SCALE FOR PROJECT EXPERT-SYSTEM (/PROJECTS/42CURSUS-EXPERT-SYSTEM)

You should evaluate 2 students in this team

★

Git repository

`git@vogsphere.42nice.fr:vogsphere/intra-uuid-af53ff54-8bdd-4ec1-80ec`

Introduction

In order to have a productive and tolerable grading session, we ask that you :

- Stay courteous, polite, respectful and constructive during this session. The bond of trust between members of the 42 community depends on it;
- Take care to show the graded person(s) the problems you notice, and explain them as best you can;
- Accept that there may be differences in interepretation on the featureset and/or what the subject requires. Stay open-minded, try to honestly determine who is right and who is not, and grade accordingly.

Guidelines

Remember that you must ONLY grade what's on the turn-in repository !

You have to "git clone" the repository, and grade what's on it, AND ONLY WHAT IS ON IT.

Attachments

subject.pdf (https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/76304/en.subject.pdf)

First and foremost

Preliminary checks

Check the following elements:

- There is something in the git repository
- The Makefile, if required, is present and has the required rules

If one of these elements is not in confirmity with what the subject requires, the session stops. You may still debate on the project, but you are not to grade the student(s).

During the rest of this session, if the program has an inappropriate behaviour (Segfault, bus error, double-free, uncaught exception, etc ...), the session stops.

Yes No

Functional tests

In this section, each question will have a theme, for example "AND conditions", and you must test whether the program actually manages to handle said theme. The students MUST provide some examples. Indeed, the subject says (verbatim) "Any capability of your program that is not demonstrated by your examples will NOT be counted as

present". So, if the students do not have an example that proves a feature working, then you must consider that it is not there. You should also try input files of your own creation against the users' program, as long as they fit the question's theme. If you really have no examples of your own, use the examples in the question.

Error management

The program handles errors correctly. It must inform the user of the problem encountered. So you need to use inputs with errors such as :

Example input:

```
A + T => R
=
?K
```

Or:

```
A => B
B <=> D
A | C => D

=C

?D
```

Or:

```
A+W=>N
        =   I




   ?    3
```

You have to check all the cases you imagine in order to verify that the program informs correctly with an explicit sentence allowing the user to understand the problem he is facing. You must check at least :

- Input with more entries than expected (more operands or operators than needed)
- Input with unnecessary characters
- Input with impossible declarations

Yes No

AND conditions and conclusions

The program can handle basic AND conditions, and AND conclusions.

Example input:

```
B => A
D + E => B
G + H => F
I + J => G
G => H
L + M => K
O + P => L + N
N => M
```

[INITIAL FACTS HERE] ?AFKP

With =DEIJOP, AFKP is true. With =DEIJP, AFP is true, K is false.

Yes No

OR conditions

The program can handle basic OR conditions.

Example input 1:

```
B + C => A
D | E => B
B => C
```

[INITIAL FACTS HERE] ?A

With =, A should be false. With =D, A should be true. With =E, A should be true. With =DE, A should be true.

Yes No

Basic XOR conditions

The program can handle basic XOR conditions.

Example input 1:

```
B + C => A
D ^ E => B
B => C
```

[INITIAL FACTS HERE] ?A

With =, A should be false. With =D, A should be true. With =E, A should be true. With =DE, A should be false.

Yes No

Negation

The program can handle negation.

Example input 1:

```
B + !C => A
```

[INITIAL FACTS HERE] ?A

With =, A should be false. With =B, A should be true. With =C, A should be false. With =BC, A should be false.

Yes No

Same conclusion in multiple rules

The program can handle multiple rules that have the same conclusion.

Example input 1:

```
B => A
C => A
```

[INITIAL FACTS HERE] ?A

With =, A should be false. With =B, A should be true. With =C, A should be true. With =BC, A should be true.

Yes No

Parentheses

The program can handle parenthesised expressions correctly

Example input 1:

```
A | B + C => E
(F | G) + H => E
```

[INITIAL FACTS HERE] ?E

With =, E should be false.

With =A, E should be true. With =B, E should be false. With =C, E should be false. With =AC, E should be true. With =BC, E should be true.

With =F, E should be false. With =G, E should be false. With =H, E should be false. With =FH, E should be true. With =GH, E should be true.

Yes No

Data structures

Facts and rules storage

The students must have chosen a relevant data structure to handle their rules and facts.

- A global graph of fact nodes linked by rule nodes is worth 5
- A separate graph for each rule is worth 3
- Anything else that is still more efficient than simple lists of facts and rules is worth 2
- Lists of facts and rules are worth 1
- Anything worse than that is worth 0

Of course, the students must justify their choice. If they do not, their work is worth 0.

Rate it from 0 (failed) through 5 (excellent)

5

Bonuses

Bonuses

Any distinct and identifiable bonus that the students can show and prove working is worth 1.

Just in case, here are the bonuses that the subject suggests (Of course, it is still OK if they did something else, just make sure it is actually vaguely useful and not just a gimmick):

- Interactive fact validation in case of undetermined facts or just to fiddle with the input while running
- Visualization of the reasoning process, either with a GUI or a terminal interface, or even with text, whatever, as long as it is comprehensible
- "OR" and "XOR" in conclusions. This can lead to undetermined facts, and should also allow for negations in conclusions to be useful.
- Biconditional rules ("If-and-only-if").

Rate it from 0 (failed) through 5 (excellent)

Ratings

Don’t forget to check the flag corresponding to the defense

Ok Outstanding project

Empty work Incomplete work No author file Invalid compilation Norme Cheat

Crash Incomplete group Forbidden function

Conclusion

Leave a comment on this evaluation

Finish evaluation