

Name	SOLUTION
PID #	
Instructor	Sergey Kirshner

STAT 598G Spring 2011

Quiz #3
April 21, 2011

You are not allowed to use books or notes. Please read the directions carefully. The quiz is graded out of 3 points. You have 20 minutes to complete it. Please show all your work. Use the back of the page if you need more space.

A pdf for a mixture of two exponentials is given by

$$f(x|\pi, \lambda_0, \lambda_1) = (1 - \pi) \lambda_0 e^{-\lambda_0 x} + \pi \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x}, \quad x > 0$$

with parameters $\pi \in [0, 1]$, $\lambda_0, \lambda_1 > 0$. A data set $\{(c_1, x_1), \dots, (c_n, x_n)\}$ is obtained by first drawing $C_i = c_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} \text{Bern}(\pi)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and then drawing $X_i = x_i | C_i = c_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_{c_i})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

1. (1.5pts) Assume that one observes a complete data set $\mathcal{D}_c = \{(c_1, x_1), \dots, (c_n, x_n)\}$. Write down the complete data log-likelihood, and derive a closed form MLE estimate for $(\pi, \lambda_0, \lambda_1)$ from the complete data \mathcal{D}_c .

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 l_{\mathcal{D}_c}(\pi, \lambda_0, \lambda_1) &= \ln p(\mathcal{D}_c | \pi, \lambda_0, \lambda_1) = \ln \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\pi^{c_i} (1 - \pi)^{1-c_i} \lambda_{c_i} e^{-\lambda_{c_i} x_i} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (c_i \ln \pi + (1 - c_i) \ln(1 - \pi) + \ln \lambda_{c_i} - \lambda_{c_i} x_i) \\
 &= \#1 \ln \pi + \#0 \ln(1 - \pi) + \#1 \ln \lambda_1 + \#0 \ln \lambda_0 - \lambda_1 \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ c_i=1}}^n x_i - \lambda_0 \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ c_i=0}}^n x_i \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\#1 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$ (number of 1s among c_i s), and $\#0 = n - \#1$ (number of 0s among c_i s). We will find the MLE by setting the gradient to $\mathbf{0}$ (joint distribution of C and X falls within the exponential family, so the parameter values corresponding to the $\mathbf{0}$ of the gradient

corresponds to MLE).

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial l_{\mathcal{D}_c}}{\partial \lambda_1} &= \frac{\#1}{\lambda_1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} = 0 \implies \hat{\lambda}_1 = \left[\#1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} \right)^{-1} \right]; \\ \frac{\partial l_{\mathcal{D}_c}}{\partial \lambda_0} &= \frac{\#0}{\lambda_0} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} = 0 \implies \hat{\lambda}_0 = \left[\#0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} \right)^{-1} \right]; \\ \frac{\partial l_{\mathcal{D}_c}}{\partial \pi} &= \frac{\#1}{\pi} - \frac{\#0}{1-\pi} = 0 \implies \hat{\pi} = \left[\frac{\#1}{n} \right].\end{aligned}$$

2. (1.5pts) Now, suppose that the mixture memberships c_1, \dots, c_n are not observed. Describe in detail an algorithm for estimating of MLE for $(\pi, \lambda_0, \lambda_1)$ from incomplete data $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. What are the properties of the obtained solution?

Solution: Let $\theta = (\pi, \lambda_1, \lambda_0)$. The corresponding log-likelihood is

$$l_{\mathcal{D}}(\theta) = \ln p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left((1-\pi) \lambda_0 e^{-\lambda_0 x_i} + \pi \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x_i} \right).$$

Closed form solution is not known in the general case. This incomplete data log-likelihood is not concave, and may contain several local maxima. We will use an iterative approach to estimate the maximum of $l_{\mathcal{D}}$. There are many general approaches to maximizing $l_{\mathcal{D}}$ (gradient ascent, conjugate gradients, etc), because the distribution over the complete data falls within the exponential family, we will apply the Expectation-Maximization (EM) approach. Denote by $\theta^{(t)} = (\pi^{(t)}, \lambda_1^{(t)}, \lambda_0^{(t)})$ the set of parameters at iteration t . In the E-step, we will estimate

$$\gamma_{ic} = P(C_i = c | x_i, \theta^{(t)}) = \frac{(\pi^{(t)})^c (1-\pi^{(t)})^{1-c} \lambda_c^{(t)} e^{-\lambda_c^{(t)} x_i}}{\pi^{(t)} \lambda_1^{(t)} e^{-\lambda_1^{(t)} x_i} + (1-\pi^{(t)}) \lambda_0^{(t)} e^{-\lambda_0^{(t)} x_i}}. \quad (2)$$

In the M-step, we find $\theta^{(t+1)}$ maximizing the expected log-likelihood

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^{(t+1)} &= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q(\theta; \theta^{(t)}) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} E_P(C|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{(t)}) \ln P(C, \mathbf{X} | \theta^{(t+1)}) \\ &= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{c=0}^1 P(C_i = c | x_i, \theta^{(t)}) \ln P(C_i = c, x_i | \theta^{(t+1)}) \\ &= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left(\ln \pi \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i1} + \ln(1-\pi) \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i0} + \ln \lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i1} x_i + \ln \lambda_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i0} x_i - \lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i1} x_i - \lambda_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i0} x_i \right)\end{aligned}$$

which is maximized similar to (1), resulting in

$$\pi^{(t+1)} = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i1}}{n} \right], \quad \lambda_1^{(t+1)} = \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i1} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i1} x_i \right)^{-1} \right], \quad \lambda_0^{(t+1)} = \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i0} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i0} x_i \right)^{-1} \right].$$

The algorithm is summarized in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Expectation-Maximization

- 1: $t = 0$, initialize $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$ ▷ e.g., $\pi^{(0)} \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$, $\lambda_0^{(0)}, \lambda_1^{(0)} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Exp}(1)$
 - 2: **repeat** ▷ iterating, iteration t
 - 3: $\gamma_{ic} = \frac{(\pi^{(t)})^c (1-\pi^{(t)})^{1-c} \lambda_c^{(t)} e^{-\lambda_c^{(t)} x_i}}{\pi^{(t)} \lambda_1^{(t)} e^{-\lambda_1^{(t)} x_i} + (1-\pi^{(t)}) \lambda_0^{(t)} e^{-\lambda_0^{(t)} x_i}}, c = 0, 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ ▷ E-step:
 - 4: $\pi^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i1}}{n}$ ▷ M-step: updating π
 - 5: $\lambda_1^{(t+1)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i1} (\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i1} x_i)^{-1}$ ▷ M-step: updating λ_1
 - 6: $\lambda_0^{(t+1)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i0} (\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i0} x_i)^{-1}$ ▷ M-step: updating λ_0
 - 7: $t = t + 1$
 - 8: **until** convergence ▷ e.g., $l(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}) - l(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) \leq \text{threshold}$
-