

## Talk 2 Main Conjectures

§0 Preparations on algebraic stuffs : Let  $A$  be a commutative ring.

### 0.1 Objects level

- Let  $P_{fg}(A) :=$  the category of finitely generated projective module.

For each  $P \in P_{fg}(A)$ , we can define

$$\text{Det}_A(P) = \bigwedge_A^{\text{rk}_A(P)} P \quad (\text{at least when } P \text{ is finite free as } A\text{-module})$$

More precise definition : See [Stacks project, 0FJ9] :  $P \in P_{fg}(A)$ , then  $\exists$

$A = A_0 \times \cdots \times A_t$ ,  $P = P_0 \times \cdots \times P_t$  st.  $P_i$  is finite loc.free  $A_i$ -module.

Then in this situation, we define

$$\text{Det}_A(P) = \bigwedge_{A_0}^0 P_0 \times \bigwedge_{A_1}^1 P_1 \times \cdots \times \bigwedge_{A_t}^t P_t \text{ as an } R\text{-module.}$$

This is a finite locally free  $A$ -module of rank one. (since each  $\bigwedge_{A_i}^i P_i$  is locally free  $A_i$ -module of rank one.)

We list its properties :

(i)  $\text{Det}_A(0) = A$  : this recovers the coefficient ring  $A$  !

(ii) Let  $0 \rightarrow P' \rightarrow P \rightarrow P'' \rightarrow 0$  in  $P_{fg}(A)$ , then

$$\text{Det}_A(P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Det}_A(P') \otimes_A \text{Det}_A(P'') \quad (" \otimes_A \text{ usually written as } :")$$

(iii) Base change property :  $\text{Det}_A(P) \otimes_A B \simeq \text{Det}_B(P \otimes_A B)$

- The target category can be seen as the "category of finite locally free  $A$ -mod of rank one", which is a "Picard category" in the sense that :

- the isomorphism classes of projective  $A$ -modules of rank one form an abelian group, called the Picard group of  $A$ , under

$$[P] \cdot [Q] := [P \otimes_A Q] \text{ in } \text{Pic}(A).$$

- The neutral element is  $[A]$  and the inverse of  $[P]$  is  $[P]^{-1} := [P^*]$ .  
(We omit the brackets everywhere.)
- We record another property: [Kab. Italy P72] Let  $\Lambda = \text{regular noetherian ring}$ ,  $M := \text{finitely generated torsion } \Lambda\text{-module}$ . Then  
 $\text{Det}_\Lambda^{-1}(M) \simeq \text{char}_\Lambda(M)$  as fractional ideals in  $\mathbb{Q}(\Lambda)$ .

## 0.2 Category of chain complexes

- Let  $\text{Perf}_A := \text{category of complexes of } A\text{-modules, qis to a bounded complexes of modules in } \mathcal{P}_{fg}(A)$

For  $C^\bullet \in \text{Perf}_A$ , fix a quasi-isomorphism  $\tilde{C}^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet$ , we define

$$\text{Det}_A(C^\bullet) := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Det}_A^{(-1)}(\tilde{C}^i)$$

$\sim$   $\text{Det}_A$  can be regarded as a functor from the "sub" derived category of  $A\text{-mod}$ s consisting of complexes in  $\text{Perf}_A$ .

Corresponding to the properties (i) to (iii), we have:

(i)<sup>D</sup>: If  $C^\bullet$  is acyclic, then  $\text{Det}_A(C^\bullet) = \text{Det}_A(0) = A$ .

(ii)<sup>D</sup>: Let  $P' \rightarrow P \rightarrow P''$  be a distinguished triangle (exclude some of them?) then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Det}_A P \xrightleftharpoons{\sim} \text{Det}_A P' \otimes \text{Det}_A P''$$

In practice: Let  $f: P' \rightarrow P$  be a morphism of complexes, then  $P' \xrightarrow{f} P \rightarrow \text{cone}(f)$  a distinguished triangle. We apply  $\text{Det}_A$ :

$$\text{Det}_A P \xrightleftharpoons{\sim} \text{Det}_A P' \otimes \text{Det}_A(\text{cone}(f))$$

① Note: this isomorphism carries the information of  $f$ , not just an abstract isomorphism!

We often rewrite it as

$$\text{Det}_A(f) : \text{Det}_A P \cdot \text{Det}_A P' \xrightleftharpoons[\star]{\sim} \text{Det}_A(\text{cone}(f)) .$$

Take the inverse of this map ( $\dagger$ ) , we see

$$\text{triv}_{A,f} : \text{Det}_A P \otimes \text{Det}_A P' \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Det}_A^{-1}(\text{cone}(f))$$

this map is often called the trivialization of  $f$ .

(iii)<sup>D</sup> : Base change property : similar as before.

(iv) : If  $C^\bullet \in \text{Perf}_A$  s.t.  $H^j(C^\bullet) \in \text{Pfg}(A)$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then one has

$$\text{Det}_A(C^\bullet) \simeq \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Det}_A^{(-1)^j}(H^j(C^\bullet))$$

This can be regarded as an algebraic object analogue of "Euler characteristic" of the complex  $C^\bullet$ .

A quick review :

Recall in Talk 1 : we fixed an eigenform  $f$  with coefficient field  $L$ , with ramified set  $\Sigma \supseteq \Sigma_p$  and Galois repn

$$\rho : \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(E)$$

for some  $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$ , with integers  $\mathfrak{O}$ , uniformizer  $\varpi$  and residue field  $\mathbb{F}$ . We formed the deformation space  $\mathcal{X}_\Sigma(\bar{\rho})$  when  $\bar{\rho}$  satisfies extra properties ( $\dagger$ ).

## §1 Kato's Iwasawa main conjecture and a reformulation

Motivationally, we introduce Kato's IMC using his zeta elements.

Advantage: This formulation does not require any ordinality condition at  $p$ .

- Iwasawa cohomology: Let  $T \subseteq V$  be a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable lattice of a Galois rep  $V$  of  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , of coefficient  $O_E$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} - T_{Iw} &:= T \otimes_{O_E} O_E[[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}/\mathbb{Q})]] \\ - H_{Iw}^i(T) &:= H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], T_{Iw}) \cong \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], T \otimes_{O_E} O_E[G_n]) \\ &= \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{Spn}, \frac{1}{p}], T) \end{aligned}$$

then this coincide with [Kato, Astérisque]'s  $H^i(T)$

- Kato's zeta element: Let  $f \in S_k(T_0(N), \epsilon)$  be an eigencuspform. Then Kato constructed  $\zeta_f^{Kato} \in H_{Iw}^1(T_f)$  that "related to the critical L-values of  $L(f, s)$ ".

- Kato's Iwasawa main conjecture (in [Kato, Astérisque, Conjecture 12.10]):

$$\text{char}_{\Lambda} H_{Iw}^2(T_f) \stackrel{?}{=} \text{char}_{\Lambda} H_{Iw}^1(T_f) / \Lambda_{Iw} \cdot \zeta_f^{Kato}$$

algebraic side

analytic side:  $\zeta_f^{Kato}$  is an algebraic avatar of L-values. (so name "zeta element")

Let  $S \supseteq \{p\}$  be any finite set of primes, actually what Kato really constructed in his Astérisque article is a "zeta morphism".

$$\zeta_{f, Iw, S}^{Kato}: T_{f, Iw}(-1)^+ \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], T_{f, Iw})$$

called the "S-imprimitive zeta morphism". Taking  $S = \{p\}$ ,  $\zeta_f^{Kato}$  is actually the image of a generator of  $T_{f, Iw}(-1)^+$  under  $\zeta_{f, Iw, \{p\}}^{Kato}$ .

Note : In [Xin Wan's ICTS 2022 Survey], Kato's INC is expressed in the following way :

(a) algebraic side : We define the strict Selmer group

$$\text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_n}^{\text{str}}(f) := \ker \left( H^1(\mathbb{Q}_n^\Sigma, \underbrace{V_f(-\frac{k-1}{2})}_{T_f(-\frac{k-1}{2})}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \neq p} \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{n,v}, \otimes)}{H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_{n,v}, \otimes)} \times H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{n,p}, \otimes) \right)$$

and  $\text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty}^{\text{str}}(f) := \varprojlim_n \text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_n}^{\text{str}}(f)^{\otimes}$ ,  $X_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty}^{\text{str}}(f) := \text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty}^{\text{str}}(f)^\vee$  ← Pontryagin dual

(b) analytic side :

$$H^1_{\text{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty^\Sigma, T_f(-\frac{k-2}{2})) := \varprojlim_n H^1(\mathbb{Q}_n^\Sigma, T_f(-\frac{k-2}{2})) \text{ a torsion-free } \underline{\text{rk one module}}$$

The Kato defined a zeta element

$$\zeta_{\text{Kato}} \in H^1_{\text{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty^\Sigma, T_f(-\frac{k-2}{2})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$$

that is "closely related" to the special value of L-functions. (an explicit reciprocity law)

Then Kato's Iwasawa main conjecture is as follows :

KIMC : (A)  $X_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty}^{\text{str}}(f)$  is a torsion  $\Lambda$ -module.

(B) If  $\text{im}(p_f) \geq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , then  $\zeta_{\text{Kato}} \in H^1_{\text{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty^\Sigma, T_f(-\frac{k-2}{2}))$

(C)  $\text{char}_{\Lambda} X_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty}^{\text{str}}(f) = \text{char}_{\Lambda} \left( \frac{H^1_{\text{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty^\Sigma, T_f(-\frac{k-2}{2}))}{\Lambda \cdot \zeta_{\text{Kato}}} \right)$

Problem : How to relate this version with the one in [Kato's Astérisque article] as above ?

Let  $\Sigma \supseteq \{p\}$  be the set of primes considered in Talk 01. In this seminar we can only touch the " $\Sigma$ -imprimitive INCs", though the reason is not easy to explain. We write  $\zeta_{f, \text{Iw}}^{\text{Kato}}$  for  $\zeta_{f, \text{Iw}, \Sigma}^{\text{Kato}}$  from now on.

Problem: How to formulate a "universal zeta morphism" on the entire universal deformation space?

(I think this is one of the three most nontrivial part of [Fouquet-Wan].)

- zeta morphism over deformation rings (by Nakamura or Colmez-Wang)
- Crystalline IMC (Wan)
- the formulation of fundamental lines (Fouquet).

This is the result of K. Nakamura:

Theorem (Nakamura 2022 Invent.) Under assumption  $(\star)^+$ , there exists a "zeta morphism"  $\mathcal{Z}_{\Sigma}^{\text{univ}}: T_{\Sigma}^{\text{univ}}(-1)^+ \longrightarrow H_{\text{\'et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{\Sigma}^{\text{univ}})$

s.t. for any modular points  $\lambda_g$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\Sigma}^{\text{univ}}(-1)^+ & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}_{\Sigma}} & H_{\text{\'et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{\Sigma}^{\text{univ}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_{f, Iw}(-1)^+ & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}_{f, Iw}^{\text{Kato}}} & H_{\text{\'et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{f, Iw}) \end{array}$$

With  $\mathcal{Z}_{\Sigma}^{\text{univ}}$ , we have zeta morphisms at any specialization  $\lambda: T_{\text{univ}}^{\Sigma} \rightarrow A$ ,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\Sigma, \lambda}: T_{\lambda}(-1)^+ \longrightarrow H_{\text{\'et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{\lambda}).$$

Next, we compute the trivialization of  $\mathcal{Z}_{\Sigma, \lambda}$  to see what could possibly happen. The computation relies on some further "technical assumptions" on the specialization of  $\lambda$ :

(A)  $R\Gamma_{\text{\'et}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{\lambda})$  is concentrated at degree 1 & 2. Then

$$\dots \longrightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{\det} R\Gamma_{\text{\'et}}^1(\dots) \xrightarrow{\det^1} R\Gamma_{\text{\'et}}^2(\dots) \xrightarrow{\det^2} 0 \longrightarrow \dots$$

$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$

$H_{\text{\'et}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{\lambda}) := \frac{\ker \det^1}{\text{im } \det^0} \qquad H_{\text{\'et}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{\lambda}) = \frac{\ker \det^2}{\text{im } \det^1}$

so we upgrade

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T_\lambda(-1)^+ & & \text{concentrated at degree 1} \\
 \downarrow z_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\text{upgrade}} & \downarrow z_\lambda \\
 H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_\lambda) & \xleftarrow{\text{taking coh.}} & \text{concentrated at degree 0} \\
 & & R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_\lambda)
 \end{array}$$

Then we compute the mapping cone of  $z_\lambda$ , then some magic comes out :

- Recall the definition of mapping cones : Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category and  $C(\mathcal{A})$  be the category of complexes. Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_{C(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y)$ , we define

$$\text{Cone}(f) := [ (\text{Cone}(f))^n := X^{n+1} \oplus Y^n, d_{\text{Cone}(f)}^n := \begin{pmatrix} -d_X^{n+1} & 0 \\ f^{n+1} & d_Y^n \end{pmatrix} ]$$

$\text{Cone}(z_\lambda)$  :

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \boxed{\text{deg } 0} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} d^\circ & \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ z_\Sigma & d_{\text{ét}}^0 \end{pmatrix} & \end{pmatrix}} \boxed{\text{deg } 1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} d^1 & \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{\text{ét}}^1 \end{pmatrix} & \end{pmatrix}} \boxed{\text{deg } 2} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} d^2 & \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{\text{ét}}^2 \end{pmatrix} & \end{pmatrix}} \boxed{\text{deg } 3} \\
 \oplus \qquad \qquad \qquad \oplus \qquad \qquad \qquad \oplus \qquad \qquad \qquad \oplus \\
 \boxed{R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}^0(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_\lambda)} \qquad \qquad \qquad \boxed{R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_\lambda)} \qquad \qquad \qquad \boxed{R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_\lambda)} \qquad \qquad \qquad \boxed{R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}^3(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_\lambda)} \\
 \text{II (A)} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{II (A)} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{II (A)} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{II (A)}$$

$$\text{Then } H^1(\text{Cone}(f)) = \frac{\ker d^\circ}{\text{im } d^\circ} = \frac{\ker d_{\text{ét}}^1}{\text{im } z_\Sigma + \text{im } d_{\text{ét}}^0} \simeq \frac{H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_\lambda)}{\text{im } (z_\Sigma)}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{\text{ét}}^1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ d_{\text{ét}}^1(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ z_\Sigma & d_{\text{ét}}^0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ z_\Sigma(\gamma) + d_{\text{ét}}^0(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{array} \right.$$

$$H^2(\text{Cone}(f)) = \frac{\ker d^2}{\text{im } d^1} = \frac{\ker d_{\text{ét}}^2}{\text{im } d_{\text{ét}}^1} = H^2_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_\lambda)$$

$$H^0(\text{Cone}(f)) = \frac{\ker d^0}{\text{im } d^{-1}} \stackrel{(A)}{=} \ker z_\Sigma . \quad \text{another annoying term.}$$

$$H^{i \geq 3 \text{ or } i < 0}(\text{Cone}(f)) = 0$$

(B) The cohomology of  $\text{cone}(f)$  is concentrated at degree  $[1, 2]$ .

Then under (A) and (B), we take  $\text{Det}(\text{cone}(f))$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Det}_A(\text{cone}(f)) &= \text{Det}_A^{-1} H^1(\text{cone}(f)) \cdot \text{Det}_A H^2(\text{cone}(f)) \\ &= \text{Det}_A^{-1} \left( \frac{H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_\lambda)}{\text{im } z_{\Sigma}} \right) \cdot \text{Det}_A H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

If we have Cone(f) is acyclic, then by (i)<sup>D</sup>

$$\text{Det}_A \left( \frac{H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_\lambda)}{\text{im } z_{\Sigma, \lambda}} \right) = \text{Det}_A H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_\lambda).$$

this can be regarded as the main conjecture at the specialization  $\lambda: R_\Sigma(\bar{p}) \rightarrow A$ .

For example,

- For an Iwasawa-theoretic modular point  $\lambda_{g, \text{Iw}}: R_\Sigma(\bar{p}) \rightarrow \Lambda_{\text{Iw}}$ ,

$$\text{Det}_{\Lambda_{\text{Iw}}} \left( \frac{H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{g, \text{Iw}})}{\text{im } z_{g, \text{Iw}}^{\text{Kato}}} \right) = \text{Det}_{\Lambda_{\text{Iw}}} H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{g, \text{Iw}})$$

||

$$\text{char}_{\Lambda_{\text{Iw}}}^{-1} \left( \frac{H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{g, \text{Iw}})}{\text{im } z_{g, \text{Iw}}^{\text{Kato}}} \right) = \text{char}_{\Lambda_{\text{Iw}}}^{-1} H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{g, \text{Iw}})$$

The lower line is a reformulation of Kato's Iwasawa main conjecture.

- For a modular point  $\lambda_g: R_\Sigma(\bar{p}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ , this is the Tanigawa number conjecture.
- For the universal point  $\lambda_{\text{id}}: R_\Sigma(\bar{p}) \rightarrow R_\Sigma(\bar{p})$ , this is the universal Iwasawa main conjecture.

Recall the definition of the trivialization map

$$\begin{aligned}\text{triv}_{z_{\Sigma, \lambda}}: \text{Det}_A^{-1} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_\lambda) \otimes \text{Det}_A T_\lambda(-1)^+[-1] &\rightarrow \text{Det}_A^{-1}(\text{cone}(f)) \\ &\quad \text{Det}_A^{-1} T_\lambda(-1)^+\end{aligned}$$

then the "main conjecture" claims that  $\text{triv}_{z_{\Sigma}, \lambda}$  gives an isomorphism

$$\text{triv}_{z_{\Sigma}, \lambda} : \text{Det}_A^{-1} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{\lambda}) \otimes_A \text{Det}_A^{-1} T_{\lambda}(-1)^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} A.$$

The left hand side is called the  $\Sigma$ -imprimitve fundamental line of the specialization  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $\Delta_A(T_{\lambda})$ . So the slogan of main conjecture is :

The zeta morphism trivializes the fundamental line. ★

Note : This computation is highly motivational but not accurate at many places. For example, we put extra (A) and (B), and actually we ignored the issue that "Det" is applicable : it can only be defined for perfect complexes.

→ We formulate the main conjecture on the "Iwasawa suitable points".  
(in the sense of Fouquet in his Besançon article)

Definition : Let  $\lambda : R_{\Sigma}(\bar{p}) \rightarrow A$  be a specialization with  $A$  a reduced ring, and write  $T_{\lambda} := T_{\Sigma(\bar{p})}^{\text{univ}} \otimes_{R_{\Sigma}(\bar{p}), \lambda} A$ . We say  $\lambda$  is Iwasawa suitable if the complex

$$\text{Cone}(z_{\Sigma, \lambda}) := \text{Cone}\left(T_{\lambda}(-1)^{+[-1]} \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}\left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{\lambda}\right)\right)$$

"induced from"  $z_{\Sigma, \lambda} : T_{\lambda}(-1)^{+[-1]} \rightarrow H^1_{\text{ét}}\left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\Sigma}], T_{\lambda}\right)$  is acyclic after extension of scalars to the total ring of quotient  $\mathbb{Q}(A)$  of  $A$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be a Iwasawa-suitable point, then the main conjecture is the existence of the commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{\Sigma}(T_{\lambda}) \otimes_A \mathbb{Q}(A) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{Q}(A) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \Delta_{\Sigma}(T_{\lambda}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & A \\ & \text{triv}_{z_{\Sigma}, A} & \end{array}$$

Finally we introduce a weaker version of the universal INC :

- Recall  $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}[[X_1, X_2, X_3]]$  and  $R_{\Sigma}^{\text{univ}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay of relative dimension zero over  $\Lambda$ , hence a free module of rank  $d$ .
- $T_{\Sigma}^{\text{univ}}$  is then a  $\Lambda[\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_\Sigma}]$ -module which is free of rank  $2d$  as a  $\Lambda$ -mod.

Define the fundamental line

$$\Delta_{\Lambda} := \text{Det}_{\Lambda}^{-1} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{\Sigma}\right], T_{\Sigma}^{\text{univ}}\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Det}_{\Lambda}^{-1} T_{\Sigma}(-1)^+$$

then due to some reasons (to be explained in Talk 3), we trivialize  $\mathbb{Z}_{\Sigma}^{\text{univ}}$  as

$$z_{\Sigma, \Lambda} : \Delta_{\Lambda} \otimes \text{Frac}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Frac}(\Lambda).$$

Conjecture (Universal Iwasawa main conjecture, in families) The universal  $\zeta$ -ta morphism  $\mathbb{Z}_{\Sigma}^{\text{univ}}$  induces an isomorphism

$$z_{\Sigma} : \Delta_{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda.$$

This is actually the correct "Universal Iwasawa main conjecture" in Theorem A of Talk 1.

Remark : In the classical setup of INC, there are the so called "lower bounds" and "upper bounds" of Selmer group. In this language of fundamental lines, there are also two sides of "divisibilities". They are hidden in the proof of Theorem A. (Have not fully understood this.)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Det}_{\Lambda, I_w} \left( \frac{H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{\Sigma}\right], T_{g, I_w})}{\text{im } z_{g, I_w}^{\text{Kato}}} \right) &\stackrel{?}{=} \text{Det}_{\Lambda, I_w} H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{\Sigma}\right], T_{g, I_w}) \\ &\quad \parallel \qquad \parallel \text{upper bound of Selmer groups} \\ \text{char}_{\Lambda, I_w}^{-1} \left( \frac{H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{\Sigma}\right], T_{g, I_w})}{\text{im } z_{g, I_w}^{\text{Kato}}} \right) &\stackrel{?}{\subseteq} \text{char}_{\Lambda, I_w}^{-1} H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{\Sigma}\right], T_{g, I_w}) \end{aligned}$$

Lower bounds : ([ Skinner-Urbano, Wan ])

$$\text{char}_{\Lambda_{Iw}} \left( \frac{H^1_{\text{\'et}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}], T_{g, Iw})}{\text{im } z_{g, Iw}^{\text{Kato}}} \right) \mid \text{char}_{\Lambda_{Iw}} H^2_{\text{\'et}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}], T_{g, Iw}) \iff z_{g, Iw}^{\text{Kato}}(\Delta_{g, Iw}) \subseteq \Lambda_{Iw}$$

Upper bounds : ("Kato")

$$\text{char}_{\Lambda_{Iw}} H^2_{\text{\'et}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}], T_{g, Iw}) \mid \text{char}_{\Lambda_{Iw}} \left( \frac{H^1_{\text{\'et}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}], T_{g, Iw})}{\text{im } z_{g, Iw}^{\text{Kato}}} \right) \iff z_{g, Iw}^{\text{Kato}}(\Delta_{g, Iw}^{-1}) \subseteq \Lambda_{Iw}$$

## § 2-4 Very short quick record on the notations

Let  $f \in S_k(T_0(N), \epsilon)$  as always, with coeff. field  $L \hookrightarrow E/\mathbb{Q}_p$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  motive  $M$ .

Let  $\chi \in \widehat{G_n} := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge$  be a character of  $G_n := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ .

We denote  $L_\chi := \text{ext'n of } \mathbb{Q} \text{ gen. by } L \text{ and image of } \chi$ .

Let  $p \neq p$  a prime of  $O_{L_\chi}$ , and  $E_\chi := \text{corresp. ext'n of } E$ .

$\rightsquigarrow M_\chi := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} h^0(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}_n)_\chi$ : the  $\chi$ -isotypic part of "M", over  $\mathbb{Q}_n$ .

Let  $1 \leq r \leq k-1$ ,  $M_\chi(r) := r\text{-times Tate twist of } M_\chi$ .

Then for all but finitely many  $(n, \chi)$ ,  $M_\chi(r)$  is "strictly critical" à la Kato.

## §2 Preparations on motives

Let  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}$  be a smooth projective variety,  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$\xrightarrow{\substack{\text{etale realization} \\ l \text{ prime}}} M_l := H^i_{\text{et}}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)(j) : \text{a Galois rep'n of } \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$

$$M := h^i(X)(j)$$

$P_p(T) := \begin{cases} \det(1 - Fr_p^{-1} \cdot T \mid M_l^{I_p}) & p \neq l \\ \det(1 - \phi T \mid D_{\text{cris}}(M_l)) & p = l \end{cases} \in \mathbb{Q}_l[T]$

Motive 原相

Betti  
realization  
現相

When  $X$  has good reduction at  $p$ ,  $P_p(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ , indep of  $l$ . Expected to be correct in general.

- $L(M, s) := \prod_p P_p(p^{-s})^{-1}$ , for  $\text{Re}(s)$  suff. large,  
with conjectural merom. continuation at  $s=0$

$$L(M, s) = L^*(M) s^{r(M)} + \dots$$

Main theme is to understand  $L^*(M) \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ ,  $r(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

de Rham  
realization

$M_B := H^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}(j))$  : complex conjugation & Hodge structure

- The Hodge structure on  $M_B$  is of weight  $i-2j$
- Complex conjugation  $\iota : X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(j) = (2\pi i)^j \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  via  $(-1)^j$ . Then the complex conj on  $M_B$  is the composition.

Denote  $M_B^+ :=$  fixed part by complex conjugation.

$M_{dR} := H^i_{dR}(X/\mathbb{Q})$  with a Hodge filtration

$$\text{Fil}^n M_{dR} := \text{im}\left(H^i(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{\geq n}) \rightarrow H^i(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}})\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^\bullet$  is the de Rham complex of  $X/\mathbb{Q}$ . The tangent space of  $M$  is defined as  $t(M) := M_{dR} / \text{Fil}^0 M_{dR}$ .

and together with comparison isomorphisms.

## §3 Fundamental lines

### 3.1 Modular motives

- Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(f) q^n \in S_k(\Gamma)$  be an eigenform with coeff  $L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and assume  $L \hookrightarrow E$ . Let  $M :=$  pure motive attached to  $f$ .
- Let  $\chi \in \widehat{G_n} := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge$  be a character of  $G_n$ .  
We denote  $L_\chi :=$  ext'n of  $\mathbb{Q}$  gen. by  $L$  and image of  $\chi$ .  
Let  $p \mid p$  a prime of  $O_{L_\chi}$ , and  $E_\chi :=$  corresp. ext'n of  $E$ .  
Then let  $h^0(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}_n) :=$  pure motive over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , with coefficient in  $L_\chi$ .  
let  $h^0(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}_n)_\chi :=$  direct summand of  $h^0(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}_n)$  that  $G_n$  acts through  $\chi$ .
- $M_\chi := M \times_{\mathbb{Q}} h^0(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}_n)_\chi$ , with realization of  $M_\chi$  is the corresp. realization of  $M$  with scalar extended from  $L$  to  $L_\chi$  together with an action of  $G_n$  thru  $\chi$ .

(a) algebraic side :  $S \cong \{p\}$ , and  $1 \leq r \leq k-1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\chi \in \widehat{G_n}$ .

- complex period map : let  $\text{per}_{\mathbb{C}}$  be the following composition

$$\text{Fil}^0 V_{\chi, \text{dR}}(r) \otimes_{L_\chi} \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow V_{\chi, \text{dR}}(r) \otimes_{L_\chi} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow[\text{Betti to deRham}]{} (V_{\chi, \mathbb{C}}(r) \otimes_{L_\chi} \mathbb{C})^+ \leftarrow V_{\chi, \mathbb{C}}(r-1) \otimes_{L_\chi} \mathbb{C}^+$$

$\text{per}_{\mathbb{C}}$

- $p$ -adic period map : let  $\text{per}_p^{-1}$  be the map of  $E_\chi$ -spaces

$$H^1_{\text{et}}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{S}\right], V_\chi(r)\right) \longrightarrow H^1\left(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n}), \mathbb{Q}_p), V_\chi(r)\right) \xrightarrow[\text{dual exp map}]{} \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}^0(V_\chi(r))$$

notation :  $p$ -adic realization  $\text{per}_p^{-1}$   
of  $M_\chi(r)$ .

Defn : We say  $M_X(r)$  is strictly critical if  $\text{per}_C$  and  $\text{per}_p^{-1}$  are bijective and  $H^i_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_X(r)) = 0$  for all  $i \neq 1$ . Conjectured to be automatic when  $\text{per}_C$  and  $\text{per}_p^{-1}$  are bijective.

Theorem :

(1)  $\text{per}_X$  is an isomorphism

(2) For all but finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\chi \in \widehat{G}_n$ ,  $H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_{\chi}(r))$  is of dimension one and  $\text{per}_p^{-1}$  is an isomorphism.

Hence for such  $n$  and  $\chi$ ,  $M_{\chi}(r)$  is strictly critical.

• Fundamental line : Apply Det to strictly critical period maps :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Det}_{E_X} H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_{\chi}(r)) \otimes_{E_X} \text{Det}_{E_X}^{-1} V_{\chi}(r-1)^+ & & (\text{Det}_C \text{Fil}^0 V_{\chi, \text{dR}}(r) \otimes_{L_X} \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\text{Det}_C^{-1} V_{\chi, C}(r-1)^+ \otimes_{L_X} \mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow \text{per}_p^{-1} \quad \uparrow \text{per}_p & \& \downarrow \text{per}_C \\ \text{Det}_{E_X} (\text{Fil}^0 V_{\chi, \text{dR}}(r) \otimes_{L_X} E_X) \otimes_{E_X} \text{Det}_{E_X}^{-1} V_{\chi}(r-1)^+ & & \downarrow \text{per}_C \\ & & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

Defn : The motivic fundamental line  $(\Delta_{\text{mot}}(M_{\chi}(r)), \text{per}_C, \text{per}_p)$  of strictly critical motivic  $M_{\chi}(r)$  is the one-dimensional  $L_X$ -space

$$\Delta_{\text{mot}}(M_{\chi}(r)) := \text{Det}_{L_X} \text{Fil}^0 V_{\chi, \text{dR}}(r) \otimes_{L_X} \text{Det}_{L_X}^{-1} V_{\chi, C}(r-1)^+$$

together with two isomorphisms

$$\text{per}_p : \Delta_{\text{mot}}(M_{\chi}(r)) \otimes_{L_X} E_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Det}_{E_X} H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_{\chi}(r)) \otimes_{E_X} \text{Det}_{E_X}^{-1} V_{\chi}(r-1)^+$$

$$\text{per}_C : \Delta_{\text{mot}}(M_{\chi}(r)) \otimes_{L_X} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$$

(b) Analytic side : We define the S-partial L-function  $L_S(M, \chi, s)$  to be the holomorphic complex function satisfying

$$L_S(M, \chi, s) := \prod_{l \notin S} (1 - a_l \chi(F_{l, \ell}) l^{-s} + \epsilon(l) \chi(F_{l, \ell}) l^{k-1-2s})^{-1}$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Re}(s) \gg 0$ .

Question : In this framework, how to link the algebraic side with analytic side ?

3.2 Zeta morphisms record analytic information algebraically!

By definition,  $V_\chi(r-1)^+$  and  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_\chi(r))$  are isomorphic as  $\mathbb{F}_X$ -vector space. Let

$$Z : V_\chi(r-1)^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_\chi(r))$$

be an isomorphism between them. Apply  $\text{Det}_{\mathbb{F}_X}$ :

$$\text{Det}_{\mathbb{F}_X}(Z) : \text{Det}_{\mathbb{F}_X} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_\chi(r)) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_X} \text{Det}_{\mathbb{F}_X}^{-1} V_\chi(r-1)^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}_X.$$

Let  $\Delta_{L,Z}(M_\chi)$  be the inverse image of  $L_\chi$  through this isomorphism.

Go to Iwasawa level, suppose there is a nonzero morphism

$$Z_{Iw} : T_{f,Iw}(-1)^+ \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], T_{f,Iw})$$

where  $T_{f,Iw} := T_f \otimes \Lambda_{Iw}$  for  $T_f$  a stable Galois-lattice inside  $V$ . Then for all  $n$  and  $\chi \in \widehat{G_n}$  in the strictly critical range,  $Z_{Iw}$  induces a nonzero morphism

$$Z_\chi : V_\chi(r-1)^+ \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_\chi(r))$$

that is an isomorphism.

Definition: A morphism  $Z$  is called

(1) motivic, if  $\Delta_{L,Z}(M_\chi) = \text{per}_p(\Delta_{\text{mot}}(M_\chi))$ .

(2) the  $S$ -partial zeta morphism of  $M_\chi$  if

$$\text{per}_c \left( \underbrace{\text{per}_p^{-1}(\text{Det}_{\mathbb{F}_X}(Z)^{-1}(1_{\mathbb{F}_X})) \otimes 1_{\mathbb{C}}}_{\in \Delta_{\text{mot}}(M_\chi(r)) \text{ since } Z \text{ is motivic}} \right) = L_S(M^{*(1)}, \chi^{-1}, -r) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

A morphism  $Z_{Iw}$  is the  $S$ -partial zeta morphism of  $T_{f,Iw}$  if  $Z_\chi$  is the  $S$ -partial zeta morphism for  $V_\chi$  for all  $\chi$  s.t.  $M_\chi$  is strictly critical.

Theorem of Kato : Let  $f$  corresponds to a classical point of  $\mathcal{X}_\Sigma(\bar{\rho})$ , then there exists a partial zeta morphism

$$z(f)_{S, Iw} : T_{f, Iw}(-1)^+ \longrightarrow H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], T_{f, Iw}).$$

Question : how to interpolate zeta morphisms  $z(f)_{S, Iw}$  as  $f$  varies on the universal deformation space ? This is a hard problem !

## §4 Main conjectures

### 4.1 Tamagawa number conjecture

The zeta morphism

$$z_{(f)}_{\chi,r} = \underline{z} : V_{\chi(r-1)}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_{\chi(r)})$$

induces a trivialization map by applying  $\text{Det}_{E_X}$ :

$$\text{triv}_{z(f)}_{\chi,r} := \text{Det}_{E_X}^{-1} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_{\chi(r)}) \otimes_{E_X} \text{Det}_{E_X}^{-1} V_{\chi(r-1)}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} E_X.$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{recall: } \text{Det}_{E_X} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_{\chi(r)}) &= \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Det}_{E_X}^{(-1)^i} H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_{\chi(r)}) \\ &= \text{Det}_{E_X}^{-1} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_{\chi(r)}), \text{ by strict criticality}) \end{aligned}$$

We define the  $p$ -adic fundamental line as a one-dim'l  $E_X$ -vector space

$$\Delta_{S,p}(M_{\chi(r)}) := \text{Det}_{E_X}^{-1} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_{\chi(r)}) \otimes_{E_X} \text{Det}_{E_X}^{-1} V_{\chi(r-1)}^+$$

together with a free rank-one  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module

$$\Delta_{S,p}(T_{f,\chi(r)}) := \text{Det}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{-1} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], T_{\chi(r)}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \text{Det}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{-1} T_{\chi(r-1)}^+$$

obtained by choosing a  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -lattice  $T_{\chi}$  inside  $V_{\chi}$ . It does not depend on the choice of  $T_{\chi}$ .

Tamagawa number conjecture (TNC) The  $S$ -partial zeta morphism of  $M_{\chi(r)}$

exists and  $\text{triv}_{z(f)}_{\chi,r} : \Delta_{S,p}(M_{\chi(r)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} E_X$  induces an isomorphism

$$\text{triv}_{z(f)}_{\chi,r} : \Delta_{S,p}(T_{\chi(r)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X.$$

In [Fouquet-Wan], this is always interpreted as the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{S,p}(M_{\chi(r)}) & \xrightarrow{\text{triv}_{z(f)}_{\chi,r}} & E_X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta_{S,p}(T_{\chi(r)}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{O}_X \end{array}$$

## 4.2 Iwasawa main conjecture

Similar to before, Kato provides us with the Iwasawa-theoretic zeta morphism

$$z(f)_{Iw} : T_{f, Iw}(-1)^+ \longrightarrow H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], T_{f, Iw})$$

Applying  $\text{Det}_\Lambda$  to both sides, we obtain the trivialization map

$$\text{triv}_{z(f)_{Iw}} : \text{Det}_\Lambda^{-1} H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], T_{f, Iw}) \otimes_\Lambda \text{Det}_\Lambda^{-1} T(f)_{Iw}(-1)^+ \hookrightarrow \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$$

Note : Unlike  $z(f)_{\chi, r}$ , here the Iwasawa-theoretic zeta morphism is not a priori an isomorphism. So  $\text{triv}_{z(f)_{Iw}}$  is not necessarily an isomorphism of  $\Lambda$ -module. Instead, we only know the inclusion above. (Descent to finite level  $n$  and together with a choice of  $\chi \in \widehat{G_n}$  and a choice of twist  $r$ , we indeed have isom "after inverting  $p$ ", i.e. for  $V_\chi(r-1)^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], V_\chi(r))$ .)

We define the Iwasawaic fundamental line as a free  $\Lambda$ -module of rk 1 :

$$\Delta(T(f)_{Iw}) := \text{Det}_\Lambda^{-1} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{S}], T_{f, Iw}) \otimes_\Lambda \text{Det}_\Lambda^{-1} T(f)_{Iw}(-1)^+$$

Then  $\text{triv}_{z(f)_{Iw}}$  gives a morphism

$$\text{triv}_{z(f)_{Iw}} : \Delta(T(f)_{Iw}) \otimes_\Lambda \text{Frac}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Frac}(\Lambda) \quad (\text{why?})$$

Iwasawa main conjecture (IMC) : The  $S$ -partial zeta morphism  $z(f)_{Iw}$  induces an isomorphism

$$\text{triv}_{z(f)_{Iw}} : \Delta(T(f)_{Iw}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda.$$

In other words, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta(T(f)_{Iw}) \otimes_\Lambda \text{Frac}(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\text{triv}_{z(f)_{Iw}}, \text{Frac}(\Lambda)} & \text{Frac}(\Lambda) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \Delta(T(f)_{Iw}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Lambda \end{array}$$

commutes.

Remark :  $f$  is ordinary @  $p$   $\Rightarrow$   $(L_p^{\text{cyc}}(f))^\wedge = \text{char}_{\lambda} \widetilde{H}_f^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma}, T(f)_{I_w})$

(INC)  $\xrightarrow{(\star)}$

$a_p(f) = 0 \Rightarrow$  Kobayashi's  $\pm$ -main conjecture.

Greenberg's Selmer group à la Nekovář.

Why  $(\star)$ ? There are two cases : for  $\pi(f|_p) := \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -rep

(1)  $\pi(f|_p)$  is in principal series : treated by [Kato, Astérisque 295].

(2)  $\pi(f|_p)$  is Steinberg : treated in [Colmez, Astérisque 294].