

构造函数缺失漏洞分析

构造函数缺失漏洞(Missing Constructor)此漏洞非常常见，可以说自智能合约产生以来就一直出现，由于新进开发者对 solidity 代码结构不熟悉造成的。本篇文章就来介绍一下漏洞的基本原理、表现形式以及对开发者的建议。

漏洞原理

1 什么是构造函数

Solidity编写合约和面向对象编程语言非常相似，我们可以通过构造函数（constructor）来初始化合约对象。构造函数就是方法名和合约名字相同的函数，创建合约时会调用构造函数对状态变量进行数据初始化操作。

When a contract is created, its **constructor** (a function with the same name as the contract) is executed once. A constructor is optional. Only one constructor is allowed, and this means overloading is not supported.

构造函数可用的函数类型为 public 或 internal，如果有 payable 修饰，就只能是 public 类型。而大部分人的写法都是 public 或者不写。不写类型则由函数可见性默认为 public 类型。同时，如果构造函数带参数，则一定要放在合约下的第一个函数。

```
pragma solidity 0.4.21;

contract Foo {
    function Foo() public {
        // ...
    }
}
```

合约结构的规范化写作可以让其他人更好地阅读，并且 constructor 和 fallback 函数要放在前面以便更好地查看。官方建议以如下顺序写作：

- constructor
- fallback function (if exists)
- external
- public
- internal
- private

合约结构的规范写法如下：

```
contract A {
    constructor() public {
        ...
    }

    function() external {
```

```

    ...
}

// External functions
// ...

// External functions that are view
// ...

// External functions that are pure
// ...

// Public functions
// ...

// Internal functions
// ...

// Private functions
// ...
}

```

2 构造函数缺失与危害

构造函数缺失则是由于构造函数与合约名字不同，而又为 public 类型，就变成了一个公有函数了，可以被任何人调用，一般构造函数比较敏感，用于初始化合约时定义通证数量、管理员地址等基本变量状态，一旦变成了公有函数，危害可想而知，权限控制、通证管理基本全线崩溃。

3 版本升级后构造函数的变化

从 0.4.22 版本开始，solidity 编译器引入了 constructors 关键字，以替代低版本的将合约名作为构造函数名的语法，避免程序员容易出现的编码错误。使用旧写法会出现 warning 信息。

新版本写法为：

```

contract Ownable {
    address public owner;
    address public admin;

    constructor() public {
        owner = msg.sender;
        admin = msg.sender;
    }

    // Other code
}

```

常见的漏洞代码形式

1 构造函数使用库合约名称，使得构造函数变成了公有函数，可被任意调用。

我们发现了不少这种错误写法的合约，在主合约中，构造函数写成了 Token() 或 ERC20Token()。这样子的函数非构造函数，变成了普通函数了。

如 DealGuard 合约: <https://etherscan.io/address/0xb47c5e8f389dc1fa8247c9a4b9e5dc79754152#code>

```
contract DealGuard {
    // Public variables
    string public name;
    string public symbol;
    uint256 public totalSupply;
    address public owner;
    uint8 public decimals = 12; // 18 decimals

    // This creates an array with all balances
    mapping (address => uint256) public balanceOf;
    mapping (address => mapping (address => uint256)) public allowance;

    // This generates a public event on the blockchain that will notify clients
    event Transfer(address indexed from, address indexed to, uint256 value);

    // This notifies clients about the amount burnt
    event Burn(address indexed from, uint256 value);

    // This notifies clients about the emission amount
    event tokenEmission(address indexed from, uint256 value);

    /**
     * Constrctor function
     *
     * Initializes contract with initial supply tokens to the creator of the contract
     */
    function Token() public {
        totalSupply = 10000000000 * 10 ** uint256(decimals); // Update total supply
with the decimal amount
        name = 'Deal Guard Token'; //
Set the name for display purposes
        symbol = 'DG'; // Set the
symbol for display purposes
        balanceOf[msg.sender] = totalSupply; // Give
the creator all initial tokens
        owner = msg.sender; //
Contract owner
    }
}
```

2 合约名与构造函数名不同, 如大小写不同、拼写错误等, 使得构造函数变成了公有函数, 可被任意人调用。

只能说开发者太大意, 对智能合约开发有什么误解吧。经常看到的漏洞形式是合约名与构造函数不同, 只与 name 变量名相同, 估计是开发者以为合约名相同就行了, 却忽略了构造函数。

如 ReaperCoin11 合约: <https://etherscan.io/address/0x1b7cd071187ec0b2995b96ee82296cfa639572f1#code>

```
contract ReaperCoin11{
    /* Public variables of the token */
    string public standard = 'Token 0.1';
    string public name;
```

```

string public symbol;
uint8 public decimals;
uint256 public initialSupply;
/* This creates an array with all balances */
mapping (address => uint256) public balanceOf;
mapping (address => mapping (address => uint256)) public allowance;
/* Initializes contract with initial supply tokens to the creator of the contract */
function Reaper11() {
    initialSupply = 2800000;
    name = "ReaperCoin11";
    decimals = 2;
    symbol = "RPCT";
    balanceOf[msg.sender] = initialSupply;           // Give the creator all initial
tokens
    uint256 totalSupply = initialSupply;             // Update total supply
}

```

还有这个 Krypticoi 合约，乍一看看不出来，仔细瞅瞅，再仔细瞅瞅，原来 coin 拼错了呀。

```

contract Krypticoi {
    /* Public variables of the token */
    string public standard = 'Token 0.1';
    string public name;
    string public symbol;
    uint8 public decimals;
    uint256 public initialSupply;

    /* This creates an array with all balances */
    mapping (address => uint256) public balanceOf;
    mapping (address => mapping (address => uint256)) public allowance;

    /* Initializes contract with initial supply tokens to the creator of the contract */
    function Krypticion() {

        initialSupply = 500000;
        name = "krypticoi";
        decimals = 0;
        symbol = "P";

        balanceOf[msg.sender] = initialSupply;       // Give the creator all initial
tokens
        uint256 totalSupply = initialSupply;         // Update total supply
    }
}

```

之前知道创宇发布了关于 `owned` 大小写编码漏洞的文章。同时，我们也可以找一些类似的容易大小写错误的库合约，如 `ownable`。不过经过我们的分析，还是以 `owned` 大小写错误为主。

如 MORPH 合约：<https://etherscan.io/address/0x2ef27bf41236bd859a95209e17a43fbd26851f92#code>

```
contract Owned {
    address public owner;

    function owned() public {
        owner = msg.sender;
    }
}
```

3 constructor 前加了 function，或者加了 function 然后开头的 C 写成了大写，即 `function Constructor() public {}`，使得构造函数变成了公有函数，可被任意人调用。

版本升级后的构造函数只需要单独使用 constructor 即可，但很多开发者却忽略了此细节。上面这个写法错误在于两点：

1) 加入了 function，使得构造函数变成了普通函数。这时编辑器中会报 warning 信息。

```
browser/...sol:407:5: Warning: This function is named "constructor" but is not the constructor of the contract.
function constructor{
^ (Relevant source part starts here and spans across multiple lines).
```

但是有开发者忽了此警告，写出了错误代码。

如 MDOT 合约：<https://etherscan.io/address/0xef7d906fd1c0eb5234df32f40c6a1cb0328d7279#code>

```
function constructor() public {
    totalSupply = 5000000000000; // Set the total supply (in base units)
    balances[0xbfc729007CE9CBBE54132Fb9BFa097D80AAC791C] = 5000000000000; //
Initially assign the entire supply to the specified account
}
```

1) 但是如果将 `constructor` 错写成了 `Constructor`，使得编辑器识别其为普通函数名，没有任何 warning 信息。

6. > Constructor → Write

如 TOGToken 合约：<https://etherscan.io/address/0xb9d5c2548266428795fd8b1f12aedbdeb417fe54#code>

```
contract Owned {
    address public owner;
    address public newOwner;

    event OwnershipTransferred(address indexed _from, address indexed _to);

    function Constructor() public { owner = msg.sender; }

    modifier onlyOwner {
        require(msg.sender == owner);
        _;
    }

    function transferOwnership(address _newOwner) public onlyOwner {
```

```
        newOwner = _newOwner;
    }
    function acceptOwnership() public {
        require(msg.sender == newOwner);
        emit OwnershipTransferred(owner, newOwner);
        owner = newOwner;
        newOwner = address(0);
    }
}
```

修复方案

使用新版本写法，并且检查句式、拼写的正确性。

漏洞影响范围

通过我们对以太坊上的合约进行整体监测，发现有此漏洞的竟多达 3000 份，大小写编码错误的约有 20 份。其中不乏正在使用中的合约。

414	constructor	0x0
415	Telegraph	0x9
416	Value	0x6
417	Withdraw	0xb
418	ERC20Symbol	0x1
419	ERC20TotalSupply	0x7
420	ERC20BalanceOf	0x9
421	ERC20Transfer	0x2
422	ERC20Approve	0xf7
423	CardRank	0x8
424	CardSuit	0x2
425	CardFromInt	0xc
426	CardFromInt	0x6
427	CardFromInt	0x0
428	CardFromInt	0x5
429	CardFromInt	0xcd
430	CardFromInt	0xe
431	CardFromInt	0x2
432	CardFromInt	0x5
433	CardFromInt	0x0
434	CardFromInt	0x8
435	CardFromInt	0xb
436	CardFromInt	0x8
437	CardFromInt	0x9
438	CardFromInt	0x0
439	CardFromInt	0xA
440	CardFromInt	0x9
441	CardFromInt	0x1
442	CardFromInt	0xb
443	CardFromInt	0x2
444	CardFromInt	0x8
445	CardFromInt	0x5
446	CardFromInt	0x0
447	CardFromInt	0xa
448	CardFromInt	0xa
449	CardFromInt	0xb

如需要对合约检测、审计可以与我们联系。

资料

<https://solidity.readthedocs.io/en/latest/contracts.html?highlight=constructor#creating-contracts>
<https://solidity.readthedocs.io/en/latest/style-guide.html?highlight=constructor#order-of-functions>
<https://ethereum.stackexchange.com/questions/30223/should-the-constructor-function-be-public>
<http://solidity.readthedocs.io/en/develop/contracts.html?highlight=private#visibility-and-getters>
https://github.com/trailofbits/not-so-smart-contracts/tree/master/missing_constructor

附录

下面两个合约存在上面提到的第三种漏洞类型，我们发现这两个合约目前仍在大量使用中，于是申请到了 CVE 编号。

EUX Link Token (EUX) 0xf55a32f0107523c14027c4a1e6177cd7291395a0 CVE-2018-14406

UEX Cloud (UEX) 0xd7290307c040f4089f8650b7f7aac3cfe39cd6bd CVE-2018-14407

厂商信息: <https://www.crunchbase.com/organization/uex#section-overview>

Website www.uexglobal.com/ Contact Email contact@uexglobal.com Phone Number +65 3158 3677

关于玄猫安全



玄猫区块链安全实验室专注区块链安全领域，致力于提供区块链行业最专业的安全解决方案，团队成员来自于百度、阿里、360等国际顶尖安全团队，已为数十家交易所、电子钱包、智能合约等提供基础安全建设、渗透测试、漏洞挖掘、应急响应等安全服务。

玄猫安全实验室提供专业权威的智能合约审计服务、区块链专项应用评估、区块链平台安全评估等多项服务。

商务合作: Lyon.chen@xuanmao.org