

Participation4

Xinshi Wang wangx47

July 17, 2023

Given

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{2}(x - \alpha)^2 + \lambda|x|$$

with $\lambda > 0$ is a nonnegative constant.

1 Argue that this is a convex optimization problem, and it has a unique solution, given any α .

To argue that $\operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{2}(x - \alpha)^2 + \lambda|x|$ is a convex optimization problem and has a unique solution, we need to argue $\frac{1}{2}(x - \alpha)^2 + \lambda|x|$ is a strictly convex function. We can prove this by proving $(x - \alpha)^2$ is strictly convex and $|x|$ is convex.

To prove $\frac{1}{2}(x - \alpha)^2$ is strictly convex, we prove the hessian is positive definite.

$$\nabla f(x) = (x - \alpha)$$

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = 1 > 0$$

Thus $\frac{1}{2}(x - \alpha)^2$ is strictly convex.

The simplified first order condition always holds as we have $x \neq y$. Therefore $(x - \alpha)^2$ is strictly convex. Since nonnegative multiple of strictly convex function is convex.

To prove $|x|$ is convex, we prove by definition.

$|\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y| \leq |\alpha x| + |(1 - \alpha)y| = t|x| + (1 - t)|y|$ from the triangular inequality. Therefore $|x|$ is convex.

Therefore the sum of those functions is strictly convex function and therefore is convex and has a unique solution.

2 Let $s_\lambda(a)$ be the unique solution to this optimization problem, given an α . State Fermat's optimality condition as concisely as you can, using our rules for subdifferential manipulation.

By the sum rule, we have:

$$\partial s_{\lambda(\alpha)} = \partial(\frac{1}{2}(s_\lambda(a) - \alpha)^2) + \lambda|s_\lambda(a)|$$

The derivative of $\partial(\frac{1}{2}(x - \alpha)^2)$ is: $(x - a)$

The subdifferential of $\lambda|s_\lambda(a)|$ is:

$$\partial \lambda|s_\lambda(a)| = \begin{cases} \lambda, & \text{if } s_\lambda(a) > 0 \\ -\lambda, & \text{if } s_\lambda(a) < 0 \\ \lambda[-1, 1], & \text{if } s_\lambda(a) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore the subdifferential for the whole function is:

$$\partial f(s_\lambda(\alpha)) = \begin{cases} s_\lambda(a) - \alpha + \lambda, & \text{if } s_\lambda(a) > 0 \\ s_\lambda(a) - \alpha - \lambda, & \text{if } s_\lambda(a) < 0 \\ s_\lambda(a) - \alpha + \lambda[-1, 1], & \text{if } s_\lambda(a) = 0 \end{cases}$$

The Fermat's optimality condition therefore is: $s_\alpha \in \operatorname{argmin}_x f(x)$

$$\text{iff } 0 \in \partial f(s_\lambda(\alpha)) = \begin{cases} s_\lambda(a) - \alpha + \lambda, & \text{if } s_\lambda(a) > 0 \\ s_\lambda(a) - \alpha - \lambda, & \text{if } s_\lambda(a) < 0 \\ s_\lambda(a) - \alpha + \lambda[-1, 1], & \text{if } s_\lambda(a) = 0 \end{cases}$$

3 Use Fermat's optimality condition to find an expression for $s_\lambda(\alpha)$, and draw a plot of $s_\lambda(\alpha)$

By setting $\partial f(s_\lambda(\alpha))$ to 0, we have $s_\lambda(\alpha) = a - \lambda$, $s_\lambda(\alpha) = a + \lambda$, and $s_\lambda(\alpha) = a - \lambda[-1, 1]$ accordingly. Therefore we have:

$$s_\lambda(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \alpha - \lambda, & \text{if } \alpha < -\lambda \\ \alpha + \lambda, & \text{if } \alpha > \lambda \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha \in \lambda[-1, 1] \end{cases}$$

