AM01 Applied Statistics with R

Autumn 2021

Final Group Assignment Rubric

Code	Excellent	Good	Needs work	Point
Code	65	43	21	
	Code is well-documented (both self-documented and with additional comments as necessary). Your coding style conforms to https://style.tidyverse.org/	Easy to follow (both the code, its	Code is poorly written and not documented. You used base R extensively, rather than tidyverse. Few, if any, comments	
Data Viualisation Visual Design	Excellent	Good	Needs work	
	6 5	43	21	
	Visualizations are informative, insightful, and visually appealing.	Visualizations are straightforward and provide some insight into the data.	Limited attempts to visualize the data. Elements of the visualisation are confusing to your intended audience.	
Analysis- Model comparison	Excellent 65	Good 43	Needs work 21	
	Solid comparison of several models and thorough analysis of the performance (R2 + RMSE) of the final model.	Some comparison of different models and some analysis of the performance of the model, comparing not just R2, but RMSE of train-test data	Missing either a comparison of different models or an analysis of the performance of the model. No RMSE calculations and discussion	
Correctness of results	Excellent	Good	Needs work	
	65	43	21	
	Results are correct, with no flaws; some of the analyses were also technically challenging	Results are generally correct, with one major flaw or many minor flaws	Many flaws in the analysis; results are unreliable	
Submission Organisation	Excellent	Good	Needs work	
	6 5	43	21	
	You included all your code and output in an R Markdown document that was knitted to HTML, PDF or docx. The output in the final document does not include warnings or messages. You pushed your work to Github, and have your executive summary in the README.md file		You did not include your code in an R Markdown document. You submitted an unknitted Rmd document. You didn't bother with Github	

Total Marks out of 30 0.0