Problem Set 5

HW 9.

4 r.e. set is recursive iff I an enumeralism machine that enumerates in increasing order.

Proof: Suppose set A is recursive iff there exist a markine E such that L(E)=A and E would prints string in an increasing order.

Since set A is recursive, there exist a total markine M such that for all strings in lexicographic order and run M on that string If M accepts it, print that string. Since M is a total markine, it always has a membership relationship with E such that L(M)=A=L(E), therefore the accepted string will be enumerate in finate time (will half), so that rie set is recursive.

HW 9 1. TM M and state g of M. M enter state g on some input? Broof by contradiction: Suppose the statement is decideable. Then for the TM M have state q on input x We need to decide if M will hall on input x. So, we construct a new TM M'that contain state of On any input x M' would only half if x enter the state of structure of the algorithm if M'(x) enters state q while (false)

Since we assume the problem is decideable, then wheather M' will accept freject will also be decideable.

But since halting problem is urdecideable (ab-finglish of halting problem). Then there is a contraction it is interesting.

the statement is underideable

L= {M | M halts on all injusts of length less than 3434 } For the IM M on input x, we construct from a given TM M and string x a TM M' that accept input of length less than 3434 iff M halfs on x (If M hall on x, M' accept input y). Then if M takes input that length less than 34321 Then it halt. so that it is HP. Therefore HP is re. complete ~ L = {M | M half on 14/2/3434 | and HP = {M # x | M halls on x} M#X EHP ← 6 (M#X) EL _new machine M holds on X= 6 (M#X) halls on input y E/y < 3434. M halls on XET 6 (MHX) M loops m X (=> 6 (MAX) loops on some input y such that 1y (J434 4 19 3434 $e^{(x)}$ return Therefore , ~ L(M) = { Z* if M' loops on x } y M' hould on x Then for all r.e. sels R, Rm& L and L is r.e. therefore ~L is not r.e. and L is r.e. complete

- If is co-re because for TM M. M must half on input X. however it is undecidable whether it accept /reject [halting problem is decadeable. Therefore it is not re. For ~L: { MH X , M always write the symbol at \geq on the tape], if the machine M write on tape, then ~L is in finite time. which yields that ~L is re. Therefore, since L is not re and ~L is se. The statement is co-re.
 - b) L. M. M. M. M. halls on x bnd N loops on x]

 It is Neither re or 10-re because for the IM M., since M. halls on x. for input x M is re. And since N always loops on x. which means it could not create an antiput which means on input x and IM N. it is not r.e. Therefore it is Neither re or core.