

# Proving a bound by Induction

- Recurrence to solve:  $T(n) = 3T(n/3) + n$
- Guess at a solution:  $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$

*Proof steps :*

- Rewrite claim to remove big-O:  $T(n) \leq cn \lg n$  for some  $c \geq 0$ .
- “Assume”  $T(n') \leq cn' \lg n'$  for all  $n' < n$ .
- Prove that the claim holds for  $n$ . Here is the proof

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 3T(n/3) + n \\ &\leq 3(c(n/3) \lg(n/3)) + n \quad (\text{by inductive hypothesis since } n/3 < n) \\ &= cn(\lg n - \lg 3) + n \\ &= cn \lg n + n - cn \lg 3 \end{aligned}$$

- Now we really want to choose  $c$  so that this last line is  $\leq cn \lg n$
- Equivalently, we really want to choose  $c$  so that  $n - cn \lg 3 < 0$
- Equivalently, we really want to choose  $c$  so that  $c \lg 3 > 1$
- $c = 1$  works and completes the proof, as now  $n \lg n + n(1 - \lg 3) \leq n \lg n$

## Recurrences with a big-O in the $f(n)$

- Recurrences describing running times often have a big-O in the non-recursive term
- Consider  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$
- What does the  $O(n)$  mean?

## Recurrences with a big-O in the $f(n)$

- Recurrences describing running times often have a big-O in the non-recursive term
- Consider  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$
- What does the  $O(n)$  mean?
- The  $O(n)$  is describing an algorithm e.g. merge, that runs in time  $kn$  for some  $k > 0$  that we don't get to pick.

Claim:  $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$

Question: What do this big-O mean?

## Recurrences with a big-O in the $f(n)$

- Recurrences describing running times often have a big-O in the non-recursive term
- Consider  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$
- What does the  $O(n)$  mean?
- The  $O(n)$  is describing an algorithm e.g. merge, that runs in time  $kn$  for some  $k > 0$  that we don't get to pick.

Claim:  $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$

Question: What do this big-O mean?

Answer:  $T(n) \leq cn \lg n$  for some  $c > 0$ , which we do get to pick

## Mechanics of Proof

**Claim:** The recurrence  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + kn$  has solution  $T(n) \leq cn \lg n$ .

**Proof:** Use mathematical induction. The base case (implicitly) holds (we didn't even write the base case of the recurrence down).

**Inductive step:**

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(n/2) + kn \\ &\leq 2\left(c\frac{n}{2} \lg\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right) + kn \\ &= cn(\lg n - 1) + kn \\ &= cn \lg n + kn - cn \end{aligned}$$

Now we want this last term to be

$$\leq cn \lg n$$

, so we need  $kn - cn \leq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} kn - cn &\leq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow (k - c)n &\leq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow (k - c) &\leq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow k &\leq c \end{aligned}$$

## Is $k \leq c$

- Recall that  $k$  is given to us (we don't choose it)
- We get to choose  $c$ .
- So if we choose  $c = k$ , then we have satisfied  $c \leq k$ , and the proof is complete.

## Proof subtlety

Sometimes we have the correct solution, but the proof by induction doesn't work

- Consider  $T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n$
- By the master theorem, the solution is  $O(n^2)$

Proof by induction that  $T(n) \leq cn^2$  for some  $c > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 4T(n/2) + n \\ &\leq 4 \left( c \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)^2 \right) + n \\ &= cn^2 + n \end{aligned}$$

Now we want this last term to be

$$\leq cn^2$$

, so we need  $n \leq 0$

## Sometimes we have the correct solution, but the proof by

- Consider  $T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n$
- By the master theorem, the solution is  $O(n^2)$

Proof by induction that  $T(n) \leq cn^2$  for some  $c > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 4T(n/2) + n \\ &\leq 4 \left( c \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)^2 \right) + n \\ &= cn^2 + n \end{aligned}$$

Now we want this last term to be

$$\leq cn^2$$

, so we need  $n \leq 0$

UhOh No way is  $n \leq 0$ . What went wrong?

## General Issue with proofs by induction

- Sometimes, you can't prove something by induction because it is too **weak**. So your inductive hypothesis is not strong enough.
- The fix is to prove something stronger
- We will prove that  $T(n) \leq cn^2 - dn$  for some positive constants  $c, d$  that we get to chose.
- We chose to add the  $-dn$  because we noticed that there was an extra  $n$  in the previous proof.

## The proof

**Claim:**  $T(n) \leq cn^2 - dn$  for some positive constants  $c, d$

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 4T(n/2) + n \\ &\leq 4\left(c\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - d\frac{n}{2}\right) + n \\ &= cn^2 - 2dn + n \\ &= (cn^2 - dn) + (n - dn) \\ &= (cn^2 - dn) + (1 - d)n \end{aligned}$$

Now we want this last term to be

$$\leq cn^2 - dn$$

, so we need  $(1 - d)n \leq 0$  . Just choose  $d = 2$  . We can choose  $c$  to be anything, say 1

**Conclusion**

$$T(n) \leq cn^2 - 2n = O(n^2)$$