Appendix [Margins, Kernels and Non-linear Smoothed Perceptrons]

1. Unified Proof By Induction of Lemma 5, 8: $L_{\mu_k}(\alpha_k) \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|p_k\|_G^2$

Let d(p) be 1-strongly convex with respect to the #-norm, ie $d(q)-d(p)-\langle \nabla d(p),q-p\rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}\|q-p\|_\#^2$ for any $p,q\in\Delta_n$. Let the #-norm be lower bounded by the G-norm as $\|p\|_G^2\leq \lambda_\#\|p\|_\#^2$. For $d(p)=\sum_i p_i\log p_i+\log n$, # is the 1-norm, $\lambda_\#=1$ and $p^*=\frac{\mathbf{1}_n}{n}$. For $d(p)=\frac{1}{2}\|q-p\|_2^2$, # is the 2-norm, $\lambda_\#=n$ and $p^*=q$. Choose $\mu_0=2\lambda_\#$.

Let the smoothed minimizer be defined by $p_{\mu}(\alpha) := \arg\min_{p \in \Delta_n} \langle G\alpha, p \rangle + \mu d(p)$, and $p^* := \arg\min_{p \in \Delta_n} d(p)$. The optimality condition of $p_{\mu}(\alpha)$ and p^* (the gradient is perpendicular to any feasible direction) is that for any $r \in \Delta_n$,

$$\langle G\alpha + \mu \nabla d(p_{\mu}(\alpha)), r - p \rangle = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\langle \nabla d(p^*), r - p \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow d(p_0) \ge \frac{1}{2} \|p_0 - p^*\|_{\#}^2.$$
 (2)

For
$$k=0$$
:
$$-\frac{1}{2}\|p_0\|_G^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\|p_0 - p^*\|_G^2 - \langle p^*, p_0 - p^* \rangle_G - \frac{1}{2}\|p^*\|_G^2 \quad \text{writing } p_0 = (p_0 - p^*) + p^*$$

$$\geq -\frac{\lambda_\#}{2}\|p_0 - p^*\|_\#^2 - \langle p^*, p_0 \rangle_G + \frac{1}{2}\|p^*\|_G^2 \quad \text{using } \|p\|_G^2 \leq \lambda_\# \|p\|_\#^2$$

$$\geq -\mu_0 d(p_0) - \langle \alpha_0, p_0 \rangle_G + \frac{1}{2}\|\alpha_0\|_G^2 \quad \text{adding } -\frac{\lambda_\#}{2}\|p_0 - p^*\|_1^2, \text{ using Eq. (2)}$$

$$= L_{\mu_0}(\alpha_0).$$

Assume it holds upto k. We drop index k, and write x_+ for x_{k+1} . Let $\hat{p} = (1-\theta)p + \theta p_{\mu}(\alpha)$ so $\alpha_+ = (1-\theta)\alpha + \theta \hat{p}$. (3)

$$\begin{split} L_{\mu_{+}}(\alpha_{+}) &= \frac{1}{2}\|\alpha_{+}\|_{G}^{2} - \left\langle \alpha_{+}, p_{\mu_{+}}(\alpha_{+}) \right\rangle_{G} - \mu_{+}d(p_{\mu_{+}}(\alpha_{+})) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|(1-\theta)\alpha + \theta\hat{p}\|_{G}^{2} - \theta\left\langle \hat{p}, p_{\mu_{+}}(\alpha_{+}) \right\rangle_{G} - (1-\theta)\left[\left\langle \alpha, p_{\mu_{+}}(\alpha_{+}) \right\rangle_{G} + \mu d(p_{\mu_{+}}(\alpha_{+}))\right] \quad \text{using Eq. (3)} \\ &\leq (1-\theta)\left[\frac{1}{2}\|\alpha\|_{G}^{2} - \left\langle \alpha, p_{\mu_{+}}(\alpha_{+}) \right\rangle_{G} - \mu d(p_{\mu_{+}}(\alpha_{+}))\right]_{1} + \theta\left[-\frac{1}{2}\|\hat{p}\|_{G}^{2} - \left\langle \hat{p}, p_{\mu_{+}}(\alpha_{+}) - \hat{p} \right\rangle_{G}\right], \end{split}$$

where we used the convexity of $\|.\|_G^2$. Recall $p_+ = (1-\theta)p + \theta p_{\mu_+}(\alpha_+)$, so that $p_+ - \hat{p} = \theta(p_{\mu_+}(\alpha_+) - p_{\mu}(\alpha))$. (4)

$$\begin{split} \left[. \right]_1 &= \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\alpha\|_G^2 - \left\langle \alpha, p_{\mu}(\alpha) \right\rangle_G - \mu d(p_{\mu}(\alpha)) \right] - \left\langle \alpha, p_{\mu_+}(\alpha_+) - p_{\mu}(\alpha) \right\rangle_G - \mu \left[d(p_{\mu_+}(\alpha_+)) - d(p_{\mu}(\alpha)) \right] \\ &= L_{\mu}(\alpha) - \mu \left[d(p_{\mu_+}(\alpha_+)) - d(p_{\mu}(\alpha)) - \left\langle \nabla d(p_{\mu}(\alpha)), p_{\mu_+}(\alpha_+) - p_{\mu}(\alpha) \right\rangle \right] \quad \text{using Eq. (1)} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \|p\|_G^2 - \frac{\mu}{2} \|p_{\mu_+}(\alpha_+) - p_{\mu}(\alpha)\|_\#^2 \quad \quad \text{using strong convexity of } d(p) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \|\hat{p} + (p - \hat{p})\|_G^2 - \frac{\mu}{2\lambda_\#} \|p_{\mu_+}(\alpha_+) - p_{\mu}(\alpha)\|_G^2 \quad \quad \text{using } \|p\|_G^2 \leq \lambda_\# \|p\|_\#^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \|\hat{p}\|_G^2 - \left\langle \hat{p}, p - \hat{p} \right\rangle_G - \frac{\mu}{2\lambda_\# \theta^2} \|p_+ - \hat{p}\|_G^2 \quad \quad \text{using Eq. (4) and dropping a } -\frac{1}{2} \|p - \hat{p}\|_G^2 \text{ term.} \end{split}$$

Using $(1-\theta)(p-\hat{p}) = -\theta(p_{\mu}(\alpha)-\hat{p})$ and substituting back,

$$\begin{split} L_{\mu_{+}}(\alpha_{+}) & \leq & (1-\theta) \bigg[-\frac{1}{2} \|\hat{p}\|_{G}^{2} + \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} \Big\langle \hat{p}, p_{\mu}(\alpha) - \hat{p} \Big\rangle_{G} - \frac{\mu}{2\lambda_{\#}\theta^{2}} \|p_{+} - \hat{p}\|_{G}^{2} \bigg] + \theta \bigg[-\frac{1}{2} \|\hat{p}\|_{G}^{2} - \Big\langle \hat{p}, p_{\mu_{+}}(\alpha_{+}) - \hat{p} \Big\rangle_{G} \bigg] \\ & = & -\frac{1}{2} \|\hat{p}\|_{G}^{2} - \theta \Big\langle \hat{p}, p_{\mu_{+}}(\alpha_{+}) - p_{\mu}(\alpha) \Big\rangle_{G} - \frac{\mu(1-\theta)}{2\lambda_{\#}\theta^{2}} \|p_{+} - \hat{p}\|_{G}^{2} \\ & \leq & -\frac{1}{2} \|\hat{p}\|_{G}^{2} - \Big\langle \hat{p}, p_{+} - \hat{p} \Big\rangle_{G} - \frac{1}{2} \|p_{+} - \hat{p}\|_{G}^{2} \quad \text{using Eq. (4) and } \frac{\theta^{2}}{1-\theta} = \frac{4}{(k+1)(k+3)} \leq \frac{4}{(k+1)(k+2)} = \frac{\mu}{\lambda_{\#}} \\ & = & -\frac{1}{2} \|p_{+}\|_{G}^{2}. \end{split}$$

This wraps up our unified proof for both settings.

	Appendix	
2. Kaczmarz Perceptron (mod	lified modified perceptron)	
Start with $f_0 = \sum_i y_i \tilde{\phi}_{x_i}/n$ and $Z_0 =$	$=\ f_0\ _K$. So $Z_0 \geq \langle f_0, f^* \rangle_K \geq \rho_K$.	
Halt when		
Hait when	$ y_i f_k(x_i) \le \sigma Z_k \le \sigma Z_0$	
6 11 1 1	$ gijk(wi) \leq 0.2k \leq 0.20$	
for all mistakes.		
Otherwise, for the worst mistake, upd		
	$f_{k+1} = f_k - y_i f_k(x_i) (y_i \tilde{\phi}_{x_i})$	
Now,		
$Z_{k+1} \ge \langle f_{k+1}, f^* \rangle$	$= \langle f_k, f^* \rangle + \rho_K y_i f_k(x_i) \ge \langle f_k, f^* \rangle + \rho_K \sigma Z_0 = \rho_K (1 + \sigma \rho_K)$	
Also	7 ² 7 ² 1 4 ()1 ² 7 7 ² (4 2)	
	$Z_{k+1}^2 = Z_k^2 - y_i f_k(x_i) ^2 \le Z_k^2 (1 - \sigma^2)$	
Assume we get		
Assume we get	$ \rho_K (1 + \sigma \rho_K)^n \le Z_0 (1 - \sigma^2)^{n/2} $	
	FK(-1.5FK) = -0(-1.5)	
Hence		
**	$\rho_K e^{n\sigma\rho_K} \le Z_0 e^{-\sigma^2 n/2}$	
Hence it halts before		
	$n\sigma\rho_K \ge \log(Z_0/\sigma) - n\sigma^2/2$	