# **Data Wrangling for WeRateDogs Datasets**

Author: Xueyun Zhou

This report summarizes the processes of data wrangling for the three WeRateDogs Datasets.

## 1. Gathering data

Three pieces of data as follows are gathered:

- 1) the WeRateDogs Twitter archive, saved as df enhanced;
- 2) the tweet image predictions, saved as df image;
- 3) each tweet's retweet count and favorite ("like") count from the Twitter API, saved as df json.

## 2. Assessing data

#### 2.1 Quality issues

#### 2.1.1 df\_enhanced

- (1) Some "rating denominator"s are not 10.
- (2) The values for "doggo", "floofer", "pupper", and "puppo" are not the Boolean type but showed as the column names themselves.
- (3) There are observations whose "retweeted\_status\_id" are not NaN, so they are retweets.
- (4) The data type of "tweet\_id", "rating\_numerator", and "rating\_denominator" is int64 and that of "timestamp" is str.
- (5) Some values of "rating" numerator" are unusual (420, 666, 960, 1776, etc.).
- (6) There are zeros in "rating denominator".
- (7) There are too much missing data for the "doggo", "floofer", "pupper", and "puppo" columns.

### 2.1.2 df image

- (8) The values of "img\_num" for some observations do not represent the images with the highest confidence.
- (9) The data type of "tweet\_id" is int64.
- (10) The "img num" of some observations is 4, while there is no data for the 4th image.
- (11) There are 2356 observations in df\_enhanced, but 2075 in df\_image, so there are tweets in df\_enhanced which do not have images.
- 2.1.3 df json
- (12) The data type of "id" is int64.

#### 2.2 Tidiness issues

- (13) The column name of the tweet ID in df\_json is different from that in df\_enhanced and df image.
- (14) There are too many unrelated columns collected in df\_json.
- (15) The "source" column is in a html formatting.
- (16) The columns "in\_reply\_to\_status\_id" and "in\_reply\_to\_user\_id" in df\_enhanced are useless. The columns "retweeted\_status\_id", "retweeted\_status\_user\_id", and "retweeted\_status\_timestamp" are useless after the retweets are deleted.
- (17) The three datasets should be merged.

## 3. Cleaning data

#### 3.1 Issue (13), (14)

Remove all columns for df\_json\_copy except "id", "favorite\_count", and "retweet\_count". Change the column name of "id" to "tweet\_id".

#### 3.2 Issue (3)

Delete retweets.

#### 3.3 Issue (4), (9), (12)

Change the data type of "tweet id" in three datasets to string.

Change the data type of "timestamp" to pandas datetime.

Change the data type of "rating numerator" and "rating denominator" to float.

#### 3.4 Issue (15)

Delete the html contents in "source".

#### 3.5 Issue (16)

Delete the columns "in\_reply\_to\_status\_id", "in\_reply\_to\_user\_id", "retweeted\_status\_id", "retweeted status user id", and "retweeted status timestamp".

#### 3.6 Issue (2), (7)

Gather information of the stage from "text".

Reassign the stage to "doggo", "floofer", "pupper", "puppo" as Boolean type.

#### 3.7 Issue (1), (5), (6)

Check the unusual rating denominator and rating numerator, and update based on "text".

### 3.8 Issue (8), (10)

Create a column in df\_image\_copy for the dog type based on confidence columns and "is dog" columns.

Delete useless columns.

## 3.9 Issue (11), (17)

Merge 3 datasets. The df\_enhanced\_copy and df\_image\_copy should be merged by inner to remove tweets without images.

After cleaning and merging, the clean dataset is ready for storing, analysing, and visualizing.