# Assignment 1 of MATP4820

Xinshi Wang 661975305 MATP 4820

### Problem 1

Compute the gradient and Hessian matrix of the function  $f(x_1, x_2) = 25(x_2 - x_1^2)^2 + (1 - x_1)^2$ . Prove that (1, 1) is the only local minimizer of this function, and that the Hessian matrix at (1, 1) is positive definite.

To compute the gradient of  $f(x_1, x_2)$ , we compute its partial derivative with respect to  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ .

$$\frac{\partial f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1} = 50(x_2 - x_1^2) \cdot -2x_1 + 2(1 - x_1) \cdot -1$$

$$= -100x_2(x_2 - x_1^2) - 2(1 - x_1)$$

$$= -100x_1x_2 + 100x_1^3 - 2 + 2x_1$$

$$\frac{\partial f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2} = 50(x_2 - x_1^2)$$

$$= 50x_2 - 50x_1^2$$

Therefore, the gradient is:

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} -100x_1x_2 + 100x_1^3 - 2 + 2x_1 \\ 50x_2 - 50x_1^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

To compute the Hessian matrix. we compute the  $2^{nd}$  degree partial derivative.

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1^2} = -100x_2 + 300x_1^2 + 2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1 x_2} = \frac{\partial^2 f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2 x_1} = -100x_1$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2^2} = 50$$

Therefore, the Hessian matrix is:

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} -100x_2 + 300x_1^2 + 2 & -100x_1 \\ -100x_1 & 50 \end{bmatrix}$$

To find all the possible local minimizers, we let  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ . Thus we have the following:

$$\begin{cases}
-100x_1x_2 + 100x_1^3 - 2 + 2x_1 &= 0 \\
50x_2 - 50x_1^2 &= 0
\end{cases}$$

Since  $50x_2 - 50x_1^2 = 0$ , we have  $50(x_2 - x_1^2) = 0$ . Thus  $x_1 = x_2 = 0$  or  $x_1 = x_2 = 1$  if  $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ ,  $-100x_1x_2 + 100x_1^3 - 2 + 2x_1 = -2 \neq 0$ . Thus we only have point (1, 1) and -100 + 100 - 2 + 2 = 0. Therefore the  $1^{st}$  order condition is satisfied. For the sufficient second-order condition, we have:

$$\nabla^2 f(1,1) = \begin{bmatrix} 202 & -100 \\ -100 & 50 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\det(\begin{bmatrix} 202 - \lambda & -100 \\ -100 & 50 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}) = 0$$
$$\lambda^2 - 252\lambda + 100 = 0$$
$$(\lambda - 126)^2 = 15776$$
$$\lambda = 126 \pm 4\sqrt{986} > 0$$

Therefore Hessian =  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  is positive definite.

Since  $\nabla f(x) = 0$  and  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  is positive definite, we have point (1,1) as our local minimizer.

#### Problem 2

Recall that a set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is convex if

$$\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{y} \in X, \, \forall \, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X, \, \forall \, \lambda \in [0, 1],$$

and that a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex if

$$f(\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{y}) \le \lambda f(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \lambda)f(\mathbf{y}), \, \forall \, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \, \forall \, \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

[Only for 4820 students] Let  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + cx_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2$  where c is a real number. Give a value of c such that f is not convex, and give a value of c such that f is convex. [Hint: for a quadratic function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{x}$ , where  $\mathbf{Q}$  is a symmetric matrix, it is convex if and only if its Hessian matrix  $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{Q}$  is positive semidefinite.]

Let  $Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & q_2 \\ q_3 & q_4 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $a = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Let  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}$ , we can get the following by expansion.

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & q_2 \\ q_3 & q_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 x_1 + q_3 x_2 & q_2 x_1 + q_4 x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (q_1 x_1^2 + q_3 x_1 x_2 + q_2 x_1 x_2 + q_4 x_2^2) + a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2$$

By setting the above equation to  $x_1^2 + c_1 x_1 x_2 + \frac{1}{2} x_2^2$ , we have  $q_1 = 2$ ,  $q_2 + q_3 = 2c$ ,  $q_4 = 1$ , and  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ .

Let us assume Q is a symmetric matrix, to satisfy the condition that  $q_2 + q_3 = 2c$ , we let:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & c \\ c & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{Q}$  and has to be positive semidefinite if f(x) is convex, the eigenvalues of Q must be greater than or equal to 0.

$$\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2-\lambda & c\\ c & 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0$$

$$2 - \left(\frac{c}{2} - \lambda\right)^2 = 0$$

$$(2-\lambda)(1-\lambda) - c^2 = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 2 - c^2 = 0$$

$$\lambda = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4c^2}}{2}$$

Therefore f(x) is convex if  $\frac{3-\sqrt{1+4c^2}}{2} \ge 0$ . f(x) is convex when c is 0. f(x) is not convex when c is 4.

## Problem 3

Is the sequence  $x^{(k)}=2+(\frac{3}{2})^{-2^k}$  for  $k=1,2,\ldots,$  Q-quadratically convergent to a finite real number? If yes, give the limit and prove it; if not, explain why.

Yes. It converges to a finite real number Q-quadratically.

$$Z^* = \lim_{k \to \infty} x^{(k)} = 2$$
 since  $\lim_{k \to \infty} (\frac{3}{2})^{-2^k} = 0$ 

$$Z^* = \lim_{k \to \infty} x^{(k)} = 2 \text{ since } \lim_{k \to \infty} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{-2^k} = 0$$

$$\frac{\|Z^{k+1} - Z^*\|}{\|Z^k - Z^*\|\|^2} = \frac{2 + \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{-2^k - 1} - 2}{(2 + \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{-2^k} - 2)^2} = \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}^{2^{k+1}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}^{-2^{k+1}}} = \frac{\frac{3}{2}^{2^{k+1}}}{\frac{3}{2}^{k+1}} = 1$$

Thus 
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{||Z^{k+1}-Z^*||}{||Z^k-Z^*||^2} = 1$$

Let M=1, we then have  $\{x^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  Q-quadratically converges.

#### Problem 4

Consider the sequence  $\{x^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  defined by

$$x^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{-2^k}, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{1}{k+1}x^{(k-1)}, & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

1. Is this sequence Q-quadratically convergent? Justify your answer.

We first check 
$$Z^*$$
.  $\lim_{k\to\infty} x^k = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{1}{k+1} \cdot 0 = 0, & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$ 

Therefore  $Z^* = 0$ 

If k is odd, we have:

$$\frac{||Z^{k+1} - Z^*||}{||Z^k - Z^*||^2} = \frac{\frac{1}{k+1+1}x^{(k+1-1)}}{\frac{3}{2} - 2^k} = \frac{\frac{1}{k+1+1} \cdot (\frac{3}{2})^{-2^k}}{\frac{3}{2} - 2^k} = \frac{\frac{1}{k+2}}{(\frac{3}{2})^{-2^k}} = \frac{(\frac{3}{2})^{2^k}}{k+2}$$

Therefore  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{||Z^{k+1}-Z^*||}{||Z^k-Z^*||^2} = \infty$ .

If k is even, we have:

If k is even, we have: 
$$\frac{||Z^{k+1} - Z^*||}{||Z^k - Z^*||^2} = \frac{\frac{3}{2} - 2^{k+1}}{\frac{1}{k+1} x^{(k-1)}} = \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{k+1})^2} \cdot \frac{(\frac{3}{2})^{-2^{k+1}}}{(\frac{3}{2})^{-2k}} = (k+1)^2 \cdot (\frac{3}{2})^{-2^k}$$
 Thus  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{||Z^{k+1} - Z^*||}{||Z^k - Z^*||^2} = 0$ 

Therefore it is not Q-quadratically convergent.

2. Is this sequence R-quadratically convergent? Justify your answer.

We have

$$x^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{-2^k}, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{1}{k+1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{-2^{k-1}}, & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

As k increases,  $(\frac{3}{2})^{2^k}$  increases. Therefore  $(\frac{3}{2})^{-2^k}$  decreases. Thus we have  $x^{(k)}$  upper-bounded by  $(\frac{3}{2})^{-2^{k-1}}$  since  $(\frac{3}{2})^{-2^{k-1}} \ge \frac{1}{k+1} (\frac{3}{2})^{-2^{k-1}}$  and  $(\frac{3}{2})^{-2^{k-1}} \ge (\frac{3}{2})^{-2^k}$ .

Let the sequence  $\{v^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  defined by  $v^{(k)} = (\frac{3}{2})^{-2^{k-1}}$ . Then we prove  $\{v^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  Qquadratically converges.

$$Z^* = \lim_{k \to \infty} v^{(k)} = 0.$$

$$\frac{\|Z^{k+1} - Z^*\|}{\|Z^k - Z^*\|^2} = \frac{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{-2^k}}{\left(\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{-2^{k-1}}\right)^2} = \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}^{2^k}} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}^{-2^k}} = \frac{\frac{3}{2}^{2^k}}{\frac{3}{2}^k} = 1$$

Thus  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{||Z^{k+1}-Z^*||}{||Z^k-Z^*||^2} = 1$ 

Let M=1, we then have  $\{v^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  Q-quadratically converges. Therefore  $x^k$  Rquadratically converges.