

# DSP Homework 07

Xu, Minhuan

October 13, 2022

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Pros and Cons of Shannon/Nyquist sampling method</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Positive . . . . .	1
1.2	Negative . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>2</b>

## Abstract

## 1 Pros and Cons of Shannon/Nyquist sampling method

### 1.1 Positive

#### Ideal and easy to understand

In Shannon/Nyquist sampling method, we only consider things that are ideal. Like  $\delta(t)$ , and the ideal LPF. It is mathematically simple and friendly to beginners.

#### Easy to calculate

Using Fourier series and Fourier transform, we can easily find the distribution of energy on the frequency domain since the  $\delta$  function has nice special properties.

#### No Error

Through calculation, we know that if we make  $f_s$  and  $f_c$  have proper values, a perfectly the same frequency spectrum can be reconstructed. This is good because we don't need to worry about making mistake through communication.

#### Easy to Reconstruct

Assuming that we have a sampled signal, all we should do is to find the bandwidth, and to let the sampled signal pass a LPF. Then, a perfectly reconstructed signal is complete.

### 1.2 Negative

#### Non-existent signal

Though the sampling progress is theoretically easy to carry out, the  $\delta$  function is not so easy to generate. Mathematically, it has infinite energy in *one* period. According to the law of conservation of energy, we can't make a signal like the  $\delta(t)$ , see (1).

$$E = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T \rightarrow \infty \quad (1)$$

### **Waste of bandwidth**

In practical usage, engineers cannot find a ideal LPF, especially which has infinite differential (has a sharp drop). All we can find is those which have smooth differential, and LPF like these will occupy more bandwidth of channels. Then, because

$$f_c < f_s - W$$

Therefore, the sampling frequency  $f_s$  need to be larger which is not good.

## **2 Conclusion**