

## Lecture V : Theoretical resolution of elliptic problems

---

### A) Aims of this class

After this class,

- I know how to define a partial derivative in the sense of distributions.
- I know how to define the trace of a  $H^1$  function on the boundary of the domain.
- I know the formulas that extend the integration by parts.
- I can find a variational formulation based on a linear elliptic problem, taking into account correctly the conditions at the boundary.
- I can solve a variational formulation.
- I know how to go back to the initial elliptic problem and to solve it theoretically.

**B) To become familiar with this class' concepts (to prepare before the examples class)**

Questions [V.1](#) and [V.2](#) must be done before the 5th lab. The solutions are available online.

**Question V.1 (A variational problem)**

Let  $f \in L^2(0, 1)$  and

$$a : (u, v) \mapsto \int_{]0,1[} (u'v' + uv) - \frac{u(0)v(0)}{4}.$$

**Q. V.1.1** Prove  $a$  is properly defined, bilinear, continuous and coercive on  $H^1(0, 1) \times H^1(0, 1)$ .

**Q. V.1.2** Prove there exists a unique  $u \in H^1(0, 1)$  such that  $\forall v \in H^1(0, 1), a(u, v) = \int_{]0,1[} f v$ .

**Q. V.1.3** Prove there exists a unique  $u \in H^1(0, 1)$  such that  $\forall v \in H^1(0, 1), a(u, v) = v(0)$ .

**Q. V.1.4** What is the regularity of the solution  $u$  in Question [V.1.2](#)?

**Q. V.1.5** What is the regularity of the solution  $u$  in question [V.1.3](#)? What is the elliptic problem satisfied by  $u$ ?

**Question V.2 (A convection-diffusion problem)**

We solve here, theoretically, the 1D-stationary convection-diffusion problem:

$$(CD) \quad \begin{cases} -\kappa u''(x) + bu'(x) + c(x)u(x) = f(x), & x \in ]0, 1[, \\ u(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

with  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{+*}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c \in C^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$  and  $f \in C^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ .

Variable  $u$  (the unknown) represents the temperature if the problem of interest is heat transfer or the concentration if the problem of interest is mass transfer.

**Q. V.2.1** Show that, if  $b = 0$ , then (CD) has one and only one classical solution, that is, of class  $C^2([0, 1])$ .

**Q. V.2.2** Deduce that, in the general case, (CD) admits one and only one classical solution.

Hint: Change unknowns  $v : x \mapsto \exp(-\delta x)u(x)$ , with  $\delta$  to be determined.

**Q. V.2.3** We assume that  $\kappa, c$  are constant and  $f : x \mapsto \exp(bx/(2\kappa))$ . Solve (CD).

**C) Exercises****Exercise V.1 (Lifting)**

Let  $a$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c \in C^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$  and  $f \in C^0([0, 1])$ . Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + cu = f & \text{on } ]0, 1[, \\ u(0) = a \quad \text{and} \quad u(1) = b. \end{cases}$$

**E. V.1.1** Let  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  be defined from  $[0, 1]$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $u_0 : x \mapsto a + (b - a)x$  and  $u_1 : x \mapsto a + (b - a)x^2$ .

**E.V.1.1.1** Show that there exists a unique  $\tilde{u}$  (resp.  $\bar{u}$ ) in  $C^2([0, 1])$  such that  $u = u_0 + \tilde{u}$  (resp.  $v = u_1 + \bar{u}$ ) is a solution of the problem.

**E.V.1.1.2** Show that  $u = v$ . Is the problem well-posed in  $C^2([0, 1])$  ?

**E. V.1.2** Same question for  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  functions of  $C^2([0, 1])$  such that  $u_0(0) = u_1(0) = a$  and  $u_0(1) = u_1(1) = b$ .

### Exercise V.2

Let the problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\kappa u'' + cu = f & \text{in } ]0, 1[, \\ u'(0) = \alpha & \text{and } u'(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\kappa, c \in C^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{+*})$  and  $f \in L^2(]0, 1[)$ .

**E. V.2.1** Of what type is this problem?

**E. V.2.2** Write the variational formulation of this problem.

**E. V.2.3** Show that the variational problem has a unique solution.

**E. V.2.4** Give a functional space that makes the initial problem well-posed.

**E. V.2.5** Rewrite the initial problem as a minimization problem.

### Exercise V.3 (Dirichlet-Neumann conditions)

We now turn to the following problem:

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} -u''(x) + c(x)u(x) = f(x), & x \in ]0, 1[, \\ u(0) = 0 \text{ and } u'(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

with  $f \in C^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  and  $c \in C^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ . Prove the existence and uniqueness of the classical solution.

### Exercise V.4

Let  $\Omega = ]a, b[ \times ]c, d[$ , with  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a < b$  and  $c < d$ . Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(a, y) = 0, & \partial_x u(b, y) = 0, \quad c < y < d, \\ \partial_y u(x, c) = 1, & \partial_y u(x, d) = x, \quad a < x < b. \end{cases}$$

**E. V.4.1** Write the associated variational formulation.

**E. V.4.2** Study this variational formulation.

---

## D) Going further

**Exercise V.5**

Consider the problem (F)  $\begin{cases} -u'' + qu = f \text{ in } ]0, 1[, \\ u(0) = 0 \text{ and } u(1) = 0, \end{cases}$

where  $f \in L^2(]0, 1[)$  and  $q$  is a nonnegative constant.

**E. V.5.1** Give a variational formulation (FV) of (F) in a Hilbert space  $H$  to be precised. Denote respectively  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\ell(\cdot)$  the bilinear (resp. linear) form associated with this variational problem.

**E. V.5.2** Check that for all  $q \geq 0$ , (F) admits a unique solution  $u$  in a Sobolev space to be precised.

Let  $m \geq 1$ . Introduce the finite dimensional vector space  $H_m$  generated by the functions

$$\phi_k : x \mapsto \sin(k\pi x), \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

**E. V.5.3** Show that  $H_m \subset H, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Give the dimension of  $H_m$ .

We approximate the solution of (FV) by  $u_m = \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbf{u}_k \phi_k$  solution of

$$(FV'_m) \quad \text{Find } u_m \in H_m \text{ such that } \forall v_m \in H_m, \quad a(u_m, v_m) = \ell(v_m).$$

**E. V.5.4** Write the associated linear system. What can be said about its matrix ?

**E. V.5.5** Deduce the expression of the coefficients  $\mathbf{u}_k, k = 1, \dots, m$ , and those of  $u_m$ .

**E. V.5.6** Justify the existence of a Hilbert basis  $L^2(]0, 1[)$ , denoted by  $(w_k)_{k \geq 1}$ , such that,  $\forall k \geq 1$ ,

$$w_k \in H_0^1(]0, 1[) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(]0, 1[), \quad \int_0^1 w'_k v' dx = \lambda_k \int_0^1 w_k v dx.$$

**E. V.5.7** Establish a link between  $(w_k)_{k \geq 1}$  and  $(\phi_k)_{k \geq 1}$ .

**E. V.5.8** Show that  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}^*, \|u - u_m\|_{L^2(]0, 1[)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{(\pi^2(m+1)^2 + q)^2} \sum_{k=m+1}^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^1 f(x) \sin(k\pi x) dx \right)^2$ ,

then that  $\|u - u_m\|_{L^2(]0, 1[)}^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ .

## Chapter V: Solutions

**Solution of Q. V.1.1** Let us consider

$$a : (u, v) \mapsto (u, v)_{H^1(0,1)} - \frac{1}{4}u(0)v(0)$$

This function is

- Properly defined:

1.  $u$  and  $v$  belong to  $H^1(0, 1)$  therefore  $(u, v)_{H^1(0,1)}$  is properly defined.
2. The Rellich Theorem ?? states that  $H^1(0, 1) \subset C^0([0, 1])$ . Since  $u, v \in H^1(0, 1)$ , the functions  $u$  and  $v$  are continuous therefore  $u(0)$  and  $v(0)$  are properly defined<sup>1</sup>.

So  $a$  is well-defined.

- Bilinearity: obvious.
- Continuity:  $\forall (u, v) \in H^1(0, 1)^2$ ,

$$|a(u, v)| \leq |(u, v)_{H^1(0,1)}| + \frac{1}{4}|u(0)v(0)| \leq \|u\|_{H^1}\|v\|_{H^1} + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{H^1}\|v\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{3}{2}\|u\|_{H^1}\|v\|_{H^1},$$

where we have used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to bound  $|(u, v)_{H^1(0,1)}|$  and the exercise ?? to bound  $|u(0)v(0)|$ .

- Coercivity: For all  $u \in H^1(0, 1)$ ,

$$a(u, u) = \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{4}u(0)^2 \geq \left(1 - \frac{2}{4}\right) \|u\|_{H^1}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{H^1}^2$$

where we have used the exercise ?? to get  $-u(0)^2 \geq -2\|u\|_{H^1}^2$ .

**Solution of Q. V.1.2** The Lax-Milgram Theorem ?? applies because:

- The space  $H^1(0, 1)$  endowed with its usual inner product is a Hilbert Space.
- The bilinear form  $a$  is continuous and coercive on  $H^1(0, 1)$ .
- The function  $v \mapsto \int_{]0,1[} f v$  is a continuous linear form on  $H^1(0, 1)$  (consequence of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality).

---

<sup>1</sup>As a reminder,  $u(0)$  makes no sense for a general  $u \in L^2(0, 1)$  because we are dealing with a class of function.

Hence, there exists a unique  $u \in H^1(0, 1)$  such that

$$\forall v \in H^1(0, 1), \quad a(u, v) = \int_{]0, 1[} f v.$$

**Solution of Q. V.1.3** Exercise ?? yields the linear form  $v \mapsto v(0)$  is continuous on  $H^1(0, 1)$ . The Lax-Milgram Theorem ?? applies once again.

**Solution of Q. V.1.4** Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(]0, 1[)$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}(]0, 1[ \subset H^1(1, 0)$ , the following equality holds:

$$a(u, \phi) = \int_{]0, 1[} u' \phi' + u \phi = \int_{]0, 1[} f \phi$$

Since  $u' \in L^2(0, 1) \subset L^1_{loc}(0, 1)$ , the regular distribution  $T_{u'}$  satisfies  $\int_{]0, 1[} u' \phi' = \langle T_{u'}, \phi' \rangle$ . From now on<sup>2</sup>, we will note  $u' = T_{u'}$ , as we usually do. Subsequently<sup>3</sup>  $\int_{]0, 1[} u' \phi' = -\langle u'', \phi \rangle$ . We deduce:

$$\langle -u'' + u - f, \phi \rangle = 0.$$

So  $u'' = u - f \in L^2(0, 1)$  and<sup>4</sup>  $u \in H^2(0, 1)$ .

**Solution of Q. V.1.5** Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(]0, 1[)$ . Once again,  $\phi \in H^1(0, 1)$  so we have:

$$a(u, \phi) = \int_{]0, 1[} (u' \phi' + u \phi) = \phi(0) = 0.$$

As before, since  $T_{u'}$  is a regular distribution (now denote  $u'$ ) we get  $\int_{]0, 1[} u' \phi' = -\langle u'', \phi \rangle$  and

$$\langle -u'' + u, \phi \rangle = 0.$$

So  $u'' = u \in H^1(0, 1)$  and  $u \in H^3(0, 1)$ . Note we can iterate this argument to obtain  $u \in H^s(0, 1)$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now that we have established  $-u'' + u = 0$  in  $]0, 1[$ , let us go back to the variational formulation:

$$\forall v \in H^1(0, 1), \quad a(u, v) = v(0)$$

That is

$$\forall v \in H^1(0, 1), \quad \int_{]0, 1[} (u' v' + u v) - \frac{1}{4} u(0) v(0) - v(0) = 0$$

<sup>2</sup>As we usually do. We explained in the lecture why we can do so

<sup>3</sup>At this point, the right hand side is the duality bracket:  $u''$  is a distribution and  $\phi$  a test function. We do not know yet if  $u''$  is a regular distribution.

<sup>4</sup>At this point, we know that  $u''$  is a regular distribution and can be identified to a function in  $L^1_{loc}$  that happens to be in  $H^2(0, 1)$ .

Applying the Green's theorem<sup>5</sup>, we get

$$-\int_{]0,1[} (-u'' + u)v + (u'(1)v(1) - u'(0)v(0)) - \frac{1}{4}u(0)v(0) - v(0) = 0$$

Since  $-u'' + u = 0$  in  $]0,1[$  we get

$$u'(1)v(1) - u'(0)v(0) - \frac{1}{4}u(0)v(0) - v(0) = 0$$

Since this is true for any  $v \in H^1(0,1)$ , we can<sup>6</sup>

- choose  $v$  such that  $v(0) = 0$  and  $v(1) = 1$ , which gives  $u'(1) = 0$ .
- then choose a different  $v$  such that  $v(0) = 1$  and  $v(1) = 0$ , which gives  $u'(0) + 1 = 0$ .

Thus  $u$  satisfies the variational formulation

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + u = 0 & \text{in } ]0,1[ \\ u'(0) = -1 \text{ and } u'(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Solution of Q. V.2.1** We apply the outline of the seven-step process exposed during the lecture. For a given  $g, c \in C^0([0,1])$ , we will show that there exists a unique solution  $v \in C^2([0,1])$  such that

$$\begin{cases} -v'' + cv = g & \text{sur } ]0,1[, \\ v(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{V.1})$$

### E1: Weak formulation

Assume that the solution  $v$  is of class  $C^2([0,1])$ . Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(]0,1[)$ . Let us multiply the equation by  $\phi$  and then integrate of  $]0,1[$ . An integration by parts yields:

$$\int_{]0,1[} (v'\phi' + cv\phi) = \int_{]0,1[} g\phi.$$

Note that this step is not actually part of the proof but it helps us identify the weak formulation we are going to work on.

### E2: Variational Formulation

We look for a solution  $v$  that vanishes at the boundary. The functional space we choose is  $H_0^1(0,1)$ . Equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H_0^1} : x \mapsto \|u'\|_{L^2}$ , it is a Hilbert space. The variational problem is: Find  $v \in H_0^1(0,1)$  such that

$$\forall w \in H_0^1(0,1), \quad a(v, w) = \ell(w)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} a : (v, w) \in (H_0^1)^2 \mapsto \int_{]0,1[} (v'w' + cvw), \\ \ell : w \in H_0^1 \mapsto \int_{]0,1[} gw. \end{cases}$$

<sup>5</sup>Also known as the Integration by Parts since we are in dimension 1.

<sup>6</sup>The Rellich Theorem that embeds  $H^1(0,1)$  in  $C^0(0,1)$  allows us to evaluate  $v$  in 0 and 1 and we can select such functions  $v \in H^1(0,1)$ .

**E3: Continuity of  $a$  and  $\ell$** 

Let  $(v, w) \in (H_0^1(0, 1))^2$ . Then, thanks to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |a(v, w)| &\leq \|v'\|_{L^2} \|w'\|_{L^2} + \|c\|_{\infty} \|v\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|v\|_{H_0^1} \|w\|_{H_0^1} + \|c\|_{\infty} C_{\Omega}^2 \|v'\|_{L^2} \|w'\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq (1 + \|c\|_{\infty} C_{\Omega}^2) \|v\|_{H_0^1} \|w\|_{H_0^1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_{\Omega}$  is the constant appearing in the Poincaré inequality. So the bilinear form  $a$  is continuous on  $(H_0^1(0, 1))^2$ .

We also get, thanks to the Cauchy-Schwarz and Poincaré inequalities,

$$|\ell(w)| \leq \|g\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^2} \leq C_{\Omega} \|g\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{H_0^1}.$$

So the linear form  $\ell$  is continuous on  $H_0^1(0, 1)$ .

**E4: Coercivity**

Let  $v \in H_0^1(0, 1)$ . Then

$$a(v, v) = \int_{]0,1[} ((v')^2 + cv^2) \geq \|v\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \min_{[0,1]} c \|v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

But  $c$  is nonnegative. So

$$a(v, v) \geq \|v\|_{H_0^1}^2$$

and the bilinear form  $a$  is coercive on  $(H_0^1(0, 1))^2$ .

**Remark 1**

- (a) The assumption:  $c$  is nonnegative is of prime importance.
- (b) If  $c$  had been positive (rather than nonnegative) we could have chosen the norm  $H^1$ . Here, we have to choose  $H_0^1$  to insure coercivity because  $c$  could be equal to zero.

**E5: Existence and uniqueness of the solution of the variational formulation**

We apply the Lax-Milgram theorem: there exists a unique  $v \in H_0^1(0, 1)$  such that

$$\forall w \in H_0^1(0, 1), \quad a(v, w) = \ell(w).$$

Furthermore

$$\|v\|_{H_0^1} \leq C_{\Omega} \|g\|_{L^2}.$$

**E6: Solution of the PDE in the distributional sense**

We know that<sup>7</sup>  $\mathcal{D}(]0, 1[) \subset H_0^1(0, 1)$ . So we get

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(]0, 1[), \quad \int_{]0,1[} v' \phi' = \langle v', \phi' \rangle = \int_{]0,1[} (-cv + g) \phi = \langle -cv + g, \phi \rangle.$$

---

<sup>7</sup>We even have density of  $\mathcal{D}(]0, 1[)$  in  $H_0^1(0, 1)$  for the  $H^1$  norm.



We conclude

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(]0, 1[), \quad -\langle v'', \phi \rangle = \langle -cv + g, \phi \rangle,$$

that is, in the distributional sense,  $v'' = g - cv$ . Moreover, thanks to the Minkowsky inequality, we get the estimate

$$\|v''\|_{L^2} \leq \|g\|_{L^2} + \|c\|_{\infty} \|v\|_{L^2} \leq (1 + \|c\|_{\infty} C_{\Omega}) \|g\|_{L^2}.$$

### E7: Regularity of the solution

Thanks to the Rellich theorem in 1D (the functions  $H^1(0, 1)$  are continuous in  $[0, 1]$ ), and so are the functions  $v \in C^0([0, 1])$ , and  $g - cv$ , as well as  $v''$ : so the function  $v$  is of class  $C^2([0, 1])$ .

**Solution of Q. V.2.2** Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, if  $u = e_{\delta}v$  with  $e_{\delta} : x \mapsto \exp(\delta x)$ , we get (in the distributional sense)

$$\begin{cases} u' = e_{\delta}(\delta v + v') \\ u'' = e_{\delta}(\delta^2 v + 2\delta v' + v'') \end{cases}$$

applying the Leibniz formula of Exercice ???. So, if  $u$  is a solution to (CD) then  $v$  is a solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\kappa v'' + (b - 2\kappa\delta)v' + (c - \kappa\delta^2 + b\delta)v = fe_{-\delta} \\ v(0) = 0 \text{ et } v(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Letting  $\delta = b/(2\kappa)$ , the first-order derivative disappears and  $v$  is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\kappa v'' + \left(c + \frac{b^2}{4\kappa}\right)v = fe_{-\delta} \\ v(0) = 1 \text{ et } v(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We can apply the result of the previous question since  $c + \frac{b^2}{4\kappa}$  is a nonnegative continuous function.

**Solution of Q. V.2.3** Letting  $\omega = \sqrt{4c\kappa + b^2}/(2\kappa)$ , we get

$$\begin{cases} v'' - \omega^2 v = -1/\kappa \\ v(0) = 0 \text{ and } v(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The solutions of this 2nd order ODE are linear combinations of  $\sinh$  and  $\cosh$  added to the particular solution  $x \mapsto 1/(\kappa\omega^2)$ : there exist real numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  such that

$$v : x \mapsto \alpha \sinh(\omega x) + \beta \cosh(\omega x) + 1/(\kappa\omega^2).$$

But  $v(0) = 0$  and  $v(1) = 0$  implies

$$\begin{cases} \beta + 1/(\kappa\omega^2) = 0 \\ \alpha \sinh(\omega) + \beta \cosh(\omega) + 1/(\kappa\omega^2) = 0 \end{cases}$$

so the unique solution is  $\alpha = -(1 - \cosh(\omega))/(\kappa\omega^2 \sinh(\omega))$  and  $\beta = -1/(\kappa\omega^2)$ . Consequently, as

$$v : x \mapsto -\frac{1}{\kappa\omega^2} \left( \frac{1 - \cosh(\omega)}{\sinh(\omega)} \sinh(\omega x) + \cosh(\omega x) - 1 \right).$$

the solution to (CD) is

$$u : x \mapsto -\exp(bx/(2\kappa)) \frac{1}{\kappa\omega^2} \left( \frac{1 - \cosh(\omega)}{\sinh(\omega)} \sinh(\omega x) + \cosh(\omega x) - 1 \right).$$

**Solution of Q. V.1.1** We see that  $u = u_0 + \tilde{u}$  is a solution to our initial problem if and only if  $\tilde{u}$  is a solution to

$$\begin{cases} -(u_0 + \tilde{u})'' + c(u_0 + \tilde{u}) = f \text{ on } ]0, 1[, \\ (u_0 + \tilde{u})(0) = a \quad \text{and} \quad (u_0 + \tilde{u})(1) = b, \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} -\tilde{u}'' + c\tilde{u} = f - cu_0 \text{ sur } ]0, 1[, \\ \tilde{u}(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{u}(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Likewise,  $u$  is a solution to the initial problem iff

$$\begin{cases} -\bar{u}'' + c\bar{u} = f + 2(b - a) - cu_1 \text{ on } ]0, 1[, \\ \bar{u}(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{u}(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We still have to prove these two **Homogeneous Dirichlet** problems have one unique solution in  $C^2([0, 1])$ . We carry out the seven-step method given during the lecture. For a given  $g \in C^0([0, 1])$ , prove there exists a unique solution  $v \in C^2([0, 1])$  such that

$$\begin{cases} -v'' + cv = g \text{ on } ]0, 1[, \\ v(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{V.2})$$

### E1 Weak Formulation

Assume the solution  $v$  is in  $C^2([0, 1])$ . Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(]0, 1[)$ . Multiplying and integrating over  $]0, 1[$  and performing an IPP yields

$$\int_{]0, 1[} (v' \phi' + cv\phi) = \int_{]0, 1[} g\phi.$$

### E2 Variational Formulation

We are looking for a solution  $v$  that vanishes on the boundary. We choose the space  $H_0^1(0, 1)$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H_0^1} : x \mapsto \|x'\|_{L^2}$ . Endowed with this norm  $H_0^1(0, 1)$  is a Hilbert space. The variation problem is: Find  $v \in H_0^1(0, 1)$  such that

$$\forall w \in H_0^1(0, 1), \quad a(v, w) = \ell(w)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} a : (v, w) \in (H_0^1)^2 \mapsto \int_{]0, 1[} (v'w' + cvw), \\ \ell : w \in H_0^1 \mapsto \int_{]0, 1[} gw. \end{cases}$$

**E3 Continuity of  $a$  and  $\ell$** 

Let  $(v, w) \in (H_0^1(0, 1))^2$ . Cauchy-Schwarz yields

$$\begin{aligned} |a(u, v)| &\leq \|v'\|_{L^2} \|w'\|_{L^2} + \|c\|_{\infty} \|v\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|v\|_{H_0^1} \|w\|_{H_0^1} + \|c\|_{\infty} C_{\Omega}^2 \|v'\|_{L^2} \|w'\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq (1 + \|c\|_{\infty} C_{\Omega}^2) \|v\|_{H_0^1} \|w\|_{H_0^1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_{\Omega}$  is the Poincaré constant. The bilinear form  $a$  is continuous on  $(H_0^1(0, 1))^2$ . Furthermore, Cauchy-Schwarz and Poincaré yield:

$$|\ell(w)| \leq \|g\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^2} \leq C_{\Omega} \|g\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{H_0^1}.$$

The linear form  $\ell$  is continuous on  $H_0^1(0, 1)$ .

**E4 Coercivité**

Let  $v \in H_0^1(0, 1)$ . Then

$$a(v, v) = \int_{]0,1[} ((v')^2 + cv^2) \geq \|v\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \min_{[0,1]} c \|v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Since  $c$  is non-negative, we have

$$a(v, v) \geq \|v\|_{H_0^1}^2$$

The bilinear form  $a$  is coercive on  $(H_0^1(0, 1))^2$ .

**Remark 2** (a) The non-negativeness of  $c$  is crucial!

(b) The coercivity of  $a$  requires<sup>8</sup> to endow  $H_0^1(0, 1)$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H_0^1}$  and not  $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$ . However, if  $c$  is positive, choosing the latter norm would have been possible.

**E5 Existence and uniqueness of the solution to the variational formulation**

The Lax-Milgram Theorem ?? yields the existence of a unique  $v \in H_0^1(0, 1)$  such that

$$\forall w \in H_0^1(0, 1), \quad a(v, w) = \ell(w).$$

Furthermore,  $\ell(v) = a(v, v) \geq \|v\|_{H_0^1(0,1)}^2$ , which leads to

$$\|v\|_{H_0^1} \leq C_{\Omega} \|g\|_{L^2}.$$

**E6 Solution to the PDE in the sense of distributions**

Since  $\mathcal{D}(]0, 1[) \subset H_0^1(0, 1)$ , we have

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(]0, 1[), \quad \int_{]0,1[} v' \phi' = \langle v', \phi' \rangle = \int_{]0,1[} (-cv + g) \phi = \langle -cv + g, \phi \rangle.$$

---

<sup>8</sup>Make sure you understand why.

It follows

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(]0, 1[), \quad -\langle v'', \phi \rangle = \langle -cv + g, \phi \rangle,$$

In the sense of distributions, we have  $v'' = g - cv$ . Moreover, the Minkowsky inequality yields

$$\|v''\|_{L^2} \leq \|g\|_{L^2} + \|c\|_{\infty} \|v\|_{L^2} \leq (1 + \|c\|_{\infty} C_{\Omega}) \|g\|_{L^2}.$$

### E7 Regularity of the solution

The Rellich Theorem ?? in dimension 1 states that functions in  $H^1(0, 1)$  are in  $C^0([0, 1])$ . It follows that  $v \in C^0([0, 1])$ . In turn  $g - cv$  belongs to  $C^0([0, 1])$ , so does  $v''$ . Consequently,  $v \in C^2([0, 1])$ .

Regarding the uniqueness: let us note that if  $g = 0$  then any solution  $v \in C^2([0, 1])$  to (V.2) satisfies  $\|v'\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{c}v\|_{L^2}^2 = 0$ . Therefore  $v$  is constant. Since  $v$  vanishes on the boundary, it vanishes everywhere.

Applying the earlier result to  $g = f - cu_0$  and  $g = f + 2(b - a) - cu_1$  proves the existence and uniqueness of  $\tilde{u}$  and  $\bar{u}$ . Note that  $(u_0 + \tilde{u}) - (u_1 - \bar{u})$  is a solution to the homogeneous Dirichlet problem with a zero source term. The uniqueness of the solution provides  $(u_0 + \tilde{u}) = (u_1 - \bar{u})$ .

**Solution of Q. V.1.2** The proof is the same. Any functions for which we impose the values on the boundary will allow us to get a Homogeneous Dirichlet condition.

### Remark 3

We could have applied the Stampacchia Theorem on the closed convex set  $K = \{v \in H^1(0, 1), v(0) = a \text{ and } v(1) = b\}$  and the bilinear form  $a$  defined above.

**Solution of Q. V.2.1** The PDE is elliptic. The boundary condition is of non-homoneneous Neumann type. (It is useful to model a flux through the boundary)

**Solution of Q. V.2.2** Since  $\tilde{c} = c/\kappa$  and  $\tilde{f} = f/\kappa$  are in the same sets as  $c$  and  $f$ , we can assume<sup>9</sup>  $\kappa = 1$  without loss of generality.

We are looking for the weak formulation:

Let us assume<sup>10</sup>  $u \in C^2([0, 1])$ . Let<sup>11</sup>  $\phi \in C^1([0, 1])$ . We multiply both sides of the PDE by  $\phi$ , integrate over  $]0, 1[$  and perform an integration by parts. We get:

$$-(u'(1)\phi(1) - u'(0)\phi(0)) + \int_0^1 (u'\phi' + cu\phi) = \int_0^1 f\phi.$$

<sup>9</sup>Technically, we divide the equation by  $\kappa$  and work with  $-u'' + \tilde{c}u = \tilde{f}$ . To simplify the notations we drop the tildes.

<sup>10</sup>We make this assumption to figure out the weak formulation. Once we have found it, all we will need is enough regularity on  $u$  so the weak formulation is defined. The way we derived it will not matter.

<sup>11</sup>Note that we do not prescribe values of  $\phi$  on the boundary  $\{0; 1\}$ . Try to see what happens if you take  $\phi \in C_0^1([0, 1])$ . You will get stuck later on in the proof. Try to see where.

Since  $u'(0) = \alpha$  and  $u'(1) = 0$ , the weak formulation is:

$$\int_0^1 (u' \phi' + cu \phi) = \int_0^1 f \phi - \alpha \phi(0).$$

Let  $H = H^1(0, 1)$ , endowed with its usual<sup>12</sup> inner product. Define  $a$  on  $H \times H$  and  $\ell$  on  $H$  by:

$$\begin{cases} a : (u, v) \mapsto \int_{]0,1[} (u'v' + cuv) \\ \ell : v \mapsto \int_{]0,1[} f v - \alpha v(0). \end{cases}$$

When  $u$  and  $v$  are in  $H^1(0, 1)$  we have

- $u' \in L^2(0, 1)$  and  $v' \in L^2(0, 1)$  thus  $u'v' \in L^1(0, 1)$
- $u \in H^1(0, 1) \subset L^2(0, 1)$  and  $v \in H^1(0, 1) \subset L^2(0, 1)$  thus  $uv \in L^1(0, 1)$

consequently  $u'v' + uv \in L^1(0, 1)$  so  $a$  is properly defined.

Similarly, when  $v \in H^1(0, 1)$ , we have  $f v \in L^1(0, 1)$  since  $f$  is continuous. Therefore  $\ell$  is properly defined.

**Solution of Q. V.2.3** Our goal is to apply the Lax-Milgram Theorem ???. Let us check that  $a$ ,  $\ell$  and  $H$  meet the criteria to apply the theorem.

- Continuity: Let  $(u, v) \in (H^1(0, 1))^2$ . Then

$$|a(u, v)| \leq (1 + \|c\|_\infty) \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1}.$$

thus  $a$  is continuous on  $H = H^1(0, 1)$ .

Moreover, Exercise ??? yields

$$\forall x \in [0, 1], \forall w \in H^1(0, 1), \quad |w(x)| \leq \sqrt{2} \|w\|_{H^1}.$$

Thus

$$|\ell(v)| \leq (\|f\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{2}|\alpha|) \|v\|_{H^1}$$

which proves the continuity of  $\ell$  in  $H = H^1(0, 1)$ .

- Coercivity: Let  $u \in H^1(0, 1)$ .

$$a(u, u) = \int_{]0,1[} ((u')^2 + cu^2) \geq \|u'\|_{L^2}^2 + \left( \min_{[0,1]} c \right) \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \min(1, \min_{[0,1]} c) \|u\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Since  $c \in C^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{+*})$ , we have  $\min_{[0,1]} c > 0$ . Therefore  $a$  is coercive.

- $H$  is a Hilbert space.

---

<sup>12</sup>i.e. the inner product defined in Theorem ???.

The Lax-Milgram Theorem ?? proves there exists a unique  $u \in H^1(0, 1)$  such that

$$\forall v \in H^1(0, 1), \quad \int_{]0,1[} (u'v' + cuv) = \int_{]0,1[} f v.$$

Furthermore

$$\|u\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{2}|\alpha|}{\min(1, \min c)}$$

with  $\alpha = 1$ .

**Solution of Q. V.2.4** We are now going to work with the function  $u$ , whose existence and uniqueness have been established in the previous question. We are going to work in the space of distributions  $\mathcal{D}'(0, 1)$  because we want to have the latitude to differentiate  $u$  twice<sup>13</sup>. Let<sup>14</sup>  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(]0, 1[)$ . We can plug  $\phi$  in lieu of  $v$  in the previous equality since  $\mathcal{D}(]0, 1[) \subset H^1(0, 1)$ .

$$\int_{]0,1[} (u'\phi' + cu\phi) = \int_{]0,1[} f\phi - \alpha\phi(0) = \int_{]0,1[} f\phi$$

Therefore

$$\langle -u'' + cu, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle$$

Plugging this in the variational formulation and using the Green's Formula yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall v \in H^1(0, 1), \quad 0 &= \int_{]0,1[} (u'v' + cuv - fv) + \alpha v(0) \\ &= [u'(1)v(1) - u'(0)v(0)] + \int_{]0,1[} (-u'' + cu - f)v + \alpha v(0) \\ &= u'(1)v(1) - (u'(0) - \alpha)v(0), \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u \in H^2(0, 1)$ , the Rellich Theorem ?? gives  $u'$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , thus  $u'$  is pointwise defined on  $[0, 1]$ . Since the equality above is true for all  $v \in H^1(0, 1)$  and since  $x \mapsto x$  and  $x \mapsto 1 - x$  both belong to  $H^1(0, 1)$ , we must have  $u'(1) = 0$  and  $u'(0) = \alpha$ .

We proved the solution  $u \in H^2(0, 1)$  satisfies our initial problem. Furthermore, the Minkowsky inequality yields

$$\|u''\|_{L^2} \leq \|c\|_{\infty}\|u\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2} \leq \|c\|_{\infty}\|u\|_{H^1} + \|f\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{\|c\|_{\infty}}{\min(1, \min_{[0,1]} c)} (\|f\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{2}|\alpha|) + \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

This proves that  $u$  is continuous with respect to  $f$  and  $\alpha$  (the data).

Note that if  $f$  and  $\alpha$  are equal to zero then  $u$  will be equal to zero as well.

If  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are two solutions to the initial problem, then  $u_1 - u_2$  will be solution to the initial problem where  $f = 0$  and  $\alpha = 0$ . Following our earlier comment, it implies that  $u_1 - u_2$  is zero, therefore we

<sup>13</sup>At this point all we know is  $u \in H^1(0, 1)$  so we have no guarantee that  $u''$  is a function. Therefore we **must** work in the space of distributions. If things go well, we will show that  $u''$  is a regular distribution and will conclude that  $u''$  was a function to begin with. Stay tuned!

<sup>14</sup>Since we want to establish an equality in  $D'([0, 1])$ , we take  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}(]0, 1[)$  whose dual space is  $\mathcal{D}'([0, 1])$

have uniqueness in  $H^2(0, 1)$ . We have proved the problem is well-posed in  $H^2(0, 1)$  and the boundary conditions are met.

**Solution of Q. V.2.5** The Lax-Milgram Theorem has an additional part that was not discussed in the lecture: If  $a$  is symmetric then  $u$  is characterized by

$$\begin{cases} u \in H \\ \frac{1}{2}a(u, u) - \langle \phi, u \rangle = \min_{v \in H} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}a(v, v) - \langle \phi, v \rangle \right\}. \end{cases}$$

In this exercise,  $a$  is symmetric, therefore:

$$\begin{cases} u \in H^1(0, 1) \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_{]0,1[} (u'^2 + cu^2) - \int_{]0,1[} fu + \alpha u(0) = \min_{v \in H^1(0,1)} \frac{1}{2} \int_{]0,1[} (v'^2 + cv^2) - \int_{]0,1[} fv + \alpha v(0) \end{cases}$$

**Solution of Q. V.3** We proceed as we did before to obtain the variational formulation. It is worth noting that prescribing the boundary condition at  $x = 0$  requires to work in the space  $H = H^1(0, 1) \cap \{v \in C^0([0, 1]) : v(0) = 0\}$ .

The point of this exercise is to prove that  $H$  is a Hilbert space. This is done by proving that  $H$  endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_H : v \mapsto \sqrt{(v, v)_H}$  is a Hilbert space because it is a **closed** subspace of  $H^1(0, 1)$ :

- Inner product

The form  $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$  is defined, bilinear, symmetric on  $H$ . Furthermore  $(v, v)_H = 0$  implies  $v' = 0$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . It follows that  $v$  is a constant. Since  $v(0) = 0$  we conclude that  $v = 0$ . This proves that  $\|\cdot\|_H$  is a norm.

- Equivalence of the norms  $\|\cdot\|_H$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$  on  $H$ :

Obviously  $\|\cdot\|_H \leq \|\cdot\|_{H^1}$ . The counterpart of the Poincaré inequality is true on  $H$ . To prove it, it suffices to adapt the proof of Poincaré, which is easy.

- $H$  is a closed supspace of  $H^1(\Omega)$ :

Let us note  $\Psi : v \in H^1(0, 1) \mapsto v(0)$ , we have  $H = \Psi^{-1}(\{0\})$ . According to ?? and V.2.3,  $\Psi$  is a linear continuous form on  $H^1(0, 1)$ .  $H$  is a closed subspace of  $H^1(0, 1)$  since it is the pre-image of a closed set by a linear continuous form.

We then proceed as in the previous exercises, with the variational form

$$\forall v \in H, \quad \int_{]0,1[} (u'v' + cuv) = \int_{]0,1[} fv.$$

**Solution of Q. V.4.1** This is an elliptic problem with mixed boundary conditions (Homogeneous Dirichlet and Non-homogeneous Neumann) on a rectangle  $\Omega$  in dimension  $d = 2$ , whose oriented

boundary  $\partial\Omega = \Omega_D \sqcup \Omega_N$  is described by

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_N &= \underbrace{\{(x, c), \quad a < x < b\}}_{=:S_1} \sqcup \underbrace{\{(b, y), \quad c < y < d\}}_{=:S_2} \sqcup \underbrace{\{(x, d), \quad a < x < b\}}_{=:S_3}, \\ \Omega_D &= \{(a, y), \quad c < y < d\} =: S_4\end{aligned}$$

The outward unit normal vector field is defined on  $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega \setminus \{(a, c), (b, c), (b, d), (a, d)\}$  and its value is:

$$n = \begin{cases} (0, -1) & \text{on } S_1 \setminus \{(a, c), (b, c)\} \\ (1, 0) & \text{on } S_2 \setminus \{(b, c), (b, d)\} \\ (0, 1) & \text{on } S_3 \setminus \{(b, d), (a, d)\} \\ (-1, 0) & \text{on } S_4 \setminus \{(a, d), (a, c)\}. \end{cases}$$

To find the weak formulation, we proceed as we usually do in dimension  $d = 1$ . Assume  $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ . Let  $\phi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $\forall y \in [c, d]$ ,  $\phi(a, y) = 0$  ( $\phi|_{\partial\Omega_D} = 0$ ). We multiply by  $\phi$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ . The Green's formula yields:

$$-\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u) \phi = -\int_{\partial\Omega} \phi \nabla u \cdot n + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega} \phi \nabla u \cdot n &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \int_{S_i} \phi \nabla u \cdot n \\ &= -\int_a^b \phi(x, c) \partial_y u(x, c) dx + \int_c^d \phi(b, y) \partial_x u(b, y) dy \\ &\quad + \int_b^a \phi(x, d) \partial_y u(x, d) dx - \int_d^c \phi(a, y) \partial_x u(a, y) dy\end{aligned}$$

Using the boundary conditions and the properties of  $\phi$ , we get

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \phi \nabla u \cdot n = -\int_a^b \phi(x, c) dx + \int_b^a \phi(x, d) x dx.$$

Therefore, the weak formulation is

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi + \int_a^b \phi(x, c) dx - \int_b^a x \phi(x, d) dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi.$$

The bilinear form to consider is:

$$a : (u, v) \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v$$

and the linear form

$$\ell : v \mapsto \int_{\Omega} v - \int_{S_1} \gamma(v) + \int_{S_3} x \gamma(v),$$

where  $\gamma : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega)$  is the trace operator. We admit that, for a rectangle, this application is continuous. We will work on the space  $H = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : \gamma(v)|_{S_4} = 0 \text{ in the } L^2 \text{ sense}\}$ .

**Solution of Q. V.4.2** Our intent is to use the Lax-Milgram Theorem ???. We need to verify three points:



(i)  $H$  is a Hilbert space:

The trace operator is continuous therefore  $H$  is the preimage of a closed set: it is a Hilbert space for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$ . The Poincaré inequality is true on  $H$  because the traces of functions in  $H$  vanish on the boundary. We can endow  $H$  with the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_H : (u, v) \mapsto (\nabla u, \nabla v)_{L^2}$  whose associated norm is equivalent on  $H$  to the  $H^1$ -norm. Let us note  $C$  the positive constant such that  $\forall v \in H, \|v\|_{H^1} \leq C\|v\|_H$ .

(ii)  $a$  and  $\ell$  are continuous :

Let  $(u, v) \in H$ . Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have these two inequalities

$$|a(u, v)| \leq \|\nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla v\|_2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_H \|\nabla v\|_H$$

$$|\ell(v)| \leq \sqrt{(b-a)(d-c)} \|v\|_{L^2} + C_\gamma \|v\|_H \leq (C\sqrt{(b-a)(d-c)} + C_\gamma) \|v\|_H$$

where we have used the continuity of  $\gamma$  (constant  $C_\gamma$ ).

(iii)  $a$  is coercive: Let  $u \in H$ . Then

$$a(u, u) = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 = \|u\|_H^2.$$

The Lax-Milgram Theorem ?? applies: there exists a unique  $u \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\forall v \in H, \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v = \int_{\Omega} v - \int_{S_1} \gamma(v) + \int_{S_3} x\gamma(v).$$

Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \subset H$ . Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi = \int_{\Omega} \phi$$

since the support  $\phi$  is compact, the integral terms on the boundary vanish. Therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi = \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle = -\langle \Delta u, \phi \rangle = \langle 1, \phi \rangle$$

that is

$$-\Delta u = 1 \quad \text{dans } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

We have<sup>15</sup>  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ . See Remark ??. The Green's formula allows us to conclude the Neumann conditions are met. The Dirichlet boundary condition is guaranteed from  $u \in H$ .

**Solution of Q. V.5.1** The space is  $H = H_0^1(0, 1)$  and the bilinear and linear forms are respectively

$$\begin{cases} a : (u, v) \mapsto \int_{]0,1[} (u'v' + quv) \\ \ell : v \mapsto \int_{]0,1[} fv. \end{cases}$$

**Solution of Q. V.5.2** We use the Lax-Milgram Theorem ??.

<sup>15</sup>Note that we can't derive  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  from  $-\Delta u \in L^2(\Omega)$  as we would in dimension 1.

**Solution of Q. V.5.3** The functions  $(\phi_k)_{k \geq 1}$  belong to  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and vanish on 0 and 1. Therefore, they are in  $H_0^1(0, 1)$ . To show that  $(\phi_k)_{k \in \{1, \dots, m\}}$  is a basis of  $H_m$ , it is sufficient to prove that such two functions are orthogonals for the usual inner product of  $L^2(0, 1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \forall (k, l) \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad (\phi_k, \phi_l)_{L^2} &= \int_0^1 \sin(k\pi x) \sin(l\pi x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\cos((k-l)\pi x) - \cos((k+l)\pi x)) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^1 \cos((k-l)\pi x) dx - \frac{1}{\pi(k+l)} \underbrace{[\sin((k+l)\pi x)]_0^1}_{=0} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\pi(k-l)} \underbrace{[\sin((k-l)\pi x)]_0^1}_{=0} & \text{if } k \neq l \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } k = l \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(\phi_k, \phi_l)_{L^2} = 2^{-1} \delta_{kl}$ . This set of vectors is linearly independant and it's span is  $H_m$  (which is of dimension  $m$ ).

**Solution of Q. V.5.4** The solution  $u_n$  is a solution to this linear system

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad a \left( \sum_{j=1}^J \mathbf{u}_j \phi_j, \phi_i \right) = \sum_{j=1}^J \mathbf{u}_j a(\phi_j, \phi_i) = \ell(\phi_i).$$

The matrix we are looking for is

$$\begin{aligned} \forall (i, j) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^2, \quad [A_m]_{ij} &= \int_0^1 \phi_i' \phi_j' + q \int_0^1 \phi_i \phi_j \\ &= - \int_0^1 \phi_i'' \phi_j + \frac{q}{2} \delta_{ij} = \frac{(i\pi)^2 + q}{2} \delta_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Subsequently,  $A_m$  is a diagonal matrix.

**Solution of Q. V.5.5** The conclusion is

$$\begin{aligned} \forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad \mathbf{u}_k &= \frac{\ell(\phi_k)}{[A_m]_{kk}} \\ &= 2 \frac{\int_0^1 f \phi_k}{(k\pi)^2 + q}. \end{aligned}$$

**Solution of Q. V.5.6**

If  $(w_k)_{k \geq 1}$  exists, then  $-w_k'' = \lambda_k w$  in the sense of distributions,  $w_k(0) = w_k(1) = 0$  and  $w_k \in H^2(0,1) \cap H_0^1(0,1)$ . Now, if  $\lambda_k \leq 0$ , the problem  $-w_k'' = \lambda_k w$ ,  $w(0) = w(1)$  can only have zero as a solution. This imposes  $\lambda_k > 0$ . Moreover, the solutions are linear combinations of  $\sin(\sqrt{\lambda_k} \cdot)$  and  $\cos(\sqrt{\lambda_k} \cdot)$ : there exist  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $w = \alpha \sin(\sqrt{\lambda_k} \cdot) + \beta \cos(\sqrt{\lambda_k} \cdot)$ . The boundary conditions impose that  $\beta = 0$ . If we look for a solution that is not zero, we need  $\sin(\sqrt{\lambda_k}) = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $\sqrt{\lambda_k} \in \pi\mathbb{N}$ . The set  $w_k = \sqrt{2}\phi_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$  is a Hilbertian basis provided it is total. It is total thanks to the Weierstraßtheorem.

**Solution of Q. V.5.7** In the previous question, we proved we can take  $w_k = \pm\sqrt{2}\phi_k$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . From now on, we will choose  $w_k = \sqrt{2}\phi_k$ .

**Solution of Q. V.5.8** Let  $m \geq 1$ . We use that

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{u}_k w_k.$$

Since  $(w_k)_{k \geq 1}$  is a Hilbertian basis

$$\|u - u_m\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq m+1} \mathbf{u}_k^2 \leq \frac{2}{((m+1)\pi)^2 + q^2} \left( \sum_{k \geq m+1} \int_0^1 f \sin(k\pi \cdot) \right)^2.$$

According to the Parseval theorem, if  $f$  is being extended as an odd function on  $[-1,1]$  and then using the 2-periodicity, its Fourier's series holds only sin and we have:

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} (f, \sin(k\pi \cdot))^2.$$

It follows that

$$\|u - u_m\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{((m+1)\pi)^2 + q^2} \|f\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2.$$

We obtain  $\|u - u_m\|_{L^2}^2 \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow +\infty} 0$ .