The reading lists several arguments to support the idea that the decline of Torreya can be addressed in some ways. The speaker in the listening contend that there are several problems about the solutions which are supported by the reading.

First, the reading claims that people can reestablish Torreya in the same location, because the microclimate is very comfortable to Torreya's growth. The lecturer, however, argues by mentioning that global warming changed the microclimate. The wetlands are becoming drier and the temperature warmer than before, thus the microclimate is not suitble for Torreya anymore.

Second, the reading mentions that moving Torreya to a different location where the temperature is cooler. But the speaker mentions that moving Torreya to another place will threaten the plants which are live there. The lecturer gives us an example about Black Locus. People move this plant to a new place and then it grows very fast there. At last, this plant kills others. So this solution is not a good idea.

Third, the reading suggests that researchers can preserve Torreya in research centers. The speaker argues, research centers don’t have enough capacity to preserve the Torreya and they cannot keep the genetic diversity of Torreya. Thus Torreya cannot resist diseases in the long term. And the research center don’t have enough capacity to preserve the Torreya.