

JOCELYNÉ, LI

ID: Z61178604

MATH323 ~ A2

## Numbers from the 7th Edition

#	9.3	9.7	9.17	9.18	9.33	9.36	9.39	9.41	9.65	9.86	9.90	9.112
Page	447	447	456	456	458	458	463	463	471	482	482	487

- 9.3** Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  denote a random sample from the uniform distribution on the interval  $(\theta, \theta + 1)$ . Let

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{Y} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\theta}_2 = Y_{(n)} - \frac{n}{n+1}.$$

- a Show that both  $\hat{\theta}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2$  are unbiased estimators of  $\theta$ .
- b Find the efficiency of  $\hat{\theta}_1$  relative to  $\hat{\theta}_2$ .

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$$

a) ① For  $\hat{\theta}_1$

$$E[\hat{\theta}_1] = E\left(\bar{Y} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right) = E[\bar{Y}] - \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\text{Since } Y_i \sim U(\theta, \theta+1), \text{ we know: } E[Y_i] = \frac{\theta + (\theta+1)}{2} = \theta + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$E[\bar{Y}] = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[Y_i] = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \theta + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$E[\hat{\theta}_1] = \left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{n} = \theta$$

→  $\hat{\theta}_1$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$

② For  $\hat{\theta}_2$  \* determine  $E[Y_{(n)}]$  where  $Y_{(n)}$  is the maximum order statistic

→ find PDF of  $Y_{(n)}$

$$\rightarrow \text{CDF: } F_{Y_{(n)}}(y) = P(Y_{(n)} \leq y) = P(Y_1 \leq y) P(Y_2 \leq y) \dots P(Y_n \leq y) = (y - \theta)^n \quad \text{for } \theta \leq y \leq \theta + 1$$

$$\text{Since } Y_i \text{'s are iid from } U(\theta, \theta+1) \rightarrow P(Y_i \leq y) = \frac{y - \theta}{1} \quad \text{for } \theta \leq y \leq \theta + 1$$

$$\text{PDF: } f_{Y_{(n)}}(y) = \frac{d}{dy} (y - \theta)^n = n(y - \theta)^{n-1}, \theta \leq y \leq \theta + 1$$

$$E[Y_{(n)}] = \int_0^{\theta+1} y \cdot f_{Y_{(n)}}(y) dy = \int_0^{\theta+1} y \cdot n(y - \theta)^{n-1} dy = \int_0^1 (t + \theta) n t^{n-1} dt = n \int_0^1 (\theta t^{n-1} + t^n) dt = n \theta \left[ \frac{t^n}{n} \right]_0^1 + n \left[ \frac{t^{n+1}}{n+1} \right]_0^1$$

*Let  $t = y - \theta$ , so  $dy = dt$*

$$= \theta \cdot 1 + \frac{n}{n+1} = \theta + \frac{n}{n+1}$$

$$E[\hat{\theta}_2] = E\left[Y_{(n)} - \frac{n}{n+1}\right] = \left(\theta + \frac{n}{n+1}\right) - \frac{n}{n+1} = \theta$$

→  $\hat{\theta}_2$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$

b) Efficiency =  $\frac{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2)}{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1)}$  for uniform distribution  $U(\theta, \theta+1)$ ,  $\text{Var}(Y_i) = \frac{(1-\theta)^2}{12} = \frac{1}{12}$  →  $\text{Var}(\bar{Y}) = \frac{1}{12n}$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1) = \text{Var}(\bar{Y} - \frac{1}{n}) = \text{Var}(\bar{Y}) = \frac{1}{12n}$$

\* subtracting constant doesn't affect variance

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2) = \text{Var}\left(Y_{(n)} - \frac{n}{n+1}\right) = \text{Var}(Y_{(n)}) \cdot E[Y_{(n)}^2] - (E[Y_{(n)}])^2$$

$$\rightarrow \text{for } E[Y_{(n)}^2] \rightarrow \int_0^{\theta+1} y^2 f_{Y_{(n)}}(y) dy = \int_0^{\theta+1} y^2 n(y - \theta)^{n-1} dy = \int_0^1 (t + \theta)^2 n t^{n-1} dt = n \int_0^1 (t^2 + 2\theta t + \theta^2) t^{n-1} dt$$

$$= n \left[ \theta^2 \int_0^1 t^{n-1} dt + 2\theta \int_0^1 t^n dt + \int_0^1 t^{n+1} dt \right] = n \left[ \theta^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n} + 2\theta \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} \right]$$

$$= \theta^2 + \frac{2n\theta}{(n+1)} + \frac{n}{(n+2)}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{for } E[Y_{(n)}]^2 \rightarrow (\theta + \frac{\eta}{n+1})^2 = \theta^2 + \frac{2n\theta}{n+1} + \frac{\eta^2}{(n+1)^2}$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2) = E[Y_{(n)}^2] - (E[Y_{(n)}])^2 = \left(\theta^2 + \frac{2n\theta}{n+1} + \frac{\eta^2}{n+2}\right) - \left(\theta^2 + \frac{2n\theta}{n+1} + \frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2}\right) = \frac{n(n+1)^2 - n^2(n+2)}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} = \frac{n(n^2+2n+1) - n^2(n+2)}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} = \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}$$

**9.7** Suppose that  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  denote a random sample of size  $n$  from an exponential distribution with density function given by

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} (1/\theta)e^{-y/\theta}, & 0 < y, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

$\swarrow$  minimum order stat

In Exercise 8.19, we determined that  $\hat{\theta}_1 = nY_{(1)}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  with  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_1) = \theta^2$ . Consider the estimator  $\hat{\theta}_2 = \bar{Y}$  and find the efficiency of  $\hat{\theta}_1$  relative to  $\hat{\theta}_2$ .

$\swarrow$  sample mean

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_2) = \theta^2$$

Since  $\hat{\theta}_1$  is unbiased  $\rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1) = \theta^2$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2) = \text{Var}(\bar{Y}) = \text{Var}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Y_i}{n}\right] = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \text{Var}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right] = \frac{1}{n^2} n \cdot \text{Var}(Y_i) = \frac{\theta^2}{n}$$

$$\text{Efficiency} = \frac{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2)}{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1)} = \frac{\theta^2/n}{\theta^2} = \frac{1}{n}$$

**9.17** Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  are independent random samples from populations with means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  and variances  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$ , respectively. Show that  $\bar{X} - \bar{Y}$  is a consistent estimator of  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{By linearity of expectation: } E[\bar{X} - \bar{Y}] &= E[\bar{X}] - E[\bar{Y}] \\ &= \mu_1 - \mu_2 \quad (\text{sample means}) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow E[\bar{X} - \bar{Y}]$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$

$$\text{Using independence property: } \text{Var}(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) = \text{Var}(\bar{X}) + \text{Var}(\bar{Y}) = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n} = \frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}{n}$$

$$\text{Checking consistency: } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) = \frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}{n} = 0$$

Since variance approaches 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\bar{X} - \bar{Y}$  is a consistent estimator

$\Rightarrow \bar{X} - \bar{Y}$  is a consistent estimator of  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$

**9.18** In Exercise 9.17, suppose that the populations are normally distributed with  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \sigma^2$ . Show that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{2n - 2} = S^2$$

is a consistent estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

$$E\left[\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right] = \sigma^2 \rightarrow E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right] = (n-1)\sigma^2$$

$$E\left[\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2\right] = \sigma^2 \rightarrow E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2\right] = (n-1)\sigma^2$$

$$E[S^2] = E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{2n-2}\right] = E\left[\frac{(n-1)\sigma^2 + (n-1)\sigma^2}{2n-2}\right] = \frac{(2n-2)\sigma^2}{2n-2} = \sigma^2 \Rightarrow S^2 \text{ is an unbiased estimator of } \sigma^2$$

Prove consistency:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(S^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right) = 0 \\ &\rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2\right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(S^2) = 0 \\ &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(S^2) = 0 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$\Rightarrow S^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\sigma^2$

**9.33**

An experimenter wishes to compare the numbers of bacteria of types A and B in samples of water. A total of  $n$  independent water samples are taken, and counts are made for each sample. Let  $X_i$  denote the number of type A bacteria and  $Y_i$  denote the number of type B bacteria for sample  $i$ . Assume that the two bacteria types are sparsely distributed within a water sample so that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  can be considered independent random samples from Poisson distributions with means  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , respectively. Suggest an estimator of  $\lambda_1/(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ . What properties does your estimator have?

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_1) \rightarrow \text{counts nb type A bacteria}$$

$$Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_2) \rightarrow \text{counts nb type B bacteria}$$

Since  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are means of respective Poisson distribution:  $\hat{\lambda}_1 = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $\hat{\lambda}_2 = \bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$

ratio  $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$  is  $\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{X} + \bar{Y}}$  ← Sample proportion estimator for type A bacteria relative to total

$\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$  are unbiased estimators of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$

$\bar{X}/(\bar{X} + \bar{Y})$  is not exactly unbiased, but approx unbiased for large  $n$

For consistency, by the law of large numbers:  $\bar{X} \rightarrow \lambda_1$  and  $\bar{Y} \rightarrow \lambda_2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$\Rightarrow \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{X} + \bar{Y}} \rightarrow \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$  → consistent estimator since estimator converges in probability to the true parameter

→  $\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{X} + \bar{Y}}$  is a consistent estimator of  $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$

**9.36**

Suppose that  $Y$  has a binomial distribution based on  $n$  trials and success probability  $p$ . Then  $\hat{p}_n = Y/n$  is an unbiased estimator of  $p$ . Use Theorem 9.3 to prove that the distribution of

$(\hat{p}_n - p)/\sqrt{\hat{p}_n \hat{q}_n/n}$  converges to a standard normal distribution. [Hint: Write  $Y$  as we did in Section 7.5.]

Since  $Y \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p) \rightarrow E[Y] = np, \text{Var}(Y) = np(1-p) = npq$

$$E[\hat{p}_n] = \frac{E[Y]}{n} = p$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{p}_n) = \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{n^2} = \frac{npq}{n^2} = \frac{pq}{n}$$

By CLT, standardized form of  $\hat{p}_n$  is:  $Z_n = \frac{\hat{p}_n - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)/n}} \sim N(0, 1)$  for large  $n$

→ Shows that  $\frac{\hat{p}_n - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)/n}} \rightarrow N(0, 1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

Replace  $p$  with  $\hat{p}_n$  ← Sample-based variance estimate

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\hat{p}_n - p}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_n \hat{q}_n / n}} \xrightarrow{} N(0, 1)$$

→ Proves that standardized form of  $\hat{p}_n$  converges to a standard normal distribution

**9.39**

Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  denote a random sample from a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ . Show by conditioning that  $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$  is sufficient for  $\lambda$ .

$$\text{Since } Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda) \rightarrow P(Y_i = y_i) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{y_i}}{y_i!}$$

$$\text{Since } Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n \text{ are independent, then joint PMF is: } P(Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{y_i}}{y_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum y_i} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y_i!}$$

Since sum of independent Poisson random variables is also Poisson  $\rightarrow T = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{PMF: } P(T=t) = \frac{e^{-n\lambda} (n\lambda)^t}{t!}$$

$$P(Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n) = \frac{P(Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n)}{P(T=t)} = \frac{\cancel{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum y_i} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y_i!}}}{\cancel{e^{-n\lambda} (n\lambda)^t / t!}} = \frac{t!}{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i! \cdot n^t} = \frac{t!}{(t - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)! \prod_{i=1}^n y_i!}$$

$\hookrightarrow$  Doesn't depend on  $\lambda$

$\Rightarrow T = \sum Y_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\lambda$

**9.41**

Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  denote a random sample from a Weibull distribution with known  $m$  and unknown  $\alpha$ . (Refer to Exercise 6.26.) Show that  $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^m$  is sufficient for  $\alpha$ .

Since  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  are independent, then joint PDF is:

$$\stackrel{\uparrow}{=} U \quad \rightarrow \text{PDF: } f(y; \alpha, m) = \frac{m}{\alpha} \left(\frac{y}{\alpha}\right)^{m-1} \exp(-(\frac{y}{\alpha})^m) \quad , y > 0$$

$$\hookrightarrow L(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(Y_i; \alpha, m)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{m}{\alpha} \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^{m-1} \exp(-(\frac{y_i}{\alpha})^m) \right]$$

$$= \left(\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i\right)^{m-1} \alpha^{-n(m-1)} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i^m}{\alpha}\right)$$

$$= \alpha^{-nm} m^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i\right)^{m-1} \exp\left(-\frac{U}{\alpha}\right)$$

$$= \underbrace{\alpha^{-nm} \exp(-\frac{U}{\alpha})}_{\text{depends on } \alpha} \times \underbrace{m^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i\right)^{m-1}}_{\text{not depends on } \alpha}$$

Factorisation Theorem states that a statistic  $T(Y)$  is sufficient for  $\alpha$  if likelihood function can be written as:  $L(\alpha) = g(U, \alpha) h(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ , which is the case

$\Rightarrow U = \sum Y_i^m$  is a sufficient statistic of  $\alpha$

**\*9.65**

In this exercise, we illustrate the direct use of the Rao–Blackwell theorem. Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  be independent Bernoulli random variables with

$$p(y_i | p) = p^{y_i} (1-p)^{1-y_i}, \quad y_i = 0, 1.$$

That is,  $P(Y_i = 1) = p$  and  $P(Y_i = 0) = 1 - p$ . Find the MVUE of  $p(1 - p)$ , which is a term in the variance of  $Y_i$  or  $W = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ , by the following steps.

a Let

$$T = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } Y_1 = 1 \text{ and } Y_2 = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Show that  $E(T) = p(1 - p)$ .

b Show that

$$P(T = 1 | W = w) = \frac{w(n-w)}{n(n-1)}.$$

c Show that

$$E(T | W) = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[ \frac{W}{n} \left(1 - \frac{W}{n}\right) \right] = \frac{n}{n-1} \bar{Y}(1 - \bar{Y})$$

and hence that  $n\bar{Y}(1 - \bar{Y})/(n-1)$  is the MVUE of  $p(1 - p)$ .

a) Since  $T$  takes only two values (0 or 1)  $\rightarrow E(T) = p(T=1)$   
 $T$  occurs when  $Y_1 = 1$  and  $Y_2 = 0 \rightarrow P(T=1) = P(Y_1=1, Y_2=0)$

Since  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are independent Bernoulli random variables with success probability  $p$ :

$$\rightarrow P(Y_1=1) = p$$

$$\rightarrow P(Y_2=0) = 1-p$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Joint probability: } P(Y_1=1, Y_2=0) = P(Y_1=1)P(Y_2=0) = p(1-p) = E(T)$$

b)  $P(T=1 | W=w) = \frac{P(T=1 \cap W=w)}{P(W=w)}$

$$P(T=1 \cap W=w) = P(Y_1=1, Y_2=0, W=w)$$

$$= P(Y_1=1)P(Y_2=0)P\left(\sum_{i=3}^n Y_i = w-1\right) \quad \begin{matrix} \text{Probability for remaining } n-2 \text{ observations} \\ \text{exactly } w-1 \text{ of them are } 1's \end{matrix}$$

$$= p(1-p)\binom{n-2}{w-1}p^{w-1}(1-p)^{n-w-1} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{binomial distribution} \end{matrix}$$

$$= \binom{n-2}{w-1} p^w (1-p)^{n-w}$$

$$P(T=1 | W=w) = \frac{P(T=1 \cap W=w)}{P(W=w)} = \frac{\binom{n-2}{w-1} p^w (1-p)^{n-w}}{\binom{n}{w} p^w (1-p)^{n-w}} = \frac{\frac{(n-2)!}{(w-1)!(n-w-1)!}}{\frac{n!}{w!(n-w)!}} = \frac{(n-2)!w!(n-w)!}{(w-1)!(n-w-1)!n!} = \frac{w(n-w)}{n(n-1)}$$

c) Since  $P(T=1 | W=w) = \frac{w(n-w)}{n(n-1)} \rightarrow E(T|W) = \frac{w(n-w)}{n(n-1)}$

$\rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$  (sum of independent trials)

$$= \frac{(n\bar{Y})(n-\bar{Y})}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{n^2 \bar{Y} (1-\bar{Y})}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{n}{n-1} \bar{Y} (1-\bar{Y})$$

$\rightarrow T$  is an unbiased estimator of  $p(1-p)$

$\rightarrow W$  is sufficient statistic for  $p$

$\rightarrow$  By Rao-Blackwell theorem, the best estimator (MVUE) obtained by computing  $E(T|W)$

$\Rightarrow E(T|W) = \frac{n}{n-1} \bar{Y} (1-\bar{Y})$  is the MVUE of  $p(1-p)$

**9.86** Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ , representing yields per acre for corn variety A, constitute a random sample from a normal distribution with mean  $\mu_1$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Also,  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$ , representing yields for corn variety B, constitute a random sample from a normal distribution with mean  $\mu_2$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . If the  $X$ 's and  $Y$ 's are independent, find the MLE for the common variance  $\sigma^2$ . Assume that  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are unknown.

Two independent normal samples:  $\rightarrow X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$   
 $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^m f(X_i | \mu_1, \sigma^2) \times \prod_{j=1}^n f(Y_j | \mu_2, \sigma^2) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(X_i - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \times \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(Y_j - \mu_2)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{m+n}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \mu_1)^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j - \mu_2)^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

taking the log  $\rightarrow L(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2) = -(m+n) \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{(m+n)}{2\sigma^2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \mu_1)^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j - \mu_2)^2$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_1} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^m 2(X_i - \mu_1) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \mu_1)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_2} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{j=1}^n 2(Y_j - \mu_2) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j - \mu_2)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{(m+n)}{2\sigma^4} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \mu_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j - \mu_2)^2 \right)$$

Calculate MLE:

- \* Equate partial derivative to 0
- \*  $\frac{1}{\sigma^2} > 0$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_1 = \bar{X}$  and  $\hat{\mu}_2 = \bar{Y}$

$$-\frac{(m+n)}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \hat{\mu}_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j - \hat{\mu}_2)^2 \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \hat{\mu}_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j - \hat{\mu}_2)^2 \right) = m+n$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{m+n}{\left( \sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \hat{\mu}_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j - \hat{\mu}_2)^2 \right)} \quad \leftarrow \text{required MLE of } \sigma^2$$

**9.90** A random sample of 100 men produced a total of 25 who favored a controversial local issue. An independent random sample of 100 women produced a total of 30 who favored the issue. Assume that  $p_M$  is the true underlying proportion of men who favor the issue and that  $p_W$  is the true underlying proportion of women who favor of the issue. If it actually is true that  $p_W = p_M = p$ , find the MLE of the common proportion  $p$ .

$Y_1 = 25$  and  $Y_2 = 30$

$$\begin{aligned} L(p) &= L(Y_1, Y_2 | p) = \binom{100}{Y_1} p^{Y_1} (1-p)^{100-Y_1} \cdot \binom{100}{Y_2} p^{Y_2} (1-p)^{100-Y_2} \\ &= \binom{100}{Y_1} \cdot \binom{100}{Y_2} \cdot p^{Y_1+Y_2} \cdot (1-p)^{200-Y_1-Y_2} \end{aligned}$$

$$l(p) = \log \left( \binom{100}{Y_1} \cdot \binom{100}{Y_2} \right) + (Y_1 + Y_2) \log(p) + (200 - Y_1 - Y_2) \log(1-p)$$

$$\frac{dl}{dp} = 0 + \frac{Y_1 + Y_2}{p} - \frac{200 - Y_1 - Y_2}{1-p}$$

Calculate MLE by setting partial der. to 0 :

$$\frac{Y_1 + Y_2}{p} = \frac{200 - Y_1 - Y_2}{1-p}$$

$$Y_1 + Y_2 - (Y_1 + Y_2) \hat{p} = 200 \bar{p} - (Y_1 + Y_2) \hat{p}$$

$$200 \hat{p} = Y_1 + Y_2$$

$$\hat{p} = \frac{Y_1 + Y_2}{200} = \frac{25+30}{200} = 0.275$$

**9.112** Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  denote a random sample from a Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda$  and define

$$W_n = \frac{\bar{Y} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\bar{Y}/n}}$$

- Show that the distribution of  $W_n$  converges to a standard normal distribution.
- Use  $W_n$  and the result in part (a) to derive the formula for an approximate 95% confidence interval for  $\lambda$ .

(a) Since  $Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda) \rightarrow E(Y_i) = \lambda, \text{Var}(Y_i) = \lambda$

By CLT, since  $Y_i$  iid from Poisson distribution  $\rightarrow \frac{\bar{Y} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}} \approx N(0,1)$  for large  $n$

By law of large nbs for  $\bar{Y}$ ,  $\frac{\bar{Y}}{\lambda} \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

Since  $\bar{Y}$  is consistent estimator of  $\lambda$ , substitute  $\lambda$  with  $\bar{Y}$

$$\Rightarrow W_n = \frac{\bar{Y} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}} \approx N(0,1) \rightarrow N(0,1)$$

\*  $W_n$  follows a standard normal distribution asymptotically

b)  $P(-1.96 \leq W_n \leq 1.96) \approx 0.95$

$$P\left(-1.96 \leq \frac{\bar{Y} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}} \leq 1.96\right) \approx 0.95$$

Solving for  $\lambda$ :  $-1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}} \leq \bar{Y} - \lambda \leq 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}$

$$\bar{Y} - 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}} \leq \lambda \leq \bar{Y} + 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Confidence interval =  $\left(\bar{Y} - 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}, \bar{Y} + 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}\right)$