#### Minutes

Location: Shed

Date: Tuesday 3rd October Not In Attendance: N/A

Attendance: Daniel Knox, Daniel Carl Beauchamp, Dharius Robinson, Natalie Mclaren

# What's Been Done since the Previous Meeting:

N/A

#### Topics discussed:

## • ConOps:

- Dan Knox We need to come up with scenarios from the ConOps list how each user would interact with it i.e. when its first being installed by a maintenance person. If it functions, what should we expect from it.
- Dan Knox going over our ConOps list:
  - Re:point 5 'environment in which system is set in':
    - obstacles with building street level as things will get in the way
      of data being sent/signal will get weaker. The ground itself
      might get in the way unless sensor is high up.
    - Regarding large areas and lakes works well for antennas but in the middle of nowhere. Plus trees can also interfere when sending data.

## • Lego model:

 We emphasise our interest in creating a model as well as getting the system to work with the sensors and data.

#### Database:

 Dan Knox suggests we use Influx DB - stores data routinely and focuses on timestamps. Handles data over time.

#### Monitoring:

- Dan Knox asks about how the monitoring will work. DCB explains it will be a case if 'if condition is detected then start it up else monitor at a intervals'.
- Daniel B asks what other data gathering projects we can look at for some guidance.
  - Dan Knox suggests the Oxford Flood Network project.
    - Uses ultrasonics
    - Repo online: <a href="https://github.com/oxfloodnet">https://github.com/oxfloodnet</a>
- Dan Knox asks whether we are looking to just be making API requests or whether we'll be wanting some actuators based on data received?

- Dan Knox talks about getting I.P ratings the first number represents water, and the second dust.
- Dan Knox suggests we look into the environmental agency and how they collect water levels etc.
  - Re:point 6 boundaries of the system the point about recharging/replacing batteries:
    - Could check internally whether its time to replace or not.
- Dan Knox emphasises "communication is going to be a big issue" between sensor due to signals in town.
- Brainstorm based on this meeting/things to do:
  - Functional Requirements:
    - High Level
      - Nest down to lower levels
    - List components that will work to achieve these requirements
  - Write usage scenarios
  - High overview design
  - Technology and hardware to be used?:
    - Radio based?
      - Will be slow or interrupted in building and street areas (radio waves work on line of sight - can't go through the ground)
        - Add extra relays that are higher up?
  - GSM networks?
    - o Rivers are not likely to have GSM networks to use
  - Different types of sensors for different locations?
  - Consumption of data
    - Our Use of API that is requested?
  - Solar?
  - Shower proof? Or higher waterproofing (IP rating cases)
  - Methods of Anomaly detection
    - Compare individual reading against the mass to decide if it should be ignored
  - Use Environmental agency water level readings (we can guery this)
  - Send battery level with data readings?
  - InfluxDB (Focused on timestamps data)
    - Good for handling data over time
    - o E.g. Keep values for once per minute for the next two weeks.
  - Look at existing "Oxford flood network project" to get an idea of how other solutions have been achieved.

# What's Being Done:

For next meeting on Tuesday - agreed to have scenarios ready along with functional requirements.

# **Further Discussion:**