hw1

wangxinshi47

February 2023

Introduction 1

 $f(\omega') = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + exp(-y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))) + \lambda ||\omega||_2^2$, where $\omega' = [\omega_0; \omega]$ is the vertical concatenation of the bias and the feature coefficients.

The gradient of the loss function with respect to ω' can be computed as:

$$\nabla f(\omega') = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f(\omega')}{\partial \omega_0} \\ \frac{\partial f(\omega')}{\partial \omega} \end{bmatrix}$$

The gradient of the loss function with respect to
$$\omega'$$
 can be computed as:
$$\nabla f(\omega') = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f(\omega')}{\partial \omega_0} \\ \frac{\partial f(\omega')}{\partial \omega} \end{bmatrix}$$
Where $\frac{\partial f(\omega')}{\partial \omega_0}$ and $\frac{\partial f(\omega')}{\partial \omega}$ can be computed as follows:
$$\frac{\partial f(\omega')}{\partial \omega_0} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-y_i \exp(-y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T(x_i)))}{1 + \exp(-y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-y_i}{1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-y_i x_i}{1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))} + 2\lambda \omega$$
Therefore, the gradient of the loss function with respect to ω' is:

$$\nabla f(\omega') = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{-y_i}{1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))} \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{-y_i x_i}{1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))} + 2\lambda \omega \end{bmatrix}$$
 To compute the Hessian matrix, we need to find the following partial deriva-

tives:

$$\nabla^2 f(\omega') = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega_0^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega_0 \partial \omega} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega \partial \omega_0} & \frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla^2 f(\omega') = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega_0^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega_0 \partial \omega} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega \partial \omega_0} & \frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega \partial \omega} \end{bmatrix}$$
Where $\frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega_0^2}$, $\frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega_0 \partial \omega}$, $\frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega_0 \partial \omega_0}$, and $\frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega^2}$ can be computed as follows:
$$\frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega_0^2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i^2 \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))}{(1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i)))^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega_0 \partial \omega} = \frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega \partial \omega_0} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i x_i \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))}{(1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i)))^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(\omega')}{\partial \omega^2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i^2 x_i x_i^T \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))}{(1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i)))^2} + 2\lambda$$
Therefore, the Hessian matrix of the loss function with respect to ω' is (Therefore,

Therefore, the Hessian matrix of the loss function with respect to ω' is (There is a transpose on x in the second element because the hessian matrix is a square matrix):

$$\nabla^2 f(\omega') = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i^2 \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))}{(1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i)))^2} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i^2 x_i^T \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))}{(1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i)))^2} \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i^2 x_i \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))}{(1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i)))^2} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i^2 x_i x_i^T \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))}{(1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i)))^2} + 2\lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

To argue the logistic regression optimization problem is a convex optimization problem, we can rewrite the hessian matrix as $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_i^2 \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))}{(1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i)))^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_i^T \\ x_i & x_i x_i^T \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{n} \frac{y_i^2 \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))}{(1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i)))^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_i^T \\ x_i & x_i x_i^T \end{bmatrix}$

$$2\lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_i \end{bmatrix}, \text{ we can easily decompose } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_i^T \\ x_i & x_i x_i^T \end{bmatrix} \text{ since }$$

 $AA^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_i^T \end{bmatrix}.$ By multiplying A with the square root of $\frac{1}{n} \frac{y_i^2 \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))}{(1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i)))^2}$,

we know $\frac{1}{n} \frac{y_i^2 \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))}{(1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i)))^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_i^T \\ x_i & x_i x_i^T \end{bmatrix}$ is positive semi-definite. Since the sum of PSD matrix is PSD, we have $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i^2 \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i))}{(1 + \exp(y_i(\omega_0 + \omega^T x_i)))^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_i^T \\ x_i & x_i x_i^T \end{bmatrix}$ as

a PSD matrix. Since $2\lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is a diagonal matrix and has all of its

values positive, it is also a positive semi-definite matrix. Since the sum of positive semi-definite matrices are positive semi-definite, $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{y_{i}^{2}\exp(y_{i}(\omega_{0}+\omega^{T}x_{i}))}{(1+\exp(y_{i}(\omega_{0}+\omega^{T}x_{i})))^{2}}\begin{bmatrix}1 & x_{i}^{T}\\ x_{i} & x_{i}x_{i}^{T}\end{bmatrix}+$

$$2\lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is a positive semi-definite matrix. Since the hessian is PSD,}$$

we can conclude the logistic regression optimization problem is convex.