

# ELEC-E7120 Wireless Systems

Teacher's evaluation form for group assignment presentation

Presenting group: # 11

Evaluator's name: Dr/Mr/Ms Chen

Presentation date: Mon. 9.10 / Wed. 11.10. Order in session: 1st / 2nd / 3rd. Room: IUS

**Score scale: 5 (Excellent) 4 (Very good) 3 (Good) 2 (Weak) 1 (Poor)**

Circle a number for each category, and then consider the numbers you chose to decide an overall score for the presentation (not necessarily mathematical average of each sub-category)

1. Scenario					
1.1 Relevance of the selected scenario	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1
1.2 Consistency of measurement methodology	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1
2. Contents of presentation (slides)					
2.1 Introduction (grabs attention, has main points)	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1
2.2 Body (focused on main ideas)	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1
2.3 Conclusion (convinced to buy their advert)	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
3. Presentation of the topic (speech)					
3.1 Effective use of slides to support presentation	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1
3.2 Confidence and enthusiasm	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1
3.3 Everybody had an equal share in the presentation	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
4. Discussion after presentation					
4.1 Ability to handle challenging questions (content)	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1
4.2 Cordial, coherent and upshifting responses (delivery)	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1
<b>Overall score</b> (does not need to be the average)	<u>5</u>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>

## Strongest features (whole group)

- good introduction
- very detailed data
- adequate analysis

## Suggestions for further development

- conclusions need to be more detailed.
- analyze why these phenomenon occurred
- division of labour needs to be clearer