

## ***Solution to Homework 5 — Strongest Postconditions, Proof Rules***

To help remind that you doesn't matter what logical constant you use to name the old value of a variable, I'll use different ones below: maybe  $x'$ , maybe  $x_0$ , and maybe something else.

### **Lecture 13: Strongest Postconditions**

1. (Validity under partial correctness but not total correctness)

For  $\{T\} S \{sp(p, S)\}$  to be valid for total correctness, it has to be valid for partial correctness and also always terminate. So to be invalid for total correctness, we a state in which either  $\{T\} S \{sp(p, S)\}$  is not partially correct or  $S$  does not terminate. But we're given that  $\{T\} S \{sp(p, S)\}$  is partially correct, so we need a state in which  $S$  doesn't terminate (gets a runtime error or diverges). A couple of examples:

$$\{T\} x := 0; y := 2 / x \{x = 0 \wedge y = 2 / x\}$$

$$\{T\} x := -1; \textbf{while } x \neq 0 \textbf{ do } x := x-1 \textbf{ od}; x := 3 \{x = 3\}$$

(This last one is a bit sneaky; we don't know how to calculate the  $sp$  of a loop, but  $sp$  of the sequence above has to be  $sp(x := 3)$ .)

(The relationship with  $\models \{T\} S \{F\}$  is that  $\sigma \models \{T\} S \{F\}$  means  $S$  doesn't terminate when run in  $\sigma$ , since if it terminated, it would have to be in a state in which false is true.)

2. (Calculate  $sp$ , no logical simplification)

$$\begin{aligned} sp(i < j \wedge j - i \leq n, i := f(i+j); j := g(i*j)) \\ &\equiv sp(sp(i < j \wedge j - i < n, i := f(i+j)), j := g(i*j)) \\ &\equiv sp(i_0 < j \wedge j - i_0 \leq n \wedge i = f(i_0+j), j := g(i*j)) \\ &\equiv i_0 < j_0 \wedge j_0 - i_0 \leq n \wedge i = f(i_0+j_0) \wedge j := g(i*j_0) \end{aligned}$$

3. (Calculate  $sp$ , logical simplifications allowed where specified)

$$\begin{aligned} 3a. \quad sp(x = 2^k, x := x/2) &\equiv x' = 2^k \wedge x = x' / 2 \Rightarrow x = 2^{(k-1)} \text{ [note } x' \text{ was dropped]} \\ wp(x := x/2, x = 2^k) &\equiv x/2 = 2^k \Leftrightarrow x = 2^{(k+1)} \end{aligned}$$

- 3b. ( $S \equiv \textbf{if even}(x) \textbf{ then } x := x+1 \textbf{ fi}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} sp(x = x_0, \textbf{if even}(x) \textbf{ then } x := x+1 \textbf{ else skip fi}) \\ &\equiv sp(x = x_0 \wedge \textbf{even}(x), x := x+1) \vee sp(x = x_0 \wedge \textbf{odd}(x), \textbf{skip}) \\ &\equiv (\textbf{even}(x_0) \wedge x = x_0+1) \vee (x = x_0 \wedge \textbf{odd}(x)) \\ &\text{If you don't mind losing the relationship with } x_0, \text{ this last predicate implies } \textbf{odd}(x). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} wp(S, \textbf{odd}(x)) \\ &\equiv wp(\textbf{if even}(x) \textbf{ then } x := x+1 \textbf{ else skip fi}, \textbf{odd}(x)) \\ &\equiv (\textbf{even}(x) \rightarrow wp(x := x+1, \textbf{odd}(x))) \wedge (\textbf{odd}(x) \rightarrow wp(\textbf{skip}, \textbf{odd}(x))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\equiv (\text{even}(x) \rightarrow \text{odd}(x+1)) \wedge (\text{odd}(x) \rightarrow \text{odd}(x)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{odd}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3c. \quad &(p \equiv L < R \wedge b[L] \leq x < b[R] \\ &\text{and } S \equiv \text{if } x < b[M] \text{ then } R := M \text{ else } L := M \text{ fi}) \\ &sp(R = R_0 \wedge L = L_0 \wedge p, S) \\ &\equiv sp(R = R_0 \wedge L = L_0 \wedge p \wedge x < b[M], R := M) \\ &\quad \vee sp(R = R_0 \wedge L = L_0 \wedge p \wedge x \geq b[M], L := M) \\ &\equiv (L = L_0 \wedge L < R_0 \wedge b[L] \leq x < b[R_0] \wedge x < b[M] \wedge R = M) \\ &\quad \vee (R = R_0 \wedge L_0 < R \wedge b[L_0] \leq x < b[R] \wedge x < b[M] \wedge L = M) \end{aligned}$$

You didn't have to simplify, but if you wanted to, one possibility is

$$\begin{aligned} &L_0 < R_0 \wedge b[L_0] \leq x < b[R_0] \\ &\quad \wedge (x < b[M] \rightarrow L = L_0 \wedge R = M) \wedge (x \geq b[M] \rightarrow R = R_0 \wedge L = M) \\ &wp(S, p) \\ &\equiv (x < b[M] \rightarrow wp(R := M, p)) \wedge (x \geq b[M] \rightarrow wp(L := M, p)) \\ &\equiv (x < b[M] \rightarrow p[M/R]) \wedge (x \geq b[M] \rightarrow p[M/L]) \\ &\equiv (x < b[M] \rightarrow L < M \wedge b[L] \leq x < b[M]) \wedge (x \geq b[M] \rightarrow M < R \wedge b[M] \leq x < b[R]) \end{aligned}$$

### Lectures 14-15: Proof Rules

(Find predicates)

4.  $p_1 \equiv x = 2^{k+1} \wedge k+1 \leq n$ ,  $p_2 \equiv 2^k x = 2^{k+1} \wedge k+1 \leq n$ , and  $p_3 \equiv p \wedge k \geq n \equiv x = 2^k \wedge k \leq n \wedge k \geq n$ 
  1.  $\{p_1\} k := k+1 \{p\}$  assignment  
where  $p \equiv x = 2^k \wedge k \leq n$  and  $S \equiv x := x*2; k := k+1$
  2.  $\{p_2\} x := x*2 \{p_1\}$  assignment
  3.  $\{p_2\} x := x*2; k := k+1 \{p\}$  sequence 2, 1
  4.  $p \wedge k < n \rightarrow p_2$  pred logic
  5.  $\{p \wedge k < n\} x := x*2; k := k+1 \{p\}$  pre str. 4, 3
  6.  $\{\text{inv } p\} \text{ while } k < n \text{ do } S \text{ od } \{p_3\}$  while, 3
5.  $q_1 \equiv (r = X*Y - (x/2)*(2*Y))$  and  $q_2 \equiv (r+y = X*Y - (x-1)*Y)$  in
  1.  $\{q_1\} x := x/2; y := 2*Y \{r = X*Y - x*Y\}$  (\*)
  2.  $\{q_2\} x := x-1; r := r+y \{r = X*Y - x*Y\}$  (\*)
  3.  $\{(r = X*Y - x*Y \wedge \text{even}(x) \rightarrow q_1) \wedge (r = X*Y - x*Y \wedge \text{odd}(x) \rightarrow q_2)\}$  conditional 1, 2  
**if** even(x) **then**  $x := x/2; r := 2*r$   
**else**  $x := x-1; r := r+y$  **fi**  $\{X*Y = r - x*Y\}$

(\*) Subproof used assignment, assignment, and sequence as in Question 4

6.  $r_1 \equiv (r = r_0 \wedge r = X*Y - x_0*y_0 \wedge \text{even}(x_0) \wedge x = x_0/2 \wedge y = 2*y_0)$ ,  
 $r_2 \equiv (y = y_0 \wedge r_0 = X*Y - x_0*y \wedge \text{odd}(x_0) \wedge x = x_0 - 1 \wedge r = r_0 + y)$ , and  $r_3 \equiv r_1 \vee r_2$  in
1.  $\{x = x_0 \wedge y = y_0 \wedge r = r_0 \wedge r = X*Y - x*y \wedge \text{even}(x)\} \quad (*)$   
 $\quad x := x/2; y := 2*y \{r_1\}$
  2.  $\{x = x_0 \wedge y = y_0 \wedge r = r_0 \wedge r = X*Y - x*y \wedge \text{odd}(x)\} \quad (*)$   
 $\quad x := x - 1; r := r + y \{r_2\}$
  3.  $\{x = x_0 \wedge y = y_0 \wedge r = r_0 \wedge r = X*Y - x*y\} \quad \text{conditional 1, 2}$   
 $\quad \text{if even}(x) \text{ then } x := x/2; y := 2*y$   
 $\quad \text{else } x := x - 1; r := r + y \text{ fi } \{r_3\}$
- (\*) Subproof used assignment, assignment, and sequence