

Subspace embeddings

Daniel Hsu

COMS 4772

1

Supremum of simple stochastic processes

2

Recap: JL lemma

JL lemma. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, point set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of cardinality $|S| = n$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \geq \frac{16 \ln n}{\varepsilon^2}$, there exists a linear map $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ such that

$$(1-\varepsilon)\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|_2^2 \leq \|f(\mathbf{x})-f(\mathbf{y})\|_2^2 \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|_2^2 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S.$$

Main probabilistic lemma

\exists random linear map $\mathbf{M}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ such that, for any $\mathbf{u} \in S^{d-1}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 - 1\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\Omega(k\varepsilon^2)\right).$$

JL lemma is consequence of main probabilistic lemma as applied to collection $T \subset S^{d-1}$ of $|T| = \binom{n}{2}$ unit vectors (+ union bound):

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{\mathbf{u} \in T} \left|\|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 - 1\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq |T| \cdot 2 \exp\left(-\Omega(k\varepsilon^2)\right).$$

3

Related question

For $T \subseteq S^{d-1}$, *expected* maximum deviation

$$\mathbb{E} \max_{\mathbf{u} \in T} \left|\|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 - 1\right| \leq ?$$

General questions

For arbitrary collection of zero-mean random variables $\{X_t : t \in T\}$:

$$\mathbb{E} \max_{t \in T} X_t \leq ?$$

$$\mathbb{E} \max_{t \in T} |X_t| \leq ?$$

4

Finite collections

Let $\{X_t : t \in T\}$ be a *finite* collection of v -subgaussian and mean-zero random variables. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \max_{t \in T} X_t \leq \sqrt{2v \ln |T|}.$$

- ▶ Doesn't assume independence of $\{X_t : t \in T\}$.
 - ▶ (Independent case is the worst.)
- ▶ Get bound on $\mathbb{E} \max_{t \in T} |X_t|$ as corollary.
 - ▶ Apply result to collection

$$\{X_t : t \in T\} \cup \{-X_t : t \in T\}.$$

5

Proof

Starting point is identity from two invertible operations ($\lambda > 0$):

$$\mathbb{E} \max_{t \in T} X_t = \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \exp\left(\mathbb{E} \max_{t \in T} \lambda X_t\right)$$

- ▶ Apply Jensen's inequality:

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\max_{t \in T} \lambda X_t\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \mathbb{E} \left(\max_{t \in T} \exp(\lambda X_t)\right)$$

- ▶ Bound max with sum, and use linearity of expectation:

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \sum_{t \in T} \mathbb{E} \exp(\lambda X_t)$$

- ▶ Exploit v -subgaussian property:

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \sum_{t \in T} \exp(v\lambda^2/2) = \frac{\ln |T|}{\lambda} + \frac{v\lambda}{2}$$

- ▶ Choose appropriate λ to conclude. □

6

Alternative proof

Integrate tail bound: for any non-negative random variable Y ,

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(Y \geq y) dy.$$

For $Y := \max_{t \in T} |X_t|$, gives same result up to constants.

7

Infinite collections

For *infinite* collection of zero-mean random variables $\{X_t : t \in T\}$:

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} X_t \leq ?$$

- ▶ In general, can go $\rightarrow \infty$.
- ▶ To bound, must exploit *correlations* among the X_t .
 - ▶ E.g., in $\left\{ \left| \|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| : \mathbf{u} \in T \right\}$ for $T \subseteq S^{d-1}$, the random variables for \mathbf{u} and $\mathbf{u} + \boldsymbol{\delta}$, for small $\boldsymbol{\delta}$, are highly correlated.

8

Convex hulls of linear functionals

Let $T \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a finite set of vectors, and let \mathbf{X} be a random vector in \mathbb{R}^d such that $\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{X} \rangle$ is v -subgaussian for every $\mathbf{w} \in T$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \max_{\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \in \text{conv}(T)} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{X} \rangle \leq \sqrt{2v \ln |T|}.$$

Proof:

- ▶ Write $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \in \text{conv}(T)$ as $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in T} p_{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}$ for some $p_{\mathbf{w}} \geq 0$ that sum to one.
- ▶ Observe that

$$\langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in T} p_{\mathbf{w}} \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \max_{\mathbf{w} \in T} \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle.$$

- ▶ So max over $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \in \text{conv}(T)$ is at most max over $\mathbf{w} \in T$.
- ▶ Conclude by applying previous result for finite collections. \square

9

Euclidean norm

Let \mathbf{X} be a random vector such that $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{X} \rangle$ is v -subgaussian for every $\mathbf{u} \in S^{d-1}$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{X}\|_2 = \mathbb{E} \max_{\mathbf{u} \in S^{d-1}} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{X} \rangle \leq 2\sqrt{2v \ln 5^d} = O(\sqrt{vd}).$$

Key step of proof:

- ▶ For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite subset $\mathcal{N} \subset S^{d-1}$ of cardinality $|\mathcal{N}| \leq (1 + 2/\varepsilon)^d$ such that, for every $\mathbf{u} \in S^{d-1}$, there exists $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathcal{N}$ with

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0\|_2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

- ▶ Such a set \mathcal{N} is called an ε -net for S^{d-1} .
- ▶ We need a $1/2$ -net, of cardinality at most 5^d .

10

Proof

- ▶ Write $\mathbf{u} \in S^{d-1}$ as

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \delta \mathbf{q},$$

where $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathcal{N}$, $\mathbf{q} \in S^{d-1}$, $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$, so

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{X} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{X} \rangle + \delta \langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{X} \rangle.$$

- ▶ Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{u} \in S^{d-1}} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{X} \rangle &\leq \max_{\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathcal{N}} \langle \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{X} \rangle + \max_{\delta \in [0, 1/2]} \max_{\mathbf{q} \in S^{d-1}} \delta \langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{X} \rangle \\ &\leq \max_{\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathcal{N}} \langle \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{X} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \max_{\mathbf{q} \in S^{d-1}} \langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{X} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ So max over S^{d-1} is at most twice max over \mathcal{N} .
- ▶ Conclude by applying previous result for finite collections. \square

11

ε -nets for unit sphere

There is an ε -net for S^{d-1} of cardinality at most $(1 + 2/\varepsilon)^d$.

Proof:

- ▶ Repeatedly select points from S^{d-1} so that each selected point has distance more than ε from all previously selected points.
- ▶ Equivalent: repeatedly select points from S^{d-1} as long as balls of radius $\varepsilon/2$, centered at selected points, are disjoint.
 - ▶ (Process must eventually stop.)
- ▶ When process stops, every $\mathbf{u} \in S^{d-1}$ is at distance at most ε from selected points.
 - ▶ I.e., selected points form an ε -net for S^{d-1} .
- ▶ If select N points, then the N balls of radius $\varepsilon/2$ are disjoint, and they are contained in a ball of radius $1 + \varepsilon/2$. So

$$N \text{vol}((\varepsilon/2)B^d) \leq \text{vol}((1 + \varepsilon/2)B^d).$$

- ▶ This implies $N \leq (1 + 2/\varepsilon)^d$. \square

12

Remarks

- ▶ All previous results also hold with random variables are (ν, c) -subexponential (possibly with $c > 0$), with a slightly different bound: e.g.,

$$\mathbb{E} \max_{t \in T} X_t \leq \max \left\{ \sqrt{2\nu \ln |T|}, 2c \ln |T| \right\}.$$

- ▶ Also easy to get probability tail bounds (rather than expectation bounds).

13

Subspace embeddings

14

Subspace JL lemma

Consider $k \times d$ random matrix \mathbf{M} whose entries are iid $N(0, 1/k)$.
For a $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a subspace of dimension r ,

$$\mathbb{E} \max_{\mathbf{u} \in S^{d-1} \cap W} \left| \|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| \leq O\left(\sqrt{\frac{r}{k}} + \frac{r}{k}\right).$$

Bound is at most ε when $k \geq O\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$.

Implies existence of mapping $\mathbf{M}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ that approximately preserves all distances between points in W .

15

Proof of subspace JL lemma

Let columns of \mathbf{Q} be ONB for W . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{u} \in S^{d-1} \cap W} \left| \|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 - 1 \right| &= \max_{\mathbf{u} \in S^{r-1}} \left| \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{Q}^\top (\mathbf{M}^\top \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{u} \right| \\ &= \max_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in S^{r-1}} \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{Q}^\top (\mathbf{M}^\top \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma. For any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in S^{r-1}$,

$$X_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} := \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{Q}^\top (\mathbf{M}^\top \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{v}$$

is $(O(1/k), O(1/k))$ -subexponential.

16

Proof of subspace JL lemma (continued)

For $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in S^{r-1}$, $X_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} := \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{Q}^\top (\mathbf{M}^\top \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{v}$.

Let \mathcal{N} be $1/4$ -net for S^{r-1} .

- Write $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in S^{r-1}$ as

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{p}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_0 + \delta \mathbf{q},$$

where $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathcal{N}$, $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in S^{r-1}$ and $\varepsilon, \delta \in [0, 1/4]$, so

$$X_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} = X_{\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v}_0} + \varepsilon X_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}} + \delta X_{\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{q}}.$$

- Therefore

$$\max_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in S^{r-1}} X_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} \leq \max_{\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathcal{N}} X_{\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v}_0} + \frac{1}{2} \max_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in S^{r-1}} X_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}},$$

which implies

$$\max_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in S^{r-1}} X_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} \leq 2 \max_{\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathcal{N}} X_{\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v}_0}.$$

- Conclude by applying previous result for finite collections. \square

17

Application to least squares

18

Big data least squares

- ▶ **Input:** matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \gg d$).
- ▶ **Goal:** find $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ so as to (approx.) minimize $\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$.
- ▶ Computation time: $O(nd^2)$.
- ▶ Can we speed this up?

19

Simple approach

- ▶ Pick $m \ll n$.
- ▶ Let \mathbf{M} be random $m \times n$ matrix (e.g., entries iid $N(0, 1/m)$, Fast JL Transform).
- ▶ Let $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} := \mathbf{MA}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} := \mathbf{Mb}$.
- ▶ Obtain solution $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ to least squares problem on $(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}})$.

20

Simple (somewhat loose) analysis

- ▶ Let W be subspace spanned by columns of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{b} .
 - ▶ Dimension is at most $d + 1$.
- ▶ If $m \geq O(d/\varepsilon^2)$, then \mathbf{M} is subspace embedding for W :

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in W.$$

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{x}_\star := \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$.

▶

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \|\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \|\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_\star - \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_\star - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Running time (using FJLT): $O((m + n)d \log n + md^2)$. □

21

Another perspective: random sampling

- ▶ Pick random sample of $m \ll n$ of rows of (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) ; obtain solution $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ for least squares problem on the sample.
- ▶ Hope $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is also good for the original problem.
- ▶ In statistics, this is the *random design* setting for regression.
 - ▶ Random sample of covariates $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ and responses $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ from full population (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) .
 - ▶ Least squares solution $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ on $(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}})$ is *MLE* for linear regression coefficients under linear model with Gaussian noise.
 - ▶ Can also regard $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ as *empirical risk minimizer* among all linear predictors under squared loss.

22

Simple random design analysis

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{x}_\star := \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$.
- ▶ With high probability over choice of random sample,

$$\|\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \leq \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\kappa}{m}\right)\right) \cdot \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_\star - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$$

(up to lower-order terms), where

$$\kappa := n \cdot \max_{i \in [n]} \|(\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A})^{-1/2} \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{e}_i\|_2^2$$

and \mathbf{e}_i is i -th coordinate basis vector.

- ▶ Write thin SVD of \mathbf{A} as $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{V}^\top$, where $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$. Then

$$(\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A})^{-1/2} \mathbf{A}^\top = (\mathbf{V}\mathbf{S}^2\mathbf{V}^\top)^{-1/2} \mathbf{V}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{U}^\top = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{U}^\top.$$

- ▶ So $\kappa = n \cdot \max_{i \in [n]} \|\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{e}_i\|_2^2$.
 - ▶ $\|\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{e}_i\|_2^2$ is *statistical leverage score* for i -th row of \mathbf{A} : measures how much “influence” i -th row has on least squares solution.

23

Statistical leverage

- ▶ i -th *statistical leverage score*: $\ell_i := \|\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{e}_i\|_2^2$, where $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ is matrix of left singular vectors of \mathbf{A} .
- ▶ Two extreme cases:

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{d \times d} \\ \mathbf{0}_{(n-d) \times d} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow n \cdot \max_{i \in [n]} \ell_i = n.$$

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{e}_1 & \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{e}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{e}_d \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow n \cdot \max_{i \in [n]} \ell_i = d,$$

where \mathbf{H}_n is $n \times n$ Hadamard matrix.

- ▶ First case: first d rows are the only rows that matter.
- ▶ Second case: all n rows equally important.

24

Ensuring small statistical leverage

- ▶ To ensure situation is more like second case, apply random rotation (e.g., randomized Hadamard transform) to \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{b} .
 - ▶ Randomly mixes up rows of (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) so no single row is (much) more important than another.
 - ▶ Get $n \cdot \max_{i \in [n]} \ell_i = O(d + \log n)$ with high probability.
- ▶ To get $1 + \varepsilon$ approximation ratio, i.e.,

$$\|\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_\star - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2,$$

suffices to have

$$m \geq O\left(\frac{d + \log n}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

25

Application to compressed sensing

26

Under-determined least squares

- ▶ **Input:** matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \ll d$).
- ▶ **Goal:** find *sparsest* $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ so as to minimize $\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$.
- ▶ NP-hard in general.
- ▶ Suppose $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ for some $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\text{nnz}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \leq k$.
 - ▶ I.e., $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is k -sparse.
 - ▶ Is $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ the (unique) sparsest solution?
 - ▶ If so, how to find it?

27

Null space property

Lemma. Null space of \mathbf{A} does not contain any non-zero $2k$ -sparse vectors \iff every k -sparse vector $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the unique solution to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}}$.

- ▶ **Proof.** (\Rightarrow) Take any k -sparse vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} with $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{Ay}$.
Want to show $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$.
 - ▶ Then $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ is $2k$ -sparse, and $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{0}$.
 - ▶ By assumption, null space of \mathbf{A} does not contain any non-zero $2k$ -sparse vectors.
 - ▶ So $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$, i.e., $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$.
- ▶ (\Leftarrow) Take any $2k$ -sparse vector \mathbf{z} in the null space of \mathbf{A} . Want to show $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$.
 - ▶ Write it as $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ for some k -sparse vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} with disjoint supports.
 - ▶ Then $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{0}$, and hence $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ by assumption.
 - ▶ But \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} have disjoint support, so it must be that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$, so $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$. □

28

Null space property from subspace embeddings

If \mathbf{A} is $n \times d$ random matrix with iid $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ entries, then under what conditions is there no non-zero $2k$ -sparse vector in its null space?

- ▶ Want: for any $2k$ -sparse vector \mathbf{z} , $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$, i.e., $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 > 0$.
- ▶ Consider a particular choice $\mathcal{I} \subseteq [d]$ of $|\mathcal{I}| = 2k$ coordinates, and the corresponding subspace $W_{\mathcal{I}}$ spanned by $\{\mathbf{e}_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$.
 - ▶ Every $2k$ -sparse \mathbf{z} is in $W_{\mathcal{I}}$ for some \mathcal{I} .
- ▶ Sufficient for \mathbf{A} to be $1/2$ -subspace embedding for $W_{\mathcal{I}}$ for all \mathcal{I} :

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{3}{2}\|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 \quad \text{for all } 2k\text{-sparse } \mathbf{z}.$$

29

Null space property from subspace embeddings (continued)

- ▶ Say \mathbf{A} *fails* for \mathcal{I} if it is not a $1/2$ -subspace embedding for $W_{\mathcal{I}}$.
- ▶ Subspace JL lemma:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{A} \text{ fails for } \mathcal{I}) \leq 2^{O(k)} \exp(-\Omega(n)).$$

- ▶ Union bound over all choices of \mathcal{I} with $|\mathcal{I}| = 2k$:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{A} \text{ fails for some } \mathcal{I}) \leq \binom{d}{2k} 2^{O(k)} \exp(-\Omega(n)).$$

- ▶ To ensure this is, say, at most $1/2$, just need

$$n \geq O\left(k + \log\left(\binom{d}{2k}\right)\right) = O(k + k \log(d/k)).$$

30

Restricted isometry property

(ℓ, δ) -restricted isometry property (RIP):

$$(1 - \delta)\|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \delta)\|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 \quad \text{for all } \ell\text{-sparse } \mathbf{z}.$$

- ▶ Many algorithms can recover unique sparsest solution under RIP (with $\ell = O(k)$ and $\delta = \Omega(1)$).
 - ▶ E.g., Basis pursuit, Lasso, orthogonal matching pursuit.