ELEC-E7120 Wireless Systems

Teacher's evaluation form for group assignment presentation

	Evaluator's name: Dr.Mr.Ms	
esenting group: # /	Evaluator's name: DIAWII/WIS	

Presentation date: Mon.9.30 / Wed. 11.10. Order in session: 1st / 2nd / 3rd Room: TUS

3 (Good) 2 (Weak) 1 (Poor) 4 (Very good) Score scale: 5 (Excellent)

Circle a number for each category, and then consider the numbers you chose to decide an overall score for the presentation (not necessarily mathematical average of each sub-category)

1. Scenario 1.1 Relevance of the selected scenario 1.2 Consistency of measurement methodology 5	4	3	2	1
1.1 Relevance of the selected scenario 1.2 Consistency of measurement methodology 5	4	3		
1.2 Consistency of measurement methodology		0	2	1
	2. (1) (2) (4) (4) (5) (5)	PARTITION OF		
2. Contents of presentation (slides)			0	1
2.1 Introduction (grabs attention, has main points)	14)	3	2	1
2.1 Introduction (grape attention) 5 2.2 Body (focused on main ideas)	(4)	3	2	1
2.3 Conclusion (convinced to buy their advert) (5)	4	3	2	1
	AND TOWNS TO SEE		A PARTY AND A STATE OF THE PARTY AND A STATE O	100000
3. Presentation of the topic (speech)			2	1
3.1 Effective use of slides to support presentation	4	3		1
3.2 Confidence and enthusiasm	4	3	2	
3.3 Everybody had an equal share in the presentation 5	04	3	2	1
20.000 (10.000 C.C.) (10.000 C.C.) (10.000 C.C.) (10.000 C.C.) (10.000 C.C.)				
4. Discussion after presentation		2	2	1
4.1 Ability to handle challenging questions (content)	4	3		1
4.2 Cordial, coherent and upshifting responses (delivery) 5) 4	3	2	I
	14	3	2	1
Overall score (does not need to be the average) (5	/	3		

Strongest features (whole group)

1. detailed measurments

Suggestions for further development

1. The content of slides is a bit confusing
2. One member was not well-prepared

3. Collect more data