Homework 9

Wenye Xiong 2023533141

May 12, 2024

1 Problem 1

Let $A = (\neg p \lor q) \land (r \to \neg q), B = p \to \neg r$. We need to prove that $A \to B \equiv T$

$$\begin{split} A \rightarrow B &= (\neg p \lor q) \land (r \rightarrow \neg q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow \neg r) \\ &= \neg ((\neg p \lor q) \land (r \rightarrow \neg q)) \lor (p \rightarrow \neg r) \\ &= (\neg (\neg p \lor q) \lor \neg (r \rightarrow \neg q)) \lor (p \rightarrow \neg r) \\ &= ((p \land \neg q) \lor (r \land q)) \lor (p \rightarrow \neg r) \\ &= (p \land \neg q) \lor (r \land q) \lor (\neg p \lor \neg r) \\ &= (p \land \neg q) \lor (r \land q) \lor \neg p \lor \neg r \\ &= (p \land \neg q) \lor \neg p \lor (r \land q) \lor \neg r \\ &= \neg q \lor \neg p \lor q \lor \neg r \\ &= T \end{split}$$

2 Problem 2

Let $A = (p \to q \lor r) \land (q \to s) \land (r \to \neg p), B = p \to s$. We need to prove that $A \land \neg B \equiv F$

$$\begin{split} A \wedge \neg B &= (p \to q \vee r) \wedge (q \to s) \wedge (r \to \neg p) \wedge \neg (p \to s) \\ &= (\neg p \vee (q \vee r)) \wedge (\neg p \vee s) \wedge (\neg r \vee \neg p) \wedge \neg (\neg p \vee s) \\ &= (\neg p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee s) \wedge (\neg r \vee \neg p) \wedge (p \wedge \neg s) \\ &= (\neg p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee s) \wedge (\neg r \vee \neg p) \wedge p \wedge \neg s \\ &= (\neg p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (p \wedge s) \wedge (\neg r \vee \neg p) \wedge \neg s \\ &= (\neg p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg r \vee \neg p) \wedge p \wedge (s \wedge \neg s) \\ &= (\neg p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg r \vee \neg p) \wedge F \\ &= F \end{split}$$

3 Problem 3

Let p be proposition "It rains", let q be proposition "it is foggy", let r be proposition "The sailing race will be held", and let t be proposition "The life saving demonstration will go on", and let u be proposition "The trophy will be awarded".

```
Building Arguments: (1): \neg u Premise (2): r \implies u Premise (3): \neg r Modus Tollens of (1) and (2) (4): (\neg p \lor \neg q) \implies (r \land t) Premise (5): \neg (r \land t) \implies \neg (\neg p \lor \neg q) Contrapositive of (4) (6): \neg r \lor \neg t \implies (p \land q) Equivalence of (5) (7): \neg r \lor \neg t Addition of (3) (8): p \land q Modus Ponens of (6) and (7) (9): p Simplification of (8)
```

4 Problem 4

```
Premises: p \land q \rightarrow r, \neg r \lor s, p \rightarrow \neg s
```

Conclusion: $p \to \neg q$

```
(1): p \wedge q \rightarrow r Premise

(2): \neg r \vee s Premise

(3): p \rightarrow \neg s Premise

(4): \neg p \vee \neg s Equivalence of (3)

(5): \neg p \vee \neg r Resolution of (2) and (4)

(6): p \rightarrow \neg r Equivalence of (5)

(7): r \rightarrow \neg p Contrapositive of (6)

(8): (p \wedge q) \rightarrow \neg p Rule of Inference from (1) and (7)

(9): \neg (p \wedge q) \vee \neg p Equivalence of (8)

(10): \neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg p De Morgan's Law of (9)

(11): \neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg p Commutative Law of (10)

(12): \neg p \vee \neg q Idempotent Law of (11)

(13): p \rightarrow \neg q Equivalence of (12)
```

5 Problem 5

```
Premises: p_1 \to (q_1 \to r_1), p_2 \to (q_2 \to r_2), p_3 \to (q_3 \to r_3), \dots, p_n \to (q_n \to r_n), q_1 \land q_2 \land q_3 \land \dots \land q_n
```

Conclusion: $(p_1 \to r_1) \land (p_2 \to r_2) \land (p_3 \to r_3) \land \cdots \land (p_n \to r_n)$

- (1): $p_i \to (q_i \to r_i)$ Premise
- (2): $q_1 \wedge q_2 \wedge q_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge q_n$ Premise
- (3): q_i Simplification of (2)
- (4): $\neg p_i \lor r_i$ Equivalence of (1), (3)
- (5): $p_i \to r_i$ Equivalence of (4)
- (6): $(p_1 \to r_1) \land (p_2 \to r_2) \land (p_3 \to r_3) \land \cdots \land (p_n \to r_n)$ Conjunction of (5)

6 Problem 6

- (a): True
- (b): False
- (c): True
- (d): True

7 Problem 7

Let p be proposition "A is the suspect", q be proposition "A visited the victim's room", r be proposition "A leave before 2 am", s be proposition "The hotel staff saw A".

Building Arguments:

- (1): $(q \land \neg r) \to p$ Premise
- (2): q Premise
- (3): $r \to s$ Premise
- (4): $\neg s$ Premise
- (5): $\neg s \rightarrow \neg r$ Contrapositive of (3)
- (6): $\neg r$ Modus Ponens of (4) and (5)
- (7): $q \wedge \neg r$ Conjunction of (2) and (6)
- (8): p Modus Ponens of (1) and (7)

So we have proved A is the suspect.