resources

Leo Freitas

June 8, 2022

Contents

1	Basic types 1.1 VDM tokens	3 9
2	Sets	9
3	Sequences 3.1 Sequence operators specification	12 13 17
4	Optional inner type invariant check	22
5	Maps 5.1 Map comprehension	22 25
6	Lambda types	28
7	Is test and type coercions 7.1 Basic type coercions	28 28 29 30
8	Set operators lemmas	30
9	9.0.1 Domain restriction weakening lemmas [EXPERT]	30 31 33 36 39 40 44
	9.0.0 Map initieness weakening lemmas [EAPERT]	44

10 To tidy up or remove	46
10.1 Set translations: enumeration, comprehension, ranges	48
10.2 Seq translations: enumeration, comprehension, ranges	
10.2 Seq translations. Chameration, comprehension, ranges	10
11 VDM PO layered expansion-proof strategy setup	49
theory VDMEisbach	10
imports Complex-Main	
begin	
begin	
named-theorems	
VDM-basic-defs and	
v Divi-vasic-acjs and	
VDM- num - $defs$ and	
VDM-num-fcns and	
VDM-num-spec-pre and	
VDM-num-spec-post and	
VDM-num-spec and	
VDM- num and	
T/DM 116 1	
VDM-set-defs and	
VDM-set-fcns and	
VDM-set-spec-pre and	
VDM-set-spec-post and	
VDM-set-spec and	
VDM-set and	
VDM-seq-defs and	
VDM-seq-fcns-1 and	
VDM-seq-fcns-2 and	
VDM-seq-fcns-3 and	
VDM-seq-fcns and	
VDM-seq-spec-pre and	
VDM-seq-spec-post-1 and	
VDM-seq-spec-post-2 and	
VDM-seq-spec-post-3 and	
VDM-seq-spec-post and	
VDM-seq-spec and	
VDM-seq and	
VDM- map - $defs$ and	
, _ 1.0	
VDM-map-fcns-simps and	
VDM-map-fcns-1-simps and	
VDM- map - $fcns$ - 2 - $simps$ and	
VDM-map-fcns and	
VDM-map-fcns-1 and	
VDM-map-fcns-2 and	
VDM-map-fcns-3 and	

```
VDM-map-fcns-4
                    and
 VDM-map-comp
                     and
 VDM-map-comp-1
                     and
 VDM-map-comp-2
                     and
 VDM-map-comp-3
                     and
 VDM-map
                   and
 VDM-num-crc
                    and
 VDM-num-crc-1
                    and
 VDM-num-crc-2
                    and
 VDM-num-crc-3
                    and
 VDM-stms-defs
                   and
 VDM-stms
                   and
 VDM-spec
                  and
 VDM-all
end
theory VDMToolkit
 imports
   — Include real fields, list and option types ordering
   Complex-Main
   VDME is bach
   HOL-Library.List-Lexorder
   HOL-Library. Option-ord
  HOL-Library.La\, TeX sugar
   HOL-Library. While-Combinator
```

1 Basic types

begin

```
type-notation bool (\mathbb{B}) type-notation nat (\mathbb{N}) type-notation int (\mathbb{Z}) type-notation rat (\mathbb{Q}) type-notation real (\mathbb{R})
```

VDM numeric expressions have a series of implicit type widening rules. For example, 4-x could lead to an integer -y result, despite all parameters involved being \mathbb{N} , whereas in HOL, the result is always a \mathbb{N} ultimately equal to θ :: 'a.

Therefore, we take the view of the widest (compatible) type to use in the translation, where type widening to $\mathbb Q$ or $\mathbb R$ is dealt with through Isabelle's type coercions.

```
type-synonym VDMNat = \mathbb{Z}

type-synonym VDMNat1 = \mathbb{Z}

type-synonym VDMInt = \mathbb{Z}

type-synonym VDMRat = \mathbb{Q}

type-synonym VDMReal = \mathbb{R}

type-synonym VDMChar = char
```

Moreover, VDM type invariant checks have to be made explicit in VDM. That is possible either through subtyping, which will require substantial proof-engineering machinery; or through explicit type invariant predicates. We choose the later for all VDM types.

```
definition
  inv\text{-}VDMNat:: \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
    inv-VDMNat n \equiv n \geq 0
definition
  \mathit{inv-VDMNat1} :: \mathbf{Z} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
    inv-VDMNat1 \ n \equiv n > 0
Bottom invariant check is that value is not undefined.
definition
  inv-True :: 'a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  where
  [intro!]: inv\text{-}True \equiv \lambda \ x . True
definition
  inv-bool :: \mathbb{B} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
    inv-bool i \equiv inv-True i
definition
  inv-VDMChar :: VDMChar <math>\Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
    inv\text{-}VDMChar\ c \equiv inv\text{-}True\ c
definition
  inv-VDMInt :: \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
    inv-VDMInt i \equiv inv-True i
definition
  \mathit{inv\text{-}VDMReal} :: \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
    inv-VDMReal r \equiv inv-True r
```

```
inv\text{-}VDMRat :: \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
inv\text{-}VDMRat \ r \equiv inv\text{-}True \ r
lemma l\text{-}inv\text{-}True\text{-}True[simp]: inv\text{-}True \ r
by (simp \ add: inv\text{-}True\text{-}def)
In general, VDM narrow expressions are tricky, given they can downcast types according to the user-specified type of interest. In particular, at least for \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Q} (floor\text{-}ceiling type class), type narrowing to VDMInt is fine definition
```

```
vdm-narrow-real :: ('a::floor-ceiling) \Rightarrow VDMInt where vdm-narrow-real r \equiv \lfloor r \rfloor definition vdm-div :: VDMInt \Rightarrow VDMInt \Rightarrow VDMInt (infixl vdmdiv 70)
```

definition

```
pre-vdm-div :: VDMInt \Rightarrow VDMInt \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} where pre-vdm-div \ x \ y \equiv y \neq 0
```

definition

```
\begin{array}{l} \textit{post-vdm-div} :: \textit{VDMInt} \Rightarrow \textit{VDMInt} \Rightarrow \textit{VDMInt} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\ \textbf{where} \\ \textit{post-vdm-div} \; x \; y \; RESULT \equiv \\ (x \geq 0 \land y \geq 0 \longrightarrow RESULT \geq 0) \land \\ (x < 0 \land y < 0 \longrightarrow RESULT \geq 0) \land \\ (x < 0 \land 0 < y \longrightarrow RESULT \leq 0) \land \\ (\theta < x \land y < 0 \longrightarrow RESULT \leq 0) \end{array}
```

VDM has div and mod but also rem for remainder. This is treated differently depending on whether the values involved have different sign. For now, we add these equivalences below, but might have to pay price in proof later. To illustrate this difference consider the result of - 7 div 3 = - 3 versus - 7 vdmdiv 3 = - 2

```
vdm\text{-}mod :: VDMInt \Rightarrow VDMInt \Rightarrow VDMInt (infix) vdmmod 70)
```

```
where
  [intro!]:
  x \ vdmmod \ y \equiv x - y * |x / y|
definition
  pre-vdm-mod :: VDMInt \Rightarrow VDMInt \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  where
  pre-vdm-mod\ x\ y \equiv y \neq 0
definition
  post\text{-}vdm\text{-}mod :: VDMInt \Rightarrow VDMInt \Rightarrow VDMInt \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  where
  post\text{-}vdm\text{-}mod\ x\ y\ RESULT\ \equiv
    (y \ge \theta \longrightarrow RESULT \ge \theta) \land
    (y < \theta \longrightarrow RESULT \leq \theta)
definition
  vdm\text{-}rem :: VDMInt \Rightarrow VDMInt \Rightarrow VDMInt (infix) vdmrem 70)
where
  [intro!]:
  x \ vdmrem \ y \equiv x - y * (x \ vdmdiv \ y)
definition
  pre-vdm-rem :: VDMInt \Rightarrow VDMInt \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  where
  \textit{pre-vdm-rem} \ x \ y \equiv \ y \neq \ \theta
definition
  post\text{-}vdm\text{-}rem :: VDMInt \Rightarrow VDMInt \Rightarrow VDMInt \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  where
  post-vdm-rem x \ y \ RESULT \equiv
    (x \ge \theta \longrightarrow RESULT \ge \theta) \land
    (x < \theta \longrightarrow RESULT \le \theta)
```

VDM has the power (**) operator for numbers, which is (powr) in Issable. Like in VDM, it accepts non-integer exponents. Isabelle have x^y for exponent y of type \mathbb{N} , and x powr y for exponent y that is a subset of the \mathbb{R} (i.e. real normed algebra natural logarithms; or natural logarithm exponentiation). We take the latter for translation.

```
vdm\text{-}pow :: 'a::ln \Rightarrow 'a::ln \Rightarrow 'a::ln \text{ (infixl } vdmpow 80)
\textbf{where}
[intro!]: x vdmpow y \equiv x powr y
\textbf{definition}
pre\text{-}vdm\text{-}pow :: 'a::ln \Rightarrow 'a::ln \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
\textbf{where}
pre\text{-}vdm\text{-}pow x y \equiv True
```

definition

```
post\text{-}vdm\text{-}pow :: 'a::ln \Rightarrow 'a::ln \Rightarrow 'a::ln \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
post\text{-}vdm\text{-}pow \ x \ y \ RESULT \equiv True
```

For VDM floor and abs, we use Isabelle's. Note that in VDM abs of \mathbb{Z} will return VDMNat, as the underlying type invariant might require further filtering on the function's results.

```
find-theorems - (-::'a list list) name:concat definition vdm-floor :: VDMReal \Rightarrow VDMNat where [intro!]: vdm-floor x \equiv \lfloor x \rfloor
```

The postcondition for flooring, takes the axiom defined in the archimedian field type class

definition

```
post\text{-}vdm\text{-}floor::VDMReal \Rightarrow VDMNat \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} where post\text{-}vdm\text{-}floor \ x \ RESULT \equiv of\text{-}int \ RESULT \leq x \land x < of\text{-}int \ (RESULT + 1)
```

definition

```
vdm-abs :: ('a::{zero,abs,ord}) \Rightarrow ('a::{zero,abs,ord}) where [intro!]: vdm-abs x \equiv |x|
```

Absolute postcondition does not use inv-VDMNat because the result could also be of type \mathbb{R} .

definition

```
post-vdm-abs :: ('a::\{zero,abs,ord\}) \Rightarrow ('a::\{zero,abs,ord\}) \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
post-vdm-abs \ x \ RESULT \equiv RESULT \geq 0
```

For equally signed operands of VDM's div/mod, we can get back to Isabelle's version of the operators, which will give access to various lemmas useful in proofs. So, if possible, automatically jump to the Isabelle versions.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{lemma} \ vdmdiv\text{-}div\text{-}ge0[simp]: \\ 0 \leq x \Longrightarrow 0 \leq y \Longrightarrow x \ vdmdiv \ y = x \ div \ y \\ \mathbf{unfolding} \ vdm\text{-}div\text{-}def \\ \mathbf{apply} \ (induct \ y) \ \mathbf{apply} \ simp\text{-}all \\ \mathbf{by} \ (metis \ divide\text{-}less\text{-}0\text{-}iff \ floor\text{-}divide\text{-}of\text{-}int\text{-}eq \ floor\text{-}less\text{-}zero \ floor\text{-}of\text{-}int \ floor\text{-}of\text{-}nat \ le\text{-}less\text{-}trans \ less\text{-}irreft \ of\text{-}int\text{-}of\text{-}nat\text{-}less\text{-}0\text{-}iff) \end{array}
```

```
lemma vdmdiv-div-le\theta[simp]: x \le 0 \Longrightarrow y \le 0 \Longrightarrow x \ vdmdiv \ y = x \ div \ y unfolding vdm-div-def apply (induct \ y) apply simp-all
```

```
apply safe
     apply (simp add: divide-less-0-iff)
   by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) floor-divide-of-int-eq minus-add-distrib minus-divide-right
of-int-1 of-int-add of-int-minus of-int-of-nat-eq uminus-add-conv-diff)
lemma vdmmod-mod[simp]:
    x \ vdmmod \ y = x \ mod \ y
    unfolding vdm-mod-def
    apply (induct y) apply simp-all
      apply (metis floor-divide-of-int-eq minus-mult-div-eq-mod of-int-of-nat-eq)
   \mathbf{by}\ (smt\ (verit,\ ccfv-threshold)\ floor-divide-of-int-eq\ minus-div-mult-eq-mod\ mult. commute
of-int-diff of-int-eq-1-iff of-int-minus of-int-of-nat-eq)
lemma l-vdm-div-fsb: pre-vdm-div x y \Longrightarrow post-vdm-div x y (x vdmdiv y)
    unfolding pre-vdm-div-def post-vdm-div-def
    apply (safe)
    using div-int-pos-iff vdmdiv-div-qe0 apply presburger
    \mathbf{using}\ vdm\text{-}div\text{-}def\ \mathbf{apply}\ (smt\ (verit)\ divide\text{-}neg\text{-}neg\ floor\text{-}less\text{-}iff\ of\text{-}int\text{-}0\text{-}less\text{-}iff\ of\text{-}int\text{-}0\text{-}int\text{-}0\text{-}less\text{-}iff\ of\text{-}int\text{-}0\text{-}less\text{-}iff\ of\text{-}int\text{-}0\text{-}less\text{-}iff\ of\text{-}int\text{-}0\text{-}less\text{-}iff\ of\text{-}int\text{-}0\text{-}less\text{-}iff\ of\text{-}int\text{-}0\text{-}less\text{-}iff\ of\text{-}int\text{-}0\text{-}less\text{-}iff\ of\text{-}int\text{-}0\text{-}less\text{-}iff\ of\text{-}int\text{-
 of-int-minus)
    using vdm-div-def using divide-less-0-iff apply auto[1]
    using vdm-div-def
    by auto
lemma l-vdm-mod-fsb: pre-vdm-mod x y \Longrightarrow post-vdm-mod x y (x vdmmod y)
    unfolding pre-vdm-mod-def post-vdm-mod-def
    apply safe
    by (simp\ add:\ vdm-mod-def)+
lemma l-vdm-rem-fsb: pre-vdm-rem \ x \ y \implies post-vdm-rem \ x \ y \ (x \ vdmrem \ y)
    unfolding pre-vdm-rem-def post-vdm-rem-def vdm-rem-def
    apply safe
    apply (cases y \geq \theta)
        apply simp
           apply (metis Euclidean-Division.pos-mod-sign add.commute add.left-neutral
add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring(3) div-mult-mod-eq le-less mult.commute)
      defer
      apply (cases y \leq \theta)
        apply simp
       apply (metis div-mod-decomp-int group-cancel.rule0 le-add-same-cancel1 le-less
mult.commute neg-mod-sign not-le)
    unfolding vdm-div-def
      apply (simp-all, safe)
           apply (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) divide-minus-left floor-divide-lower floor-less-iff
floor-uminus-of-int mult.commute of-int-mult)
        apply (simp add: divide-neg-pos)
    \mathbf{apply}\ (smt\ (verit)\ ceiling\ def\ ceiling\ divide\ -eq\ div\ minus\ -mod\ -eq\ -mult\ -div\ neg\ -mod\ -sign)
    using divide-pos-neg by force
```

1.1 VDM tokens

VDM tokens are like a record with a parametric type (i.e. you can have anything inside a mk_token(x) expression, akin to a VDM record Token :: token : ?, where ? refers to vdmj wildcard type. Isabelle does not allow parametric records, hence we use datatypes instead.

This will impose the restriction on token variables during translation: they will always have to be of the same inner type; whereas for token constants, then any type is acceptable.

```
datatype 'a VDMToken = Token 'a

definition
inv\text{-}VDMToken :: 'a VDMToken \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
inv\text{-}VDMToken t \equiv inv\text{-}True t

definition
inv\text{-}VDMToken' :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow 'a VDMToken \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
```

 $inv\text{-}VDMToken'\ inv\text{-}T\ t \equiv case\ t\ of\ Token\ a \Rightarrow inv\text{-}T\ a$

Isabelle lemmas definitions are issues for all the inner calls and related definitions used within given definitions. This allows for a laywered unfolding and simplification of VDM terms during proofs.

```
lemmas inv-VDMToken'-defs = inv-VDMToken'-def inv-True-def
```

```
lemma l-inv-VDMTokenI[simp]: inv-T a \implies t = (Token \ a) \implies inv-VDMToken' inv-T t by (simp \ add: inv-VDMToken'-def)
```

2 Sets

All VDM structured types (e.g. sets, sequences, maps, etc.) must check the type invariant of its constituent parts, beyond any user-defined invariant. Moreover, all VDM sets are finite. Therefore, we define VDM set invariant checks as combination of finiteness checks with invariant checks of its elements type.

```
type-synonym 'a VDMSet = 'a set
type-synonym 'a VDMSet1 = 'a set
definition
inv-VDMSet :: 'a VDMSet \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
[intro!]: inv-VDMSet s \equiv finite s
```

```
inv-VDMSet1 :: 'a VDMSet1 <math>\Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  where
  [intro!]: inv-VDMSet1 s \equiv inv-VDMSet s \land s \neq \{\}
definition
  inv\text{-}SetElems :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSet \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
  inv\text{-}SetElems\ einv\ s \equiv \forall\ e \in s. einv\ e
Added wrapped version of the definition so that we can translate complex
structured types (e.g. seq of seq of T, etc.). Parameter order matter for
partial instantiation (e.g. inv-VDMSet' (inv-VDMSet' inv-VDMNat) s).
definition
  inv\text{-}VDMSet' :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSet \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  where
  [intro!]: inv-VDMSet' einv s \equiv inv-VDMSet s \land inv-SetElems einv s
definition
  inv\text{-}VDMSet1' :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSet1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
   [intro!]: inv-VDMSet1' einv s \equiv inv-VDMSet1 s \land inv-SetElems einv s
definition
  vdm-card :: 'a VDMSet \Rightarrow VDMNat
  vdm-card s \equiv (if inv-VDMSet s then int (card s) else undefined)
definition
  pre-vdm-card :: 'a VDMSet \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  [intro!]: pre-vdm-card \ s \equiv inv-VDMSet \ s
definition
  post\text{-}vdm\text{-}card :: 'a VDMSet \Rightarrow VDMNat \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  where
  [intro!]: post-vdm-card s RESULT \equiv pre-vdm-card s \longrightarrow inv-VDMNat RESULT
\mathbf{lemmas}\ inv\text{-}VDMSet\text{-}defs \ = inv\text{-}VDMSet\text{-}def
\mathbf{lemmas}\ inv\text{-}VDMSet1\text{-}defs\ =\ inv\text{-}VDMSet1\text{-}def\ inv\text{-}VDMSet\text{-}def
\mathbf{lemmas}\ inv\text{-}VDMSet'\text{-}defs\ =\ inv\text{-}VDMSet'\text{-}def\ inv\text{-}VDMSet\text{-}def\ inv\text{-}SetElems\text{-}def
lemmas inv-VDMSet1'-defs = inv-VDMSet1'-def inv-VDMSet1-defs inv-SetElems-def
                                 = vdm-card-def inv-VDMSet-defs
lemmas vdm-card-defs
lemma l-invVDMSet-finite-f: inv-VDMSet s \Longrightarrow finite s
  using inv-VDMSet-def by auto
lemma l-inv-SetElems-Cons[simp]: (inv-SetElems f (insert\ a\ s)) = (f\ a \land (inv-SetElems
unfolding inv-SetElems-def
```

```
by auto
lemma l-inv-SetElems-Un[simp]: (inv-SetElems f(S \cup T)) = (inv-SetElems f(S \cap T))
inv-SetElems f(T)
 unfolding inv-SetElems-def
 by auto
lemma l-inv-SetElems-Int[simp]: (inv-SetElems f(S \cap T)) = (inv-SetElems f(S \cap T))
\cap T)
 {f unfolding}\ inv	ext{-}SetElems	ext{-}def
 by auto
lemma l-inv-SetElems-empty[simp]: inv-SetElems f \{\}
unfolding inv-SetElems-def by simp
lemma l-invSetElems-inv-True-True[simp]: undefined \notin r \Longrightarrow inv-SetElems inv-True
 by (metis inv-SetElems-def l-inv-True-True)
lemma l-vdm-card-finite[simp]: finite <math>s \implies vdm-card s = int (card s)
 unfolding vdm-card-defs by simp
lemma l-vdm-card-range[simp]: x \le y \Longrightarrow vdm-card \{x ... y\} = y - x + 1
 unfolding vdm-card-defs by simp
lemma l-vdm-card-positive[simp]:
 finite s \Longrightarrow 0 \le vdm\text{-}card s
 by simp
lemma l-vdm-card-VDMNat[simp]:
 finite \ s \Longrightarrow inv\text{-}VDMNat \ (vdm\text{-}card \ s)
 by (simp add: inv-VDMSet-def inv-VDMNat-def)
lemma l-vdm-card-non-negative[simp]:
 finite s \Longrightarrow s \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow 0 < vdm\text{-}card s
 by (simp add: card-gt-0-iff)
lemma l-vdm-card-isa-card[simp]:
 finite s \Longrightarrow card \ s \le i \Longrightarrow vdm\text{-}card \ s \le i
 by simp
lemma l-isa-card-inter-bound:
 finite T \Longrightarrow card \ T \le i \Longrightarrow card \ (S \cap T) \le i
 {f thm} card-mono inf-le2 le-trans card-seteq Int-commute nat-le-linear
 by (meson card-mono inf-le2 le-trans)
lemma l-vdm-card-inter-bound:
 finite T \Longrightarrow vdm\text{-}card \ T \le i \Longrightarrow vdm\text{-}card \ (S \cap T) \le i
proof -
```

```
assume a1: vdm-card T \leq i
      assume a2: finite T
    have f3: \forall A \ Aa. \ ((card \ (A::'a \ set) \leq card \ (Aa::'a \ set) \lor \neg \ vdm\text{-}card \ A \leq vdm\text{-}card
Aa) \vee infinite A) \vee infinite Aa
            by (metis (full-types) l-vdm-card-finite of-nat-le-iff)
       { assume T \cap S \neq T
             then have vdm-card (T \cap S) \neq vdm-card T \wedge T \cap S \neq T \vee vdm-card (T \cap S) \neq vdm-card (
S) \leq i
                  using a1 by presburger
            then have vdm-card (T \cap S) \leq i
                      using f3 a2 a1 by (meson card-seteq dual-order.trans inf-le1 infinite-super
verit-la-generic) }
      then show ?thesis
            using a1 by (metis (no-types) Int-commute)
theorem l-vdm-card-fsb:
     pre-vdm-card s \Longrightarrow post-vdm-card s (vdm-card s)
   by (simp add: inv-VDMNat-def inv-VDMSet-def post-vdm-card-def pre-vdm-card-def)
@TODO power set
3
                   Sequences
type-synonym 'a VDMSeq = 'a list
type-synonym 'a VDMSeq1 = 'a list
definition
      inv-VDMSeq1 :: 'a VDMSeq1 <math>\Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
```

```
where
  [intro!]: inv-VDMSeq1 s \equiv s \neq []
```

Sequences may have invariants within their inner type.

definition

```
inv\text{-}SeqElems :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
   [\mathit{intro!}] \colon \mathit{inv-SeqElems} \ \mathit{einv} \ s \equiv \mathit{list-all} \ \mathit{einv} \ s
```

definition

```
inv\text{-}SeqElems0 :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
  inv-SeqElems0 einv \ s \equiv \forall \ e \in (set \ s) . einv \ e
```

Isabelle's list hd and tl functions have the same name as VDM. Nevertheless, their results is defined for empty lists. We need to rule them out.

```
inv\text{-}VDMSeq' :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
```

```
[intro!]: inv-VDMSeq' einv s \equiv inv-SeqElems einv s
definition
  inv\text{-}VDMSeq1' :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSeq1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  where
  [intro!]: inv-VDMSeq1' einv s \equiv inv-VDMSeq' einv s \wedge inv-VDMSeq1 s
lemmas inv-VDMSeq'-defs = inv-VDMSeq'-def inv-SeqElems-def
{\bf lemmas}\ inv-VDMSeq1'-defs=inv-VDMSeq1'-def\ inv-VDMSeq'-defs\ inv-VDMSeq1-def
3.1
       Sequence operators specification
definition
  len :: 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow VDMNat
where
  [intro!]: len l \equiv int (length l)
definition
  post-len :: 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow VDMNat \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
 post-len s R \equiv inv\text{-}VDMNat R \land (s \neq [] \longrightarrow inv\text{-}VDMNat1 R)
definition
  elems :: 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow 'a VDMSet
where
  [intro!]: elems l \equiv set \ l
definition
  post\text{-}elems:: 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow 'a VDMSet \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
 post\text{-}elems\ s\ R\equiv R\subseteq set\ s
Be careful with representation differences VDM lists are 1-based, whereas
Isabelle list are 0-based. This function returns 0,1,2 for sequence [A, B, C]
instead of 1,2,3
   inds0 :: 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow VDMNat \ VDMSet
where
  inds0 \ l \equiv \{0 ... < len \ l\}
definition
   inds :: 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow \ VDMNat1 \ VDMSet
  [intro!]: inds l \equiv \{1 ... len l\}
definition
  post\text{-}inds :: 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow \ VDMNat1 \ VDMSet \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  post-inds l R \equiv finite R \land (len l) = (card R)
```

```
definition
  inds-as-nat :: 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow \mathbb{N} set
where
 inds-as-nat l \equiv \{1 ... nat (len l)\}
applyList plays with 'a option type instead of undefined.
definition
  applyList :: 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow 'a option
where
applyList\ l\ n \equiv (if\ (n>0\ \land\ int\ n\leq len\ l)\ then
                    Some(l ! (n - (1::nat)))
                    None)
apply VDMSeq sticks with undefined.
definition
  applyVDMSeq :: 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow VDMNat1 \Rightarrow 'a (infixl $ 100)
 where
l \ \$ \ n \equiv (if \ (inv\text{-}VDMNat1 \ n \land n \leq len \ l) \ then
                    (l! nat (n-1))
                 else
                    undefined)
definition
 applyVDMSubseq':: 'a\ VDMSeq \Rightarrow\ VDMNat1 \Rightarrow\ VDMNat1 \Rightarrow\ 'a\ VDMSeq
                                                                                          (-
$$$ (1{-..-})) where
 s \$\$\$ \{l..u\} \equiv if inv-VDMNat1 \ l \land inv-VDMNat1 \ u \land (l \leq u) \ then
                nths \ s \ \{(nat \ l)-1..(nat \ u)-1\}
              else
                Thanks to Tom Hayle for suggesting a generalised version, which is similar
to the one below
definition
  apply VDMSubseq :: 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow VDMInt VDMSet \Rightarrow 'a VDMSeq (infix) $
105)
 where
 xs \$\$ s \equiv nths \ xs \{x::nat \mid x \cdot x+1 \in s \}
lemma l-vdm-len-fsb: post-len s (len s)
  using post-len-def len-def
 by (simp add: len-def post-len-def inv-VDMNat1-def inv-VDMNat-def)
lemma l-vdm-elems-fsb: post-elems s (elems s)
 by (simp add: elems-def post-elems-def)
```

lemma l-vdm-inds-fsb: post-inds s (inds s) using post-inds-def inds-def len-def

```
by (simp add: inds-def len-def post-inds-def)
lemma l-vdmsubseq-empty[simp]:
 || $$ \{l..u\} = || unfolding applyVDMSubseq-def by simp
lemma l-vdmsubseq-beyond[simp]:
 l>u\Longrightarrow s~\$\$~\{l..u\}=\lceil ~\textbf{unfolding}~apply \textit{VDMSubseq-def}~\textbf{by}~simp
lemma len (s \$\$ \{i..j\}) = (min j ((len s) - (max 1 i))) + 1
  unfolding apply VDMSubseq-def len-def
 apply (simp add: length-nths)
 unfolding min-def max-def apply (simp, safe)
 apply (induct\ s)
  apply simp
     apply (induct \ i)
 oops
lemma l-vdmsubseq-ext-eq:
  inv-VDMNat1 \ l \Longrightarrow inv-VDMNat1 \ u \Longrightarrow s \$\$ \{l..u\} = s \$\$ \{l..u\}
 {\bf unfolding} \ apply VDMS ubseq-def \ apply VDMS ubseq'-def \ inv-VDMN at 1-def
 apply (simp; safe)
 apply (subgoal-tac {nat l - Suc \ 0..nat \ u - Suc \ 0} = {x. \ l \le int \ x + 1 \land int \ x}
+ 1 \le u
  apply (erule HOL.subst; simp)
  apply (safe; simp)
    apply linarith+
 apply (subgoal-tac \{x. \ l \leq int \ x + 1 \land int \ x + 1 \leq u\} = \{\})
  apply (erule ssubst, simp)
 by auto
lemmas apply VDMSeq-defs = apply VDMSeq-def inv-VDMNat1-def len-def
definition
 pre-applyVDMSeg :: 'a VDMSeg \Rightarrow VDMNat1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
 pre-applyVDMSeq~xs~i \equiv inv-VDMNat1~i \land i \leq len~xs
definition
 post\text{-}applyVDMSeq :: 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow VDMNat1 \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
 post-apply VDMSeq \ xs \ i \ R \equiv pre-apply VDMSeq \ xs \ i \longrightarrow R = xs \ \$ \ i
theorem PO-apply VDMSeq-fsb:
 \forall xs \ i \ . \ pre-apply VDMSeq \ xs \ i \longrightarrow post-apply VDMSeq \ xs \ i \ (xs\$i)
 unfolding post-apply VDMSeq-def pre-apply VDMSeq-def by simp
definition
```

```
pre-apply VDMSubseq :: 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow VDMNat1 \Rightarrow VDMNat1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
 pre-applyVDMSubseq~xs~l~u \equiv inv-VDMNat1~l \wedge inv-VDMNat1~u \wedge l \leq u
definition
  post-apply VDMSubseq :: 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow VDMNat1 \Rightarrow VDMNat1 \Rightarrow 'a VDMSeq
\Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
   post-apply VDMSubseq \ xs \ l \ u \ R \equiv R = (if \ pre-apply VDMSubseq \ xs \ l \ u \ then
(xs\$\{l..u\}) else [])
theorem PO-apply VDMSubseq-fsb:
 \forall xs \ i \ . \ pre-apply VDMSubseq \ xs \ l \ u \longrightarrow post-apply VDMSubseq \ xs \ l \ u \ (xs\$\{l..u\})
  unfolding post-apply VDMSubseq-def pre-apply VDMSubseq-def by simp
definition
  post\text{-}append :: 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  where
 post-append s t r \equiv r = s @ t
{f lemmas}\ VDMSeq\text{-}defs = elems\text{-}def\ inds\text{-}def\ applyVDMSeq\text{-}defs
lemma l-apply VDMSeq-inds[simp]:
  pre-applyVDMSeq xs \ i = (i \in inds \ xs)
  {\bf unfolding}\ pre-apply VDMS eq-def\ inv-VDMN at 1-def\ len-def\ inds-def
 by auto
Isabelle hd and tl is the same as VDM
definition
  pre-hd :: 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
  pre-hd \ s \equiv s \neq []
definition
  post-hd :: 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
 post-hd\ s\ RESULT \equiv pre-hd\ s \longrightarrow (RESULT \in elems\ s \lor RESULT = s\$1)
definition
  pre-tl :: 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
 pre-tl \ s \equiv s \neq []
definition
  post\text{-}tl :: 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  post\text{-}tl\ s\ RESULT \equiv pre\text{-}tl\ s \longrightarrow elems\ RESULT \subseteq elems\ s
```

```
definition
  vdm-reverse :: 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow 'a VDMSeq
  where
  [intro!]: vdm-reverse xs \equiv rev xs
definition
  post\text{-}vdm\text{-}reverse :: 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow 'a VDMSeq \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  where
  post-vdm-reverse xs R \equiv elems xs = elems R
definition
  conc :: 'a VDMSeq VDMSeq \Rightarrow 'a VDMSeq
  [intro!]: conc \ xs \equiv concat \ xs
definition
  vdmtake :: VDMNat \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSeq
  where
  vdmtake \ n \ s \equiv (if \ inv - VDMNat \ n \ then \ take \ (nat \ n) \ s \ else \ [])
definition
  post\text{-}vdmtake :: VDMNat \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
  where
  post\text{-}vdmtake\ n\ s\ RESULT \equiv
    len RESULT = min n (len s)
  \land \ elems \ RESULT \subseteq \ elems \ s
definition
  seq\text{-}prefix :: 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow 'a \ VDMSeq \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ ((-/\sqsubseteq -) \ [51, 51] \ 50)
  s \sqsubseteq t \equiv (s = t) \lor (s = []) \lor (len \ s \le len \ t \land (\exists \ i \in inds \ t \ . \ s = vdmtake \ i \ t))
definition
  \textit{post-seq-prefix} :: \textit{'a VDMSeq} \, \Rightarrow \, \textit{'a VDMSeq} \, \Rightarrow \, \mathbb{B} \, \Rightarrow \, \mathbb{B}
  where
  \textit{post-seq-prefix s t RESULT} \equiv
    RESULT \longrightarrow (elems \ s \subseteq elems \ t \land len \ s \leq len \ t)
3.2
        Sequence operators lemmas
lemma l-inv-VDMSet-finite[simp]:
  finite \ xs \Longrightarrow inv\text{-}VDMSet \ xs
  unfolding inv-VDMSet-def by simp
lemma l-inv-SeqElems-alt: inv-SeqElems einv s = inv-SeqElems0 einv s
\mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ elems-def\ inv-SeqElems0-def\ inv-SeqElems-def\ list-all-iff)
lemma l-inv-SeqElems-empty[simp]: inv-SeqElems f []
  by (simp add: inv-SeqElems-def)
```

```
lemma l-inv-SeqElems-Cons: (inv-SeqElems\ f\ (a\#s)) = (f\ a \land (inv-SeqElems\ f\ s))
unfolding inv-SeqElems-def elems-def by auto
lemma l-inv-SeqElems-Cons': f a \Longrightarrow inv-SeqElems f s \Longrightarrow inv-SeqElems f (a\#s)
 by (simp add: l-inv-SeqElems-Cons)
lemma l-inv-SeqElems-append: (inv-SeqElems f (xs @ [x])) = (fx \land (inv-SeqElems
f(xs)
unfolding inv-SeqElems-def elems-def by auto
lemma l-inv-SeqElems-append': f x \Longrightarrow inv-SeqElems f xs \Longrightarrow inv-SeqElems f (xs
 by (simp add: l-inv-SeqElems-append)
lemma l-invSeqElems-inv-True-True[simp]: inv-SeqElems inv-True r
 by (metis inv-SeqElems0-def l-inv-SeqElems-alt l-inv-True-True)
lemma l-len-nat1[simp]: s \neq [] \implies 0 < len s
 unfolding len-def by simp
lemma l-len-append-single[simp]: len(xs @ [x]) = 1 + len xs
apply (induct xs)
apply simp-all
unfolding len-def by simp-all
lemma l-len-empty[simp]: len [] = 0 unfolding len-def by simp
lemma l-len-cons[simp]: len(x \# xs) = 1 + len xs
apply (induct xs)
unfolding len-def by simp-all
lemma l-elems-append[simp]: elems (xs @ [x]) = insert x (elems xs)
unfolding elems-def by simp
lemma l-elems-cons[simp]: elems (x \# xs) = insert \ x \ (elems \ xs)
unfolding elems-def by simp
lemma l-elems-empty[simp]: elems [] = \{\} unfolding elems-def by simp
lemma l-inj-seq: distinct s \Longrightarrow nat (len s) = card (elems s)
by (induct s) (simp-all add: elems-def len-def)
lemma l-elems-finite[simp]:
 finite (elems l)
 by (simp add: elems-def)
lemma l-inds-append[simp]: inds (xs @ [x]) = insert (len <math>(xs @ [x])) (inds xs)
```

```
unfolding inds-def
by (simp add: atLeastAtMostPlus1-int-conv len-def)
lemma l-inds-cons[simp]: inds (x \# xs) = \{1 ... (len xs + 1)\}
 unfolding inds-def len-def
 by simp
lemma l-len-within-inds[simp]: s \neq [] \implies len \ s \in inds \ s
unfolding len-def inds-def
apply (induct s)
by simp-all
lemma l-inds-empty[simp]: inds [] = \{\}
 unfolding inds-def len-def by simp
lemma l-inds-as-nat-append: inds-as-nat (xs @ [x]) = insert (length <math>(xs @ [x]))
(inds-as-nat xs)
unfolding inds-as-nat-def len-def by auto
lemma l-apply VDM-len1: s  (len s + 1) = undefined
 unfolding applyVDMSeq-def len-def by simp
lemma l-apply VDM-zero [simp]: s \$ 0 = undefined
 unfolding apply VDMSeq-defs by simp
lemma l-apply VDM1: (x \# xs) \$ 1 = x
 by (simp add: applyVDMSeq-defs)
lemma l-apply VDM2: (x \# xs) \$ 2 = xs \$ 1
 by (simp add: applyVDMSeq-defs)
lemma l-apply VDM1-gen[simp]: s \neq [] \implies s \$ 1 = s ! 0
 by (induct s, simp-all add: applyVDMSeq-defs)
lemma l-apply VDMSeq-i[simp]: i \in inds \ s \implies s \ i = s \ ! \ nat(i-1)
 unfolding applyVDMSeq-defs inds-def by simp
lemma l-apply VDM-cons-gt1empty: i > 1 \Longrightarrow (x \# []) $ i = undefined
 by (simp add: applyVDMSeq-defs)
lemma l-apply VDM-cons-gt1: len xs > 0 \Longrightarrow i > 1 \Longrightarrow (x \# xs) \$ i = xs \$ (i - i)
 apply (simp add: applyVDMSeq-defs)
 apply (intro\ impI)
 apply (induct xs rule: length-induct)
 apply simp-all
 by (smt nat-1 nat-diff-distrib)
```

```
lemma l-apply VDMS eq-defined: s \neq [] \implies inv-SeqElems (\lambda \ x \ . \ x \neq undefined) \ s
\implies s \$ (len s) \neq undefined
 unfolding applyVDMSeq-defs
 apply (simp)
 apply (cases nat (int (length s) -1))
 apply simp-all
 apply (cases\ s)
   apply simp-all
 unfolding inv-SeqElems-def
  apply simp
 by (simp add: list-all-length)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{l-applyVDMSeq-append-last}:
  (ms @ [m]) \$ (len (ms @ [m])) = m
 {f unfolding} \ apply VDMSeq-defs
 by (simp)
lemma l-apply VDMSeq-cons-last:
 (m \# ms) \$ (len (m \# ms)) = (if ms = [] then m else ms \$ (len ms))
 apply (simp)
 {\bf unfolding} \ apply VDMS eq\text{-}defs
 by (simp add: nat-diff-distrib')
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{l-inds-in-set}:
  i \in inds \ s \Longrightarrow s i \in set \ s
 unfolding inds-def apply VDMSeq-def inv-VDMNat1-def len-def
 apply (simp, safe)
 \mathbf{by}\ (simp)
lemma l-inv-SeqElems-inds-inv-T:
  inv-SeqElems inv-T s \Longrightarrow i \in inds \ s \Longrightarrow inv-T (s\$i)
 apply (simp add: l-inv-SeqElems-alt)
 unfolding inv-SeqElems0-def
 apply (erule-tac x=si in ballE)
 apply simp
 using l-inds-in-set by blast
lemma l-inv-SeqElems-all:
  inv-SeqElems inv-T s = (\forall i \in inds \ s \ . inv-T (s$i))
  unfolding inv-SeqElems-def
 apply (simp add: list-all-length)
 unfolding inds-def len-def
 apply (safe, simp, safe)
  apply (erule-tac x=nat(i-1) in allE)
  apply simp
  apply (erule-tac x=int \ n + 1 \ in \ ballE)
  by simp+
```

```
lemma l-inds-upto: (i \in inds\ s) = (i \in \{1..len\ s\})
 by (simp add: inds-def)
lemma l-vdmtake-take[simp]: vdmtake n s = take n s
 unfolding vdmtake-def inv-VDMNat-def
 by simp
lemma l-seq-prefix-append-empty[simp]: s \sqsubseteq s @ []
 unfolding seq-prefix-def
 by simp
lemma l-seq-prefix-id[simp]: s \sqsubseteq s
 unfolding seq-prefix-def
 by simp
lemma l-len-append[simp]: len s \leq len (s @ t)
 apply (induct\ t)
 by (simp-all add: len-def)
lemma l-vdmtake-len[simp]: vdmtake (len s) s = s
 unfolding vdmtake-def len-def inv-VDMNat-def by simp
lemma l-vdmtake-len-append[simp]: vdmtake (len s) (s @ t) = s
 unfolding vdmtake-def len-def inv-VDMNat-def by simp
lemma l-vdmtake-append[simp]: vdmtake (len s + len t) (s @ t) = (s @ t)
 apply (induct\ t)
  apply simp-all
 unfolding vdmtake-def len-def inv-VDMNat-def
 by simp
\mathbf{value}\ vdmtake\ (\textit{1} + \textit{len}\ [a,b,c])\ ([a,b,c]\ @\ [a])
lemma l-seq-prefix-append[simp]: s \sqsubseteq s @ t
 \mathbf{unfolding}\ \mathit{seq-prefix-def}
 apply (induct\ t)
 apply simp+
 apply (elim disjE)
   apply (simp-all)
 apply (cases s, simp)
 apply (rule disjI2, rule disjI2)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=len \ s \ in \ bexI)
   apply (metis l-vdmtake-len-append)
 using l-len-within-inds apply blast
  by (metis (full-types) atLeastAtMost-iff inds-def l-len-append l-len-within-inds
l-vdmtake-len-append)
```

lemma l-elems-of-inds-of-nth:

```
1 < j \Longrightarrow j < int (length s) \Longrightarrow s ! nat (j - 1) \in set s
 by simp
lemma l-elems-inds-found:
 x \in set \ s \Longrightarrow (\exists \ i \ . \ i < length \ s \land s \ ! \ i = x)
 apply (induct s)
  apply simp-all
 apply safe
 by auto
lemma l-elems-of-inds:
  (x \in elems\ s) = (\exists\ j\ .\ j \in inds\ s \land (s\$j) = x)
 unfolding elems-def inds-def
 apply (rule iffI)
 unfolding applyVDMSeq-def len-def
 apply (frule l-elems-inds-found)
 apply safe
  apply (rule-tac \ x=int(i)+1 \ in \ exI)
  apply (simp add: inv-VDMNat1-def)
 using inv-VDMNat1-def by fastforce
```

4 Optional inner type invariant check

```
definition inv	ext{-}Option :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow 'a \ option \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} where [intro!]: inv	ext{-}Option \ inv	ext{-}type \ v \equiv v \neq None \longrightarrow inv	ext{-}type \ (the \ v) lemma l	ext{-}inv	ext{-}option	ext{-}Some[simp]: } inv	ext{-}Option \ inv	ext{-}type \ (Some \ x) = inv	ext{-}type \ x  unfolding inv	ext{-}Option	ext{-}def by simp lemma l	ext{-}inv	ext{-}option \ inv	ext{-}type \ None unfolding inv	ext{-}Option	ext{-}def by simp
```

5 Maps

In Isabelle, VDM maps can be declared by the \rightharpoonup operator (not \Rightarrow) (i.e. type 'right' and you will see the arrow on dropdown menu).

It represents a function to an optional result as follows:

```
VDM : map X to Y Isabelle: X \rightarrow Y which is the same as
```

Isabelle: $X \Rightarrow Y \ option$

where an optional type is like using nil in VDM (map X to [Y]). That is, Isabele makes the map total by mapping everything outside the domain to None (or nil). In Isabelle

```
datatype' a option = None | Some' a
```

Some VDM functions for map domain/range restriction and filtering. You use some like <: and :>. The use of some of these functions is one reason that makes the use of maps a bit more demanding, but it works fine. Given these are new definitions, "apply auto" won't finish proofs as Isabelle needs to know more (lemmas) about the new operators.

```
definition
```

```
inv	ext{-}Map :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} where [intro!]: inv	ext{-}Map \ inv	ext{-}Dom \ inv	ext{-}Rng \ m \equiv inv	ext{-}VDMSet' \ inv	ext{-}Dom \ (dom \ m) \land inv	ext{-}VDMSet' \ inv	ext{-}Rng \ (ran \ m)
```

definition

```
inv	ext{-}Map1:: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} where [intro!]: inv	ext{-}Map1 inv	ext{-}Dom inv	ext{-}Ran m \equiv inv	ext{-}Map inv	ext{-}Dom inv	ext{-}Ran m \land m \neq Map.empty}
```

definition

```
inv	ext{-}Inmap :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} where [intro!]: inv	ext{-}Inmap inv	ext{-}Dom inv	ext{-}Ran } m \equiv inv	ext{-}Map inv	ext{-}Dom inv	ext{-}Ran } m \wedge inj m
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemmas} \ inv\text{-}Map\text{-}defs = inv\text{-}Map\text{-}def \ inv\text{-}VDMSet'\text{-}defs \\ \textbf{lemmas} \ inv\text{-}Map\text{-}l\text{-}defs = inv\text{-}Map\text{-}l\text{-}def \ inv\text{-}Map\text{-}defs \\ \textbf{lemmas} \ inv\text{-}Inmap\text{-}defs = inv\text{-}Inmap\text{-}def \ inv\text{-}Map\text{-}defs \ inj\text{-}def \\ \end{array}
```

definition

```
rng :: ('a \rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \ VDMSet
where
[intro!]: rng \ m \equiv ran \ m
```

lemmas rng-defs = rng-def ran-def

```
dagger :: ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \text{ (infixl} \dagger 100)
where
[intro!]: f \dagger g \equiv f ++ g
```

```
definition
```

munion ::
$$('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b)$$
 (infixl $\cup m$ 90) where

[intro!]: $f \cup m \ g \equiv (if \ dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \ then \ f \dagger g \ else \ undefined)$

definition

$$dom\text{-}restr :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \ (infixr \triangleleft 110)$$
 where $[intro!]: s \triangleleft m \equiv m \mid `s$

definition

dom-antirestr :: 'a set
$$\Rightarrow$$
 ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) (infixr $\neg \triangleleft$ 110) where [intro!]: $s \neg \triangleleft m \equiv (\lambda x. \text{ if } x : s \text{ then None else } m x)$

definition

$$rng\text{-}restr :: ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \ set \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \ (\mathbf{infixl} \rhd 105)$$
 where $[intro!]: \ m \rhd s \equiv (\lambda x \ . \ if \ (\exists \ y. \ m \ x = Some \ y \land y \in s) \ then \ m \ x \ else \ None)$

definition

$$rng$$
-antirestr :: $('a
ightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \ set \Rightarrow ('a
ightharpoonup 'b) \ (infixl
ightharpoonup - 105)$ where $[intro!]: m
ightharpoonup - s \equiv (\lambda x \ . \ if \ (\exists \ y. \ m \ x = Some \ y \land y \in s) \ then \ None \ else \ m \ x)$

definition

```
vdm\text{-}merge :: ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \ VDMSet \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) where vdm\text{-}merge \ mm \equiv undefined
```

definition

```
vdm-inverse :: ('a 
ightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow ('b 
ightharpoonup 'a)

where

vdm-inverse m \equiv undefined
```

$$map\text{-}subset :: ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ (((\text{-})/\subseteq_s (\text{-})/, (\text{-})) [0,\ 0,\ 50]\ 50)$$

where

$$(m_1 \subseteq_s m_2, subset\text{-}of) \longleftrightarrow (dom \ m_1 \subseteq dom \ m_2 \land (\forall \ a \in dom \ m_1. \ subset\text{-}of \ (the(m_1 \ a)) \ (the(m_2 \ a))))$$

Map application is just function application, but the result is an optional type, so it is up to the user to unpick the optional type with the *the* operator. It means we shouldn't get to undefined, rather than we are handling

undefinedness. That's because the value is comparable (see next lemma). In effect, if we ever reach undefined it means we have some partial function application outside its domain somewhere within any rewriting chain. As one cannot reason about this value, it can be seen as a flag for an error to be avoided.

definition

```
map\text{-}comp :: ('b \rightharpoonup 'c) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'c) \text{ (infixl } \circ m \text{ } 55) where f \circ m \text{ } g \equiv (\lambda \text{ } x \text{ . } \text{ if } x \in dom \text{ } g \text{ } \text{then } f \text{ } (\text{the } (g \text{ } x)) \text{ } \text{else None)}
```

definition

```
map\text{-}compatible :: ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} where map\text{-}compatible } m1 \ m2 \equiv (\forall \ a \in dom \ m1 \cap dom \ m2 \ . \ m1 \ a = m2 \ a)
```

5.1 Map comprehension

Isabelle maps are similar to VDMs, but with some significant differences worth observing.

If the filtering is not unique (i.e. result is not a function), then the *THE* x. P x expression might lead to (undefined) unexpected results. In Isabelle maps, repetitions is equivalent to overriding, so that $[1 \mapsto 2::'a, 1 \mapsto 3::'a]$ 1 = Some (3::'a).

In various VDMToolkit definitions, we default to *undefined* in case where the situation is out of hand, hence, proofs will fail, and users will know that *undefined* being reached means some earlier problem has occurred.

Type bound map comprehension cannot filter for type invariants, hence won't have *undefined* results. This corresponds to the VDMSL expression

```
{ domexpr(d) |-> rngexpr(d, r) | d:S, r: T & P(d, r) }
```

where the maplet expression can be just variables or functions over the domain/range input(s).

VDM also issues a proof obligation for type bound maps (i.e. avoid it please!) to ensure the resulting map is finite. Concretely, the example below generates the corresponding proof obligation:

```
ex: () -> map nat to nat
ex() == { x+y |-> 10 | x: nat, y in set {4,5,6} \& x < 10 };

exists finmap1: map nat to (map (nat1) to (nat1)) &
    forall x:nat, y in set {4, 5, 6} & (x < 10) =>
        exists findex2 in set dom finmap1 &
```

```
finmap1(findex2) = \{(x + y) \mid -> 10\}
```

definition

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mathit{mapCompTypeBound} :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow
```

Set bound map comprehension can filter bound set for their elements invariants. This corresponds to the VDMSL expression

```
{ domexpr(d, r) |-> rngexpr(d, r) | d in set S, r in set T & pred(d, r) }
{ domexpr(d, r) | d in set S, r in set T & pred(d, r) }
{ rngexpr(d, r) | d in set S, r in set T & pred(d, r) }
domexpr: S * T -> S
rngexpr: S * T -> T
pred : S * T -> bool
```

If the types of domexpr or rngexpr are different from S or T then this will not work! If the filtering is not unique (i.e. result is not a function), then the $THE\ x.\ P\ x$ expression might lead to (undefined) unexpected results. In Isabelle maps, repetitions is equivalent to overriding, so that $[1\mapsto 2,\ 1\mapsto 3]\ 1=Some\ 3$.

```
 \begin{array}{l} mapCompSetBound :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('a \rightarrow 'b) \\ \textbf{where} \\ mapCompSetBound \ S \ T \ inv-S \ inv-T \ domexpr \ rngexpr \ pred \equiv \\ (\lambda \ dummy::'a \ . \\ & - \text{In fact you have to check the } inv-Type \ of \ domexpr \ and \ rngexpr!!! \\ if \ inv-VDMSet' \ inv-S \ S \ \land \ inv-VDMSet' \ inv-T \ T \ then \\ & if \ (\exists \ r \in T \ . \ \exists \ d \in S \ . \ dummy = \ domexpr \ d \ r \land r = rngexpr \ d \ r \land \\ pred \ d \ r) \ then \\ & Some \ (THE \ r \ . \ r \in T \land inv-T \ r \land (\exists \ d \in S \ . \ dummy = \ domexpr \ d \\ r \land r = rngexpr \ d \ r \land pred \ d \ r)) \end{array}
```

```
else

— This is for map application outside its domain error, VDMJ 4061

None
else

— This is for type invariant violation errors, VDMJ ????

undefined
)
```

Identity functions to be used for the dom/rng expression functions for the case they are variables.

definition

```
\begin{array}{l} \textit{domid} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \\ \textbf{where} \\ \textit{domid} \equiv (\lambda \ \textit{d} \ . \ (\lambda \ \textit{r} \ . \ \textit{d})) \end{array}
```

definition

```
rngid :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b

where

rngid \equiv (\lambda \ d \ . \ id)
```

Constant function to be used for the dom expression function for the case they are constants.

definition

```
domcnst :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a

where

domcnst v \equiv (\lambda \ d \ . \ (\lambda \ r \ . \ v))
```

Constant function to be used for the rng expression function for the case they are constants.

definition

```
rngcnst :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b

where

rngcnst v \equiv (\lambda \ d \ . \ (\lambda \ r \ . \ v))
```

definition

```
truecnst :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}

where

truecnst \equiv (\lambda \ d \ . \ inv\text{-}True)
```

definition

```
predcnst :: \mathbb{B} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
predcnst p \equiv (\lambda \ d \ . \ (\lambda \ r \ . \ p))
```

lemma domidI[simp]: domid d r = d **by** $(simp \ add: \ domid-def)$

lemma rngidI[simp]: $rngid\ d\ r = r$

```
 \begin{aligned} &\textbf{by } (simp \ add: \ rngid\text{-}def) \\ &\textbf{lemma } \ domcnstI[simp]: \ domcnst \ v \ d \ r = v \\ &\textbf{by } \ (simp \ add: \ domcnst\text{-}def) \end{aligned}   \begin{aligned} &\textbf{lemma } \ rngcnstI[simp]: \ rngcnst \ v \ d \ r = v \\ &\textbf{by } \ (simp \ add: \ rngcnst\text{-}def) \end{aligned}   \begin{aligned} &\textbf{lemma } \ predcnstI[simp]: \ predcnst \ v \ d \ r = v \\ &\textbf{by } \ (simp \ add: \ predcnst\text{-}def) \end{aligned}   \begin{aligned} &\textbf{lemma } \ truecnstI[simp]: \ r \neq undefined \implies truecnst \ d \ r \\ &\textbf{by } \ (simp \ add: \ truecnst\text{-}def) \end{aligned}   \begin{aligned} &\textbf{lemmas } \ maplet\text{-}defs = domid\text{-}def \ rngid\text{-}def \ rngcnst\text{-}def \ id\text{-}def \ truecnst\text{-}def \ inv\text{-}True\text{-}def \ lemmas \ map CompSetBound\text{-}defs = map CompSetBound\text{-}def \ inv\text{-}VDMSet\text{-}def \ maplet\text{-}defs \ rng\text{-}defs \end{aligned}
```

6 Lambda types

Lambda definitions entail an implicit satisfiability proof obligation check as part of its type invariant checks.

 $\mathbf{lemmas}\ map Comp \mathit{TypeBound-defs} = map Comp \mathit{TypeBound-def}\ map let\text{-}defs\ rng\text{-}defs$

Because Isabelle lambdas are always curried, we need to also take this into account. For example, lambda x: nat, y: nat1 & x+y will effectively become (+). Thus callers to this invariant check must account for such currying when using more than one parameter in lambdas. (i.e. call this as inv-Lambda inv-Dom (inv-Lambda inv-Dom' inv-Ran) l assuming the right invariant checks for the type of x and y and the result are used.

definition

```
inv\text{-}Lambda :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} where inv\text{-}Lambda \ inv\text{-}Dom \ inv\text{-}Ran \ l \equiv (\forall \ d \ . \ inv\text{-}Dom \ d \longrightarrow inv\text{-}Ran \ (l \ d)) definition inv\text{-}Lambda' :: ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} where inv\text{-}Lambda' \ inv\text{-}Dom \ inv\text{-}Ran \ l \ d \equiv inv\text{-}Dom \ d \longrightarrow inv\text{-}Ran \ (l \ d)
```

7 Is test and type coercions

7.1 Basic type coercions

```
definition is\text{-}VDMRealWhole :: VDMReal \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} where is\text{-}VDMRealWhole } r \equiv r \geq 1 \land (r - real\text{-}of\text{-}int (vdm\text{-}narrow\text{-}real r)) = 0
```

```
definition
```

```
vdmint-of-real :: VDMReal \rightarrow VDMInt where vdmint-of-real r \equiv if is-VDMRealWhole r then Some (vdm-narrow-real r) else None
```

definition

```
is-VDMRatWhole :: VDMRat \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} where is-VDMRatWhole \ r \equiv r \geq 1 \land (r - rat-of-int \ (vdm-narrow-real \ r)) = 0
```

definition

```
vdmint\text{-}of\text{-}rat :: VDMRat \rightarrow VDMInt

where

vdmint\text{-}of\text{-}rat \ r \equiv if \ is\text{-}VDMRatWhole \ r \ then \ Some \ (vdm\text{-}narrow\text{-}real \ r) \ else \ None
```

7.2 Structured type coercions

```
type-synonym ('a, 'b) VDMTypeCoercion = 'a 
ightharpoonup 'b
```

A total VDM type coercion is one where every element in the type space of interest is convertible under the given type coercion (e.g., set of real = 1,2,3 into set of nat is total; whereas set of real = 0.5,2,3 into set of nat is not total given 0.5 is not nat).

definition

```
total-coercion :: 'a VDMSet \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) VDMTypeCoercion \Rightarrow B where total-coercion space conv \equiv (\forall i \in space . conv i \neq None)
```

To convert a VDM set s of type 'a into type 'b (e.g., set of real into set of nat), it must be possible to convert every element of s under given type coercion

definition

```
vdmset\text{-}of\text{-}t :: ('a, 'b) \ VDMTypeCoercion \Rightarrow ('a \ VDMSet, 'b \ VDMSet) \ VDMType-Coercion \\ \textbf{where} \\ vdmset\text{-}of\text{-}t \ conv \equiv \\ (\lambda \ x \ . \ if \ total\text{-}coercion \ x \ conv \ then } \\ Some \ \{ \ the(conv \ i) \ | \ i \ . \ i \in x \land conv \ i \neq None \ \} \\ else \\ None)
```

To convert a VDM seq s of type 'a into type 'b (e.g., seq of real into seq of nat), it must be possible to convert every element of s under given type coercion

```
vdmseq\text{-}of\text{-}t :: ('a, 'b) \ VDMTypeCoercion \Rightarrow ('a \ VDMSeq, 'b \ VDMSeq) \ VDM-TypeCoercion \\ \textbf{where} \\ vdmseq\text{-}of\text{-}t \ conv \equiv \\ (\lambda \ x \ . \ if \ total\text{-}coercion \ (elems \ x) \ conv \ then \\ Some \ [ \ the(conv \ i) \ . \ i \leftarrow x, \ conv \ i \neq None \ ] \\ else \\ None)
```

7.3 Is tests

"Successful" is expr test is simply a call to the test expression invariant

```
definition
```

```
isTest :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} where [intro!]: isTest \ x \ inv-X \equiv inv-X \ x lemma l-isTestI[simp]: isTest \ x \ inv-X = inv-X \ x by (simp \ add: isTest-def)
```

Possibly failing is expr tests up to given type coercion

definition

```
 \begin{array}{l} isTest':: 'a \Rightarrow ('a, \ 'b) \ VDMTypeCoercion \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\ \textbf{where} \\ [intro!]: isTest' \ x \ conv \ inv-X \equiv \\ (case \ conv \ x \ of \\ None \Rightarrow False \\ | \ Some \ x \Rightarrow inv-X \ x) \end{array}
```

8 Set operators lemmas

```
lemma l-psubset-insert: x \notin S \Longrightarrow S \subset insert \ x \ S by blast lemma l-right-diff-left-dist: S-(T-U)=(S-T)\cup(S\cap U) by (metis\ Diff-Compl\ Diff-Int\ diff-eq) thm Diff-Compl\ Diff-Int\ diff-eq lemma l-diff-un-not-equal: R\subset T\Longrightarrow T\subseteq S\Longrightarrow S-T\cup R\neq S by auto
```

9 Map operators lemmas

```
lemma l-map-non-empty-has-elem-conv: g \neq Map.empty \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . x \in dom g)
```

```
by (metis domIff)
lemma l-map-non-empty-dom-conv:
  g \neq Map.empty \longleftrightarrow dom \ g \neq \{\}
by (metis dom-eq-empty-conv)
lemma l-map-non-empty-ran-conv:
  g \neq Map.empty \longleftrightarrow ran \ g \neq \{\}
\mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{empty-iff}\ \mathit{equals} \mathit{0} \mathit{I}
         fun-upd-triv option.exhaust
          ranI ran-restrictD restrict-complement-singleton-eq)
lemma l-finite-rng:
  finite\ (dom\ m) \Longrightarrow finite\ (rng\ m)
 by (simp add: finite-ran rng-def)
9.0.1 Domain restriction weakening lemmas [EXPERT]
lemma l-dom-r-iff: dom(S \triangleleft q) = S \cap dom q
by (metis Int-commute dom-restr-def dom-restrict)
lemma l-dom-r-subset: (S \triangleleft g) \subseteq_m g
\mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{Int-iff}\ \mathit{dom-restr-def}\ \mathit{l-dom-r-iff}\ \mathit{map-le-def}\ \mathit{restrict-in})
lemma l-dom-r-accum: S \triangleleft (T \triangleleft g) = (S \cap T) \triangleleft g
by (metis Int-commute dom-restr-def restrict-restrict)
lemma l-dom-r-nothing: \{\} \triangleleft f = Map.empty
by (metis dom-restr-def restrict-map-to-empty)
lemma l-dom-r-empty: S \triangleleft Map.empty = Map.empty
by (metis dom-restr-def restrict-map-empty)
lemma l-dres-absorb: UNIV \triangleleft m = m
by (simp add: dom-restr-def map-le-antisym map-le-def)
lemma l-dom-r-nothing-empty: S = \{\} \Longrightarrow S \triangleleft f = Map.empty
by (metis l-dom-r-nothing)
lemma f-in-dom-r-apply-elem: x \in S \Longrightarrow ((S \triangleleft f) \ x) = (f \ x)
by (metis dom-restr-def restrict-in)
lemma f-in-dom-r-apply-the-elem: x \in dom \ f \implies x \in S \implies ((S \triangleleft f) \ x) =
```

```
Some(the(f x))
by (metis domIff f-in-dom-r-apply-elem option.collapse)
lemma l-dom-r-disjoint-weakening: A \cap B = \{\} \Longrightarrow dom(A \triangleleft f) \cap dom(B \triangleleft f) =
by (metis dom-restr-def dom-restrict inf-bot-right inf-left-commute restrict-restrict)
lemma l-dom-r-subseteq: S \subseteq dom f \Longrightarrow dom (S \triangleleft f) = S unfolding dom-restr-def
by (metis Int-absorb1 dom-restrict)
lemma l-dom-r-dom-subseteq: (dom (S \triangleleft f)) \subseteq dom f
unfolding dom-restr-def by auto
lemma l-the-dom-r: x \in dom \ f \Longrightarrow x \in S \Longrightarrow the ((S \triangleleft f) \ x) = the (f \ x)
by (metis f-in-dom-r-apply-elem)
lemma l-in-dom-dom-r: x \in dom (S \triangleleft f) \Longrightarrow x \in S
   by (metis Int-iff l-dom-r-iff)
lemma l-dom-r-singleton: x \in dom f \Longrightarrow (\{x\} \triangleleft f) = [x \mapsto the (f x)]
unfolding dom-restr-def
by auto
lemma singleton-map-dom:
assumes dom f = \{x\} shows f = [x \mapsto the (f x)]
proof -
from assms obtain y where f = [x \mapsto y]
   by (metis dom-eq-singleton-conv)
then have y = the(f x)
by (metis fun-upd-same option.sel)
thus ?thesis by (metis \langle f = [x \mapsto y] \rangle)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} l-relimg-ran-subset:
  ran (S \triangleleft m) \subseteq ran m
 by (metis (full-types) dom-restr-def ranI ran-restrictD subsetI)
lemma f-in-relimg-ran:
  y \in ran \ (S \triangleleft m) \Longrightarrow y \in ran \ m
  by (meson \ l\text{-}relimg\text{-}ran\text{-}subset \ subset \ CE)
```

 $\label{lemmas} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemmas} \ \textit{restr-simps} = \textit{l-dom-r-iff l-dom-r-accum l-dom-r-nothing l-dom-r-empty} \\ \textit{f-in-dom-r-apply-elem l-dom-r-disjoint-weakening l-dom-r-subseteq} \\ \textit{l-dom-r-dom-subseteq} \end{array}$

9.0.2 Domain anti restriction weakening lemmas [EXPERT]

```
lemma f-in-dom-ar-subsume: l \in dom (S \multimap f) \Longrightarrow l \in dom f
unfolding dom-antirestr-def
by (cases l \in S, auto)
lemma f-in-dom-ar-notelem: l \in dom(\{r\} \neg \neg f) \Longrightarrow l \neq r
unfolding dom-antirestr-def
by auto
lemma f-in-dom-ar-the-subsume:
  l \in dom (S \multimap f) \Longrightarrow the ((S \multimap f) l) = the (f l)
unfolding dom-antirestr-def
by (cases l \in S, auto)
{f lemma}\ f-in-dom-ar-apply-subsume:
  l \in dom (S \multimap f) \Longrightarrow ((S \multimap f) l) = (f l)
{\bf unfolding} \ \textit{dom-antirestr-def}
by (cases l \in S, auto)
lemma f-in-dom-ar-apply-not-elem: l \notin S \Longrightarrow (S \multimap f) \ l = f \ l
by (metis dom-antirestr-def)
lemma f-dom-ar-subset-dom:
 dom(S \multimap f) \subseteq dom f
{\bf unfolding} \ dom\text{-}antirestr\text{-}def \ dom\text{-}def
by auto
lemma l-dom-dom-ar:
 dom(S \, -\! \triangleleft \, f) \, = \, dom \, f \, - \, S
unfolding dom-antirestr-def
by (smt Collect-cong domIff dom-def set-diff-eq)
lemma l-dom-ar-accum:
 S \multimap (T \multimap f) = (S \cup T) \multimap f
{\bf unfolding} \ dom\text{-}antirestr\text{-}def
by auto
lemma l-dom-ar-nothing:
 S \, \cap \, dom \, f = \{\} \Longrightarrow S \, \neg \triangleleft \, f = f
```

```
unfolding dom-antirestr-def
apply (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
by (metis disjoint-iff-not-equal domIff)
lemma l-dom-ar-empty-lhs:
  \{\} \neg \triangleleft f = f
by (metis Int-empty-left l-dom-ar-nothing)
lemma l-dom-ar-empty-rhs:
 S \multimap Map.empty = Map.empty
by (metis Int-empty-right dom-empty l-dom-ar-nothing)
l-dom-ar-everything:
  dom \ f \subseteq S \Longrightarrow S \multimap f = Map.empty
by (metis domIff dom-antirestr-def in-mono)
lemma l-map-dom-ar-subset: S \multimap f \subseteq_m f
by (metis domIff dom-antirestr-def map-le-def)
lemma l-dom-ar-none: \{\} \neg \triangleleft f = f
unfolding dom-antirestr-def
by (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
lemma l-map-dom-ar-neq: S \subseteq dom \ f \Longrightarrow S \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow S \neg \triangleleft f \neq f
apply (subst fun-eq-iff)
apply (insert\ ex-in-conv[of\ S])
apply simp
apply (erule exE)
unfolding dom-antirestr-def
apply (rule \ exI)
apply simp
apply (intro impI conjI)
apply simp-all
by (metis domIff set-mp)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{l-dom-rres-same-map-weaken}:
 S = T \Longrightarrow (S \multimap f) = (T \multimap f) by simp
lemma l-dom-ar-not-in-dom:
 assumes *: x \notin dom f
```

```
shows x \notin dom(s \multimap f)
by (metis * domIff dom-antirestr-def)
lemma l-dom-ar-not-in-dom2: x \in F \implies x \notin dom (F - \triangleleft f)
by (metis domIff dom-antirestr-def)
lemma l-dom-ar-notin-dom-or: x \notin dom \ f \lor x \in S \Longrightarrow x \notin dom \ (S \multimap f)
by (metis Diff-iff l-dom-dom-ar)
lemma l-in-dom-ar: x \notin F \Longrightarrow x \in dom \ f \Longrightarrow x \in dom \ (F - \triangleleft f)
by (metis f-in-dom-ar-apply-not-elem domIff)
lemma l-Some-in-dom:
 f x = Some \ y \Longrightarrow x \in dom \ f \ by \ auto
lemma l-dom-ar-insert: ((insert \ x \ F) \ \neg \triangleleft \ f) = \{x\} \ \neg \triangleleft \ (F \neg \triangleleft \ f)
proof
  \mathbf{fix} \ xa
  show (insert x F \multimap f) xa = (\{x\} \multimap F \multimap f) xa
  apply (cases x = xa)
  apply (simp add: dom-antirestr-def)
  apply (cases xa \in F)
  \mathbf{apply} \ (simp \ add: \ dom\text{-}antirestr\text{-}def)
  apply (subst f-in-dom-ar-apply-not-elem)
  apply simp
  apply (subst f-in-dom-ar-apply-not-elem)
  apply simp
  apply (subst f-in-dom-ar-apply-not-elem)
  apply simp
  apply simp
  done
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{l-dom-ar-absorb-singleton} : x \in F \Longrightarrow (\{x\} \mathrel{\neg} \lhd F \mathrel{\neg} \lhd f) = (F \mathrel{\neg} \lhd f)
by (metis l-dom-ar-insert insert-absorb)
lemma l-dom-ar-disjoint-weakening:
  dom \ f \cap Y = \{\} \Longrightarrow dom \ (X \multimap f) \cap Y = \{\}
 by (metis Diff-Int-distrib2 empty-Diff l-dom-dom-ar)
lemma l-dom-ar-singletons-comm: \{x\} - \triangleleft \{y\} - \triangleleft f = \{y\} - \triangleleft \{x\} - \triangleleft f
```

```
by (metis l-dom-ar-insert insert-commute)
```

```
lemma l-dom-r-ar-set-minus:

S \triangleleft (T - \triangleleft m) = (S - T) \triangleleft m

find-theorems - = - name: HOL name: fun

apply (rule ext)

unfolding dom-restr-def dom-antirestr-def restrict-map-def

by simp
```

 $\label{lemmas} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemmas} \ antirestr\text{-}simps = f\text{-}in\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}subsume} \ f\text{-}in\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}notelem} \ f\text{-}in\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}the\text{-}subsume} \ f\text{-}in\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}apply\text{-}not\text{-}elem} \ f\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}subset\text{-}dom \ l\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}accum} \ l\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}nothing} \ l\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}empty\text{-}lhs} \ l\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}empty\text{-}rhs} \ l\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}everything} \ l\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}note} \ l\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}dom\ l\text{-}} \ dom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}dom\ l\text{-}} \ dom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}dom\ l\text{-}} \ l\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}dom\ l\text{-}} \ dom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}dom\ l\text{-}} \ dom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}lom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}lom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}lom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}lom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}lom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}lom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}lom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}lom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}lom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}lom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}lom\text{-}ar\text{-}notel\text{-}in\text{-}lom\text{-}ar$

9.0.3 Map override weakening lemmas [EXPERT]

```
lemma l-dagger-assoc:
 f \dagger (g \dagger h) = (f \dagger g) \dagger h
by (metis dagger-def map-add-assoc)
thm ext option.split fun-eq-iff
lemma l-dagger-apply:
(f \dagger g) \ x = (if \ x \in dom \ g \ then \ (g \ x) \ else \ (f \ x))
unfolding dagger-def
by (metis (full-types) map-add-dom-app-simps(1) map-add-dom-app-simps(3))
lemma l-dagger-dom:
dom(f \dagger g) = dom f \cup dom g
unfolding dagger-def
by (metis dom-map-add sup-commute)
lemma l-dagger-lhs-absorb:
  dom \ f \subseteq dom \ g \Longrightarrow f \dagger g = g
apply (rule ext)
\mathbf{by}(metis\ dagger-def\ l-dagger-apply\ map-add-dom-app-simps(2)\ set-rev-mp)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{l-dagger-lhs-absorb-ALT-PROOF}\colon
  dom f \subseteq dom g \Longrightarrow f \dagger g = g
apply (rule ext)
apply (simp add: l-dagger-apply)
apply (rule\ impI)
find-theorems - \notin - \Longrightarrow - name:Set
apply (drule contra-subsetD)
unfolding dom-def
by (simp-all)
```

```
lemma l-dagger-empty-lhs:
  Map.empty \dagger f = f
by (metis dagger-def empty-map-add)
lemma l-dagger-empty-rhs:
  f \dagger Map.empty = f
by (metis dagger-def map-add-empty)
lemma dagger-notemptyL:
 f \neq Map.empty \Longrightarrow f \dagger g \neq Map.empty by (metis dagger-def map-add-None)
lemma dagger-notemptyR:
 g \neq Map.empty \Longrightarrow f \dagger g \neq Map.empty by (metis dagger-def map-add-None)
lemma l-dagger-dom-ar-assoc:
 S \, \cap \, dom \; g = \{\} \Longrightarrow (S \, \neg \triangleleft \, f) \, \dagger \, g = S \, \neg \triangleleft \, (f \, \dagger \, g)
apply (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
apply (simp add: l-dagger-apply)
apply (intro allI impI conjI)
unfolding dom-antirestr-def
apply (simp-all add: l-dagger-apply)
by (metis dom-antirestr-def l-dom-ar-nothing)
thm map-add-comm
lemma l-dagger-not-empty:
  g \neq Map.empty \Longrightarrow f \dagger g \neq Map.empty
by (metis dagger-def map-add-None)
{\bf lemma}\ in\hbox{-}dagger\hbox{-}dom L:
  x \in dom f \Longrightarrow x \in dom(f \dagger g)
\mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{metis} \ \mathit{dagger-def} \ \mathit{domIff} \ \mathit{map-add-None})
lemma in-dagger-domR:
 x \in dom \ g \Longrightarrow x \in dom(f \dagger g)
\mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{metis} \ \mathit{dagger-def} \ \mathit{domIff} \ \mathit{map-add-None})
lemma the-dagger-dom-right:
 assumes x \in dom g
 shows the ((f \dagger g) x) = the (g x)
```

```
by (metis assms dagger-def map-add-dom-app-simps(1))
{f lemma}\ the\mbox{-}dagger\mbox{-}dom\mbox{-}left:
 assumes x \notin dom g
  shows the ((f \dagger g) x) = the (f x)
by (metis assms dagger-def map-add-dom-app-simps(3))
lemma the-dagger-mapupd-dom: x \neq y \implies (f \uparrow [y \mapsto z]) x = f x
by (metis dagger-def fun-upd-other map-add-empty map-add-upd)
lemma dagger-upd-dist: f \dagger fa(e \mapsto r) = (f \dagger fa)(e \mapsto r) by (metis dagger-def
map-add-upd)
lemma antirestr-then-dagger-notin: x \notin dom \ f \Longrightarrow \{x\} \ \neg \neg \neg (f \dagger [x \mapsto y]) = f
proof
  \mathbf{fix} \ z
 assume x \notin dom f
 show (\{x\} \neg \neg (f \uparrow [x \mapsto y])) z = f z
 by (metis \langle x \notin dom f \rangle \ domIff dom-antirestr-def fun-upd-other insertI1 \ l-dagger-apply
singleton-iff)
qed
lemma antirestr-then-dagger: r \in dom f \Longrightarrow \{r\} \neg \neg f \dagger [r \mapsto the (f r)] = f
proof
  \mathbf{fix} \ x
  assume *: r \in dom f
  show (\{r\} \neg \neg f \dagger [r \mapsto the (f r)]) x = f x
  proof (subst l-dagger-apply,simp,intro conjI impI)
   assume x=r then show Some\ (the\ (f\ r))=f\ r\ using * by\ auto
  assume x \neq r then show (\{r\} \neg \neg f) x = fx by (metis f-in-dom-ar-apply-not-elem)
singleton-iff)
  qed
qed
lemma dagger-notin-right: x \notin dom \ g \Longrightarrow (f \dagger g) \ x = f \ x
by (metis l-dagger-apply)
lemma dagger-notin-left: x \notin dom f \Longrightarrow (f \dagger g) \ x = g \ x
by (metis\ dagger-def\ map-add-dom-app-simps(2))
lemma l-dagger-commute: dom f \cap dom g = \{\} \Longrightarrow f \dagger g = g \dagger f
 unfolding dagger-def
apply (rule map-add-comm)
by simp
```

 ${\bf lemmas}\ dagger-simps = l\text{-}dagger-assoc}\ l\text{-}dagger-apply}\ l\text{-}dagger-dom}\ l\text{-}dagger-lhs-absorb}$

 $l-dagger-empty-lhs\ l-dagger-empty-rhs\ dagger-notemptyL\ dagger-notemptyR\ l-dagger-not-empty\ in-dagger-domL\ in-dagger-domR\ the-dagger-dom-right\ the-dagger-dom-left\ the-dagger-mapupd-dom\ dagger-upd-dist\ antirestr-then-dagger-notin\ antirestr-then-dagger\ dagger-notin-right\ dagger-notin-left$

9.0.4 Map update weakening lemmas [EXPERT]

without the condition nitpick finds counter example

```
lemma l-inmapupd-dom-iff:
  l \neq x \Longrightarrow (l \in dom (f(x \mapsto y))) = (l \in dom f)
by (metis (full-types) domIff fun-upd-apply)
lemma l-inmapupd-dom:
  l \in dom \ f \Longrightarrow l \in dom \ (f(x \mapsto y))
by (metis dom-fun-upd insert-iff option.distinct(1))
lemma l-dom-extend:
 x \notin dom f \Longrightarrow dom (f1(x \mapsto y)) = dom f1 \cup \{x\}
by simp
lemma l-updatedom-eq:
 x=l \Longrightarrow the ((f(x \mapsto the (f x) - s)) l) = the (f l) - s
by auto
lemma l-updatedom-neq:
 x \neq l \implies the ((f(x \mapsto the (f x) - s)) \ l) = the (f l)
by auto
— A helper lemma to have map update when domain is updated
lemma l-insertUpdSpec-aux: dom f = insert x F \Longrightarrow (f\theta = (f \mid `F)) \Longrightarrow f = f\theta
(x \mapsto the (f x))
proof auto
  assume insert: dom f = insert x F
  then have x \in dom f by simp
  then show f = (f \mid `F)(x \mapsto the (f x)) using insert
        unfolding dom-def
        apply simp
        apply (rule ext)
        apply auto
        done
qed
lemma l-the-map-union-right: x \in dom \ g \Longrightarrow dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow the \ ((f \cup m \cup g) ) = \{\}
(g)(x) = the(g|x)
by (metis l-dagger-apply munion-def)
lemma l-the-map-union-left: x \in dom \ f \Longrightarrow dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow the \ ((f \cup m \cup f) )
g(x) = the(f(x))
```

```
by (metis l-dagger-apply l-dagger-commute munion-def)
lemma l-the-map-union: dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow the \ ((f \cup m \ g) \ x) = (if \ x \in dom \ g) = \{\}
f then the (f x) else the (g x)
by (metis l-dagger-apply l-dagger-commute munion-def)
lemmas \ upd-simps = l-inmapupd-dom-iff \ l-inmapupd-dom \ l-dom-extend
                l-updatedom-eq l-updatedom-neq
9.0.5 Map union (VDM-specific) weakening lemmas [EXPERT]
lemma k-munion-map-upd-wd:
 x \notin dom f \Longrightarrow dom f \cap dom [x \mapsto y] = \{\}
by (metis Int-empty-left Int-insert-left dom-eq-singleton-conv inf-commute)
lemma l-munion-apply:
dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow (f \cup m \ g) \ x = (if \ x \in dom \ g \ then \ (g \ x) \ else \ (f \ x))
unfolding munion-def
by (simp add: l-dagger-apply)
lemma l-munion-dom:
dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow dom(f \cup m \ g) = dom \ f \cup dom \ g
unfolding munion-def
by (simp add: l-dagger-dom)
lemma l-diff-union: (A - B) \cup C = (A \cup C) - (B - C)
by (metis Compl-Diff-eq Diff-eq Un-Int-distrib2)
lemma l-munion-ran: dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow ran(f \cup m \ g) = ran \ f \cup ran \ g
apply (unfold munion-def)
apply simp
\mathbf{find\text{-}theorems}\;(\text{-}\dagger\text{-})=\text{-}
apply (intro set-eqI iffI)
unfolding ran-def
thm l-dagger-apply
apply (simp-all add: l-dagger-apply split-ifs)
by (metis Int-iff all-not-in-conv domIff option.distinct(1))
lemma b-dagger-munion-aux:
dom(dom \ g \multimap f) \cap dom \ g = \{\}
apply (simp add: l-dom-dom-ar)
by (metis Diff-disjoint inf-commute)
```

```
lemma b-dagger-munion:
  (f \dagger g) = (dom \ g \multimap f) \cup m \ g
find-theorems (300) - = (-::(-\Rightarrow -)) - name: Predicate - name: Product - name: Quick
-name:New-name:Record-name:Quotient
   -name: Hilbert-name: Nitpick-name: Random-name: Transitive-name: Sum-Type
-name:DSeq-name:Datatype-name:Enum
      -name:Big-name:Code-name:Divides
thm fun-eq-iff[of f \dagger g (dom g \neg \triangleleft f) \cup m g]
apply (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
apply (simp add: l-dagger-apply)
apply (cut\text{-}tac\ b\text{-}dagger\text{-}munion\text{-}aux[of\ g\ f])
apply (intro allI impI conjI)
\mathbf{apply} \ (simp-all \ add: \ l\text{-}munion\text{-}apply)
unfolding dom-antirestr-def
by simp
lemma l-munion-assoc:
      dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow dom \ g \cap dom \ h = \{\} \Longrightarrow (f \cup m \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (f \cup m \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (f \cup m \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) \cup m \ h = f \cup m \ (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow (g \cap dom \ g) = \{\}
unfolding munion-def
apply (simp add: l-dagger-dom)
apply (intro conjI impI)
apply (metis l-dagger-assoc)
apply (simp-all add: disjoint-iff-not-equal)
apply (erule-tac [1-] bexE)
apply blast
apply blast
done
lemma l-munion-commute:
      dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow f \cup m \ g = g \cup m \ f
\mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis b-dagger-munion l-dagger-commute l-dom-ar-nothing munion-def})
lemma l-munion-subsume:
  x \in \operatorname{dom} f \Longrightarrow \operatorname{the}(f\,x) = y \Longrightarrow f = (\{x\} \, \neg \triangleleft \, f) \, \cup m \, [x \mapsto y]
apply (subst fun-eq-iff)
apply (intro allI)
apply (subgoal\text{-}tac\ dom(\{x\} \multimap f) \cap dom\ [x \mapsto y] = \{\})
apply (simp add: l-munion-apply)
apply (metis domD dom-antirestr-def singletonE option.sel)
by (metis Diff-disjoint Int-commute dom-eq-singleton-conv l-dom-dom-ar)Perhaps
add g \subseteq_m f instead? lemma l-munion-subsume G:
  dom \; g \subseteq dom \; f \Longrightarrow \forall \, x \in dom \; g \; . \; f \; x = g \; x \Longrightarrow f = (dom \; g \; \neg \triangleleft \; f) \; \cup m \; g
unfolding munion-def
apply (subgoal-tac dom (dom g \multimap f) \cap dom g = \{\})
apply simp
```

```
apply (subst fun-eq-iff)
apply (rule allI)
apply (simp add: l-dagger-apply)
apply (intro conjI impI)+
unfolding dom-antirestr-def
apply (simp)
apply (fold dom-antirestr-def)
by (metis Diff-disjoint inf-commute l-dom-dom-ar)
lemma l-munion-dom-ar-assoc:
  S \subseteq dom \ f \Longrightarrow dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow (S \multimap f) \cup m \ g = S \multimap (f \cup m \ g)
unfolding munion-def
apply (subgoal-tac dom (S \multimap f) \cap dom \ g = \{\})
defer 1
apply (metis Diff-Int-distrib2 empty-Diff l-dom-dom-ar)
apply simp
\mathbf{apply} \ (rule \ l\text{-}dagger\text{-}dom\text{-}ar\text{-}assoc)
by (metis equalityE inf-mono subset-empty)
lemma l-munion-empty-rhs:
    (f \cup m \ Map.empty) = f
unfolding munion-def
by (metis dom-empty inf-bot-right l-dagger-empty-rhs)
lemma l-munion-empty-lhs:
     (Map.empty \cup m f) = f
unfolding munion-def
by (metis dom-empty inf-bot-left l-dagger-empty-lhs)
lemma k-finite-munion:
    finite\ (dom\ f) \Longrightarrow finite(dom\ g) \Longrightarrow dom\ f\cap\ dom\ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow finite(dom(f\cup m)) = \{\}
by (metis finite-Un l-munion-dom)
lemma l-munion-singleton-not-empty:
    x \notin dom \ f \Longrightarrow f \cup m \ [x \mapsto y] \neq Map.empty
apply (cases f = Map.empty)
apply (metis l-munion-empty-lhs map-upd-nonempty)
unfolding munion-def
apply simp
by (metis dagger-def map-add-None)
lemma l-munion-empty-iff:
     dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow (f \cup m \ g = Map.empty) \longleftrightarrow (f = Map.empty \land g = Map.empty) \longleftrightarrow (f = Map.empty) \land g = Map.empty \land g = M
Map.empty
apply (rule iffI)
apply (simp only: dom-eq-empty-conv[symmetric] l-munion-dom)
apply (metis Un-empty)
\mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ l\text{-}munion\text{-}empty\text{-}lhs\ l\text{-}munion\text{-}empty\text{-}rhs)
```

```
x \notin dom f \Longrightarrow \{x\} \neg \neg (f \cup m [x \mapsto y]) = f
apply (subst fun-eq-iff)
apply (rule allI)
unfolding dom-antirestr-def
by (auto simp: l-munion-apply)
lemma l-munion-upd: dom \ f \cap dom \ [x \mapsto y] = \{\} \implies f \cup m \ [x \mapsto y] = f(x \mapsto y)
\mathbf{unfolding}\ \mathit{munion-def}
  apply simp
  by (metis dagger-def map-add-empty map-add-upd)
\textbf{lemma} \ \textit{munion-notemp-dagger: dom} \ f \cap \textit{dom} \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow f \cup \textit{m} \ \textit{g} \neq \textit{Map.empty} \Longrightarrow
f \dagger g \neq Map.empty
by (metis munion-def)
lemma dagger-notemp-munion: dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow f \dagger g \neq Map.empty \Longrightarrow
f \cup m \ g \neq Map.empty
by (metis munion-def)
lemma munion-notempty-left: dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow f \neq Map.empty \Longrightarrow f \cup m
q \neq Map.empty
by (metis dagger-notemp-munion dagger-notemptyL)
lemma munion-notempty-right: dom \ f \cap dom \ g = \{\} \Longrightarrow g \neq Map.empty \Longrightarrow f
\cup m \ g \neq Map.empty
by (metis dagger-notemp-munion dagger-notemptyR)
lemma unionm-in-dom-left: x \in dom \ (f \cup m \ g) \Longrightarrow (dom \ f \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow x
\notin dom \ g \Longrightarrow x \in dom \ f
by (simp add: l-munion-dom)
lemma unionm-in-dom-right: x \in dom \ (f \cup m \ g) \Longrightarrow (dom \ f \cap dom \ g) = \{\} \Longrightarrow
x \notin dom \ f \Longrightarrow x \in dom \ g
by (simp add: l-munion-dom)
lemma unionm-notin-dom: x \notin dom \ f \Longrightarrow x \notin dom \ g \Longrightarrow (dom \ f \cap dom \ g) = \{\}
\implies x \notin dom \ (f \cup m \ g)
by (metis unionm-in-dom-right)
\mathbf{lemmas}\ munion\text{-}simps = k\text{-}munion\text{-}map\text{-}upd\text{-}wd\ l\text{-}munion\text{-}apply\ l\text{-}munion\text{-}dom\ b\text{-}dagger\text{-}munion
l-munion-subsume l-munion-subsume G l-munion-dom-ar-assoc l-munion-empty-rhs
l-munion-empty-lhs k-finite-munion l-munion-upd munion-notemp-dagger
```

 ${\bf lemma}\ l$ -munion-dom-ar-singleton-subsume:

 $dagger-notemp-munion\ munion-notempty-left\ munion-notempty-right$

 ${\bf lemmas} \ vdm\text{-}simps = restr\text{-}simps \ antirestr\text{-}simps \ dagger\text{-}simps \ upd\text{-}simps \ munion-simps$

9.0.6 Map finiteness weakening lemmas [EXPERT]

```
— Need to have the lemma options, otherwise it fails somehow
lemma finite-map-upd-induct [case-names empty insert, induct set: finite]:
 assumes fin: finite (dom f)
   and empty: P Map.empty
   and insert: \bigwedge e \ r \ f. finite (dom \ f) \Longrightarrow e \notin dom \ f \Longrightarrow P \ f \Longrightarrow P \ (f(e \mapsto r))
 shows P f using fin
proof (induct dom f arbitrary: f rule:finite-induct) — arbitrary statement is a must
in here, otherwise cannot prove it
 case empty then have dom f = \{\} by simp — need to reverse to apply rules
 then have f = Map.empty by simp
 thus ?case by (simp \ add: assms(2))
next
 case (insert x F)
 — Show that update of the domain means an update of the map
 assume dom F: insert \ x \ F = dom \ f then have dom Fr: dom \ f = insert \ x \ F by
 then obtain f0 where f0Def: f0 = f \mid F by simp
 with dom F have dom F0: F = dom f0 by auto
 with insert have finite (dom f0) and x \notin dom f0 and P f0 by simp-all
 then have PFUpd: P(f\theta(x \mapsto the(fx)))
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(3))
 from domFr fODef have f = fO(x \mapsto the(fx)) by (auto intro: l-insertUpdSpec-aux)
 with PFUpd show ?case by simp
qed
lemma finiteRan: finite (dom f) \Longrightarrow finite (ran f)
proof (induct rule:finite-map-upd-induct)
 case empty thus ?case by simp
next
 case (insert e r f) then have ranIns: ran (f(e \mapsto r)) = insert \ r \ (ran \ f) by auto
 assume finite (ran f) then have finite (insert \ r \ (ran f)) by (intro \ finite.insert I)
 thus ?case apply (subst ranIns)
by simp
qed
lemma l-dom-r-finite: finite (dom \ f) \Longrightarrow finite \ (dom \ (S \triangleleft f))
apply (rule-tac B=dom f in finite-subset)
apply (simp add: l-dom-r-dom-subseteq)
apply assumption
done
```

```
lemma dagger-finite: finite (dom \ f) \Longrightarrow finite \ (dom \ g) \Longrightarrow finite \ (dom \ (f \dagger g))
     by (metis dagger-def dom-map-add finite-Un)
lemma finite-singleton: finite (dom [a \mapsto b])
    by (metis dom-eq-singleton-conv finite.emptyI finite-insert)
lemma not-in-dom-ar: finite (dom f) \Longrightarrow s \cap dom f = \{\} \Longrightarrow dom (s \neg \triangleleft f) = \{\}
apply (induct rule: finite-map-upd-induct)
apply (unfold dom-antirestr-def) apply simp
by (metis IntI domIff empty-iff)
lemma not-in-dom-ar-2: finite (dom f) \Longrightarrow s \cap dom f = \{\} \Longrightarrow dom (s \neg \triangleleft f) = \{\}
apply (subst set-eq-subset)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule-tac[!] subsetI)
apply (metis l-dom-ar-not-in-dom)
by (metis l-dom-ar-nothing)
lemma l-dom-ar-commute-quickspec:
  S \multimap (T \multimap f) = T \multimap (S \multimap f)
\mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{l-dom-ar-accum}\ \mathit{sup-commute})
lemma l-dom-ar-same-subsume-quickspec:
  S \multimap (S \multimap f) = S \multimap f
 by (metis l-dom-ar-accum sup-idem)
lemma l-map-with-range-not-dom-empty: dom \ m \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow ran \ m \neq \{\}
 by (simp add: l-map-non-empty-ran-conv)
lemma l-map-dom-ran: dom f = A \Longrightarrow x \in A \Longrightarrow f x \neq None
 by blast
definition
  seqcomp :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (((-)/;;(-)/,(-)) [0, 0, 10] 10)
 [intro!]: (P ;; Q, bst) \equiv let mst = P bst in (Q mst)
fun
  seqcomps :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \ list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
  where
  [intro!]: seqcomps [] bst
                                = bst
|[intro!]: seqcomps(x\#xs)|bst = seqcomps|xs(x|bst)
```

```
definition
    segcomps' :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \ list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
    where
    [intro!]: seqcomps' \ l \ bst = foldl \ (\lambda \ b \ . \ (\lambda \ a \ . \ a \ b)) \ bst \ l
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{l-seq-comp-simp}[\textit{simp}] \colon (P\ ;;\ \textit{Q},\ \textit{bst}) = \textit{Q}\ (P\ \textit{bst})\ \mathbf{unfolding}\ \textit{seqcomp-def}
\mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma l-ranE-frule:
    e \in ran \ f \Longrightarrow \exists \ x \ . \ f \ x = Some \ e
    unfolding ran-def by safe
lemma l-ranE-frule':
    e \in ran f \Longrightarrow \exists x . e = the(f x)
    by (metis l-ranE-frule option.sel)
lemma l-inv-MapTrue:
    finite\ (dom\ m) \Longrightarrow undefined \notin dom\ m \Longrightarrow undefined \notin rng\ m \Longrightarrow inv-Map
inv-True inv-True m
    by (simp add: finite-ran inv-Map-def inv-VDMSet'-def rng-def)
lemma l-invMap-domr-absorb:
    inv-Map di \ ri \ m \Longrightarrow inv-Map di \ ri \ (S \triangleleft m)
    unfolding inv-Map-def inv-VDMSet'-defs inv-VDMSet-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) domIff f-in-dom-r-apply-elem f-in-relimg-ran finit-
eRan l-dom-r-finite l-in-dom-dom-r)
lemma \ lemm
(dom\ m)
    unfolding inv-Map-defs by auto
lemma l-inv-Map-on-ran: inv-Map inv-Dom inv-Ran m \Longrightarrow inv-SetElems inv-Ran
(ran m)
    unfolding inv-Map-defs by auto
lemma l-invMap-di-absorb:
     undefined \notin dom \ m \Longrightarrow undefined \notin rnq \ m \Longrightarrow inv-Map \ di \ ri \ m \Longrightarrow inv-Map
inv-True \ ri \ m
    by (simp add: inv-Map-def inv-VDMSet'-def)
10
                  To tidy up or remove
value vdm-narrow-real (4.5::VDMRat)
value vdm-narrow-real (4.5::VDMReal)
value 7 \, div ( 3::\mathbb{Z}) = 2
```

```
value 7 vdmdiv (3::\mathbb{Z}) = 2
value -7 \ div \quad (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = 2
value -7 \ vdmdiv \ (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = 2
value -7 \ div ( 3::\mathbb{Z}) = -3
value -7 \ vdmdiv \ (3::\mathbb{Z}) = -2
value 7 \ div \quad (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = -3
value 7 \ vdmdiv \ (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = -2
value 1 div (-2::\mathbb{Z}) = -1
value 1 vdmdiv(-2::\mathbb{Z}) = 0
value -1 \ div (2::\mathbb{Z}) = -1
value -1 \ vdmdiv \ (2::\mathbb{Z}) = 0
value \theta div (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = \theta
value \theta \ vdmdiv \ (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = \theta
value \theta \ div \quad (3::\mathbb{Z}) = \theta
value \theta \ vdmdiv \ (\ \beta :: \mathbb{Z}) = \theta
value 7 \mod (3::\mathbb{Z}) = 1
value 7 \ vdmmod \ (3::\mathbb{Z}) = 1
value -7 \mod (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = -1
value -7 \ vdmmod \ (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = -1
value -7 \mod (3::\mathbb{Z}) = 2
value -7 \ vdmmod \ (3::\mathbb{Z}) = 2
value 7 \ mod \ (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = -2
value 7 \ vdmmod \ (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = -2
value 7 \ vdmmod \ (3::\mathbb{Z}) = 1
value -7 \ vdmmod \ (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = -1
value -7 \ vdmmod \ (3::\mathbb{Z}) = 2
value 7 \ vdmmod \ (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = -2
value 7 vdmrem (3::\mathbb{Z}) = 1
value -7 \ vdmrem \ (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = -1
value -7 \ vdmrem \ (3::\mathbb{Z}) = -1
value 7 \ vdmrem \ (-3::\mathbb{Z}) = 1
value inds\theta [A, B, C]
value nths [1,2,(3::nat)] \{2...3\}
```

value $nths [A,B,C,D] \{ (nat (-1))..(nat (-4)) \}$

```
value nths [A,B,C,D] \{ (nat (-4))..(nat (-1)) \}
value [A,B,C,D]$$$\{-4..-1\}
value [A,B,C,D]$$$\{-1..-4\}
value [A,B,C,D,E]$$${4..1}
value [A,B,C,D,E]$$$\{1...5\}
value [A,B,C,D,E]$$$\{2...5\}
value [A,B,C,D,E]$$${1..3}
value [A,B,C,D,E]$$$\{0...2\}
value [A,B,C,D,E]$$$\{-1..2\}
value [A,B,C,D,E]$$$\{-10...20\}
value [A,B,C,D,E]$$$\{2..-1\}
value [A,B,C,D,E]$$${2..2}
value [A,B,C,D,E]$$$\{0..1\}
value len ([A,B,C,D,E]$$${2..2})
value len ([A]$$${2..2})
value card {(2::int)..2}
value [A,B,C,D,E]$$$\{0..0\}
find-theorems card \{-..-\}
10.1
        Set translations: enumeration, comprehension, ranges
value { x+x \mid x . x \in \{(1::nat), 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \}
value \{ x+x \mid x . x \in \{(1::nat), 2, 3\} \}
value \{\theta..(2::int)\}
value \{\theta .. < (\beta :: int)\}
value \{0 < .. < (3::int)\}
10.2
        Seq translations: enumeration, comprehension, ranges
value { [A,B,C] ! i | i . i \in \{0,1,2\} \}
value { [A,B,C,D,E,F] ! i | i . i \in \{0,2,4\} }
value [A, B, C] ! \theta
value [A, B, C] ! 1
value [A, B, C] ! 2
value [A, B, C] ! 3
value nth [A, B, C] \theta
value applyList [A, B] 0 — out of range
value applyList [A, B] 1
value applyList [A, B] 2
value applyList [A, B] 3 — out of range
value [A,B,C,D] $ \theta
```

lemma [A,B,C] \$ 4 = A unfolding applyVDMSeq-defs apply simp oops lemma [A,B,C] \$ 1 = A unfolding applyVDMSeq-defs apply simp done

```
\mathbf{value}\ [a]\ \$\ (len\ [(a::nat)])
value [A, B] $ \theta — out of range
value [A,B]$1
value [A, B]$ 1
value [A, B]$ 2
value [A, B]$ 3 — out of range
value { [A,B,C] ! i | i . i \in \{0,1,2\} \}
value [x : x \leftarrow [0,1,(2::int)]]
value [x \cdot x \leftarrow [\theta \dots \beta]]
value len [A, B, C]
value elems [A, B, C, A, B]
value elems [(0::nat), 1, 2]
value inds [A,B,C]
value inds-as-nat [A,B,C]
value card (elems [10, 20, 30, 1, 2, 3, 4, (5::nat), 10])
value len [10, 20, 30, 1, 2, 3, 4, (5::nat), 10]
type-synonym MySeq = VDMNat1 list
definition
  inv-MySeq :: MySeq <math>\Rightarrow \mathbb{B}
where
  inv-MySeq s \equiv (inv-SeqElems inv-VDMNat1 s) \land
                len \ s \leq 9 \land int \ (card \ (elems \ s)) = len \ s \land
                (\forall i \in elems \ s \ . \ i > 0 \land i \leq 9)
value inv-MySeq [1, 2, 3]
```

11 VDM PO layered expansion-proof strategy setup

```
I use various theorem tags to step-wise expand-simplify VDM goals
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{lemmas} \ [\textit{VDM-basic-defs}] &= \textit{inv-True-def inv-VDMChar-def} \\ & \textit{inv-VDMToken'-def inv-VDMToken-def} \end{array}
```

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{lemmas} \; [\textit{VDM-num-defs}] &= \textit{inv-VDMNat-def inv-VDMNat1-def inv-VDMInt-def} \\ & \textit{inv-VDMReal-def inv-VDMRat-def} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{lemmas} \; [\textit{VDM-num-fcns}] &= \textit{vdm-narrow-real-def} \; \textit{vdm-div-def} \; \textit{vdm-mod-def} \\ \textit{vdm-rem-def} \; \textit{vdm-pow-def} \; \textit{vdm-abs-def} \; \textit{vdm-floor-def} \\ \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{lemmas} \; [\textit{VDM-num-spec-pre}] &= \textit{pre-vdm-mod-def pre-vdm-div-def} \\ & \textit{pre-vdm-rem-def pre-vdm-pow-def} \end{array}$

lemmas [VDM-num-spec-post] = post-vdm-mod-def post-vdm-div-def

post-vdm-rem-def post-vdm-pow-def post-vdm-floor-def post-vdm-abs-def

```
lemmas [VDM-set-defs]
                            = inv-VDMSet-def inv-VDMSet1-def inv-VDMSet'-def
inv-VDMSet1'-def inv-SetElems-def
lemmas [VDM-set-fcns]
                              = vdm-card-def
lemmas [VDM-set-spec-pre]
                               = pre-vdm-card-def
lemmas [VDM-set-spec-post]
                               = post-vdm-card-def
lemmas [VDM-seq-defs]
                            = inv-VDMSeq'-def inv-VDMSeq1'-def inv-SeqElems-def
lemmas [VDM-seq-fcns-1]
                               = len-def elems-def inds-def inds-as-nat-def
lemmas [VDM-seq-fcns-2]
                               = vdm-reverse-def vdmtake-def seg-prefix-def
lemmas [VDM-seq-fcns-3]
                                 = apply VDMSeq-def apply VDMSubseq'-def ap-
plyVDMSubseq-def
lemmas [VDM-seq-spec-pre] = pre-hd-def pre-tl-def pre-apply VDMSeq-def pre-apply VDMSubseq-def
lemmas [VDM-seq-spec-post-1] = post-len-def post-elems-def post-inds-def post-hd-def
post-tl-def
[VDM-seq-spec-post-2] = post-vdm-reverse-def post-vdmtake-def post-seq-prefix-def
post-append-def
\mathbf{lemmas} \ [\textit{VDM-seq-spec-post-3}] \ = \textit{post-apply VDMSeq-def post-apply VDMSubseq-def}
lemmas [VDM-map-defs]
                                    = inv-Option-def inv-Map1-def inv-Map-def
inv-Inmap-def
lemmas [VDM-map-fcns-1]
                                = rng-def dagger-def munion-def
lemmas [VDM-map-fcns-2]
                                 = dom-restr-def dom-antirestr-def rng-restr-def
rng-antirestr-def
lemmas [VDM-map-fcns-3]
                                = vdm-merge-def vdm-inverse-def map-subset-def
                                = map\text{-}comp\text{-}def map\text{-}compatible\text{-}def
lemmas [VDM-map-fcns-4]
lemmas [VDM-map-fcns-1-simps] = daqqer-simps upd-simps munion-simps
\mathbf{lemmas} \ [\mathit{VDM-map-fcns-2-simps}] = \mathit{restr-simps} \ \mathit{antirestr-simps}
lemmas [VDM-map-comp-1]
                                 = maplet-defs
lemmas [VDM-map-comp-2]
                                 = mapCompSetBound-defs
lemmas [VDM-map-comp-3]
                                 = mapCompTypeBound-defs
lemmas [VDM-num-crc-1]
                                = is-VDMRealWhole-def is-VDMRatWhole-def
lemmas [VDM-num-crc-2]
                                = vdmint-of-real-def vdmint-of-rat-def
lemmas [VDM-num-crc-3]
                              = total-coercion-def vdmset-of-t-def vdmseq-of-t-def
is Test-def is Test'-def
lemmas [VDM-stms-defs]
                               = seqcomp-def seqcomps.simps seqcomps'-def
lemmas [VDM-num-spec]
                                = VDM-num-spec-pre VDM-num-spec-post
lemmas [VDM-set-spec]
                              = VDM-set-spec-pre VDM-set-spec-post
lemmas [VDM-seq-spec-post]
                              = VDM-seq-spec-post-3 VDM-seq-spec-post-2 VDM-seq-spec-post-1
lemmas [VDM-seq-spec]
                               = VDM\text{-}seq\text{-}spec\text{-}pre\ VDM\text{-}seq\text{-}spec\text{-}post
lemmas [VDM-seq-fcns]
                             = VDM-seq-fcns-3 VDM-seq-fcns-2 VDM-seq-fcns-1
lemmas [VDM-map-fcns]
                             = VDM-map-fcns-4 VDM-map-fcns-3 VDM-map-fcns-2
```

```
VDM-map-fcns-1
```

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{lemmas} \ [\textit{VDM-map-fcns-simps}] &= \textit{VDM-map-fcns-2-simps} \ \textit{VDM-map-fcns-1-simps} \\ \textbf{lemmas} \ [\textit{VDM-map-comp}] &= \textit{VDM-map-comp-3} \ \textit{VDM-map-comp-2} \ \textit{VDM-map-comp-1} \\ \textbf{lemmas} \ [\textit{VDM-num-crc}] &= \textit{VDM-num-crc-3} \ \textit{VDM-num-crc-2} \ \textit{VDM-num-crc-1} \\ \end{array}$

 $\mathbf{lemmas} \ [\mathit{VDM-num}] \ = \ \mathit{VDM-num-defs} \ \mathit{VDM-num-fcns} \ \mathit{VDM-num-crc}$

 $\textbf{lemmas} \ [\textit{VDM-set}] \ = \ \textit{VDM-seq-defs} \ \textit{VDM-set-fcns}$

[VDM-seq] = VDM-seq-defs VDM-seq-fcns

 $\mathbf{lemmas} \ [VDM\text{-}map] \ = \ VDM\text{-}map\text{-}defs \ VDM\text{-}map\text{-}fcns \ VDM\text{-}map\text{-}comp$

 $\mathbf{lemmas} \ [\mathit{VDM-stms}] = \mathit{VDM-stms-defs}$

[VDM-spec] = VDM-num-spec VDM-set-spec VDM-seq-spec

 $\mathbf{lemmas} \ [\mathit{VDM-all}] \ = \ \mathit{VDM-basic-defs} \ \mathit{VDM-num} \ \mathit{VDM-set} \ \mathit{VDM-seq} \ \mathit{VDM-map}$

VDM-stms