

Reading report of
Principles of Mathematical Analysis -Part II

002 gxl

December 5, 2020

BASIC TOPOLOGY

Notes

2.8 Theorem

Every infinite subset of a countable set \mathbf{A} is countable .
The theorem shows that countable sets represent the "smallest" infinity.
Also, this theorem can be used **to show one infinite set is a countable set**
, if we can find this set is equivalent to one subset of a countable set \mathbf{A} .

2.12 Theorem

Why the author use the word "Hence" ? I can't understand it .

*Typical countable sets

$\mathbb{N} \quad \mathbb{Q} \quad \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B}_\alpha$, when $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in \mathbf{A}$ are at most countable .
set of all **algebraic numbers**.

Cantor's diagonal process

In this text , this process is used to proof **2.14 Theorem** , and it can also be used to proof a typical theorem:

Theorem Let $\mathbf{A} = \{x | x \in [0, 1]\}$, and \mathbf{A} is uncountable.

One more thing about 2.14 Theorem

In order to proof \mathbf{A} is uncountable , the author proofs that every subset of \mathbf{A} is a proper subset of \mathbf{A} . It should be separated from **2.6 Remark** (\mathbf{A} is infinite if \mathbf{A} is equivalent to one of its proper subsets)

Perfect

2.18 Definition (h)

\mathbf{E} is *perfect* if \mathbf{E} is closed and if every point of \mathbf{E} is a limit point of \mathbf{E} .

We say \mathbb{Q} is **not** perfect due to *Dedekind principle*.

Dense

2.18 Definition (j)

\mathbf{E} is *dense* in \mathbf{X} if every point of \mathbf{X} is a limit point of \mathbf{E} , or a point of \mathbf{E} .

We say \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbf{R} , that means :

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{R}, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists y \in \mathbb{Q}, d(x, y) < \epsilon.$$

2.23 Theorem

Main reason: $x \in \mathbf{E} \iff x \notin \mathbf{E}^c$.

It's important that in some condition it is hard to prove a set is closed, then we can turn to prove its complement is open.

Compact sets

At the quiz of Unit 2 we have seen this definition. A set is compact only if every open cover of it contains a finite subcover.

A compact has many fine properties:

2.33 Theorem shows compactness behaves well because it does not rely on the metric; it is relative.

2.34 2.35 Theorem shows compactness has a deep relation to closedness.

2.36 2.37 Theorem links compactness with limit.

By using **2.40 Theorem**, we can put every bounded set E in \mathbf{R}^k into a compact set (a *k-cell* I) and use the powerful property above.

2.41 Theorem points out the connection between property and definition.

All in all, compact sets are a powerful tool for us.

2.42 Theorem(Weierstrass)

We have known a special case of this theorem when we learn **limit of sequence**, which says a bounded sequence always has a convergent subsequence.

Exercise

1.

Proof. Let A be an empty set. If $\exists B$, and A is not a subset of B . Then there must be an x , which $x \in A$ but $x \notin B$. However, there is no element in A . Then

no x will be found. □

2.

Proof. □

3.

Proof. From 2. ,we know the set of all algebraic numbers is countable. But \mathbb{R} is uncountable. □

4.

No . If so , \mathbb{R} were countable.

5.

Let $A_k = \{k + \frac{1}{n+1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$. $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^3 A_i$. It obvious that $\forall x \in B, 0 \leq x \leq 4$. So B is the set we seek for.

6.

(1). $\forall x_0 \notin E'$,then $\exists r > 0, \forall x \in (x_0 - r, x_0 + r), x \notin E$. Now we will proof $x \notin E'$, either. There must exists $\epsilon > 0$, then $x_0 - r < x - \epsilon < x_0 + r$. So x can not be a limit point ,that means $x \notin E'$. □

(2). Assuming $x_0 \notin E'$ but x_0 is a limit point of \overline{E} . But we have shown that if x_0 is not a limit point of E , it will not be a limit point of E' ,too. So $\exists r > 0, \forall x \in (x_0 - r, x_0 + r), x \notin E$ and $x \notin E'$, which means $x \notin E \cup E'$. □

Surely E and E' do not always have the same limit points. Just consider the set in Exercise-5.

7.

(a). When $n = 1$,this theorem is obvious.

Let $n = 2$, and what we can proof that :

$$A'_1 \cup A'_2 = B'_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} x \in B'_n &\iff \forall \delta > 0, \exists y \in A_1 \cup A_2, y \in (x - \delta, x + \delta) \\ &\iff \forall \delta > 0, \exists y \in A_1 \text{ or } y \in A_2, y \in (x - \delta, x + \delta) \\ &\iff x \in A'_1 \cup A'_2 \end{aligned}$$

□

(b). Let us proof:

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A'_i \subset B'$$

$\forall x_0 \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A'_i$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists x \in (x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon)$, $x \in A_i$ for some i . Hence $x_0 \in (\bigcup_i A_i)'$. Then $x \in B'$. \square

This is a example to indicate this inclusion can be proper.
 $A_i = \{\frac{1}{i}(1 + \frac{1}{n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$.

Then $A'_i = \{\frac{1}{i}\}$. $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A'_i = \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$.

But $B = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A'_i$.

8.

(1). Surely . As its definition says ,
 $\forall x_0 \in E$, $\exists \epsilon > 0$, $\forall x \in (x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon)$, $x \in E$.
Then x is a limit point of E . \square

(2). No. Just consider a finite set . It has no limit point but is closed. \square

9.

(a). Consider one element in E^o called x .
 $\forall x_0 \in E$, $\exists \epsilon > 0$, $\forall y \in (x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon)$, $y \in E$. Then $\forall x' \in (x - \frac{\epsilon}{3}, x + \frac{\epsilon}{3})$, there exists $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{3}$, then $\forall y \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$, $y \in E$.
So we have found a neighbourhood of x_0 . \square

(b). According to the definition? \square

(c). If there is $x \in G$ but $x \notin E^o$, then x is not a interior point .
Then G is not open. This is contradictory. \square

(d). $x \notin E^o$ equals to $x \notin E$ ($x \in E^c$) or every neighbourhood of x has a $y \notin E$ ($y \in E^c$). \square

(e). No. Let $E = (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$, and $\overline{E} = \mathbb{R}$. Hence $0 \notin E^o$ but $0 \in \overline{E}^o$. \square

(f). \square

10.

Proof. This is a metric space.

(1) $d(p, p) = 0$, and $d(p, q) = 1 > 0$.

(2) If $p \neq q$ then $d(p, q) = d(q, p) = 1$.

(3) If $p \neq q$, $d(p, q) = 1$, $d(p, r) + d(r, q) \geq 1$. □

open subset: every subset
closed subset: empty subset?
compact subset: empty subset?

11.

1). This is not a metric.

(3) If $p \neq q$, $d(p, q) = (p - q)^2$, $d(p, r) + d(r, q) = (p - r)^2 + (q - r)^2$. Then we should proof

$$(p - q)^2 = p^2 + q^2 - 2pq \leq p^2 + q^2 + 2r^2 - 2r(p + q) = (p - r)^2 + (q - r)^2$$

$$0 \leq (r - q)(r - p)$$

But let $r > \min\{p, q\}$ and $r < \min\{p, q\}$. □

2). This is a metric.

(1) $d(p, p) = 0$, and $d(p, q) = \sqrt{|p - q|} > 0$.

(2) If $p \neq q$ then $d(p, q) = d(q, p) = \sqrt{|p - q|}$.

(3) If $p \neq q$, $d(p, q) = \sqrt{|p - q|}$, $d(p, r) + d(r, q) = \sqrt{|p - r|} + \sqrt{|q - r|}$.

Now let's proof :

$$\sqrt{|p - q|} \leq \sqrt{|p - r|} + \sqrt{|q - r|}$$

$$|p - q| \leq |p - r| + |q - r| + \sqrt{|p - r||q - r|}$$

Since $|p - q| \leq |p - r| + |q - r|$ and $\sqrt{|p - r||q - r|} \geq 0$, this inequaiton is true. □

3). This is a metric.

(1) $d(p, p) = 0$, and $d(p, q) = |p^2 - q^2| > 0$.

(2) If $p \neq q$ then $d(p, q) = d(q, p) = |p^2 - q^2|$.

(3) If $p \neq q$, $d(p, q) = |p^2 - q^2|$, $d(p, r) + d(r, q) = |p^2 - r^2| + |r^2 - q^2|$.

Since $|p^2 - q^2| \leq |p^2 - r^2| + |r^2 - q^2|$, $d(p, q) \leq d(p, r) + d(r, q)$ is true. □

4). This is not a metric.

(2) $d(p, q) = |p - 2q|$ but $d(q, p) = |q - 2p|$. Let $q = 0$, $p = 1$, and $d(p, q) \neq d(q, p)$ □

5). This is a metric.

(1) $d(p, p) = 0$, and $d(p, q) = \frac{|p-q|}{1+|p-q|} > 0$.

(2) If $p \neq q$ then $d(p, q) = d(q, p) = \frac{|p-q|}{1+|p-q|}$.

(3) If $p \neq q$, $d(p, q) = \frac{|p-q|}{1+|p-q|}$, $d(p, r) + d(r, q) = \frac{|p-r|}{1+|p-r|} + \frac{|r-q|}{1+|r-q|}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|p-q|}{1+|p-q|} &\leq \frac{|p-r|}{1+|p-r|} + \frac{|r-q|}{1+|r-q|} \\ \frac{1}{1+|p-r|} + \frac{1}{1+|r-q|} &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{1+|p-q|} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{1+|p-r|+|r-q|} \\ \frac{2+|p-r|+|r-q|}{1+|p-r|+|r-q|+|p-r||r-q|} &\leq \frac{2+|p-r|+|r-q|}{1+|p-r|+|r-q|} \end{aligned}$$

□

12.

(What's *directly from the definition* ?)

Proof. Let $A = [0, 1]$ and A is compact . It is clear that $K \subset A$. And K is closed because its only limit point $0 \in K$. So K is compact. □

13.

Proof. Let $K_i = \{i\} \cup \{i + \frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$. It has only limit point i . Now let $K = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} K_i$, and proof K is compact. Hence K is the compact set we are searching for. □

14.

Proof. Of course . Just think a subset of \mathbb{R}^1 , $(0, 1)$. It has a open cover \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (0, 1 - \frac{1}{i})$.

It is clear that \mathcal{A} does not have a finity subset. □

15.

Proof.

□

16.

Proof.

□

17.

Countable. No. If we consider 4 as 0 and 7 and 1 in binary system, thus we connect each $x \in E$ with $y \in [0, 1]$.

Hence $[0, 1]$ is uncountable in \mathbb{R}^1 , E is uncountable. \square

Dense. No. Just think about 0 or 1. \square

compact. \square

perfect. \square

18.

Proof. Yes. Just consider \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} . \square

19.

(a). Hence A and B are closed, then $\overline{A} = A$ and $\overline{B} = B$. If they are not separated, there must be a $x \in \overline{A} \cup B$ or $x \in \overline{B} \cup A$. In other words, $x \in A \cup B$.

Then A and B is adjoint, in contradiction to our suppose. \square

(b). Assuming there are some $x \in A' \cup B$, then for every neighbourhood of x , there exists a $y \in A$ and $z \in B$, in contradiction to our suppose. \square

(c). Using (b). \square

(d). \square

20.

closure. \square

interior. No. Let $A = \{(a_0, b) \mid b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $B = \{(a_0, b) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}$. A and B are subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 and it is clear $A \cup B$ is connected. Then $(A \cup B)^o$ is empty, thus it is not connected. \square

21.

Proof. \square

22.

Proof. Consider the set A whose points have only rational coordinates. \mathbb{Q} is countable and dense, hence A is countable and dense.

$A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ so \mathbb{R}^k is separable. \square

23.

Proof. From **22.** we have known every separable metric space has a countable dense subset D . Take all neighbourhoods with rational radius and center in this countable dense subset D .

We called this collection of open subsets $V_{\alpha,r}$. $V_{\alpha,r}$ is the neighbourhood whose radius is r and center is α .

For every open subset E , due to its openness and the dense of \mathbb{Q} , this subset is the union of subcollection of $V_{\alpha,r}$, which $\alpha \in E$ and $(\alpha - r, \alpha + r) \subset E$. \square

24.

Proof. \square

25.

Proof. \square

26.

Proof. \square

27.

Proof. \square

28.

Proof. \square

29.

Proof. \square

30.

I'm so vegetable.