

1. (a)

$$R_{x,T} = R_x^0 + (G_j = Rx)_c + (G_j = Rx)_o + \sum_{i=1, j}^N \{ (G_i = Rx)_c + (G_i = Rx)_o \} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (G_j = Rx)_c = k_+ (G_j) (Rx) - k_- (G_j = Rx)_c - k_{-2} (G_j = Rx)_c$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (G_j = Rx)_o = k_{-1} (G_j = Rx)_c - k_A (G_j = Rx)_o - k_{E,j} (G_j = Rx)_o$$

at s.s. $(G_j = Rx)_c \approx \left(\frac{k_+}{k_- + k_{-2}} \right) (G_j) (Rx) \quad (2)$

$$(G_j = Rx)_o \approx \left(\frac{k_{-1}}{k_A + k_E} \right) (G_j = Rx)_c = \underbrace{\left(\frac{k_{-1}}{k_A + k_E} \right)}_{\tau_{x,j}^{-1}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{k_+}{k_- + k_{-2}} \right)}_{K_{x,j}^{-1}} (G_j) (Rx) \quad (3)$$

plug (2) & (3) into (1)

$$\Rightarrow R_{x,T} = R_x + K_{x,j}^{-1} (G_j) (Rx) + \tau_{x,j}^{-1} K_{x,j}^{-1} (G_j) (Rx) + \sum_{i=1, j}^N \{ (G_i = Rx)_c + (G_i = Rx)_o \} \quad (4)$$

at s.s. $\sum_{i=1, j}^N (G_i = Rx)_c \approx \sum_{i=1, j}^N \left(\frac{k_+}{k_- + k_{-2}} \right) G_i Rx$

$$\sum_{i=1, j}^N (G_i = Rx)_o \approx \left(\frac{k_{-1}}{k_A + k_E} \right) \sum_{i=1, j}^N (G_i = Rx)_c = \sum_{i=1, j}^N \left(\frac{k_+}{k_- + k_{-2}} \right) \left(\frac{k_{-1}}{k_A + k_E} \right) G_i Rx$$

$$\Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow R_{x,T} = R_x + K_{x,j}^{-1} (G_j) (Rx) + \tau_{x,j}^{-1} K_{x,j}^{-1} (G_j) (Rx) + \sum_{i=1, j}^N \left(K_{x,i}^{-1} G_i Rx + \tau_{x,i}^{-1} K_{x,i}^{-1} G_i Rx \right)$$

$$\therefore R_x = \frac{R_{x,T}}{1 + K_{x,j}^{-1} G_j + K_{x,j}^{-1} \tau_{x,j}^{-1} G_j + \sum_{i=1, j}^N [G_i K_{x,i}^{-1} + G_i \tau_{x,i}^{-1} K_{x,i}^{-1}]}$$

plug into $(G_j = Rx)_o$

$$\Rightarrow (G_j = Rx)_o = K_{x,j}^{-1} (\tau_{x,j}^{-1} G_j Rx) \xrightarrow{\times \frac{K_{x,j} \tau_{x,j}}{K_{x,j} \tau_{x,j}}} \frac{R_{x,T} G_j}{K_{x,j} \tau_{x,j} + (\tau_{x,j} + 1) G_j + K_{x,j} \tau_{x,j} \left[\sum_{i=1, j}^N (G_i K_{x,i}^{-1} + G_i \tau_{x,i}^{-1} K_{x,i}^{-1}) \right]}$$

$$= \frac{R_{x,T} G_j}{K_{x,j} L_{x,j} + (L_{x,j} + 1) G_j + \sum_{i=1, j}^N \frac{K_{x,i} L_{x,i}}{K_{x,i} L_{x,i}} G_i (1 + L_{x,i})}$$

$$= \frac{R_{x,T} G_j}{K_{x,j} L_{x,j} + (L_{x,j} + 1) G_j + \epsilon_j} \quad \text{where } \epsilon_j = \sum_{i=1, j}^N \frac{K_{x,i} L_{x,i}}{K_{x,i} L_{x,i}} G_i (1 + L_{x,i})$$

Since $r_{x,j} = k_{E,j} (G_j = R_x) 0$

$$\Rightarrow r_{x,j} = k_{E,j} R_{x,T} \left(\frac{G_j}{K_{x,j} L_{x,j} + (L_{x,j} + 1) G_j + \epsilon_j} \right) \quad \#$$

(b)

if N -gene system can be approximately equivalent to the 1-gene system

\Rightarrow means that ϵ_j should be very small that can be neglected.

$\therefore \epsilon_j$ should be relatively small then $(1 + L_{x,j}) G_j$ and $L_{x,j} K_{x,j}$

In this way, we can neglect ϵ_j and regard it as a 1-gene system.

3.

(a) From Table 1 in the reference,

S =

	v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5	v_6	b_1	b_2	b_3	b_4	b_5	b_6	b_7	b_8	b_9
G	-1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RNAP	-1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G^*	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NTP	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
mRNA	0	1	-1	-1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pi	0	21	0	0	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1
NMP	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0
rib	0	0	0	-1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
rib*	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AA+RNA	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GTP	0	0	0	0	-20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
tRNA	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GDP	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0
protein	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AA	0	0	0	0	0	-1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ATP	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
AMP	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0

$$r_{x,j} = \underbrace{k_E}_{\substack{11 \\ \frac{v_x}{2q} = \frac{60}{924}}} \underbrace{R_x}_{\substack{0.15 \mu M \\ \uparrow \\ G_j \rightarrow 5nM = 5 \times 10^{-3} \mu M}} \left(\frac{G_j}{\underbrace{I_x K_x}_{2.7} + \underbrace{(I_x + 1)}_{0.3 \mu M}} \right) = 5.87 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\mu M}{s}$$

$$\hat{r}_{x,j} = r_{x,j} u_j = r_{x,j} \frac{w_1 + w_2 f_I}{1 + w_1 + w_2 f_I}, \text{ where } f_I = \frac{I^n}{K_I^n}$$

$$= 3.25 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$r_{L,j} = \frac{V_L}{\tau_L} \cdot \frac{P_L}{\tau_L} \left(\frac{mRNA \text{ conc.}}{\tau_L K_L + (\tau_L + 1) mPNA \text{ conc.}} \right)$$

\uparrow 1.6 mM
 \downarrow 16.5 s
 \downarrow 308 s

from s.s of transcription:

$$\frac{dm_j}{dt} = r_{x,j} u_j - k_{x,j}^d m_j - m_j \beta \beta \rightarrow \text{neglect dilution, } \beta \text{ small}$$

$$m_j = \frac{5.87 \times 10^{-5} u}{8.35/60} = 4.223 \times 10^{-4} \mu M$$

$$\Rightarrow \therefore r_{L,j} = 0.08571 \times \left(\frac{4.223 \times 10^{-4} u}{45.6 + 1.8 \times 4.223 \times 10^{-4} u} \right) \frac{\mu M}{s}$$

$$\hat{r}_{L,j} = r_{L,j} \cdot w_j = r_{L,j} \quad (w_j = 1 \text{ at kinetic limit})$$

(b) protein balance:

$$\frac{dp_j}{dt} = \underbrace{r_{L,j} w_j}_{\text{from (a)}} - \underbrace{k_{L,j}^d}_{9.9 \times 10^{-3}/60} p_j - p_j \beta \beta \rightarrow \text{neglect}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_j = \frac{0.08571 \times \left(\frac{4.223 \times 10^{-4} u}{45.6 + 1.8 \times 4.223 \times 10^{-4} u} \right)}{9.9 \times 10^{-3}/60} \mu M$$

continue on matlab for the plot.

(C)

The concept of shadow price:

How much more profit you would get by increasing the amount of that resource by one unit.

⇒ By changing the bound of exchange flux, which one of the fluxes will affect the translation rate most?

⇒ sensitivity

∴ if I increase 1 unit of exchange fluxes,

for GTP, it'll cause an increase of $\frac{1}{2}a$ for translation rate.

for GPP, and Pi, there's also an increase of $\frac{1}{2}a$ but for protein, there's an increase of 1, which is higher than others.

⇒ ∴ Protein exchange flux is the translation rate most sensitive to.