

Spatio-temporal analysis of COVID-19’s impact on human mobility in NYC

Xiaoyi WU

April 5, 2022

Abstract

Abstract.

1 Introduction

Since the World Health Organization declared the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak a global pandemic on March 11 2020, the disease has influenced every part of people’s daily life and caused more than six million deaths globally (Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center, 2022). Mobility pattern tracks human movement behavior, which is critical to understand, evaluate and predict the pandemic transmission. Commuting and large-scale gathering aggravate pandemic transmission, at the same time the pandemic transmission prevents social activity and lower travel behavior. Thus, many non-pharmacological policies such as quarantines, travel restriction, social distancing has been implemented by governments to prevent the spread of COVID-19.

As the most populous city in the United States with 8.8 million people distributed over 300.46 square miles (U.S Census Bureau, 2020), New York City has experienced rapid and widespread transmission since the first confirmed case on March 1st 2020. At the end of March 2020, NYC arrived a peak of COVID-19 and became the pandemic epicenter (Cordes & Castro, 2020) with a weekly mean of 5132 diagnosed cases and 1,566 hospital admissions. On March 22 2020, ‘New York State on PAUSE’ executive order has been placed on. It includes a new directive that all non-essential businesses statewide must close in-office personnel functions effective(New York State Official Website, 2020). Identifying the spatio-temporal changes of human mobility pattern before, during and after the outbreak of COVID-19 is important to analyze COVID-19’s impact on individuals. In addition, analyzing mobility changes under the contextual backgrounds suggests the heterogeneity of COVID-19’s impacts on different groups. For example, high-income individuals may choose to decrease their visits to wholesale markets and restaurants and buy takeaways services to access necessary foods. However, people with low- or moderate- incomes may have no choice but to leave home to buy food with higher risk of infection.

Therefore, this objective of this paper is to study the spatio-temporal changes of mobility pattern in NYC in March 2019, March 2020 and March 2021 and analyze the social equity issues caused by the pandemic. The research is aimed to answer following question:

1. What is the spatial shifting pattern of visit counts to different business categories?
2. What is the temporal change of mobility pattern before, during and after COVID-19?
3. How do the COVID-19 influence individual’s travel behaviors in different contexts?



Figure 1: Study Area

2 Literature Review

To be finished

3 Data

The mobility information was provided by the pattern datasets and core place dataset from Safe-graph. Safe-graph is a data company that aggregates anonymized location data from third-party applications. Core place dataset are defined as any location humans can visit with the exception of single-family homes with 84717 point of interests (POI) in total, which encompasses a diverse set of places ranging from restaurants, grocery stores, and malls; to parks, hospitals, museums, offices, and industrial parks (Safe-graph, 2022). Pattern dataset records the block-group-level mobility information such as visitor and visit counts to each POI. However, the datasets from Safe-graph does not cover all actual visitors but rather a subset of users that have smartphones and enabled their GPS information in various apps (Sevtsuk, 2021).

Socio-economic information such as race and income was from the American Community Survey (ACS) 2015-2019 5-year data.

In addition, the geographic base map was from the US Census Bureau’s TIGER 2020 Census Tracts (clipped to shoreline) data products.

Data	Geographic Level	Source
Mobility Pattern in March 2019	Block Group	Safe-graph
Mobility Pattern in March 2020	Block Group	Safe-graph
Mobility Pattern in March 2021	Block Group	Safe-graph
Core Place	Block Group	Block Group
Demographic Data (e.g. income, race)	Census Tract	ACS 2019 5-year data
Geographic boundary	Census Tract	US Census Bureau

Table 1: Data source

4 Methods

4.1 Spatial analysis

Firstly, the monthly mobility pattern data in March in 2019, 2020 and 2021 were collected from Safegraph and merged with core place dataset to get information about the POI's location and naics code. The merged data were aggravated to the tract level and mapped as polygon with geometry data from the U.S. Bureau. All Data processing steps were finished by Python 3.80.

Secondly, spatial distribution of people's visits to public places in NYC was analyzed with global and local Moran's I. Moran's I is a correlation coefficient to measures the similarity in neighboring places defined as Equation (1) (Moran, 1950). Large positive Moran's I (close to 1) indicates that strong positive auto-correlation and areas with similar values cluster together. Large negative values (close to -1) indicate strong negative auto-correlation and areas with dissimilar values cluster together.

$$\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} (X_i - \bar{X}) (X_j - \bar{X})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \quad (1)$$

In Equation (1), n is the number of observations. X_i is the visit count at census tract i . X_j is the visit count at another location j . \bar{X} is the mean value. w_{ij} is the spatial weights between place i and place j . If places i and j are neighbors, w_{ij} is close to 1; while they are not neighbors, w_{ij} equals zero. Neighbors are defined by queen criterion, which are places that share a common boundary or vertex. Global Moran's I was calculated to examine the existence of spatial clustering in visit count. Local Moran's I was calculated to evaluate the extent of spatial auto-correlation between place i and its vicinity. The significance assessment was calculated by pseudo p-value with 999 random permutation. All spatial auto-correlation analysis was calculated by using GeoDa 1.20.

4.2 Temporal analysis

The temporal changes of visit count between March 2019, March 2020 and March 2021 was calculated as Equation (2):

$$\text{change percentage} = \begin{cases} \frac{v_{t_1} - v_{t_0}}{v_{t_0}} \times 100\%, & v_{t_0} \neq 0 \\ \text{inf}, & v_{t_0} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In Equation (2), v_{t_0} is the visit count at t_0 time, and v_{t_1} is the visit count at t_1 time, and $t_1 \geq t_0$. If change percentage is inf, indicating the is no corresponding visit count records when time was t_0 .

4.3 Socio-economic analysis

Combined with ACS 5-year data, the temporal changes of mobility pattern under socio-economic background was analyzed to discuss COVID-19's impact on social equity. Firstly, the origin-destination matrix was built and visit counts of each origin-destination(O-D) pair was aggravated on tract level. Then, the O-D pair was merged with ACS data to gain income information about the origins such as if the income of original tract is higher than the average income in NYC. Thirdly, difference of visit count change between different year was calculated in high- and low- income areas. Finally, we compared the temporal change degree in different income groups before, during and after COVID-19 .

$$\text{comparison of change degree between high-/low- income} = \begin{cases} (\frac{v_{i,t_1}^H - v_{i,t_0}^H}{v_{i,t_0}^H} - \frac{v_{i,t_1}^L - v_{i,t_0}^L}{v_{i,t_0}^L}) \times 100\%, & v_{t_0} \neq 0 \\ \text{inf}, & v_{t_0} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

In Equation (3), i is the destination tract. v_{i,t_0}^H is visit count from the original tracts where the income are higher than the average income in NYC to destination i at t_0 time, and v_{i,t_0}^L is visit count from the original tracts where the income are lower than the average income in NYC to destination tract i at t_0 time, and $t_1 \geq t_0$.

5 Analysis

5.1 Spatial analysis

Choropleth maps for the total visits in NYC shows that Manhattan, John F. Kennedy (JFK) International Airport, LaGuardia Airport are the places people visit most often.




Figure 2: Visit count in different years

The global Moran's I values of visit count in 2019, 2020 and 2021 are statistically significant, indicating strong clustering pattern in human mobility. The result of local Moran's I is presented in Figure 3. According to the map, the clusters of high visited areas in 2019 were located at Central Park, Midtown and Lower Manhattan, while the clusters of low visited areas were spread in Brooklyn, Queens Boroughs. However, in 2021, northwestern area at the Bronx borough became the high-visit-count spots. The low-visited clusters in Brooklyn Borough moved to Bedford Stuyvesant neighborhood at northern Brooklyn Borough compared to last two years.




Figure 3: Clustering map with local Moran's I statistics

5.2 Business type analysis

Visits to public places has continually decreased from 2019 to 2020 and 2021. Especially, visits to professional and business services, accommodation, food wholesale and retail stores decreased more than 50% from 2019 to 2020. This significant decrease trend extends to 2021 for transportation transit and accommodation places. Since education, transportation, food, wholesale and retail and health cares are necessary goods and services, the following sections describe the spatio-temporal changes of human mobility in these business categories in detail.

Sub-category	2019 visit	2020 visit	2021 visit	2019-2020 change percent	2020-2021 change percent
Accommodation	467745	196575	96993	-58%	-51%
Education	395591	221494	111892	-44%	-49%
Financial Activities	10235	6117	3658	-40%	-40%
Food	4887715	2122903	1214377	-57%	-43%
Goods Production	103881	52777	26788	-49%	-49%
Health Care	491347	321706	219743	-35%	-32%
Other	162728	95766	61500	-41%	-36%
Professional and Business Services	44009	17041	10561	-61%	-38%
Real Estate	139983	78127	53808	-44%	-31%
Recreation	4084598	3228826	2065839	-21%	-36%
Transportation	192828	95832	48065	-50%	-50%
Wholesale and Retail	2713860	1317471	795016	-51%	-40%

Table 2: Percent change in different business categories

5.2.1 Education

As the Mayor Bill de Blasio announces public schools in NYC started to all-remote learning from March 20th 2020, visits to education POI has decreased significantly. Especially, most schools in Manhattan Borough show a 50%-20% decrease in visit counts in March 2020, and schools at Central Park such as Harlem Chess Center was found more than 50% decrease in March 2020. From 2020 to 2021, the decreasing rate of visit count to school expand to over 50% in Upper Manhattan.




Figure 4: Percent change of visit count to Education

Visits to schools has decreased by 42% from 2019 to 2020 and 49% from 2020 to 2021. Particularly, visits to elementary and secondary schools which constitutes kindergarten through 12th grade show a 41% reduction from 2019 to 2020, and a 49% reduction from 2020 to 2021. In addition, visits to higher education dropped by 49% in March 2020. Technical schools include junior colleges, automobile driving schools, cosmetology and barber schools and other technical and trade schools. Visits to technical schools had seen a 58% decrease from 2019 to 2021.

Sub-category	2019 visit	2020 visit	2021 visit	2019-2020 change percent	2020-2021 change percent
Colleges, Universities, and Professional Schools	23102	11702	5985	-49%	-49%
Elementary and Secondary Schools	291072	171292	87885	-41%	-49%
Technical School	7620	3160	1312	-59%	-58%
Total visit count	340251	196646	100045	-42%	-49%

Table 3: Percent change in education subcategories

5.2.2 Transportation

Visits to POI in transportation places decreased by 75% in March 2021, compared to the time before COVID-19. Especially, more than 50% fewer visits to JFK International Airport and LaGuardia Airport are observed in 2020, and decreasing rate remained about 50% in 2021.




Figure 5: Percent change of visit count to Transportation

Visits to transportation system has decreased by 50% from 2019 to 2020 and from 2020 to 2021. Particularly, visits to airport has decreased by 53% from 2019 to 2020 and decreased by 52% from 2020 to 2021. Visits to bus and other motor vehicle transit system had a noticeable 68% decrease in 2020 and a smaller 12% reduction in 2021. Visits to line-hail railroads was decreased by 40% in the first year of COVID-19, and remained 40% decreasing rate from 2020 to 2021. However, contrasting to the general decreasing trend, water vehicle transportation saw a increase from 2019 to 2020. For example, the visits to port and harbor operations increased 10 times from 2019 to 2020. However, from 2020 to 2021, the count decreased to value in 2019. In addition, from 2019 to 2020, visits to inland water passenger transportation increased by 12%. However, from 2020 to 2021, it decreased by 45%.

Sub-category	2019 visit	2020 visit	2021 visit	2019-2020 change percent	2020-2021 change percent
Airport	178839	84109	40778	-53%	-5%
Line-Haul Railroads	3821	2281	1398	-40%	-39%
Bus and Other Motor Vehicle Transit Systems	4136	1318	1166	-68%	-12%
Port and Harbor Operations	187	2073	186	1009%	-91%
Inland Water Passenger Transportation	883	992	549	12%	-45%
Total visit count	192334	95329	47437	-50%	-50%

Table 4: Percent change in transportation subcategories

5.2.3 Food

The spatial distribution of change of visits to POI in food shows that people decreased their visits to food places from 2019 to 2020 and 2021 in general. Particularly, visits to POI in food near Central Park, Midtown Manhattan and Lower Manhattan, and JFK international airport had a significant decrease with less than 50% visits to Upper Manhattan, Brooklyn Borough and Central Woodlawn at the north end of the Bronx Borough decreased by 20% -50%. From 2020 to 2021, the degree of decreasing had slowed down for most areas in NYC, although the visits to restaurants near Central Park continued to show a 50% drop. Visits to restaurants at JFK international airport decreased by 35.6% in 2021. Visits to Flushing Meadows Corona Park in northern Queens Borough decreased by 33.2% from 2019 to 2020, however, only decreased by 5% from 2020 to 2021.




Figure 6: Percent change of visit count to Food

Visits to places in food has decreased by 57% from 2019 to 2020 and with smaller drop from 2020 to 2021: about 44%. Particularly, visits to drinking places, full-service restaurants and limited-service restaurants(e.g. fast-food restaurants and takeout eating places) all show a 59% decrease from 2019 to 2020.

Sub-category	2019 visit	2020 visit	2021 visit	2019-2020 change percent	2020-2021 change percent
Caterers	52018	23190	13177	-55%	-43%
Drinking Places (Alcoholic Beverages)	547215	223974	131639	-59%	-41%
Full-Service Restaurants	1989470	816758	491380	-59%	-40%
Limited-Service Restaurants	1031002	419592	227798	-59%	-46%
Snack and Nonalcoholic Beverage Bars	926039	462201	235293	-50%	-49%
Total visit count	4545744	1945715	1099287	-57%	44%

Table 5: Percent change in food subcategories

5.2.4 Wholesale and Retail

The spatial distribution of change of visits to POI in wholesale and retail shows that people decreased their visits to wholesale and retail stores and supermarkets from 2019 to 2020 and 2021 in general. From 2019 to 2020, visits to POI in such category has decreased by more than 50% in Central Park and Midtown and Lower Manhattan. Visits to Upper Manhattan, West Shore in Staten Island Borough, JFK international airport and north areas in Bronx Borough decreased by 50% -20%. From 2020 to 2021, visits to POI in wholesale and retail decreased by 50% near Central Park in Manhattan Borough and West Shore in Staten Island Borough. However, the decreasing rate to other places in NYC has dropped. In addition, visits to wholesale and retail in JFK has increased by 20% in 2021.




Figure 7: Percent change of visit count to wholesale and retail

Visits to places in wholesale and retail has decreased by 34% from 2019 to 2020, and decreased by 41% from 2020 to 2021 in total. Particularly, from 2019 to 2020, visits to supermarkets and grocery retailers(except convenience retailers) had decreased by 33%. Visits to fruit and vegetable retailers, and drug merchant wholesale decreased by more than 40% in 2020. In 2021, the mobility pattern finds a 43% decrease in visits to supermarket and grocery, and a 35% reduction in visits to fruit and vegetable stores decreased by 35% in 2021. However, visits to drug merchant wholesale shows a trivial 2% increase from 2020 to 2021.

Sub-category	2019 visit	2020 visit	2021 visit	2019-2020 change percent	2020-2021 change percent
Drugs and Druggists' Sundries Merchant Wholesalers	1193	618	628	-48%	2%
Fruit and Vegetable Retailers	14857	8315	5379	-44%	-35%
Supermarkets and Other Grocery Retailers (except Convenience Retailers)	114498	77034	43662	-33%	-43%
Total visit count	167629	110027	64228	-34%	-42%

Table 6: Percent change in wholesale and retail subcategories

5.2.5 Health Care

The spatial distribution of change of visits to POI in health care shows that people decreased their visits to health care hospitals, facilities, and caring centers from 2019 to 2020 and 2021 in general. From 2019 to 2020, visits to POI in such category has decreased by 0-50% in Manhattan Borough, JFK international airport and western areas in Staten Island Borough. However, visits in hospitals at southeastern Staten Island Borough shows a 19.3% increase. From 2020 to 2021, visits to POI in health care shows a similar decreasing pattern in Manhattan Borough. However, visits to JFK international airport decreased by 60%. At the same time, visits to west shore in Staten Island Borough increased by 15.6%, while visits to southeastern Staten Island Borough shows a 27% decrease.




Figure 8: Percent change of visit count to health care

Visits to places in health care has decreased by 35% from 2019 to 2020, and decreased by 32% from 2020 to 2021. Especially, from 2019 to 2020, visits to nursing care centers(e.g. convalescent hospitals) and Physicians' office have decreased by more than 40%. Visits to child care hospital and services has decreased by 35%. However, in 2021, the visit count to child care services dropped by 48% compared to 2020. And the visit to nursing care facilities also dropped by 44%.

Sub-category	2019 visit	2020 visit	2021 visit	2019-2020 change percent	2020-2021 change percent
Child Care Services	89217	58072	30109	-35%	-48%
General Medical and Surgical Hospitals	148910	105307	83648	-29%	-21%
Kidney Dialysis Centers	40091	28468	18973	-29%	-33%
Offices of Physicians (except Mental Health Specialists)	82857	49998	35717	-40%	-29%
Nursing Care Facilities (Skilled Nursing Facilities)	5338	2983	1660	-44%	-44%
Total visit count	471345	307228	210259	-35%	-32%

Table 7: Percent change in health care subcategories

5.3 Social equity analysis

Firstly, the temporal changes in different income contexts were analyzed separately according to Equation (2). In the following description, high-income groups represent people living in the tracts where the local income is higher than the average level in NYC. Low-income groups represent people living in the tracts where the local income is lower than the average level in NYC.

In March 2020, high-income groups visited Midtown and Lower Manhattan, JFK international airport at Queens Borough and Floyd Bennett Field at southeast Brooklyn Borough with a over 100% decrease in frequency.

At the same time, their visits had 50%-100% decrease to Upper Manhattan, west shore, northern and eastern areas in Staten Island Borough, most areas in Brooklyn Borough. However, smaller than 50% increase from this income group was observed in central and south Staten Island Borough, southern areas in Brooklyn Borough and outskirt in Queens Borough. Compared with visit count in 2020, visits from people living in high-income tracts in NYC decreased by more than 50% in Upper and Midtown Manhattan. Visits to other areas in Manhattan Borough and most areas in Queens Borough and Brooklyn Borough decreased by less than 50%. However, a 100%-200% increase in visit counts was found in Floyd Bennett Field in southeast Brooklyn Borough. In addition, people’s visits to central Staten Island and northeastern Bronx Borough increased by 0-50%.




Figure 9: Percentage change of visit count of people from high-income tracts

As for low-income groups, their visits to Manhattan, JFK, and Pleasant Plains neighborhood in south Staten Island Borough dropped by over 100% in 2021. Visits from this group to Upper Manhattan decreased by 0-50%. However, visits to Central Park increased by 36%. In addition, visits to south and east shore in Staten Island Borough increased by 0-50%. In 2021, the decreasing rate of visit count from low-income tracts to Central Park jumped to 80%. Visit count to other areas in Manhattan Borough and most areas in Queens Borough, Brooklyn Borough decreased by 0-50% rate.




Figure 10: Percentage change of visit count of people from low-income tracts

Secondly, the comparison of temporal change degree in low- and high- income groups were analyzed separately according to Equation (3).

From 2020 to 2021, the difference of visit count percentage between high-income to low-income groups is negative, indicating low-income groups decreased their mobility pattern in larger degree during the outbreak(March 2020) with darker color in Figure(10a). The decreasing rate of visits from low-income tract to Lower Manhattan, JFK international airport and Eltingville neighborhood at south Staten Island Borough are higher than 50% compared to the counter part of high-income groups. The decreasing rate of visits to Upper Manhattan, Flushing Meadows Corona Park at north Queens Borough, and northeastern and southeastern areas in Staten Island Borough are 0-50% higher than the counterpart in high-income groups. However, the smaller-degree decrease in low-income people were found in Central Park, north Bronx Borough and west shore in Staten Island.

From 2020 to 2021, the difference of visit count percentage between high-income to low-income groups is positive, high-income groups increased their mobility pattern in larger degree after the outbreak(March 2021) with darker color in Figure(11b).




Figure 11: Comparison of the change rate between high-income group and low-income group

References