Assignment 7 of MATP4820

Xinshi Wang 661975305

Problem 1

Consider the one-dimensional minimization problem

$$\min_{x} 2x - 1, \text{ s.t. } x \le 2, x + 1 \ge 0 \tag{1}$$

1. Formulate the quadratic penalty problem with a penalty parameter $\mu > 0$ by penalizing **both** inequality constraints.

$$\min_{x} \ 2x - 1 + \frac{\mu}{2}((x-2)_{+}^{2} + (-x-1)_{+}^{2})$$

2. Derive the optimal solution of the quadratic penalty problem. Note that the solution should depend on μ .

Gradient is equal to $2 + \mu(x-2)_+ + \mu(-x-1)_+$

- (a) if x < -1, gradient $= 2 \mu(x+1)$ Setting gradient to 0 gives us $2 - \mu x - \mu = 0 \implies x = \frac{2}{\mu} - 1$
- (b) if $-1 \le x \le 2$, gradient = 2 This is a invalid range as gradient can never be 0.
- (c) if x > 2, gradient $= 2 + \mu(x 2)$ Setting gradient to 0 gives us $2 + \mu x - 2\mu = 0 \implies x = 2 - \frac{2}{\mu}$
- 3. Prove that the solution of the quadratic penalty problem converges to the optimal solution $x^* = -1$ of Problem (1).

As $\mu \to \infty$, we have $\frac{2}{\mu} - 1 \to -1$ for the first case and $2 - \frac{2}{\mu} \to 2$ for the second case. Since -1 is the lover objective value, we have $x^* = -1$ as the optimal value.

Problem 2

Consider the problem

$$\min_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}} f(x,y) = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 - xy + \frac{1}{2}y^2 - 2x - 2y, \text{ s.t. } x + y = 1.$$

1. Argue that (1,0) is the unique minimizer.

To find the unique minimizer, we can rewrite the constraint as y = 1 - x and substitute it into the function:

$$f(x, 1 - x) = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 - x(1 - x) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - x)^2 - 2x - 2(1 - x)$$

Now, we can find the critical points by differentiating with respect to x and setting the derivative to zero:

$$\frac{df}{dx} = -x - (1 - 2x) + (1 - x) - 2 + 2 = 0$$

Solving for x, we get x = 1. Substituting back into the constraint, we get y = 0. Thus, the unique minimizer is (1,0).

2. The augmented Lagrangian function with penalty parameter $\beta > 0$ is

$$\mathcal{L}(x,y,v) = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 - xy + \frac{1}{2}y^2 - 2x - 2y + v(x+y-1) + \frac{\beta}{2}(x+y-1)^2.$$

Argue that for any $\beta \in (0, 1]$, the augmented Lagrangian method with initial multiplier $v^{(0)} = 0$ does not work for this instance, i.e., the generated sequence $(x^{(k)}, y^{(k)})$ does not converge to a KKT point.

The gradient of the augmented Lagrangian function with respect to x and y is:

$$\partial_x \mathcal{L} = -x - y - 2 + v^{(k-1)} + \beta(x + y - 1)$$
$$\partial_y \mathcal{L} = -x + y - 2 + v^{(k-1)} + \beta(x + y - 1)$$

Setting the gradient to zero and solving the system of linear equations, we get:

$$y = 0$$
$$-x - 2 + v^{(k-1)} + \beta(x - 1) = 0$$

Solving this system, we find:

$$x^{(k)} = \frac{\beta + 2 - v^{(k-1)}}{\beta - 1}$$
$$v^{(k)} = 0$$

If $x^{(k)}$ converges to 1, we need to have $v^{(k-1)}$ converges to 3. The update formula for $v^{(k)}$ is $v^{(k)} = v^{(k-1)} + \beta(\frac{\beta+2-v^{(k-1)}}{\beta-1})$ If we start from $v^{(0)} = 0$ and $\beta \in (0,1]$, we have $v^{(1)} < 0$ as the numerator is positive and the denominator is negative and $v^0 = 0$. The numerator is always positive and denominator is always negative in this case. Therefore the dangling $\beta(\frac{\beta+2-v^{(k-1)}}{\beta-1})$ term is always negative. Therefore $v^{(k)}$ would always be negative and thus not convering to 3. Therefore we would not have $x^{(k)}$ converging to 1 and thus not reaching the KKT point.

3. For $\beta = 2$, do by hand two iterations of the augmented Lagrangian method with initial multiplier $v^{(0)} = 0$.

The augmented Lagrangian function for $\beta = 2$ is:

$$\mathcal{L}(x,y,v) = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 - xy + \frac{1}{2}y^2 - 2x - 2y + v(x+y-1) + (x+y-1)^2$$

First iteration:

$$v^{(0)} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} = -x - y - 2 + v + 2(x + y - 1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} = -x - y - 2 + v + 2(x + y - 1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y} = -x + y - 2 + v + 2(x + y - 1)$$

Setting the partial derivatives to zero:

$$-x - y - 2 + 2(x + y - 1) = 0$$

$$-x + y - 2 + 2(x + y - 1) = 0$$

Solving the system of equations, we get $x^{(1)} = 4$ and $y^{(1)} = 0$.

Now, we update the Lagrange multiplier:

$$v^{(1)} = v^{(0)} + \beta(x^{(1)} + y^{(1)} - 1) = 0 + 2(4 + 0 - 1) = 6$$

Second iteration:

$$v^{(1)} = 6$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} = -x - y - 2 + v + 2(x + y - 1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y} = -x + y - 2 + v + 2(x + y - 1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y} = -x + y - 2 + v + 2(x + y - 1)$$

Setting the partial derivatives to zero:

$$-x - y + 4 + 2(x + y - 1) = 0$$

$$-x + y + 4 + 2(x + y - 1) = 0$$

Solving the system of equations, we get $x^{(2)} = -2$ and $y^{(2)} = 0$.

The Lagrange multiplier remains unchanged:

$$v^{(2)} = v^{(1)} + \beta(x^{(2)} + y^{(2)} - 1) = 6 + 2(-2 + 0 - 1) = 0$$

After two iterations, we have $(x^{(2)}, y^{(2)}) = (-2, 0)$

4. (bonus question) For any $\beta > 2$, does the generated sequence $(x^{(k)}, y^{(k)})$ converge to the unique minimizer (1,0), starting from any $v^{(0)}$? If yes, prove it; if not, give a value of $\beta > 2$ and $v^{(0)}$ such that the sequence does not converge to (1,0).

Problem 3

Consider the nonnegative quadratic program:

$$\underset{\mathbf{x} \in X}{\text{minimize}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}^{\top} \mathbf{x}, \text{s.t. } \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$
 (2)

where **Q** is a symmetric and positive semidefinite matrix, and $X = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, n \}$.

Use the instructor's provided file $alm_qp.m$ to write a Matlab function alm_qp with input $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}$, initial vector $\mathbf{x}0$, stopping tolerance tol, and penalty parameter $\beta > 0$. Also test your function by running the provided test file $test_alm_qp.m$ and compare to the instructor's function. Print your code and the results you get.

```
function [x, hist_obj, hist_res] = alm_qp(Q, c, A, b, tol, beta, x0)
% augmented Lagrangian method for the quadratic programming
% min_x 0.5*x'*Q*x - c'*x
% s.t. x >= 0, A*x == b
[m, n] = size(A);
% initialization
v = zeros(m, 1);
x = x0;
% compute the residual for the constraint A*x == b
r = A * x - b;
res = norm(r);
grad_err = 1;
hist_res = res;
hist_obj = 0.5 * x' * Q * x - c' * x;
% use constant stepsize
alpha = 1 / norm(Q + beta * A' * A);
while res > tol || grad_err > tol
    % compute the gradient
    grad = Q * x - c + A' * (v + beta * r);
```

```
% compute violation of optimality condition
grad_err = 0;
for i = 1:500
    if x(i) == 0
        grad_err = grad_err + max(0, - grad(i));
    else
        grad_err = grad_err + abs(grad(i));
    end
end
% update x
while grad_err > tol
    x = x - alpha * grad;
    x = max(0,x);
    r = A * x - b;
    % compute the gradient
    grad = Q * x - c + A' * (v + beta * r);
    % compute violation of optimality condition
    grad_err = 0;
    for i = 1:500
        if x(i) == 0
            grad_err = grad_err + max(0, - grad(i));
        else
            grad_err = grad_err + abs(grad(i));
        end
    end
end
% compute the residual
r = A * x - b;
res = norm(r);
obj = 0.5 * x' * Q * x - c' * x;
% save res and obj
```

```
hist_res = [hist_res; res];
hist_obj = [hist_obj; obj];

% update multiplier
v = v + beta * r;
end
end
```

Student solver: Total running time of instructor code is 4.1239

Instructor solver: Total running time of instructor code is 4.1005



