

CSOR W4231 Analysis of algorithms I
Assignment 3

Xijiao Li (xl2950)

October 9, 2020

Problem 1

We will use greedy algorithm here. The algorithm will be as follow:

```
Initialize an empty list A to store the placement of guards
for  $x_i$  in set X
  if A is empty or  $x_i > A[-1]$ :
    place a guard  $a$  on  $l + x_i$  and append  $a$  to the end of A
  else:
    continue to  $x_{i+1}$ 
```

Prove the optimality of the algorithm:

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ denotes the solution generated by the above algorithm, and let $O = \{o_1, o_2, \dots, o_m\}$ be an optimal solution.

Property 1:

Based on our strategy, $a_1 = x_1 + 1$. If the first placement o_1 of O is on the right side of a_1 , i.e., $a_1 < o_1$, then it cannot guard x_1 , since $x_1 = a_1 - 1 < o_1 - 1$. This leads to a contradiction, so o_1 must be on the left side of a_1 . Therefore, replacing o_1 in O with a_1 yields another feasible (and optimal) solution, since every x_i guarded by o_1 can be guarded by a_1 , too. Proof:

Given $o_1 - 1 \leq x_i \leq o_1 + 1$,

we have $o_1 - 1 < a_1 - 1 = x_1 \leq x_i \leq o_1 + 1 < a_1 + 1$,

thus, $a_1 - 1 \leq x_i < a_1 + 1$

Therefore, there is an optimal solution whose first guard agrees with the greedy algorithm above.

Property 2:

Once we placed the first guard, suppose that it can guard $x_1 \dots x_k$. So the remaining guards must be placed to protect $X' = \{x_{k+1} \dots x_i\}$. This is a subproblem of the original one, and we can again use the above steps to prove that at each placement i , replacing the choice o_i of an arbitrary optimal solution with the choice a_i of greedy can yield another feasible optimal solution.

Therefore, we have proved the optimality of our greedy algorithm.