

Interpretations

Nuriya Nurgalieva, Simon Mathis, L dia del Rio, and Renato Renner

Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH Z rich, 8049 Z rich, Switzerland

February 14, 2022

By the interpretation here we understand a particular way in which agents apply a theory to yield predictions about other agents' outcomes. It is only measurement model-specific, and shows how agents model measurements.

Copenhagen interpretation. We have already shown an example of an application of the Copenhagen interpretation in the analysis we have conducted when describing the paradox in Frauchiger-Renner thought experiment. This result is derived under following assumptions:

1. (Q) Agents use a weaker version of Born rule, applicable only to deterministic outcomes, to formulate their predictions;
2. (S) Agents experience only one outcome;
3. (C) Agents reason from viewpoints of each other;
4. (U) Agents assume unitary evolution in each others labs.

That is, agents model the evolution of the joint system as a unitary, taking into account the superposition.

Further discussion on the assumptions can be found in [1, 2].

Collapse theory. Collapse theory, as it is modeled by agents, is represented as a tree of possible outcomes (each branch corresponding to a certain probability), implemented as a class *QuantumTree* to keep track of possible branches with their respective probabilities. Each branch of the *QuantumTree* is a *QuantumSystem* instance, corresponding to a possible outcome state.

References

- [1] Frauchiger, D. & Renner, R. Quantum theory cannot consistently describe the use of itself. *Nature Communications* **9**, 3711 (2018).
- [2] Nurgalieva, N. & del Rio, L. Inadequacy of modal logic in quantum settings. *EPCTS* **287**, 267–297 (2019). [arXiv:1804.01106](#).