

S&DS 265 / 565
Introductory Machine Learning
Language Models
Tuesday, October 26

Yale

Checkpoint

- Midterms scores posted
- Provisional grades announced later today
- Happy to meet to discuss grading, standing...
- Assignment 4 due week from today

Next few topics

- Language models and word embeddings
 - ▶ This week
- Bayes and topic models
 - ▶ Next week
- Neural networks
 - ▶ Following two weeks

You are here

8	Oct 19	Midterm exam (in class)			Practice midterm (Canvas) Sample solutions (Canvas)
9	Oct 26, 28	Language models, word embeddings	 Word embeddings		
10	Nov 2, 4	Bayesian inference, topic models	 Bayesian inference Topic models	Tue: Assn 4 in; Assn 5 out	
11	Nov 9, 11	Introduction to neural networks	 Minimal neural network	Thu: Assn 5 in; Assn 6 out	
12	Nov 16, 18	Deep neural networks	 Autoencoder examples	Tue: Quiz 3	
13	Nov 19-28	No class, Thanksgiving break			
14	Nov 30, Dec 2	Reinforcement learning		Tue: Assn 6 in; Assn 7 out	
15	Dec 7, 9	Societal issues for machine learning		Tue: Quiz 4 Thu: Assn 7 in	

For Today

- Language models
- Channel models
- Concepts...no new methods

When you text someone

- Take out your cell phone...

When you text someone

- Take out your cell phone...
- Text someone

When you text someone

- Take out your cell phone...
- Text someone
- What did you notice?

Language models

- A language model is a way of assigning a probability to any sequence of words (or string of text)

$$p(w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

Language models

- A language model is a way of assigning a probability to any sequence of words (or string of text)

$$p(w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

- By the basic rules of conditional probability we can factor this as

$$p(w_1, \dots, w_n) = p(w_1)p(w_2 | w_1) \dots p(w_n | w_1, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

Language models

- A language model is a way of *generating* any sequence of words

$$P(\text{"the whole forest had been anesthetized"}) =$$


$$\begin{aligned} & P(\text{"the"}) \times P(\text{"whole"} | \text{"the"}) \\ & \quad \times P(\text{"forest"} | \text{"the whole"}) \\ & \quad \times P(\text{"had"} | \text{"the whole forest"}) \\ & \quad \times P(\text{"been"} | \text{"the whole forest had"}) \\ & \quad \times P(\text{"anesthetized"} | \text{"the whole forest had been"}) \end{aligned}$$

Remixing Noon

Text generated from Channel Skin by Jeff Noon

"The whole forest had been anesthetised, her temples wired, her senses stimulated, her eyes were not on Eva, not on Eva, not on Eva, not on anybody in that same realm, the land of dreams and nightmares."

Viability: 0.00000326%




https://revdancatt.com/2017/03/01/markov_noon


Text generation

- Words generated one-by-one
- A word is chosen by sampling from a probability distribution
- Then treated as if it were “real,” as in dreaming
- Result is purely synthetic text

The source-channel framework



The source-channel framework



What are some examples?

The source-channel framework

- Speech recognition

The source-channel framework

- Speech recognition
- Machine translation

The source-channel framework

- Speech recognition
- Machine translation
- Texting

The source-channel framework

- Speech recognition
- Machine translation
- Texting
- Email completion

The source-channel framework

- Speech recognition
- Machine translation
- Texting
- Email completion
- Image captioning

The source-channel framework

- Speech recognition
- Machine translation
- Texting
- Email completion
- Image captioning
- Mind reading from fMRI

Dasher: LMs for assistive devices

Language models enable new modes of text input:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=quw_Kci4fUg

<https://youtu.be/QxFEUk3J89Q?t=72>

Dasher poetry:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x-WLiY2p1LQ>

Language models

- A language model is a way of assigning a probability to any sequence of words (or string of text)

$$p(w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

Language models

- A language model is a way of assigning a probability to any sequence of words (or string of text)

$$p(w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

- By the basic rules of conditional probability we can factor this as

$$p(w_1, \dots, w_n) = p(w_1)p(w_2 | w_1) \dots p(w_n | w_1, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

Language models

- A language model is a way of assigning a probability to any sequence of words (or string of text)

$$p(w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

- By the basic rules of conditional probability we can factor this as

$$p(w_1, \dots, w_n) = p(w_1)p(w_2 | w_1) \dots p(w_n | w_1, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

- The number of *histories* grows as V^{n-1} . Number of parameters in model grows as V^n , where V is number of words in vocabulary.

Language models

- A language model is a way of assigning a probability to any sequence of words (or string of text)

$$p(w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

- By the basic rules of conditional probability we can factor this as

$$p(w_1, \dots, w_n) = p(w_1)p(w_2 | w_1) \dots p(w_n | w_1, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

- The number of *histories* grows as V^{n-1} . Number of parameters in model grows as V^n , where V is number of words in vocabulary.
- What are some ways of reducing the number of parameters?

Grouping histories

- We need to group histories.

Grouping histories

- We need to group histories.
- Let $g(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be the group assigned to the history

Grouping histories

- We need to group histories.
- Let $g(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be the group assigned to the history
- Our model becomes

$$p(w_{n+1} | w_1, \dots, w_n) = p(w_{n+1} | g(w_1, \dots, w_n))$$

Grouping histories

- We need to group histories.
- Let $g(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be the group assigned to the history
- Our model becomes

$$p(w_{n+1} | w_1, \dots, w_n) = p(w_{n+1} | g(w_1, \dots, w_n))$$

- Number of parameters: $O(V \cdot \text{number of groups})$

Grouping histories

- We need to group histories.
- Let $g(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be the group assigned to the history
- Our model becomes

$$p(w_{n+1} | w_1, \dots, w_n) = p(w_{n+1} | g(w_1, \dots, w_n))$$

- Number of parameters: $O(V \cdot \text{number of groups})$
- What are some example groupings?

Grouping histories

- Unigrams: $g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \emptyset$.

Grouping histories

- Unigrams: $g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \emptyset$.
- Bigrams: $g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = w_n$.

Grouping histories

- Unigrams: $g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \emptyset$.
- Bigrams: $g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = w_n$.
- Trigrams: $g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = (w_{n-1}, w_n)$.

Grouping histories

- Unigrams: $g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \emptyset$.
- Bigrams: $g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = w_n$.
- Trigrams: $g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = (w_{n-1}, w_n)$.
- Number of parameters grows as $O(V)$, $O(V^2)$, and $O(V^3)$, respectively.

Estimating parameters

- The maximum likelihood estimate of a trigram model:

$$\hat{p}(w_3 | w_1, w_2) = \frac{\text{count}(w_1, w_2, w_3)}{\text{count}(w_1, w_2)}$$

Estimating parameters

- The maximum likelihood estimate of a trigram model:

$$\hat{p}(w_3 | w_1, w_2) = \frac{\text{count}(w_1, w_2, w_3)}{\text{count}(w_1, w_2)}$$

- What are some problems with this model?

Half Earth

Aug 06, 2018

by Foundation Staff

0 Comment

Google Earth, Half-Earth Project, Map of Life

By Jeremy Malczyk, Michelle Duong, Ajay Ranipeta, Chris Heltne, Walter Jetz of Map of Life, Yale University, and the E.O. Wilson Biodiversity Foundation Half-Earth Project

This article originally in *Medium*, July 30, 2018

The Significance of Biodiversity



Narrow-billed Tody, *Todus angustirostris*. Photo by Julie Hart.



Learn how you can be part of bringing
Half-Earth to life.

<https://eowilsonfoundation.org/mapping-species-for-half-earth/>


<https://www.half-earthproject.org/>

Specious species



- A naturalist (say, Edward O. Wilson) explores a region and observes animals (organisms).

Specious species



- A naturalist (say, Edward O. Wilson) explores a region and observes animals (organisms).
- He finds 100,000 animals and 5,000 species.

Specious species



- A naturalist (say, Edward O. Wilson) explores a region and observes animals (organisms).
- He finds 100,000 animals and 5,000 species.
- What is the chance I'll find a new species?

Specious species



- A naturalist (say, Edward O. Wilson) explores a region and observes animals (organisms).
- He finds 100,000 animals and 5,000 species.
- What is the chance I'll find a new species?
- What if Wilson observes 100 unique species?

Missing species: Good-Turing

- Wilson observes 100,000 animals and 5,000 species, 100 of them are unique (only one observation of that species).

Missing species: Good-Turing

- Wilson observes 100,000 animals and 5,000 species, 100 of them are unique (only one observation of that species).
- Good-Turing: Estimate of the probability that the next animal is a new species? $\hat{p}_{GT} = 100/100,000 = 10^{-3}$.

Missing species: Good-Turing

- Wilson observes 100,000 animals and 5,000 species, 100 of them are unique (only one observation of that species).
- Good-Turing: Estimate of the probability that the next animal is a new species? $\hat{p}_{GT} = 100/100,000 = 10^{-3}$.
- This is an estimate of the missing probability mass.

Yale researchers create map of undiscovered life


By Bill Hathaway | MARCH 22, 2021



Less than a decade after unveiling the "[Map of Life](#)," a global database that marks the distribution of known species across the planet, Yale researchers have launched an ambitious and perhaps even more important project — creating a map of where life has yet to be discovered.

For [Walter Jetz](#), a professor of ecology and evolutionary biology at Yale who spearheaded the Map of Life project, the new effort is a moral imperative that can help support biodiversity discovery and preservation around the world.

Map of Life



Map of Life



[contact us](#) [login](#) [register](#)

Putting biodiversity on the map

The page features a green header bar at the top. Below it is a grid of eight cards, each representing a different feature of the Map of Life platform. The cards are arranged in two rows of four. Each card includes an image, a title, a brief description, and a larger explanatory text below.

- Map species**
View species range map, inventory, and occurrence data
- Project species**
Explore species habitat loss projected for a range of plausible futures
- Species by location**
Select a location, filter by distance or group, and view a list of species along with source data
- Explore Places**
Dashboard for biodiversity data coverage and conservation information
- Indicators**
Explore trends in biodiversity knowledge, distribution, and conservation
- Patterns**
Explore richness patterns and biodiversity facets
- Datasets**
Explore datasets used across MOL
- Mobile App**
Discover, identify, and record biodiversity worldwide

Sparse data: Species \approx words in vocabulary

- Suppose we have a corpus of 500 million words. I count trigrams and find that 50 million of them are unique.

Sparse data: Species \approx words in vocabulary

- Suppose we have a corpus of 500 million words. I count trigrams and find that 50 million of them are unique.
- When I see a new trigram, 10% of the time it won't have been seen before. (This is a typical number.)

Sparse data: Species \approx words in vocabulary

- Suppose we have a corpus of 500 million words. I count trigrams and find that 50 million of them are unique.
- When I see a new trigram, 10% of the time it won't have been seen before. (This is a typical number.)
- This means that the MLE is zero, and the probability that my model predicts the next word will be zero.

Sparse data: Species \approx words in vocabulary

- Suppose we have a corpus of 500 million words. I count trigrams and find that 50 million of them are unique.
- When I see a new trigram, 10% of the time it won't have been seen before. (This is a typical number.)
- This means that the MLE is zero, and the probability that my model predicts the next word will be zero.
- The MLE is supported on the observed data. We need to spread out the probability over unseen events.

Estimating parameters

- The maximum likelihood estimate of a trigram model:

$$\hat{p}(w_3 | w_1, w_2) = \frac{\text{count}(w_1, w_2, w_3)}{\text{count}(w_1, w_2)}$$

Estimating parameters

- The maximum likelihood estimate of a trigram model:

$$\hat{p}(w_3 | w_1, w_2) = \frac{\text{count}(w_1, w_2, w_3)}{\text{count}(w_1, w_2)}$$

- Some kind of “shrinkage” or smoothing needs to be done.

Estimating parameters

- The maximum likelihood estimate of a trigram model:

$$\hat{p}(w_3 | w_1, w_2) = \frac{\text{count}(w_1, w_2, w_3)}{\text{count}(w_1, w_2)}$$

- Some kind of “shrinkage” or smoothing needs to be done.
- How else can the model be strengthened?

Interpolation

Linear interpolation:

$$p(w_3 | w_1, w_2) = \lambda_3 \hat{p}(w_3 | w_1, w_2) + \lambda_2 \hat{p}(w_3 | w_2) + \lambda_1 \hat{p}(w_3)$$

where $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1$.

Interpolation

Linear interpolation:

$$p(w_3 | w_1, w_2) = \lambda_3 \hat{p}(w_3 | w_1, w_2) + \lambda_2 \hat{p}(w_3 | w_2) + \lambda_1 \hat{p}(w_3)$$

where $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1$.

This is a type of “mixture model”

Recall: Geometric mean

The *arithmetic mean* of 1/4, 4, 8 is

$$\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{4} + 4 + 8 \right) = 4.08\bar{3}$$

Recall: Geometric mean

The *arithmetic mean* of 1/4, 4, 8 is

$$\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{4} + 4 + 8 \right) = 4.08\bar{3}$$

The *geometric mean* of 1/4, 4, 8 is

$$\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{4} \cdot 4 \cdot 8} = 2$$

Recall: Geometric mean

The *arithmetic mean* of 1/4, 4, 8 is

$$\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{4} + 4 + 8 \right) = 4.08\bar{3}$$

The *geometric mean* of 1/4, 4, 8 is

$$\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{4} \cdot 4 \cdot 8} = 2$$

The geometric mean is no greater than the arithmetic mean

Recall: Geometric mean

The *geometric mean* of x_1, \dots, x_n is

$$\sqrt[n]{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

Recall: Geometric mean

The *geometric mean* of x_1, \dots, x_n is

$$\sqrt[n]{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

How good is a language model? Perplexity

Perplexity is defined as

$$\text{Perplexity}(\theta) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n p_\theta(w_i | w_{1:i-1}) \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

where w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n is a large chunk of text that wasn't used to train the language model.

How good is a language model? Perplexity


- If the perplexity is 100, the model predicts, on average, as if there were 100 equally likely words to follow
- This is the (geometric) average “branching factor” for the model on real text

Remixing Noon


Text generated from Channel Skin by Jeff Noon

"The whole forest had been anesthetised, her temples wired, her senses stimulated, her eyes were not on Eva, not on Eva, not on Eva, not on anybody in that same realm, the land of dreams and nightmares."

Viability: 0.000000326%



https://revdancatt.com/2017/03/01/markov_noon



DEEP LEARNING, HPC

What Can You Do with the OpenAI GPT-3 Language Model?



Marketing, August 6, 2020

0


15 min read



Exploring GPT-3: A New Breakthrough in Language Generation



The Ultimate Guide to OpenAI's GPT-3 Language Model



Generative Pre-trained Transformer 3 (GPT-3) is a new language model created by OpenAI that is able to generate written text of such quality that is often difficult to differentiate from text written by a human.

Opinion

How Do You Know a Human Wrote This?

Machines are gaining the ability to write, and they are getting terrifyingly good at it.



By Farhad Manjoo
Opinion Columnist

July 29, 2020






Science &
technology

[Aug 6th 2020 edition >](#)

Artificial intelligence

A new AI language model generates poetry and prose

GPT-3 can be eerily human-like—for better and for worse



Mark Long

Meet GPT-3. It Has Learned to Code (and Blog and Argue).

The latest natural-language system generates tweets, pens poetry, summarizes emails, answers trivia questions, translates languages and even writes its own computer programs.



Key intuition

- Words that have similar neighbors will be similar
- Note, recursive notion of similarity!
- This will be an intuition behind “word embeddings”

Pointwise mutual information (PMI)

Can cluster words based on “pointwise mutual information” (PMI)

$$\log \left(\frac{p_{\text{near}}(w_1, w_2)}{p(w_1)p(w_2)} \right)$$

- How likely are specific words/clusters to co-occur together within some window, compared to if they were independent?

Example clusters from PMI

we our us ourselves ours
question questions asking answer answers answering
performance performed perform performs performing
tie jacket suit
write writes writing written wrote pen
morning noon evening night nights midnight bed
attorney counsel trial court judge
problems problem solution solve analyzed solved solving
letter addressed enclosed letters correspondence
large size small larger smaller
operations operations operating operate operated
school classroom teaching grade math
street block avenue corner blocks
table tables dining chairs plate
published publication author publish writer titled
wall ceiling walls enclosure roof
sell buy selling buying sold

Shortcomings of word clusters

- Clusters are still “categorical”
- Can’t use vector space operations
- “One hot” representation wasteful
- These are addressed with *distributed representations* (next)

Insight of embeddings

- Use PMI-like scores to get embedding vectors.
- Can be applied whenever have large amounts of cooccurrence data.
- We'll go further into this next time

Summary of today: Language models

- A language model is used to predict or generate the next word
- Used many different places, channel models
- Probabilities need to be “smoothed” to avoid zeros
- Perplexity is a measure of a language model’s predictive power
- Surprising amount of information captured by purely local cooccurrence counts
- GPT-3 is a hint at the future of AI