

## Midterm Exam: CS 215

Attempt all questions. You have 120 minutes for this exam. Clearly mark out rough work. No calculators or phones are allowed (or required :-)). You may directly use results/theorems we have stated or derived in class, unless the question explicitly mentions otherwise. Avoid writing lengthy answers.

### Useful Information

1. The empirical mean of  $n$  independent and identically distributed random variables is approximately Gaussian distributed. The approximation accuracy is better when  $n$  is larger. If the random variables are Gaussian, the empirical mean is exactly Gaussian distributed.
2. For a non-negative random variable  $X$ , we have  $P(X \geq a) \leq E(X)/a$  where  $a > 0$ . This is Markov's inequality.
3. For a random variable  $X$  with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , we have  $P(|X - \mu| \geq k\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{k^2}$ . This is Chebyshev's inequality.
4. Gaussian PDF:  $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)}$ , MGF  $\phi_X(t) = e^{\mu t + \sigma^2 t^2/2}$
5. Poisson PMF:  $P(X = i) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^i}{i!}$ , MGF  $\phi_X(t) = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}$
6. Integration by parts:  $\int u dv = uv - \int v du$

- 
1. Consider a permutation of the first  $n$  positive integers, generated uniformly randomly (i.e. each of the  $n!$  different permutations are equally likely). The ordered pair  $(i, j)$  in the permutation is called an inversion if  $i < j$  but  $j$  precedes  $i$  (i.e. occurs earlier than  $i$ ) in the permutation. Determine the expected number of inversions in a uniformly randomly generated permutation of the first  $n$  positive integers. [10 points]
  2. This problem concerns the design of a spam filter based on knowledge of basic discrete probability. You have a 'training set' of 2000 spam messages and 1000 non-spam messages. A word 'ABC' appears in 400 spam and 60 non-spam messages in the training set. Likewise, the word 'PQR' appears in 200 spam and 25 non-spam messages. Multiple occurrences of a word in the same message are counted as just one. Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  denote the events that a message contains the words 'ABC' and 'PQR' respectively. Let  $S$  be the event that a message is spam. Assume (i) that  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are independent, (ii) that  $E_1|S$  and  $E_2|S$  are also independent, and (iii) that  $P(S) = P(S^c)$  where  $S^c$  is the set-complement. Estimate the probability that a new message (not in the training set) that contains both the words 'ABC' and 'PQR' is a spam message. (You can use the training set to estimate certain probability values). [10 points]
  3. Consider independently drawn sample values  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , each from  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda/n)$  where  $n$  is known. What is the maximum likelihood estimate for  $\lambda$ ? Derive the bias, variance, MSE of this estimator. Is this a consistent estimator? Why (not)? [10 points]  
(There is a physical significance to this question, even though one needn't understand it to answer the question. The noise in an image pixel is typically Poisson in nature. The values  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  correspond to  $n$  images of the same scene acquired in quick succession with acquisition time  $T/n$  per image, instead of acquiring one image in time  $T$ .)

4. If  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , then prove that  $P(|X| \geq u) \leq \sqrt{2/\pi} \frac{e^{-u^2/2}}{u}$  for all  $u > 0$ . How does this bound compare with that given by Chebyshev's inequality? [10+5 = 15 points]

5. Consider  $n$  values  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  drawn independently from a Laplacian distribution with mean 0 and parameter  $\sigma$ . The probability density for a Laplacian random variable  $X$  is given by  $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{2\sigma} e^{-|x|/\sigma}$  (note the absolute value in the exponent). Given  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , derive the maximum likelihood estimate for  $\sigma$ , as well as its bias, variance, MSE. [15 points]

6. In this problem, we will derive higher order moments of specific random variables in a new way.

(a) Consider  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Then prove that  $E[g(X)(X - \mu)] = \sigma^2 E[g'(X)]$  where  $g$  is a differentiable function such that  $E[|g'(X)|] < \infty, |g(x)| < \infty$ . Use this to derive an expression for  $E[X^3]$  in terms of  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ . Do not use any other method (eg: MGFs) to derive  $E[X^3]$ . [5+5=10 points]

(b) Consider  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ . Then prove that  $E[\lambda g(X)] = E[X g(X - 1)]$  where  $g$  is a function such that  $-\infty < E[g(X)] < \infty, -\infty < g(-1) < \infty$ . Use this to derive an expression for  $E[X^3]$  assuming known expressions for  $E[X], E[X^2]$ . Do not use any other method (eg: MGFs) to derive  $E[X^3]$ . [5+5=10 points]

7. (a) A student is trying to design a procedure to generate a sample from a distribution function  $F$ , where  $F$  is invertible. For this, (s)he generates a sample  $u_i$  from a  $[0, 1]$  uniform distribution using the 'rand' function of MATLAB, and computes  $v_i = F^{-1}(u_i)$ . This is repeated  $n$  times for  $i = 1 \dots n$ . Prove that the values  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  follow the distribution  $F$ . [6 points]

(b) Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  represent data from a continuous distribution  $F$ . The empirical distribution function  $F_e$  of these data is defined as  $F_e(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}(Y_i \leq x)}{n}$  where  $\mathbf{1}(z) = 1$  if the predicate  $z$  is true and 0

otherwise. Now define  $D = \max_x |F_e(x) - F(x)|$ . Also define  $E = \max_{0 \leq y \leq 1} \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}(U_i \leq y)}{n} - y \right|$  where  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$  represent data from a  $[0, 1]$  uniform distribution. Now prove that  $P(E \geq d) = P(D \geq d)$ . Briefly explain what you think is the practical significance of this result in statistics. [8+6=14 points]