

COMS 4772 Fall 2016 Homework 2

Due Friday, October 28

Instructions:

- The usual homework policies (<http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~djhsu/coms4772-f16/about.html>) are, of course, in effect.
- Using this L^AT_EX template will be helpful for grading purposes.

Problem 1 (25 points). Let \mathbf{X} be a random vector in \mathbb{R}^d whose distribution is a mixture of k spherical Gaussians:

$$\mathbf{X} \sim \pi_1 \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \sigma_1^2 \mathbf{I}) + \pi_2 \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \sigma_2^2 \mathbf{I}) + \cdots + \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \sigma_k^2 \mathbf{I}).$$

For any set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, define

$$\text{cost}(C) := \mathbb{E} \left[\min_{\mathbf{y} \in C} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 \right].$$

Let $M := \{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\}$. Prove that if $k < e^{d/2}$, then

$$\text{cost}(M) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2 \ln(k)}{d}} \cdot \min_{\substack{C \subset \mathbb{R}^d: \\ |C| \leq k}} \text{cost}(C).$$

Solution.

We start by finding the upper bound of $\text{cost}(M)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\min_{\mathbf{y} \in M} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\min_{\mathbf{y} \in M} (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y})^T (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\min_{\mathbf{y} \in M} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} - 2\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\min_{\mathbf{y} \in M} -2\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{\mathbf{y} \in M} (2\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y})^T \mathbf{y} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \exp \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{\mathbf{y} \in M} \lambda (2\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y})^T \mathbf{y} \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{\mathbf{y} \in M} \exp \lambda (2\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y})^T \mathbf{y} \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \lambda (2\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y}_i)^T \mathbf{y}_i \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \lambda (2\mathbf{X}_k - \mathbf{y})^T \mathbf{y}_i \right] \quad \text{where } \mathbf{X}_k = \max_{\mathbf{y} \in M} \mathbf{y} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \lambda (2\mathbf{X}_k^T \mathbf{y}) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left[\exp 2\lambda (\mathbf{X}_k^T \mathbf{y}) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \sum_{i=1}^k \exp(\sigma_k \lambda^2) \text{tr}(\mathbf{y}) \end{aligned}$$

With $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\ln k}{\sigma_k \text{tr}(\mathbf{y})}}$, $\mathbb{E} \left[\min_{\mathbf{y} \in M} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right] + 2\sqrt{\sigma_k \text{tr}(\mathbf{y}) \ln k}$.

The lower bound of the $\text{cost}(C)$ occurs when $\mathbf{y} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}]$ and hence $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}^T] \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}] \leq \text{cost}(C)$.

□

Problem 2 (25 points). Suppose $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ each have rank d . Give unambiguous pseudocode for an algorithm that, when given \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} as inputs, finds all solutions $\mathbf{v} \in S^{d-1}$ satisfying

$$\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{B} \mathbf{v}.$$

If there is an entire subspace of solutions, the algorithm just needs to return an orthonormal basis for this subspace. Your pseudocode can use things like SVD, Gram-Schmidt, etc. as black-box subroutines. Prove that the algorithm is correct.

Solution.

Input: \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}

Output: All solutions $\mathbf{v} \in S^{d-1}$ satisfying $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{B} \mathbf{v}$

Begin

 Calculate $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A}$

 Calculate $\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{B}$

 Invert $\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{B}$ and set it as \mathbf{C}

 Take the product of \mathbf{C} and $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A}$ and set it as \mathbf{D}

 Perform eigendecomposition on \mathbf{D} to obtain $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^\top$

 Normalise and return \mathbf{U}

End

Steps 1 and 2 will be always be valid for matrices of any size. As \mathbf{B} is of rank d , $\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{B}$ is also of rank d and a $d \times d$ matrix, hence $\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{B}$ is not rank deficient and is invertible so step 3 is valid. Since both \mathbf{C} and $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A}$ are $d \times d$ matrices, their product can be computed which verifies step 4. \mathbf{D} is a square $d \times d$ matrix of rank d , we can perform eigendecomposition to obtain $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^\top$ where $\mathbf{U}^\top = \mathbf{U}^{-1}$. Hence \mathbf{U} is orthogonal and forms a basis for the subspace of the solutions. After normalising \mathbf{U} , we obtain a orthonormal basis for the subspace. □

Problem 3 (25 points). Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ be a data matrix whose rows are $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be the matrix whose (i, j) -th entry is the squared Euclidean distance $D_{i,j} = \|\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{a}_j\|_2^2$. Suppose you are given the squared Euclidean distance matrix \mathbf{D} as input, and you are asked to recover the set of original points $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$ up to some translation. *You do not have access to the original data matrix \mathbf{A} .*

- (a) Let $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the vector whose i -th entry is $\|\mathbf{a}_i\|_2^2$. Prove that $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{s}\mathbf{1}_n^\top - 2\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\top + \mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{s}^\top$, where $\mathbf{1}_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the all-ones vector.
- (b) Let $\mathbf{\Pi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be the orthogonal projector for the $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle \mathbf{1}_n, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0\}.$$

Prove that $-(1/2)\mathbf{\Pi D \Pi} = \mathbf{\Pi A A}^\top \mathbf{\Pi}$.

- (c) Explain how to determine points $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ from \mathbf{D} such that:

- $D_{i,j} = \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|_2^2$ for all $i, j \in [n]$; and
- $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}$.

(You may assume that you are told the original dimension d .)

- (d) *Optional.* Suppose the matrix \mathbf{D} is corrupted (say, because your distance measuring device is imperfect), so the entries no longer correspond to the squared Euclidean distances between the \mathbf{a}_i . Explain how to determine points $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (yes, n and not d) from \mathbf{D} such that:

- $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}$;
- $\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|_2^2 \geq D_{i,j}$ for all $i \neq j$; and
- $\max \left\{ \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|_2^2}{D_{i,j}} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n \right\}$ is as small as possible.

Hint: use semidefinite programming.

Solution.

a

$D_{i,j} = \|\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{a}_j\|_2^2 = (\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{a}_j)^\top (\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{a}_j) = \mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{a}_i - 2\mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{a}_j + \mathbf{a}_j^\top \mathbf{a}_j$. We have $\mathbf{s} = \|\mathbf{a}_i\|_2^2 = \mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{a}_i$ and so

$$\mathbf{s}\mathbf{1}_n^\top = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^\top \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_1^\top \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_1^\top \mathbf{a}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_1^\top \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{a}_2^\top \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_2^\top \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_2^\top \mathbf{a}_2 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_2^\top \mathbf{a}_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_n^\top \mathbf{a}_n & \mathbf{a}_n^\top \mathbf{a}_n & \mathbf{a}_n^\top \mathbf{a}_n & \dots & \mathbf{a}_n^\top \mathbf{a}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{s}^\top = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^\top \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2^\top \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_3^\top \mathbf{a}_3 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_n^\top \mathbf{a}_n \\ \mathbf{a}_1^\top \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2^\top \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_3^\top \mathbf{a}_3 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_n^\top \mathbf{a}_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_1^\top \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2^\top \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_3^\top \mathbf{a}_3 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_n^\top \mathbf{a}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

while

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_3 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_n \\ \mathbf{a}_2^T \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2^T \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_2^T \mathbf{a}_3 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_2^T \mathbf{a}_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{a}_3 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{a}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

The i, j^{th} component of $\mathbf{s}\mathbf{1}_n^\top - 2\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{s}\mathbf{1}_n^\top = \mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{a}_i - 2\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{a}_j + \mathbf{a}_j^T \mathbf{a}_j = D_{i,j}$, hence $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{s}\mathbf{1}_n^\top - 2\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{s}^\top$.

b

$$\begin{aligned} -(1/2)\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{\Pi} &= -(1/2)\mathbf{\Pi}(\mathbf{s}\mathbf{1}_n^\top - 2\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{s}^\top)\mathbf{\Pi} \\ &= -(1/2)(\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{s}\mathbf{1}_n^\top \mathbf{\Pi} - 2\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{\Pi} + \mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{s}^\top \mathbf{\Pi}) \text{ where } \mathbf{1}_n^\top \mathbf{\Pi} = \mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{1}_n = 0 \\ &= -(1/2)(-2\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{\Pi}) \\ &= \mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{\Pi} \end{aligned}$$

c

We know that any vector \mathbf{x} can be split into components consisting of $\mathbf{1}_n$ and its orthogonal projection in the form of $\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{1}_n \rangle \mathbf{1}_n + \mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{x}$. Next, we rewrite the above in terms of $\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{x}$ which is $\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{1}_n \rangle \mathbf{1}_n$. To find out what $\mathbf{\Pi}$ is, we express the RHS in terms of \mathbf{x} . $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{1}_n \rangle = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{1}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i$, so $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{1}_n \rangle \mathbf{1}_n$ is an n dimension vector of $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i$. This is also equivalent to the product of a $n \times n$ matrix of 1 ($= \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T$) and \mathbf{x} . Hence $\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{x} = (I - \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T) \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{\Pi} = (I - \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T)$ which is invertible. Using the results in b), $-(1/2)\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{\Pi} = \mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Pi}$ where \mathbf{X} is the concatenation of \mathbf{x}_i s. With SVD, we can break $\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Pi}$ into $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{V}^T$ where $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2} = \mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Pi}$. By taking the product of $\mathbf{\Pi}^{-1}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2} = \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1}\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{X}$, we recover $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ in the form of \mathbf{X} .

□

Problem 4 (25 points). Exercise 3.25 from BHK.

Solution.

a

$$\arg \max \|Ax\|_2^2 = \arg \max x^T A^T A x$$

The above is equivalent to solving for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $A^T A$ and choosing the eigenvector v_1 with the largest eigenvalue λ_1 . The synthetic document is then $A v_1$.

b

The synthetic document does not represent the centre of gravity which is the document with averaged term counts. Instead, it represents the document with the largest average dot-product with the set of documents represented by the matrix.

c

Perform eigendecomposition on A like in a) but choose the k eigenvectors with the largest eigenvalues. Obtain the synthetic documents by calculating the product A and v_i where $i \in [1, k]$ and are hence the document-term matrix multiplied by singular vectors.

d

Assuming that we can arrange A as a block-diagonal matrix, $A^T A$ (which could also be loosely called the 'term-correlation matrix') would be block-diagonal as well. To see this, the i, j^{th} entry of the matrix is $\langle a_i^T, a_j \rangle$ and would only be non-zero when the vectors belong to the same block since each block is characterised by an exclusive set of terms. Breaking the so-called 'term-correlation matrix' into parts via eigendecomposition, $A^T A$ can be represented as $V \lambda V^T$ where $V^T = V^{-1}$. As each entry of $A^T A$ can be expressed as $\lambda_i v_{ij}^2$, the eigenvectors of $A^T A$ or the right-singular vectors of A must also reflect the block-diagonal structure of $A^T A$ as we only see a 0 when $v_{ij} = 0$. Hence each right-singular vector can be divided into distinct blocks where the existence of non-zero numbers in the blocks gives rise to the corresponding block in $A^T A$ if it multiplied by another right-singular vector containing non-zero numbers in the same block.

e

Pick a v_I of the right singular matrix of $A^T A$ and obtain the $m \times 1$ vector obtained by $A v_i$. The corresponding documents with non-zero entries in the resulting vector all belong to the same cluster. Repeat till all documents have an assignment. \square