1

SUPPLEMENTARY PROOF

1 Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1. Under Assumptions 1, 2, and setting the desired parametric differences $\Delta_1^{[i,j]}$, $\Delta_2^{[i,j]}$ for $(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}$ to achieve the desired distributed maneuvering behavior (as illustrated in TABLE 1), the DGVF $\mathfrak{X}^{[i]}(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{[i]})$ designed by (12) associated with the desired interception and target enclosing speeds (by setting $\lambda_{w_1} = (-1)^{n+2}\dot{w}_1^*$, $\lambda_{w_2} = (-1)^{n+1}\dot{w}_2^*$), solves Problem 1 globally.

Proof. We firstly give the definitions, i.e., $K^{[i]} := \text{diag}\{k_1^{[i]}, \cdots, k_n^{[i]}\}$, $\boldsymbol{f}_{\cdot}^{[i]'} := (f_1^{[i]'}, \cdots, f_n^{[i]'})^{\top}$, $\boldsymbol{g}_{\cdot}^{[i]'} := (g_1^{[i]'}, \cdots, g_n^{[i]'})^{\top}$.

One has

$$\nabla \phi_j^{[i]}^{\top} \mathbf{vm} \chi^{[i]} = k_j^{[i]} \phi_j^{[i]} - f_j^{[i]'} \mathbf{f}_j^{[i]'}^{\top} K^{[i]} \Phi^{[i]} - g_j^{[i]'} \mathbf{g}_j^{[i]'}^{\top} K^{[i]} \Phi^{[i]},$$

$$(1)$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_1^N$. Since $j \in \mathbb{Z}_1^n$, we stack Eq. (1) as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \phi_{1}^{[i]^{\top} \mathbf{vm}} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{[i]} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla} \phi_{n}^{[i]^{\top} \mathbf{vm}} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{[i]} \end{bmatrix} = -K^{[i]} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{[i]} - \boldsymbol{f}_{\cdot}^{[i]'} \boldsymbol{f}_{\cdot}^{[i]'} \boldsymbol{f}_{\cdot}^{[i]'} K^{[i]} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{[i]} - \boldsymbol{g}_{\cdot}^{[i]'} \boldsymbol{g}_{\cdot}^{[i]'} K^{[i]} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{[i]}$$
(2)

Following the same way, we can obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla \phi_{1}^{[i]^{\top}} \operatorname{cr} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{1}^{[i]} \\ \vdots \\ \nabla \phi_{n}^{[i]^{\top}} \operatorname{cr} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{1}^{[i]} \end{bmatrix} = -c_{1}^{[i]}(\boldsymbol{w}) \begin{bmatrix} f_{1}^{[i]'} \\ \vdots \\ f_{n}^{[i]'} \end{bmatrix} = -c_{1}^{[i]}(\boldsymbol{w}) \boldsymbol{f}_{\cdot}^{[i]'}(\boldsymbol{w}), \tag{3}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\nabla \phi_{1}^{[i]^{\top} \operatorname{cr}} \chi_{2}^{[i]} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla \phi_{n}^{[i]^{\top} \operatorname{cr}} \chi_{2}^{[i]}
\end{bmatrix} = -c_{2}^{[i]}(\boldsymbol{w}) \boldsymbol{g}_{.}^{[i]'}(\boldsymbol{w}). \tag{4}$$

With Eqs. (1),(2),(3), the time derivative of $\Phi^{[i]}$ is

$$\dot{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{[i]} = \begin{bmatrix}
\nabla \phi_{1}^{[i]^{\top} \text{vm}} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{[i]} + k_{c1} \nabla \phi_{1}^{[i]^{\top} \text{cr}} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{1}^{[i]} + k_{c2} \nabla \phi_{1}^{[i]^{\top} \text{cr}} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{2}^{[i]} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla \phi_{n}^{[i]^{\top} \text{vm}} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{[i]} + k_{c1} \nabla \phi_{n}^{[i]^{\top} \text{cr}} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{1}^{[i]} + k_{c2} \nabla \phi_{n}^{[i]^{\top} \text{cr}} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{2}^{[i]}
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -K^{[i]} \mathbf{\Phi}^{[i]} - \mathbf{f}_{\cdot}^{[i]'} \mathbf{f}_{\cdot}^{[i]'^{\top}} K^{[i]} \mathbf{\Phi}^{[i]} - \mathbf{g}_{\cdot}^{[i]'} \mathbf{g}_{\cdot}^{[i]'^{\top}} K^{[i]} \mathbf{\Phi}^{[i]} - k_{c1} c_{1}^{[i]} (\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{f}_{\cdot}^{[i]'} (\mathbf{w}) - k_{c2} c_{2}^{[i]} (\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{g}_{\cdot}^{[i]'} (\mathbf{w}). \tag{5}$$

Define

$$\mathfrak{F}_{1} := \operatorname{diag}\{\boldsymbol{f}_{\cdot}^{[1]'}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{f}_{\cdot}^{[N]'}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{nN \times N}$$

$$\mathfrak{F}_{2} := \operatorname{diag}\{\boldsymbol{g}_{\cdot}^{[1]'}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{g}_{\cdot}^{[N]'}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{nN \times N}$$

$$K := \operatorname{diag}\{K^{[1]}, \cdots, K^{[N]}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{nN \times nN}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} := (\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{[1]}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{[N]})^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}.$$

$$(6)$$

Thereby, we have $\dot{\Phi}$. Now we define the composite error:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{e}} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} \\ D^{\top} \dot{\boldsymbol{w}}_{1}^{[\cdot]} \\ D^{\top} \dot{\boldsymbol{w}}_{2}^{[\cdot]} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -K\boldsymbol{\Phi} - \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{F}}_{1} \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{F}}_{1}^{\top} K\boldsymbol{\Phi} - \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{F}}_{2} \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{F}}_{2}^{\top} K\boldsymbol{\Phi} + k_{c1} \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{F}}_{1} L \boldsymbol{\tilde{w}}_{1}^{[\cdot]} + k_{c2} \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{F}}_{2} L \boldsymbol{\tilde{w}}_{2}^{[\cdot]} \\ D^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{F}}_{1}^{\top} K\boldsymbol{\Phi} - k_{c1} D^{\top} L \boldsymbol{\tilde{w}}_{1}^{[\cdot]} \\ D^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{F}}_{2}^{\top} K\boldsymbol{\Phi} - k_{c2} D^{\top} L \boldsymbol{\tilde{w}}_{2}^{[\cdot]} \end{bmatrix}$$
(7)

According to [1, Proof of Theorem 2], we conclude that $\|\Phi^{[i]}(t)\| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_1^N$. This further implies that $\|e(t)\|$ converges to 0 globally under arbitrary initial error.

Following [1, Proof of Theorem 2] and with Assumptions 1 and 2, one can also obtain that the differences in virtual coordinates $w_1^{[i]}$ and $w_2^{[i]}$ of neighboring robots converge to the desired values, i.e., $\lim_{t\to\infty} w_1^{[i]}(t) - w_1^{[j]}(t) - \Delta_1^{[i,j]} \to 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} w_2^{[i]}(t) - w_2^{[j]}(t) - \Delta_2^{[i,j]} \to 0$. This implies that $\chi_1^{[i]}(t, \boldsymbol{w}_1^{[i]})$ and $\chi_2^{[i]}(t, \boldsymbol{w}_2^{[i]})$ converge to 0 as $t\to\infty$. Combining $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{[i]}(t)\| \to 0$, one has

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \dot{w}_{1}^{[i]}(t)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} [0 \cdots 1 \ 0] \left(\mathbf{vm} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{[i]}(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{[i]}) + k_{c1}^{\mathbf{cr}} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{1}^{[i]}(t, \boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{[\cdot]}) + k_{c2}^{\mathbf{cr}} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{2}^{[i]}(t, \boldsymbol{w}_{2}^{[\cdot]}) \right)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} [0 \cdots 1 \ 0] \cdot \mathbf{vm} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{[i]}(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{[i]})$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} [0 \cdots 1 \ 0] \cdot (-1)^{n} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{w_{1}} f_{1}^{[i]'} - \lambda_{w_{2}} g_{1}^{[i]'} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{w_{1}} f_{n}^{[i]'} - \lambda_{w_{2}} g_{n}^{[i]'} \\ \lambda_{w_{1}} \\ -\lambda_{w_{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= (-1)^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1} \dot{w}_{1}^{*} = \dot{w}_{1}^{*}.$$

Applying the same calculation to $\lim_{t \to \infty} \dot{w}_2^{[i]}(t)$, one has

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \dot{w}_2^{[i]}(t)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} [0 \cdots 0 \ 1] \cdot {}^{\mathbf{vm}} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{[i]}(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{[i]})$$

$$= (-1)^{n+1} \lambda_{w_2} = (-1)^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1} \dot{w}_2^* = \dot{w}_2^*.$$

In light of the above, Theorem 1 is proved to solve problem 1 globally.

REFERENCES

[1] W. Yao, H. G. de Marina, Z. Sun, and M. Cao, "Guiding vector fields for the distributed motion coordination of mobile robots," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 1119–1135, 2023.