Minutes

Location: Shed

Date: Tuesday 10th October Not In Attendance: N/A

Attendance: Daniel Knox, Daniel Carl Beauchamp, Dharius Robinson, Natalie Mclaren

What's Been Done since the Previous Meeting:

<Natalie>: Scenarios & some functional requirements <Dharius>: Scenarios & some functional requirements

<Daniel>: Some functional requirements

Topics discussed:

- Design stages Daniel K provides us with a sheet containing explanations of different design stages we should go through.
 - o System Design:
 - Based on functional requirements.
 - Broken down components and how they interact with each other.
 - Flow chart
 - Architectural Design:
 - Based on System Design i.e.
 - For the sensor component which ones are we using?
 Ultrasonic etc.
 - What kind of data will be sent?
 - What format? Are we using binary, json?
 - Work on integration tests.
 - What happens at long distance?
 - Is it stable enough (network)?
 - Integration Testing
 - Could be test cases at this stage i.e. testing wifi in canterbury.
 - Module Design:
 - Looking into the low level sensors what is it doing? Is it taking a reading? Different stages of operation:
 - Reading
 - Calibration
 - Etc.. (all stages of each component?)
 - Handling failures (communication requests) what happens?
 - Keep asking constantly? (uses a lot of power)
 - Integrate jitters so that it is somewhat random?
 - Evaluating each single component from the Architectural stage.
 - Pseudo code.
- Daniel B mentions LoRaWan and the possibility of using it.

- Daniel K confirms it is available for us to use. Gateway side is done by them, we need to do the rest.
- Daniel K explains Architectural design example of LoRaWan:
 - Which chips to consider?
 - Micro chip 1
 - One using SPI
- Daniel B mentions using Arduinos as we all have one and will be easier to set up.
 - Very basic processor chips, so can be difficult to prototype with complex(ish) instructions.
- Daniel K explains it's not impossible but might be some downsides depending on which we use.
- Daniel K talks about documentation at this stage it should be easy for everyone to read
- To consider: what happens when we do get a signal in town but nothing is sending because of congestion?
 - Maybe setting up a Watchdog timer reset after not completing instruction after expected time.
- Daniel K informs us of alternative communication options:
 - o Iridium
- Daniel K "rethink functional reqs" really think about how many of such a component you realistically need or how often you need to use it.
- Daniel K suggests we look into Maxbotix for ultrasonic sensors.
- Daniel K talks about watertight issues we may encounter:
 - Not so much with water proof but shower proof if we mount ultrasonic.
 - o Or what happens if it falls in the water?
- Debugging could have some sort of SD card on it to log data in a txt file (Blackbox idea).
- Daniel K reviews our scenarios suggests the first set of scenarios are better formatted/not as technical.

What's Being Done:

Meeting up on Thursday to work on the Systems Design stage together, and then delegating work for further design stages based on Systems Design.

Further Discussion:

How many sensors do we need to get a good picture of the state of water levels within a given area?

Design stage will require diagrams (Architecture decisions) including the sensor, power source, relay(?), detector (for the network availability?).

LoRaWAN is available in the shed (setup gateways) - XB port module version done by Dan K.