T A^k \chi_{\{y\}} > 0$ , so  $k$  has  $\frac{\log n}{\log (d/\rho)}$  growth.

**end solution**

When  $G$  is bipartite, the above theorem (and also the theorem on the previous sheet) do not give anything reasonable, as  $\rho_0(G) = d$ . However, there is a similar diameter bound for bipartite graphs where all the other eigenvalues (in absolute value) are bounded away from  $d$ .

**Theorem 9** *Let  $G$  be a finite, connected, undirected  $d$ -regular bipartite graph on  $n$  vertices. Assume that  $|\lambda_i| \leq r$  ( $1 \leq i < n - 1$ ). Then  $G$  has logarithmic diameter.*