BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



9500 GILMAN DRIVE LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Student IA Evaluation for Haitian Xie Department of Economics

ECON 220C - Econometrics C (Sun, Yixiao) Spring 2019

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 15 Number of Students Enrolled: 28

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

5 (35.7%): Strongly Agree

3 (21.4%): Agree

3 (21.4%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

3 (21.4%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.

6 (40.0%): Yes

8 (53.3%): No

1 (6.7%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

4 (26.7%): Strongly Agree

2 (13.3%): Agree

1 (6.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 8 (53.3%): Not Applicable

4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

4 (33.3%): Strongly Agree

2 (16.7%): Agree

4 (33.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (8.3%): Disagree

1 (8.3%): Strongly Disagree 3: [No Response]

5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.

15 (100.0%): Yes 0 (0.0%): No

0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

10 (66.7%): Strongly Agree

5 (33.3%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

9 (60.0%): Strongly Agree

4 (26.7%): Agree

1 (6.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

1 (6.7%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

7 (46.7%): Strongly Agree

5 (33.3%): Agree

2 (13.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (6.7%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).

13 (86.7%): Yes 1 (6.7%): No

1 (6.7%): Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

8 (53.3%): Strongly Agree

5 (33.3%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 2 (13.3%): Not Applicable

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

6 (40.0%): Strongly Agree

7 (46.7%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 2 (13.3%): Not Applicable

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

6 (42.9%): Strongly Agree

7 (50.0%): Agree

1 (7.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 1: [No Response]

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

5 (35.7%): Strongly Agree

5 (35.7%): Agree

3 (21.4%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (7.1%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response] 14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

5 (35.7%): Strongly Agree

5 (35.7%): Agree

4 (28.6%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1: [No Response]

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation? Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Haitian clearly knew the material very well, and the topics covered in his TA sessions were well-chosen. However, he had a habit of asking questions and then waiting for extremely long periods to answer them, and this made the discussion sections feel very slow-paced and hard to get through. Also, asking students to answer questions about topics not yet covered, and then asking the class to grade their responses (as happened in the first TA session) makes people unwilling to contribute answers. If he went at a faster pace, the discussion sections would be much more enjoyable.
- Haitian prepares excellent discussion sections. However, his delivery is extremely slow. He tends to lose most of the class's attention when he goes through material very slowly. Haitian would deliver more effective discussion sections by moving through the material at a quicker pace.
- Sometimes it was hard to hear Haitian since he has a very quiet voice.
 Also, there were times that Haitian asked questions to the class and no one responded. After a minute or two it is better to move forward/ give the answer/ ask the question in a different way than it is to continue to wait.
- The TA did not provide us with a review for the final. He did not even go over the topics we would need to study for the final. He also spoke about grading policies and exam difficulty informally with a few students in his office hours, but never shared this information with the whole class during the review sessions. This put the rest of us at a disadvantage.
- there was no need for that kind of mid term grading

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Economics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.