# ECE 408 Final Project Report

Team: ParallelCorn

Xiaoming Zhao (NetID: xz23) Chieh Hsu (NetID: chielhh2) Bohan Zhang (NetID: bohanz2)

March 2018

#### 1 Milestone 1

# 1.1 Include a list of all kernels that collectively consume more than 90% of the program time

- 2. **26.98**% (93.871ms), 1 call, void cudnn::detail::implicit\_convolve\_sgemm<float, int=1024, int=5, int=5, int=3, int=3, int=3, int=1, bool=1, bool=0, bool=1>(int, int, int, float const \*, int, cudnn::detail::implicit\_convolve\_sgemm<float, int=1024, int=5, int=5, int=3, int=3, int=1, bool=1, bool=0, bool=1>\*, float const \*, kernel\_conv\_params, int, float, float, int, float const \*, float const \*, int, int)
- 4. **8.19**% (28.494ms), 1 call, sgemm\_sm35\_ldg\_tn\_128x8x256x16x32
- 5. **6.50**% (22.602ms), 14 calls, [CUDA memcpy HtoD]
- 6. **4.07**% (14.159ms), 2 calls, void cudnn::detail::activation\_fw\_4d\_kernel<float, float, int=128, int=1, int=4, cudnn::detail::tanh\_func<float>>(cudnnTensorStruct, float const \*, cudnn::detail::activation\_fw\_4d\_kernel<float, float, int=128, int=1, int=4, cudnn::detail::tanh\_func<float>>, cudnnTensorStruct\*, float, cudnnTensorStruct\*, int, cudnnTensorStruct\*)

# 1.2 Include a list of all CUDA API calls that collectively consume more than 90% of the program time.

Time(%)	Time	Calls	Name
43.62%	1.94235s	18	${\it cudaStreamCreateWithFlags}$
$\boldsymbol{27.21\%}$	$1.21127\mathrm{s}$	10	${\it cudaFree}$
$\boldsymbol{20.60\%}$	$917.27\mathrm{ms}$	27	${\it cuda} Mem Get Info$

Table 1: CUDA API Calls

# 1.3 Include an explanation of the difference between kernels and API calls

Kernels are user-coded functions that are called by the host and executed on the device (GPU, typically), whereas API calls are invoking the functions that are provided by Cuda as interface.

#### 1.4 Show output of rai running MXNet on the CPU

```
^[[32m*Running python m1.1.py^[[0m
Loading fashion-mnist data...
done
Loading model...
done'M
New Inference
EvalMetric: {'accuracy': 0.8444}
^[[32m*The build folder has been uploaded to http://s3.amazonaws.com/files.rai-project.com/userdata/build-bbdb2520-11a0-437b-af4c-f42e82
bf10e6.tar.gz. The data will be present for only a short duration of time.^[[0m
^[[32m*Server has ended your request.^[[0m
```

Figure 1: MXNet CPU

#### 1.5 List program run time

User: 12.67s; System: 6.27s

#### 1.6 Show output of rai running MXNet on the GPU

```
^[[32m*Running python m1.2.py^[[0m Loading fashion-mnist data... done Loading model... [09:21:00] src/operator/././cudnn_algoreg-inl.h:112: Running performance tests to find the best convolution algorithm, this can take a wh ile... (setting env variable MXNET_CUDNN_AUTOTUNE_DEFAULT to 0 to disable) done^M New Inference EvalMetric: {'accuracy': 0.8444} ^[32m*The build folder has been uploaded to http://s3.amazonaws.com/files.rai-project.com/userdata/build-56125cb6-ac27-4474-ab79-c93493 6d6d00.tar.gz. The data will be present for only a short duration of time.^[[0m ^[[32m*Server has ended your request.^[[0m
```

Figure 2: MXNet GPU

#### 1.7 List program run time

User: 2.30s; system: 1.10s

#### 2 Milestone 2

#### 2.1 Whole Program Execution Time

User: 30.48s; System: 1.48s

#### 2.2 Op Times

First Layer Op Time: 6.570814s; Second Layer Op Time: 19.473800s

## 3 Milestone 3

### 3.1 nvprof Timeline API Calls

Time(%)	Time	Calls	Avg	Min	Max	Name
36.93%	1.93394s	18	$107.44 \mathrm{ms}$	23.882us	$966.80 \mathrm{ms}$	${\it cudaStreamCreateWithFlags}$
22.91%	1.19950s	10	$119.95 \mathrm{ms}$	1.0020 us	$339.73 \mathrm{ms}$	${ m cudaFree}$
20.03%	$1.04880 \mathrm{s}$	6	$174.80 \mathrm{ms}$	13.403 us	$671.17 \mathrm{ms}$	${\bf cuda Device Synchronize}$
17.80%	$931.98 \mathrm{ms}$	27	$34.518 \mathrm{ms}$	249.75 us	$923.94 \mathrm{ms}$	${\it cuda} Mem Get Info$
1.20%	$62.583 \mathrm{ms}$	29	$2.1580 \mathrm{ms}$	5.8340 us	$32.221 \mathrm{ms}$	${\it cudaStreamSynchronize}$
0.91%	$47.487\mathrm{ms}$	9	$5.2764 \mathrm{ms}$	17.350 us	$22.964 \mathrm{ms}$	cudaMemcpy2DAsync
0.13%	$6.8965\mathrm{ms}$	45	153.26 us	9.2670 us	899.76 us	$\operatorname{cudaMalloc}$
0.03%	$1.3578 \mathrm{ms}$	4	339.46 us	335.44 us	348.66 us	${\it cuDeviceTotalMem}$
0.02%	$1.1504 \mathrm{ms}$	114	10.091 us	956 ns	425.89 us	${\it cudaEventCreateWithFlags}$
0.02%	978.26 us	352	2.7790 us	$510 \mathrm{ns}$	70.432 us	${\bf cuDeviceGetAttribute}$
0.01%	591.66 us	28	21.130 us	9.3490 us	76.754 us	$\operatorname{cudaLaunch}$
0.01%	363.96 us	6	60.660 us	30.285 us	130.42 us	$\operatorname{cudaMemcpy}$
0.01%	278.61 us	4	69.651 us	55.444 us	101.45 us	${\bf cudaStreamCreate}$
0.00%	112.65 us	168	$670 \mathrm{ns}$	$527 \mathrm{ns}$	1.6580 us	${\it cudaSetupArgument}$
0.00%	112.24 us	104	1.0790 us	$854 \mathrm{ns}$	1.9860 us	${\it cuda} Device Get Attribute$
0.00%	100.32 us	4	25.080 us	18.442 us	29.777 us	${\it cuDeviceGetName}$
0.00%	88.815 us	34	2.6120 us	$888 \mathrm{ns}$	7.4090 us	$\operatorname{cudaSetDevice}$
0.00%	50.697 us	2	25.348 us	24.627 us	26.070 us	${\it cuda} Stream Create With Priority$
0.00%	38.625 us	28	1.3790 us	$691 \mathrm{ns}$	2.4110 us	${\it cuda} Configure Call$
0.00%	26.677 us	10	2.6670 us	1.4880 us	8.6180 us	${\rm cudaGetDevice}$
0.00%	14.908us	20	$745 \mathrm{ns}$	592 ns	1.0340 us	${\bf cudaPeekAtLastError}$
0.00%	6.4370 us	6	1.0720 us	$546 \mathrm{ns}$	2.4080 us	${\it cuDeviceGetCount}$
0.00%	5.8180 us	2	2.9090 us	2.8400 us	2.9780 us	${\it cudaStreamWaitEvent}$
0.00%	5.2330 us	6	$872 \mathrm{ns}$	635 ns	1.2940 us	$\operatorname{cuDeviceGet}$
0.00%	5.2240 us	2	2.6120 us	2.5310 us	2.6930 us	${\rm cudaEventRecord}$
0.00%	4.7060 us	2	2.3530 us	2.0230 us	2.6830 us	${\it cudaDeviceGetStreamPriorityRange}$
0.00%	4.4890 us	5	$897 \mathrm{ns}$	$654 \mathrm{ns}$	1.1180 us	${\it cudaGetLastError}$
0.00%	3.4770 us	3	1.1590 us	1.0330 us	1.2480 us	$\operatorname{cuInit}$
0.00%	3.4240 us	1	3.4240 us	3.4240 us	3.4240 us	${\bf cudaStreamGetPriority}$
0.00%	2.9860 us	3	995 ns	962ns	1.0470 us	$\operatorname{cuDriverGetVersion}$
0.00%	1.4480 us	1	1.4480 us	1.4480 us	1.4480 us	${\it cuda} Get Device Count$

Table 2: CUDA API Calls

## 3.2 Top 3 Representative Profiling Result

Time(%)	Time	Calls	Avg	Min	Max	Name
90.42%	1.02679s	2	513.39 ms	$355.65 \mathrm{ms}$	671.14ms	mxnet::op::forward_kernel
2.54%	$28.823 \mathrm{ms}$	1	$28.823 \mathrm{ms}$	$28.823 \mathrm{ms}$	$28.823 \mathrm{ms}$	$sgemm\_sm35\_ldg\_tn\_128x8x256x16x32$
2.08%	$23.661 \mathrm{ms}$	14	$1.6901 \mathrm{ms}$	1.5360 us	$22.812 \mathrm{ms}$	[CUDA memcpy HtoD]

Table 3: Partial Profiling Result

### 3.3 Speedup with GPU

According to nvprof, the GPU convolution has the significant overall speedup when compared with the CPU implementation (0.355 on GPU vs 6.599 on CPU).

### 3.4 Individual Optimization

Inside the convolution kernel, the GPU code uses 16\*16 tiles which enables every warp to access two consecutive memory sections, each consisting of 16 locations. This optimization utilizes 50 percent of the memory burst. On the other hand, given the relatively small block size, the kernel did not use shared memory. Thus the overhead introduced by barrier synchronization and the extra loading process is minimized for this small-block-sized convolution kernel.