

Almost Beatty Partitions and Optimal Scheduling Problems

Xiaomin Li

Mentor : A. J. Hildebrand

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign



MAA MathFest Conference
August 1, 2019

Credits

This talk is based on a joint work with:

- **Junxian Li** (University of Göttingen)
- **Yun Xie** (University of Washington)
- **A. J. Hildebrand** (University of Illinois)

It originated with a project in Spring 2018 at the **Illinois Geometry Lab** at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

- **Faculty mentors:** A. J. Hildebrand and Ken Stolarsky
- **Graduate student:** Junxian Li
- **Undergraduate students:** Weiru Chen, Matthew Cho, Jared Krandel, Xiaomin Li and Yun Xie

Outline

- 1 Beatty's Theorem
 - Beatty Sequences
- 2 Almost Beatty Partitions
 - Uspensky's Theorem
 - Almost Beatty Sequences
 - Almost Beatty Partitions: Construction 1
 - Almost Beatty Partitions: Construction 2
- 3 Applications
 - Scheduling Problems
- 4 Future Work

Beatty Sequences: Definition

Definition: Beatty Sequence

Given $\alpha > 0$, define the **Beatty sequence** B_α as

$$B_\alpha := \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \right\rfloor, n = 1, 2, \dots \right\},$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the floor function.

Beatty Sequences: Definition

Definition: Beatty Sequence

Given $\alpha > 0$, define the **Beatty sequence** B_α as

$$B_\alpha := \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \right\rfloor, n = 1, 2, \dots \right\},$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the floor function.

Remarks

- When $\alpha \leq 1$, then the elements of B_α are distinct and have density α in \mathbb{N} .
- When $\alpha > 1$, B_α has repeated elements.

Beatty Sequences: Examples

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\alpha = 1/3$	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36	39	42	45	48

Beatty Sequences: Examples

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\alpha = 1/3$	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36	39	42	45	48
$\alpha = 1$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16

Beatty Sequences: Examples

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\alpha = 1/3$	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36	39	42	45	48
$\alpha = 1$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\alpha = \sqrt{2}$	0	1	2	2	3	4	4	5	6	7	7	8	9	9	10	11

Beatty Sequences: Examples

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\alpha = 1/3$	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36	39	42	45	48
$\alpha = 1$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\alpha = \sqrt{2}$	0	1	2	2	3	4	4	5	6	7	7	8	9	9	10	11
$\alpha = \pi$	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	5

Beatty Sequences: Examples

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\alpha = 1/3$	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36	39	42	45	48
$\alpha = 1$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\alpha = \sqrt{2}$	0	1	2	2	3	4	4	5	6	7	7	8	9	9	10	11
$\alpha = \pi$	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	5

Examples of Beatty Sequences B_α with $\alpha = 1/3, 1, \sqrt{2}, \pi, \dots$

Beatty Sequences: Examples II

Let ϕ be the golden ratio defined by $\phi = (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
B_1/ϕ	1	3	4	6	8	9	11	12	14	16	17	19	21	22	24	25
B_1/ϕ^2	2	5	7	10	13	15	18	20	23	26	28	31	34	36	39	41

Beatty Sequences: Examples II

Let ϕ be the golden ratio defined by $\phi = (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
B_1/ϕ	1	3	4	6	8	9	11	12	14	16	17	19	21	22	24	25
B_1/ϕ^2	2	5	7	10	13	15	18	20	23	26	28	31	34	36	39	41

Rearranging the last two sequences gives:

B_1/ϕ	1		3	4		6		8	9		11	12		14		16
B_1/ϕ^2		2			5		7			10			13		15	

Beatty Sequences: Examples II

Let ϕ be the golden ratio defined by $\phi = (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$B_{1/\phi}$	1	3	4	6	8	9	11	12	14	16	17	19	21	22	24	25
B_{1/ϕ^2}	2	5	7	10	13	15	18	20	23	26	28	31	34	36	39	41

Rearranging the last two sequences gives:

$B_{1/\phi}$	1		3	4		6		8	9		11	12		14		16
B_{1/ϕ^2}		2			5		7			10			13		15	

Observations:

- The Beatty sequences $B_{1/\phi}$ and B_{1/ϕ^2} **partition** \mathbb{N} .

Beatty Sequences: Examples II

Let ϕ be the golden ratio defined by $\phi = (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$B_{1/\phi}$	1	3	4	6	8	9	11	12	14	16	17	19	21	22	24	25
B_{1/ϕ^2}	2	5	7	10	13	15	18	20	23	26	28	31	34	36	39	41

Rearranging the last two sequences gives:

$B_{1/\phi}$	1		3	4		6		8	9		11	12		14		16
B_{1/ϕ^2}		2			5		7			10			13		15	

Observations:

- The Beatty sequences $B_{1/\phi}$ and B_{1/ϕ^2} **partition** \mathbb{N} .
- $1/\phi + 1/\phi^2 = 1$ (i.e. the densities of the last two sequences **sum up to 1**).

Beatty's Theorem: Statement

The following theorem shows that the previous observation holds whenever the densities α and β are irrational and sum up to 1.

Theorem (Beatty's Theorem)

Let α and β be two positive irrational numbers such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$. Then B_α and B_β form a partition of the positive integers.

Beatty's Theorem: Proof, Part I

Let α and β be two positive irrational numbers such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$. First, prove by contradiction that the two Beatty sequences are disjoint.

Suppose there exists an integer j such that $j = \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{m}{\beta} \rfloor$.
Then:

$$j = \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{m}{\beta} \rfloor$$

Beatty's Theorem: Proof, Part I

Let α and β be two positive irrational numbers such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$. First, prove by contradiction that the two Beatty sequences are disjoint.

Suppose there exists an integer j such that $j = \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{m}{\beta} \rfloor$. Then:

$$j = \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{m}{\beta} \rfloor$$

$$\iff j \leq \frac{n}{\alpha} < j+1 \text{ and } j \leq \frac{m}{\beta} < j+1$$

Beatty's Theorem: Proof, Part I

Let α and β be two positive irrational numbers such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$. First, prove by contradiction that the two Beatty sequences are disjoint.

Suppose there exists an integer j such that $j = \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{m}{\beta} \rfloor$. Then:

$$j = \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{m}{\beta} \rfloor$$

$$\iff j \leq \frac{n}{\alpha} < j+1 \text{ and } j \leq \frac{m}{\beta} < j+1$$

$$\iff j < \frac{n}{\alpha} < j+1 \text{ and } j < \frac{m}{\beta} < j+1 \text{ (since } \alpha, \beta \text{ are irrational)}$$

Beatty's Theorem: Proof, Part I

Let α and β be two positive irrational numbers such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$. First, prove by contradiction that the two Beatty sequences are disjoint.

Suppose there exists an integer j such that $j = \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{m}{\beta} \rfloor$. Then:

$$j = \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{m}{\beta} \rfloor$$

$$\iff j \leq \frac{n}{\alpha} < j+1 \text{ and } j \leq \frac{m}{\beta} < j+1$$

$$\iff j < \frac{n}{\alpha} < j+1 \text{ and } j < \frac{m}{\beta} < j+1 \text{ (since } \alpha, \beta \text{ are irrational)}$$

$$\iff j\alpha < n < (j+1)\alpha \text{ and } j\beta < m < (j+1)\beta$$

Beatty's Theorem: Proof, Part I

Let α and β be two positive irrational numbers such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$. First, prove by contradiction that the two Beatty sequences are disjoint.

Suppose there exists an integer j such that $j = \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{m}{\beta} \rfloor$. Then:

$$j = \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{m}{\beta} \rfloor$$

$$\iff j \leq \frac{n}{\alpha} < j+1 \text{ and } j \leq \frac{m}{\beta} < j+1$$

$$\iff j < \frac{n}{\alpha} < j+1 \text{ and } j < \frac{m}{\beta} < j+1 \text{ (since } \alpha, \beta \text{ are irrational)}$$

$$\iff j\alpha < n < (j+1)\alpha \text{ and } j\beta < m < (j+1)\beta$$

$$\iff j < n + m < j+1 \text{ (since } \alpha + \beta = 1)$$

This is a contradiction since n, m, j are integers.

Beatty's Theorem: Proof, Part II

Second, prove by contradiction that any integer belongs to at least one of the sequences.

Suppose there exists an integer j s.t. $j \neq \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor$ and $j \neq \lfloor \frac{n}{\beta} \rfloor$ for any n . As j must be between two consecutive elements in B_α , similarly for B_β , so there exists integers k_1 and k_2 such that:

$$\frac{k_1}{\alpha} < j \text{ and } j + 1 \leq \frac{k_1 + 1}{\alpha}, \quad \frac{k_2}{\beta} < j \text{ and } j + 1 \leq \frac{k_2 + 1}{\beta}$$

Beatty's Theorem: Proof, Part II

Second, prove by contradiction that any integer belongs to at least one of the sequences.

Suppose there exists an integer j s.t. $j \neq \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor$ and $j \neq \lfloor \frac{n}{\beta} \rfloor$ for any n . As j must be between two consecutive elements in B_α , similarly for B_β , so there exists integers k_1 and k_2 such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k_1}{\alpha} < j \text{ and } j+1 \leq \frac{k_1+1}{\alpha}, \quad \frac{k_2}{\beta} < j \text{ and } j+1 \leq \frac{k_2+1}{\beta} \\ \iff \frac{k_1}{\alpha} < j \text{ and } j+1 < \frac{k_1+1}{\alpha}, \quad \frac{k_2}{\beta} < j \text{ and } j+1 < \frac{k_2+1}{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

Beatty's Theorem: Proof, Part II

Second, prove by contradiction that any integer belongs to at least one of the sequences.

Suppose there exists an integer j s.t. $j \neq \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor$ and $j \neq \lfloor \frac{n}{\beta} \rfloor$ for any n . As j must be between two consecutive elements in B_α , similarly for B_β , so there exists integers k_1 and k_2 such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k_1}{\alpha} < j \text{ and } j+1 \leq \frac{k_1+1}{\alpha}, \quad \frac{k_2}{\beta} < j \text{ and } j+1 \leq \frac{k_2+1}{\beta} \\ \iff \frac{k_1}{\alpha} < j \text{ and } j+1 < \frac{k_1+1}{\alpha}, \quad \frac{k_2}{\beta} < j \text{ and } j+1 < \frac{k_2+1}{\beta} \\ \iff k_1 < j\alpha \text{ and } (j+1)\alpha < k_1+1, \quad k_2 < j\beta \text{ and } (j+1)\beta < k_2+1 \end{aligned}$$

Beatty's Theorem: Proof, Part II

Second, prove by contradiction that any integer belongs to at least one of the sequences.

Suppose there exists an integer j s.t. $j \neq \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor$ and $j \neq \lfloor \frac{n}{\beta} \rfloor$ for any n . As j must be between two consecutive elements in B_α , similarly for B_β , so there exists integers k_1 and k_2 such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k_1}{\alpha} < j \text{ and } j+1 &\leq \frac{k_1+1}{\alpha}, & \frac{k_2}{\beta} < j \text{ and } j+1 &\leq \frac{k_2+1}{\beta} \\ \iff \frac{k_1}{\alpha} < j \text{ and } j+1 &< \frac{k_1+1}{\alpha}, & \frac{k_2}{\beta} < j \text{ and } j+1 &< \frac{k_2+1}{\beta} \\ \iff k_1 < j\alpha \text{ and } (j+1)\alpha &< k_1+1, & k_2 < j\beta \text{ and } (j+1)\beta &< k_2+1 \\ \iff k_1+k_2 < j < k_1+k_2+1 & \text{ (since } \alpha+\beta=1) \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction since j, k_1, k_2 are integers. \square

Outline

- 1 Beatty's Theorem
 - Beatty Sequences
- 2 Almost Beatty Partitions
 - Uspensky's Theorem
 - Almost Beatty Sequences
 - Almost Beatty Partitions: Construction 1
 - Almost Beatty Partitions: Construction 2
- 3 Applications
 - Scheduling Problems
- 4 Future Work

Beatty Partitions into More Than Two Parts?

Question

Does Beatty's Theorem generalize to partitions into 3 parts?

That is, given three positive irrational numbers α , β and γ which sum up to 1, do B_α , B_β and B_γ partition the positive integers?

Beatty Partitions into More Than Two Parts?

Question

Does Beatty's Theorem generalize to partitions into 3 parts?

That is, given three positive irrational numbers α , β and γ which sum up to 1, do B_α , B_β and B_γ partition the positive integers?

Answer: No!

Theorem (Uspensky's Theorem)

*Beatty's Theorem does not hold for three (or more) sequences. That is, if α , β and γ are arbitrary positive numbers, then B_α , B_β and B_γ **never** partition the positive integers.*

Almost Beatty Sequences

Question

How close can three Beatty sequences come to a 3-part partition?

Almost Beatty Sequences

Question

How close can three Beatty sequences come to a 3-part partition?

Definition: Almost Beatty Sequence

Consider a Beatty sequence with density $\alpha \in (0, 1)$:

$$B_\alpha = (a(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \text{ where } a(n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{\alpha} \rfloor.$$

We call a sequence

$$\widetilde{B}_\alpha = (\widetilde{a}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

an **almost Beatty sequence** with density α if $\|\widetilde{a} - a\| < \infty$, where $\|\widetilde{a} - a\| = \sup_n |\widetilde{a}(n) - a(n)|$.

Almost Beatty Partitions: Construction 1

Theorem (Partition into 2 Exact and 1 Almost Beatty Sequence)

Let α , β , and γ be positive irrational numbers such that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$. Let $B_\alpha = (a(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $B_\beta = (b(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $B_\gamma = (c(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the corresponding Beatty sequences. Define

$$\widetilde{B}_\gamma = \mathbb{N} \setminus (B_\alpha \cup B_\beta) = (\widetilde{c}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Then:

- (i) B_α , B_β , \widetilde{B}_γ **form a partition of** \mathbb{N} if and only if $r\alpha + s\beta = 1$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) If this condition is satisfied, then \widetilde{B}_γ **is an almost Beatty sequence** with perturbation errors satisfying $|c(n) - \widetilde{c}(n)| \leq \max(\lfloor \frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{2-\beta}{1-\beta} \rfloor)$.

Almost Beatty Partitions: Construction 1

Remarks

- Part (i) of the theorem (i.e. the **partition property**) follows from the following Theorem of Skolem (1957):

Let α, β be irrational numbers in $(0, 1)$. Then the Beatty sequences B_α and B_β are disjoint if and only if there exist positive integers r and s such that $r\alpha + s\beta = 1$.

- In part (ii) (the **almost Beatty sequence property**), the bound on the perturbation errors $|c(n) - \tilde{c}(n)|$ is the best possible. If $\max(\alpha, \beta) < \frac{1}{2}$, then the bound simplifies to $|c(n) - \tilde{c}(n)| \leq 2$.

Construction 1: Example

Example: $\alpha = 1/\phi^3$, $\beta = 1/\phi^4$, $\gamma = 1/\phi$

We have $1/\phi^3 + 1/\phi^4 + 1/\phi = 1$ and $3/\phi^3 + 2/\phi^4 = 1$.

Therefore, the condition $r\alpha + s\beta = 1$ holds for $r = 3$ and $s = 2$.

B_α	$a(n)$	4 8 12 16 21 25 29 33 38 42 46 50
B_β	$b(n)$	6 13 20 27 34 41 47 54 61 68 75 82
B_γ	$c(n)$	1 3 4 6 8 9 11 12 14 16 17 19
\widetilde{B}_γ	$\widetilde{c}(n)$	1 2 3 5 7 9 10 11 14 15 17 18
Error	$c(n) - \widetilde{c}(n)$	0 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1

Construction 1: Analysis of Perturbation Errors

Theorem (Perturbation Errors in Construction 1)

Assume the conditions of the above theorem are satisfied and suppose $\max(\alpha, \beta) < \frac{1}{2}$. Then the perturbation errors $c(n) - \tilde{c}(n)$ are 0, 1, 2 and each value is attained infinitely often. Moreover, the densities of these values are given by:

$$d_0 = \frac{r(s-1)(1-2\gamma) + (2r\gamma - 1 - \lfloor r\gamma \rfloor)\lfloor r\gamma \rfloor}{2r(r-s)\gamma}$$

$$d_1 = \frac{r^2(4\gamma - 1) - r(s - 2 + 4\gamma) - (4r\gamma - 2)\lfloor r\gamma \rfloor + 2\lfloor r\gamma \rfloor^2}{2r(r-s)\gamma}$$

$$d_2 = \frac{(-r + 2r\gamma - \lfloor r\gamma \rfloor)(1 - r + \lfloor r\gamma \rfloor)}{2r(r-s)\gamma}$$

Example: Density of Perturbation Errors

Example: $\alpha = 1/\phi^3$, $\beta = 1/\phi^4$, $\gamma = 1/\phi$

B_α	$a(n)$	4	8	12	16	21	25	29	33	38	42	46	50
B_β	$b(n)$	6	13	20	27	34	41	47	54	61	68	75	82
B_γ	$c(n)$	1	3	4	6	8	9	11	12	14	16	17	19
\widetilde{B}_γ	$\widetilde{c}(n)$	1	2	3	5	7	9	10	11	14	15	17	18
Error	$c(n) - \widetilde{c}(n)$	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1

The densities of the perturbation errors 0,1,2 are given by:

$$d_0 = (1 + \sqrt{5})/12 = 0.26967\dots,$$

$$d_1 = (19 - 5\sqrt{5})/12 = 0.65163\dots,$$

$$d_2 = (\sqrt{5} - 2)/3 = 0.078689\dots$$

Almost Beatty Partitions: Construction 2

Theorem (Partition into 1 Exact and 2 Almost Beatty Sequences)

Let α , β , and γ be positive irrational numbers such that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$ and $\max(\alpha, \beta) < \gamma$. Define $\widetilde{B}_\beta = (\widetilde{b}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as

$$\widetilde{b}(n) = \begin{cases} b(n), & \text{if } b(n) \notin B_\alpha \\ b(n) - 1, & \text{if } b(n) \in B_\alpha \end{cases}$$

Denote $\widetilde{B}_\gamma = \mathbb{N} \setminus (B_\alpha \cup \widetilde{B}_\beta)$.

Then B_α , \widetilde{B}_β , and \widetilde{B}_γ partition all positive integers and $b(n) - \widetilde{b}(n) \in \{0, 1\}$, $c(n) - \widetilde{c}(n) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$

Remark: Construction 2 applies to any irrational densities α, β, γ that sum up to 1 and satisfy $\max(\alpha, \beta) < \gamma$.

Is this Construction Best Possible?

Question

Is there a partition of the positive integers into one exact and two almost Beatty sequences with perturbation errors less than or equal to 1?

Is this Construction Best Possible?

Question

Is there a partition of the positive integers into one exact and two almost Beatty sequences with perturbation errors less than or equal to 1?

Answer: No (in general)!

Theorem (Nonexistence Result)

Let α , β , and γ be positive irrational numbers such that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$. If $\alpha > \frac{1}{3}$ and $1, \alpha, \beta$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , then **there does not exist** an almost Beatty partition $B_\alpha \cup \widetilde{B}_\beta \cup \widetilde{B}_\gamma = \mathbb{N}$ such that $\widetilde{B}_\beta = (\widetilde{b}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\widetilde{B}_\gamma = (\widetilde{c}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy

$$\sup_n |b(n) - \widetilde{b}(n)| \leq 1, \quad \sup_n |c(n) - \widetilde{c}(n)| \leq 1.$$

Outline

- 1 Beatty's Theorem
 - Beatty Sequences
- 2 Almost Beatty Partitions
 - Uspensky's Theorem
 - Almost Beatty Sequences
 - Almost Beatty Partitions: Construction 1
 - Almost Beatty Partitions: Construction 2
- 3 Applications
 - Scheduling Problems
- 4 Future Work

Applications

- Chairman Assignment Problem
- Frequency Hopping
- Carpool Problem
- Weighted Fair Queueing
- Computer Networks

Outline

- 1 Beatty's Theorem
 - Beatty Sequences
- 2 Almost Beatty Partitions
 - Uspensky's Theorem
 - Almost Beatty Sequences
 - Almost Beatty Partitions: Construction 1
 - Almost Beatty Partitions: Construction 2
- 3 Applications
 - Scheduling Problems
- 4 Future Work

Future Work

- Investigate almost Beatty partitions into more than 3 sequences.
- Investigate almost Beatty partitions using non-homogeneous Beatty sequences. Can such sequences give an optimal chairman assignment?
- Look for applications of Beatty partitions to other optimal scheduling problems (e.g. frequency hopping).

References

- Beatty, S. (1926). *Problem 3173*. American Mathematical Monthly. 33 (3): 159. doi:10.2307/2300153
- Hildebrand, A. J., Li, J., Li, X., Xie, Y. (2018). Almost Beatty Partitions. arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.08690.
- Lord Rayleigh (1894). *The Theory of Sound*. 1 (Second ed.). Macmillan. p. 123. 10.1016/0012-365X(80)90269-1.
- Skolem, T. (1957). On certain distributions of integers in pairs with given differences, *Math. Scand.* **5** (1957), 57–68.
- Tijdeman, R. (1980). The chairman assignment problem. *Discrete Mathematics*. 32. 323-330.
- Uspensky, J. V. (1927). *On a problem arising out of the theory of a certain game*. Amer. Math. Monthly 34 (1927), pp. 516–521. Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 21, 6-27.