

CSC165H1 Problem Set 3

Xiaoyu Zhou, Yichen Xu

March 7, 2019

1. Induction and sequences

(a) *Proof:* Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Base Case: Let $n = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}d_{2n-1} &= d_1 = 1 \leq \sqrt{1} \\ &= \sqrt{2-1} \\ &= \sqrt{2n-1}\end{aligned}$$

Induction Step: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and assume that $d_{2n+1} \leq \sqrt{2n-1}$. We want to prove that $d_{2(n+1)-1} \leq \sqrt{2(n+1)-1}$, which is $d_{2n+1} \leq \sqrt{2n+1}$

$$\begin{aligned}d_{2n+1} &= \frac{n+1}{d_{2n}} \\ &= \frac{2n+1}{\frac{2n}{d_{2n-1}}} \\ &= \frac{(2n+1)d_{2n-1}}{2n} \\ &\leq \frac{(2n+1)\sqrt{2n-1}}{2n} \text{ (since } d_{2n-1} \leq \sqrt{2n-1} \text{)} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2n+1} * \sqrt{2n-1} * \sqrt{2n+1}}{2n} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{4n^2-1} * \sqrt{2n+1}}{2n} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{4n^2} * \sqrt{2n+1}}{2n} \\ &= \frac{2n * \sqrt{2n+1}}{2n} \\ &= \sqrt{2n+1}\end{aligned}$$

(b) *Proof:* Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which means that $n \geq 1$.

So $2n \geq 2$, which means that d_{2n-1} always exist.

From part (a), we can know that $d_{2n-1} \leq \sqrt{2n-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n} &= \frac{2n}{d_{2n-1}} \\ &\geq \frac{2n}{\sqrt{2n-1}} \\ &> \frac{2n}{\sqrt{2n}} \\ &= \sqrt{2n} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $d_{2n} > \sqrt{2n}$

2. Number Representations

(a) i.

$$\begin{aligned} (T011T)_{bt} &= (-1) \times 3^4 + 0 \times 3^3 + 1 \times 3^2 + 1 \times 3^1 + (-1) \times 3^0 \\ &= -81 + 0 + 9 + 3 - 1 \\ &= -70 \end{aligned}$$

ii.

$$\begin{aligned} 210 &= 1 \times 3^5 + 0 \times 3^4 + (-1) \times 3^3 + (-1) \times 3^2 + 1 \times 3^1 + 0 \times 3^0 \\ &= (10TT10)_{bt} \end{aligned}$$

(b) *Proof:* Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

Base Case: Let $n = 1$

$$3^n - 3 = 3^1 - 3 = 0$$

6 can be divided by 0, so $6|0$, which is $6|3^1 - 3$.

Induction Step: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and assume that $6|3^n - 3$. We want to prove $6|3^{n+1} - 3$.

Since $6|3^n - 3$, there exist $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ that $6k_1 = 3^n - 3$.

Let $k_2 = 3k_1 + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} 3^{n+1} - 3 &= (3^n - 3)3 + 6 \\ &= 6k_1 \times 3 + 6 \\ &= 18k_1 + 6 \\ &= 6(3k_1 + 1) \\ &= 6k_2 \end{aligned}$$

so $6|3^{n+1} - 3$

(c) Let $P(n) : \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, (x \text{ is } n\text{-digit positively balanced}) \Rightarrow 6 \nmid x - 2 \wedge 6 \nmid x - 5$.

We want to prove that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, P(n)$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

Base Case: Let $n = 1$.

$x = d_0 * 3^0$, where d_0 can be 1 or 0. Let us divide the proof in two cases.

Case 1: When d_0 is 0, so $x = 0$.

$$x - 2 = 0 - 2 = -2$$

$6 \nmid -2$, which means that $6 \nmid x - 2$

$$x - 5 = 0 - 5 = -5$$

$6 \nmid -5$, which means that $6 \nmid x - 5$

Case 2: When d_0 is 1, so $x = 1$.

$$x - 2 = 1 - 2 = -1$$

$6 \nmid -1$, which means that $6 \nmid x - 2$

$$x - 5 = 1 - 5 = -4$$

$6 \nmid -4$, which means that $6 \nmid x - 5$

Inductive Step: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and assume that $P(n)$ is true (i.e. $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, (x \text{ is } n\text{-digit positively balanced}) \Rightarrow 6 \nmid x - 2 \wedge 6 \nmid x - 5$.) We want to prove that $P(n+1)$ is true. Let $x_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that x_2 is $n+1$ -digit positively balanced, we want to prove that $6 \nmid x_2 - 2 \wedge 6 \nmid x_2 - 5$.

By the definition of balance ternary, we can know that $x_1 = (d_{n-1}d_{n-2}\dots d_1d_0)_{bt}$, $x_2 = (d_nd_{n-1}d_{n-2}\dots d_1d_0)_{bt}$.

By the definition of balance ternary and n -digit positively balanced, we can know that $x_2 = x_1 + c * 3^n$, where $c = 0$ or $c = 1$.

So we will divide the proof in two cases:

Case 1: When $c = 0$, which is $x_2 = x_1$.

Form the assumption, we can know that $6 \nmid x_1 - 2$ and $6 \nmid x_1 - 5$.

Thus $6 \nmid x_2 - 2$ and $6 \nmid x_2 - 5$

Case 2: When $c = 1$, which is $x_2 = x_1 + 3^n$

Let's divide the proof into two parts:

Part 1: We want to proof that $6 \nmid x_2 - 2$.

$$x_2 - 2 = x_1 + 3^n - 2 = (x_1 - 5) + (3^n + 3) = (x_1 - 5) + (3^n - 3) + 6$$

Form part (b), we already know that $6 \mid 3^n - 3$, also $6 \mid 6$.

However, from the assumption we can know that $6 \nmid x_1 - 5$

By the Quotient-Remainder Theorem, we can conclude that:

$$6 \nmid x_1 - 5 + 3^n - 3 + 6, \text{ which is } 6 \nmid x_2 - 2$$

Part 2: We want to prove that $6 \nmid x_2 - 5$

$$x_2 - 5 = x_1 + 3^n - 5 = (x_1 - 2) + (3^n - 3)$$

From part (b), we already know that $6 \mid 3^n - 3$. From the assumption, we can know that $6 \nmid x_1 - 2$

Thus, by the Quotient-Remainder Theorem, we can conclude that

$$6 \nmid x_1 - 2 + 3^n - 3, \text{ which is } 6 \nmid x_2 - 5$$

3. Properties of Asymptotic Notation

(a) **Translation:** $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow n^n \leq c * n^k$

Negation: $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \wedge n^n > c * n^k$

Proof: Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, let $n = k + c + n_0 + 1$. We want to prove $n \geq n_0$ and $n^n > c * n^k$. We will divide the proof in two parts.

Part 1: Since $k \geq 0, c, n_0 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} k + c + n_0 + 1 &\geq n_0 \\ \text{So, } n &\geq n_0 \end{aligned}$$

Part 2: Since $k \geq 0, c, n_0 > 0$ and $n = k + c + n_0 + 1, n > k + 1, n > c$ and $n > n_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} c * n^k &< n * n^k \\ &= n^{k+1} \\ &< n^n \text{ (since } n^x \text{ is a non-decreasing function and } n > k + 1) \end{aligned}$$

So the original statement is false

(b) **Translation:** $\exists c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow 165n^5 + n^2 \leq c(n^5 - n^3)$

Proof: Let $c = 166$, Let $n_0 = 13$, Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, assume $n \geq n_0$, we want to prove that $165n^5 + n^2 \leq c(n^5 - n^3)$.

$$\begin{aligned} c(n^5 - n^3) &= 166(n^5 - n^3) \\ &= 165n^5 + (n^5 - 166n^3) \\ &= 165n^5 + n^2 * n * (n^2 - 166) \\ &\geq 165n^5 + n^2 * 13 * (13^2 - 166) \text{ (since } n \geq n_0 = 13) \\ &= 165n^5 + 39n^2 \\ &> 165n^5 + n^2 \end{aligned}$$

So $c(n^5 - n^3) \geq 165n^5 + n^2$ has been proven

(c) **Translation:** $\exists c_1, c_2, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow c_1 * 4^{n^2+n} \leq 4^{n^2} \leq c_2 * 4^{n^2+n}$

Negation: $\forall c_1, c_2, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, (n \geq n_0 \wedge c_1 * 4^{n^2+n} > 4^{n^2}) \vee (n \geq n_0 \wedge 4^{n^2} > c_2 * 4^{n^2+n})$

Proof: Let $c_1, c_2, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, Let $n = \max(\frac{\ln \frac{1}{c_1}}{\ln 4} + 1, n_0)$, we want to prove that $n \geq n_0$ and $c_1 * 4^{n^2+n} > 4^{n^2}$, or $n \geq n_0$ and $c_2 * 4^{n^2+n} < 4^{n^2}$. We will prove that $n \geq n_0$ and $c_1 * 4^{n^2+n} > 4^{n^2}$. Let us divide the proof in two part.

Part 1: We want to prove that $n \geq n_0$.

Since $n = \max(\frac{\ln \frac{1}{c_1}}{\ln 4} + 1, n_0)$, then $n \geq n_0$.

Part 2: We want to prove that $c_1 * 4^{n^2+n} > 4^{n^2}$. since $n = \max(\frac{\ln \frac{1}{c_1}}{\ln 4} + 1, n_0)$, then $n \geq \frac{\ln \frac{1}{c_1}}{\ln 4} + 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
n &\geq \frac{\ln \frac{1}{c_1}}{\ln 4} + 1 \\
n &> \frac{\ln \frac{1}{c_1}}{\ln 4} \\
4^n &> 4^{\frac{\ln \frac{1}{c_1}}{\ln 4}} \text{ (since } 4^n \text{ is non-decreasing and use Definition 1)} \\
\ln 4^n &> \ln 4^{\frac{\ln \frac{1}{c_1}}{\ln 4}} \text{ (since } \ln x \text{ is non-decreasing and use Definition 1)} \\
\ln 4^n &> \frac{\ln \frac{1}{c_1}}{\ln 4} * \ln 4 \\
\ln 4^n &> \ln \frac{1}{c_1} \\
4^n &> \frac{1}{c_1} \text{ (since } \ln x \text{ is non-decreasing and use Definition 1)} \\
c_1 * 4^n &> 1 \text{ (since } c_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+) \\
c_1 * 4^{n^2} * 4^n &> 4^{n^2} \text{ (since } 4^{n^2} > 0 \\
c_1 * 4^{n^2+n} &> 4^{n^2}
\end{aligned}$$

so the statement is false.

- (d) *Proof.* Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that f is non-decreasing and $f(n) = n^2$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If we want to prove that $f \in \Theta(n^2)$, we can prove that $f \in O(n^2)$ and $f \in \Omega(n^2)$. We will divide the proof in two parts.

Part 1: We want to prove that $f \in O(n^2)$.

Let $c = 4$, $n_0 = 0.1$ and $g(n) = 4n^2$. We want to prove that when $n \geq n_0$, $f(n) \leq 4n^2$, which is $f(n) \leq g(n)$, so that $f \in O(n^2)$.

Since n is natural number, we always have that $n \geq 1 > 0.1 = n_0$.

Let us divide the proof in two cases.

Case 1: n is a power of two, which means that $n = 2^k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Because n is a power of two, from the question, we can know that $f(n) = n^2 \leq 4n^2$. Thus, $f \in O(n^2)$.

Case 2: n is not a power of two.

Since n is not the power of two and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 2$. Thus there must be a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $2^k < n < 2^{k+1}$.

Since f is non-decreasing, $f(2^k) < f(n) < f(2^{k+1})$ (from Definition 1).

From the question, we can know that:

$$f(2^k) = (2^k)^2 = 2^{2k}$$

$$f(2^{k+1}) = (2^{k+1})^2 = 2^{2k+2} \text{ (since } f(n) = n^2 \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ that is a power of two)}$$

$$\text{So } 2^{2k} \leq f(n) \leq 2^{2k+2}.$$

Also, we can easily know that $g(n) = 4n^2$ is a non-decreasing function.

Thus, $g(2^k) \leq g(n)$ (since $2^k \leq n$).

$$g(2^k) = 4 * (2^k)^2 = 4 * 2^{2k} = 2^2 * 2^{2k} = 2^{2k+2}$$

$$\text{Thus, } 2^{2k+2} \leq g(n)$$

$$\text{Thus, } 2^{2k} \leq f(n) \leq 2^{2k+1} \leq g(n).$$

$$\text{So, } f(n) \leq g(n) = 4n^2$$

$$\text{So } f \in O(n^2)$$

Part 2: We want to prove that $f \in \Omega(n^2)$.

Let $c = \frac{1}{4}$, $n_0 = 0.1$ and $g(n) = \frac{1}{4}n^2$. We want to prove that when $n \geq n_0$, $f(n) \geq \frac{1}{4}n^2$, which is $f(n) \geq g(n)$, so that $f \in \Omega(n^2)$.

Since n is natural number, we always have that $n \geq 1 > 0.1 = n_0$.

Let us divide the proof in two cases.

Case 1: n is a power of two, which means that there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $n = 2^k$. Because n is a power of two, from the question, we can know that $f(n) = n^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}n^2$. Thus, $f \in \Omega(n^2)$.

Case 2: n is not a power of two.

Since n is not the power of two and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 2$. Thus there must be a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $2^k < n < 2^{k+1}$.

Since f is non-decreasing, $f(2^k) < f(n) < f(2^{k+1})$ (from Definition 1).

From the question, we can know that:

$$f(2^k) = (2^k)^2 = 2^{2k}$$

$$f(2^{k+1}) = (2^{k+1})^2 = 2^{2k+1} \text{ (since } f(n) = n^2 \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ that is a power of two)}$$

$$\text{So } 2^{2k} \leq f(n) \leq 2^{2k+1}.$$

We can easily know that $g(n) = \frac{1}{4}n^2$ is a non-decreasing function.

Thus, $g(n) \leq g(2^{k+1})$ (since $n \leq 2^{k+1}$).

$$g(2^{k+1}) = \frac{1}{4} * (2^{k+1})^2 = \frac{1}{4} * 2^{2k+2} = 2^{-2} * 2^{2k+2} = 2^{2k}$$

$$\text{Thus, } g(n) \leq 2^{2k}$$

$$\text{Thus, } g(n) \leq 2^{2k} \leq f(n) \leq 2^{2k+1}.$$

$$\text{So, } f(n) \geq g(n) = \frac{1}{4}n^2$$

$$\text{So } f \in \Omega(n^2)$$