EECS 545: Machine Learning
Lecture 20. Hidden Markov Models

Honglak Lee

03/26/2025

Outline

- Overview
- Markov Processes
- Hidden Markov Models
  - Representation
  - Inference
  - Learning
- Examples

Sequential Data

- Some data has intrinsic sequential structure.
  - Time series: speech, EKGs, stock market, robot sensors, etc.
  - Spatial sequences: DNA, natural language, etc.
- We could treat data points as i.i.d. samples
  - But that's false (they are not i.i.d.), so any conclusions we draw are likely to be wrong.
  - We are ignoring valuable constraints in the data.

Speech Recognition

Underlying generative model (assumption)



Speech recognition can be tackled with HMMs

Robot Navigation: *SLAM*

Simultaneous Localization and Mapping



CAD Map

(S. Thrun, San Jose Tech Museum)

Estimated Map

Landmark SLAM

(E. Nebot, Victoria Park)



- As robot moves, estimate its pose & world geometry

Financial Forecasting



http://www.steadfastinvestor.com/

- Predict future market behavior from historical data, news reports, expert opinions, …

Analysis of Sequential Data

- Sequential structure arises in a huge range of applications
  - Repeated measurements of a temporal process
  - Online decision making & control
  - Text, biological sequences, etc
- Standard machine learning methods (assuming IID samples) are often difficult to directly apply
  - Do not exploit temporal correlations
  - Computation & storage requirements typically scale poorly to realistic applications

Markov Chains

- A **Markov chain** is a series of random variables $x_1, \ldots, x_T$, such that

$$p(x_t|x_1, \ldots, x_{t-1}) = p(x_t|\ x_{t-1})$$

- This is the *Markov property*, and can be summarized as:
  - *The future is independent of the past, given the present*.
- Often used to model temporal evolution.

Markov Models

- If a sequence has the Markov property

$$p(x_t|x_1,\ldots,x_{t-1}) = p(x_t|\ x_{t-1})$$

- then the joint probability distribution

$$p(x_1,\ldots,x_T) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(x_t|x_1,\ldots,x_{t-1})$$

  - has a simplified form

$$p(x_1,\ldots,x_T) = p(x_1)\prod_{t=2}^{T} p(x_t|x_{t-1})$$

Markov Chains: Graphical Models

$$p(x_1, \ldots, x_T) = p(x_1) \prod_{t=2}^{T} p(x_t|x_{t-1})$$



- x_t are called states.
- $p(x_t|x_{t-1})$ are called transition probabilities.
- When the states are discrete, transition probability can be written as a matrix.

Higher-Order Markov Chains

- We can extend the concept of Markov chain to more complex, but still local, kinds of dependency.



$$p(x_{1,\ldots,}x_T) = p(x_1)p(x_2|x_1)\prod_{t=3}^{T} p(x_t|x_{t-1},x_{t-2})$$

Markov chain with latent variable

- For each observation x_t, we assume there is a latent variable z_t, and the z_t form a Markov chain.



$$p(x_1,\ldots,x_T,z_1,\ldots,z_T) = p(z_1)\left[\prod_{t=2}^{T} p(z_t|z_{t-1})\right]\prod_{t=1}^{T} p(x_t|z_t)$$

Markov chain with latent variable

- This leads to
  - **Hidden Markov Models**
    - when the latent variable is discrete

today's focus

  - **Linear Dynamical Systems**
    - when the latent variable is Gaussian.



$$p(x_1,\ldots,x_T,z_1,\ldots,z_T) = p(z_1)\left[\prod_{t=2}^{T} p(z_t|z_{t-1})\right]\prod_{t=1}^{T} p(x_t|z_t)$$

Hidden Markov Models

- Prior distribution at the initial state:

parameters

$$p(z_1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad p(z_1|\pi) \qquad \pi$$

- Conditional distribution (transition table):

$$p(z_t|z_{t-1}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad p(z_t|z_{t-1}, A) \qquad A$$

- Emission probabilities of observables:

$$p(x_t|z_t) \quad \Rightarrow \quad p(x_t|z_t, \phi) \qquad \phi$$

these distributions are also independent of t (i.e., shared across time)

Hidden Markov Models

- Use 1-of-*K* coding for values of z_t.

- A is the table of transition probabilities (indep. of *t*)

$$A_{jk} \equiv p(z_{tk}=1|z_{t-1,j}=1)$$

- This is *not* a graph of variables. These are transition diagram among values of *one* variable.



State transition diagram
(not a graphical model diagram)

Generative sampling from HMM

- Example:
  - Transition prob.: 90% of staying in the same state, 5% chance of transition to each other state.
  - Observation prob.: Gaussian distribution

Transition probabilities



$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.90 & 0.05 & 0.05 \\ 0.05 & 0.90 & 0.05 \\ 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.90 \end{bmatrix}$$

Generative sampling from HMM

- Example:
  - Transition prob.: 90% of staying in the same state, 5% chance of transition to each other state.
  - Observation prob.: Gaussian distribution

Transition probabilities



Observation probabilities





Samples from HMM

Hidden Markov Models

- Lattice representation of transition diagram



Hidden Markov Models

- The prior distribution at the initial state:

$$p(z_1|\pi) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k^{z_{1k}}$$

- The conditional distribution (transition table):

$$p(z_t|z_{t-1}, A) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \prod_{j=1}^{K} A_{jk}^{z_{t-1,j} z_{t,k}}$$

- Emission probabilities of observables:

$$p(x_t|z_t, \phi) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} p(x_t|\phi_k)^{z_{t,k}}$$

Hidden Markov Models

- So, the overall joint probability distribution, over both observed and latent variables, is

$$p(X, Z|\theta) = p(z_1|\pi) \left[\prod_{t=2}^{T} p(z_t|z_{t-1}, A)\right] \prod_{m=1}^{T} p(x_m|z_m, \phi)$$

- The parameters are: $\theta = \{\pi, \mathbf{A}, \phi\}$
  - We can use EM to estimate these from data **X**.

Maximum Likelihood for the HMM

- Given a set X of observations, we want to use maximum likelihood to estimate the parameters $\theta = \{\pi, \mathbf{A}, \phi\}$
  - and the latent variables **Z**.

$$p(\mathbf{X}|\theta) = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} P(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta)$$

- To estimate the parameters of this latent variable model, we'll use the E-M algorithm.

Learning: E-M for HMMs

- The E-Step estimates the latent variables

$$q(\mathbf{Z}) = p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{\text{old}})$$

- The M-Step updates the parameters

$$\theta = \{\pi, \mathbf{A}, \phi\}$$

$$\text{argmax}_\theta \; \mathcal{L}(q, \theta) = \text{argmax}_\theta \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} q(\mathbf{Z}) \log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta)$$



- After convergence, we have the maximum likelihood values of all parameters

E-M for HMMs



- **E-step** is evaluating $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{\text{old}})$
- A key term is $\gamma(z_t) = p(z_t|X) = \frac{p(X|z_t)p(z_t)}{p(X)}$
- Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} p(X|z_t) &= p(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_T|z_t) \\ &= p(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_t|z_t)p(x_{t+1}, x_{t+2}, \cdots, x_T|z_t, x_1, x_2, \cdots x_t) \\ &= p(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_t|z_t)p(x_{t+1}, x_{t+2}, \cdots, x_T|z_t) \end{aligned}$$

- Now, $\gamma(z_t) = \frac{p(x_1,\ldots,x_t,z_t)p(x_{t+1},\ldots,x_T|z_t)}{p(X)} = \frac{\alpha(z_t)\beta(z_t)}{p(X)}$
- where $\alpha(z_t) \equiv p(x_1, \ldots, x_t, z_t) \quad \beta(z_t) \equiv p(x_{t+1,\ldots,x_T}|z_t)$

Forward-Backward Algorithm **(E-step)**

$$\alpha(z_t) \equiv p(x_1, \ldots, x_t, z_t) \qquad \beta(z_t) \equiv p(x_{t+1,\ldots,}x_T|z_t)$$

- We'll prove the following recurrences:



$$\alpha(z_t) = p(x_t|z_t) \sum_{z_{t-1}} \alpha(z_{t-1}) p(z_t|z_{t-1})$$

$$\beta(z_t) = \sum_{z_{t+1}} \beta(z_{t+1}) p(x_{t+1}|z_{t+1}) p(z_{t+1}|z_t)$$

- Note that recurrence for alpha is *forward* (dependent on past) while recurrence for beta is *backward* (dep. on future)

Forward-Backward Algorithm **(E-step)**

- Forward and Backward computations





Forward-Backward Algorithm **(E-step)**

$$\alpha(z_t) \equiv p(x_1, \ldots, x_t, z_t)$$

Recurrence for alpha:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(z_t) &= p(x_1, \ldots, x_t, z_t) \\
&= p(x_t \mid x_1, \ldots, x_{t-1}, z_t) p(x_1, \ldots, x_{t-1}, z_t) && \text{[Conditional prob.]} \\
&= p(x_t \mid z_t) p(x_1, \ldots, x_{t-1}, z_t) && \text{[Markov property]} \\
&= p(x_t \mid z_t) \sum_{z_{t-1}} p(x_1, \ldots, x_{t-1}, z_{t-1}, z_t) && \text{[Marginalization]} \\
&= p(x_t \mid z_t) \sum_{z_{t-1}} p(z_t \mid x_1, \ldots, x_{t-1}, z_{t-1}) p(x_1, \ldots, x_{t-1}, z_{t-1}) && \text{[Conditional prob.]} \\
&= p(x_t \mid z_t) \sum_{z_{t-1}} p(z_t \mid z_{t-1}) \alpha(z_{t-1}) && \text{[Markov + Definition of α]}
\end{aligned}$$



Forward-Backward Algorithm **(E-step)**

Recurrence for beta:

$$\beta(z_t) \equiv p(x_{t+1,\ldots,}x_T|z_t)$$

$$\beta(z_t) = p(x_{t+1}, \ldots, x_T \mid z_t)$$

$$= \sum_{z_{t+1}} p(x_{t+1}, \ldots, x_T, z_{t+1} \mid z_t)$$ [Marginalization]

$$= \sum_{z_{t+1}} p(x_{t+1} \mid z_t, x_{t+2}, \ldots, x_T, z_{t+1}) p(x_{t+2}, \ldots, x_T, z_{t+1} \mid z_t)$$ [Conditional prob.]

$$= \sum_{z_{t+1}} p(x_{t+1} \mid z_{t+1}) p(x_{t+2}, \ldots, x_T \mid z_t, z_{t+1}) p(z_{t+1} \mid z_t)$$ [Markov + Conditional]

Markov property

Cond. prob

Markov property

$$= \sum_{z_{t+1}} p(x_{t+1} \mid z_{t+1}) p(x_{t+2}, \ldots, x_T \mid z_{t+1}) p(z_{t+1} \mid z_t)$$ [Markov property]

$$= \sum_{z_{t+1}} p(x_{t+1} \mid z_{t+1}) \beta(z_{t+1}) p(z_{t+1} \mid z_t)$$

[Definition of □]



Learning: E-M for HMMs

- The E-Step estimates the latent variables

$$q(\mathbf{Z}) = p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{\text{old}})$$

- The M-Step updates the parameters

$$\theta = \{\pi, \mathbf{A}, \phi\}$$

$$\text{argmax}_\theta \; \mathcal{L}(q, \theta) = \text{argmax}_\theta \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} q(\mathbf{Z}) \log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta)$$



- After convergence, we have the maximum likelihood values of all parameters

Q. Derive the update rule for M-step

Learning: M-step for HMMs

- Marginals of z given X (from E-step)

$$\gamma(z_t) = p(z_t|X, \theta^{old}) \quad \text{gives us} \quad \xi(z_{t-1}, z_t) = p(z_{t-1}, z_t|X, \theta^{old})$$

- Data Completion likelihood

$$\text{argmax}_\theta \, \mathcal{L}(q, \theta) = \text{argmax}_\theta \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} q(\mathbf{Z}) \log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta)$$

$$= \text{argmax}_\theta \; \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma(z_{1,k}) \ln \pi_k + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \xi(z_{t-1,j}, z_{t,k}) \ln A_{jk}$$

- M-step for state transitions

$$\pi_k = \frac{\gamma(z_{1,k})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \gamma(z_{1,j})} \qquad A_{jk} = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^{T} \xi(z_{t-1,j}, z_{t,k})}{\sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{t=2}^{T} \xi(z_{t-1,j}, z_{t,l})}$$

Learning: M-step for HMMs

- M-step for Observation probabilities
- Ex 1: Gaussian prob. $p(\mathbf{x}|\phi_k) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mu_\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{\Sigma_k})$

$$\mu_k = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma(z_{t,k}) x_t}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma(z_{t,k})} \qquad \sum_k = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma(z_{t,k})(x_t - \mu_k)(x_t - \mu_k)^T}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma(z_{t,k})}$$

- Ex 2: Discrete (multinomial) prob. $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) = \prod_{i=1}^{D} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \mu_{ik}^{x_i z_k}$

$$\mu_{ik} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma(z_{t,k}) x_{t,i}}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma(z_{t,k})}$$

Decoding (Inference): The Viterbi Algorithm

- Assume that we have estimated the parameters $\theta = \{\pi, \mathbf{A}, \phi\}$ of the HMM model
- Given a sequence X of observations, we want the **most likely sequence** Z of states (e.g., a MAP estimation).

$$\arg \max_{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_T} p(z_1, z_2, \ldots z_T | x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_T) = \arg \max_{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_T} p(z_1, z_2, \ldots z_T, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_T)$$



Decoding: The Viterbi Algorithm

- Can use a Dynamic Programming algorithm, that is infact equivalent to shortest paths algorithm, due to recurrence:

$$p(z_1, \ldots z_t, z_{t+1}, x_1, \ldots, x_t, x_{t+1}) = p(z_1, \ldots z_t, x_1, \ldots, x_t) p(z_{t+1}|z_t) p(x_{t+1}|z_{t+1})$$

- For each state in z_t, keep track of
  - the probability of reaching that state,
  - the most likely path for reaching that state, and
  - the probability of that path (the Viterbi path).
- This can be updated to z_{t+1} in K^2 time.
  - Multiply by the emission probability of $\mathbf{x}^{(n)}$,
  - and all possible transition probabilities.

Decoding: The Viterbi Algorithm



The optimal path (highest prob.) that leads to each state z_t is shown

MAP assignment for z_t is the color with the highest prob among all the colored paths.



For each state, check which of the paths leads to the highest prob.

For e.g: The red state (k=1).

Decoding: The Viterbi Algorithm





Discard the non-optimal paths

Decoding: The Viterbi Algorithm





Repeat for all the states.

Decoding: The Viterbi Algorithm





Colored to indicate optimal paths for each state.

Again, MAP assignment for z_{t+1} is the color with the highest prob among all the colored paths.

Decoding: The Viterbi Algorithm



Now repeat the same steps till end time T.

Constraints on HMM transitions

- Left-to-right constraint to describe a temporal process.
- Used for speech recognition





HMM for spoken digit recognition task



Note: This is state-transition diagram.

Related blog:
https://jonathan-hui.medium.com/speech-recognition-weighted-finite-state-transducers-wfst-a4ece08a89b7

Summary

- HMMs are useful in applications like speech recognition, robot navigation etc.
- HMM is latent variable models where the latents (or states) form a Markov chain
- The parameters of HMM can be estimated via the Expectation Maximization algorithm
- To infer the most likely sequence of latents (or states) for a test sample **x,** we can use the Viterbi algorithm (dynamic programming).

Any feedback (about lecture, slide, homework, project, etc.)?

(via **anonymous** google form: https://forms.gle/fpYmiBtG9Me5qbP37)

Change Log of lecture slides:
https://docs.google.com/document/d/e/2PACX-1vSSIHJjkIypK7rKFSR1-5GYXyBCEW8UPtpSfCR9AR6M1l7K9ZQEmxfFwaWaW7kLDxusthsF8WlCyZJ-/pub