**In today's world it is more important to work quickly and risk making mistakes than to work slowly and make sure that everything is correct.**

There have lots of discussion about work efficiency. As for whether the work’s speed is more important that the ratio of correct, ideas vary. Some people say that working quickly is more efficient even make some mistake; others mentions than making mistake will waste lots of time. In my view, making sure everything is right is the most important thing.  
  
First of all, making mistake will waste lots of time to repair. When people make a mistake in a project, they need spend lots of time review whole project to find the problem. And then they also need spend time to fix it. This process always spend time longer than they save. For example, last week, I need to finish my C programming course's assignment. I wanted to play the video game with my friend Jim in the weekend. So, I decided use one day to finish it. When I finished the programme and run it on my laptop, it reports lots of errors. At last, I spend two days to find those bugs and fix them. I spent whole weekend on it.  
  
On the other hand, the disadvantages of work quickly have never been about make some mistake and waste more time. Another disadvantage is that

~~Second,~~ some mistakes cannot be repair and they will make some serious consequence. People have long believed that work quickly can improve the efficiency even they will make some mistakes. Sometimes, however, a mistakes can destroy whole work. ~~For example, a taxi driver always drives very quickly, because he can earn more money. But he makes a traffic accident and injured.~~

For example, a taxi driver always divers his car very quickly, because this can carry more passengers and earn more money. One day, however, he had a traffic accident. At last, he got injured and lost his job.

To sum up, it is because working quickly will make some serious consequence and waste lots of time. We cannot emphasize the importance of making sure everything is correct.

In the reading passage, the author mentions that there are some theories can explain the decline of the yellow cedar. But the professor disagrees and says that those theories are false.  
  
The first theory in the passage is that the cedar bark beetle's larvae eat the cedar's barks and this will kill the cedar. Countering this argument, the professor points out the yellow cedar can use some chemical to resist the beetle. And the beetle prefers to attect some cedars which are ill or die. So, the beetle cannot cause the decline.  
  
The next point in the reading is that brow bears will claw at the cedars and eat those bark. This behavior will destroy the tree. However, the lecturer says that in some islands which don't have any bears, but the cedar is also declined. Therefore, bears are not the reason of yellow cedars’ decline.  
  
At last, the passage says that climate cause frozen root damage. But the professor says that in the high temperature area, the yellow cedar decline quick that the low temperature area.

Finally, the passage says that climate changes cause the yellow cedar’s surface root start growing in the late wither and this will led to the frozen root damage. As a result, the damage makes the cedar decline. However, the lecturer mentions that if the frozen root damage makes the cedar decline, the decline will more serious in the cold place. But the decline is more significant in the warm plant than the cold place.